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# Day Dominated by Difficult Deals 



Paul Magerman celebrates after being awarded the EBL Gold Medal at the President's dinner on Monday evening.

The first day of the 7th European Bridge Mixed Team Championships featured many difficult deals, which severely taxed even the great champions competing here.A feature of the draw was that in the first round, teams of approximately equal strength were paired together, which led to some heavyweight clashes right at the start.

After four rounds, the early leaders are from Germany in the guise of team Gotard whose score of 82VP leaves them three ahead of the Russian squad Volina, who in turn are one point ahead of another German outfit, Maybach, the losing finalists in 2000.
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## Very Important Time Change

Contrary to the programme, the afternoon session today starts at 15.00 (so not at 16.00). 44. evian muchay lotko domo >XXylos arampanal

## How The Pairs Championship Was Won

After the second session, the amateurs Willem jan Maas and Hedwig van Glabbeek from The Hague (Netherlands) were leading the field. In yesterday's Bulletin, we already published some funny stories about the way they got some of their good scores, but all of a sudden, their leisurely approach to this Championship had turned into a serious affair.


Eva Harasimowicz, Poland
So our more or less distinguished Messrs Editors had no option but to start their investigations about the final session at the table where the leaders were enjoying the stability of a N/S position.

Their first board turned out not to be too decisive in view of what was ahead of us.

FIRST STRIKE
Board 21. Dealer North. N/S Vul.
964
คAJ974
$\checkmark 92$
\% A 82
Q Q J 32
-K 65
$\diamond$ K 1073
\& 96

| N | - AK 10 |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | QQ10832 |
| S | $\checkmark 854$ |
| - 875 |  |
| $\bigcirc-$ |  |
| $\checkmark$ A Q 6 |  |
| *KQJ543 |  |


| West | North <br> Maas | East | South <br> Van Glabbeek |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pass | Pass | $1 \mathbf{1 0}$ |
| Pass | 18 | Pass | $\mathbf{2 9}$ |
| Pass | $3 \boldsymbol{9}$ | Pass | $\mathbf{3}$ |
| Pass | 38 | Pass | $4 \boldsymbol{9}$ |

All Pass
With the diamond finesse wrong, one down was the inevitable outcome. Yet, 3NT is a good proposition, but with the diamond finesse wrong...(etc.). No harm done, but passing 2er 3e would have hit an unenterprising jackpot.

## A MORE SERIOUS AFFAIR

Board 22. Dealer East. E/W Vul.

- Q IO
$\bigcirc \mathrm{K} Q \mathrm{~J}$
AKJ862
A 5
- AJ5 4
$\bigcirc 4$
$\diamond 1093$
- 19732

- 62

〇98632
Q 4
-K 864

- K 9873
- A 1075
$\checkmark 75$
* Q 10

West
North
Maas

South
Van Glabbeek
20
30

| Pass | 2NT | Pass | 38 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Pass | 3NT | All Pass |  |

2e showed at least 4-4 in the majors but less than an opening hand, and after the 2NT relay $3>$ showed five spades and a maximum.

East led the 98 . Of course, declarer would have had a nasty problem had East led a club, but he now could win the queen in hand and think about a plan. Eventually, he decided to go for the combination of the $\diamond \mathbf{Q}$ doubleton and the $\boldsymbol{j}$ onside. So after the $\diamond A$ the Q was presented, taken by West who returned a club. Had declarer stuck to his plan, he would have made 12 tricks, but

## Follow the 7th European Bridge <br> Mixed Championships on Internet through the EBL official web site:
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he ducked this to the king, and East returned (and cleared) the suit. When the $\diamond K$ brought down the queen, there still were II tricks and a quite acceptable matchpoint score.

## SHEER LUCK

The next board was a perfect example of the part sheer luck plays in pairs events:

Board 23. Dealer South. All Vul.

|  | $\stackrel{Q}{4}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc$ AKJ 2 |  |  |
|  | $\diamond$ K 7643 |  |  |
|  | \% Q 96 |  |  |
| ¢ 986 | N |  | 54 |
| $\bigcirc 9864$ | W E |  |  |
| $\diamond 2$ |  |  | A Q J 1098 |
| 2 K 10743 | $S$ |  | A J 2 |
|  | ¢ AK 10732 |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ Q 1075 |  |  |
|  | $\diamond 5$ |  |  |
|  | \& 85 |  |  |
|  | North | East | South |
| Lesniewski | Maas | Harasimowicz Van Glabbeek |  |
|  |  |  | $1{ }^{1}$ |
| Pass | $2 \diamond$ | Pass | 21 |
| Pass | 3NT | All Pass |  |

When Eva Harasimowicz led the $\diamond \mathbf{Q}$ she must have felt pretty sure about beating the contract. As it turned out, the heart fit had been lost, but with the $\$$ coming down in time declarer had II tricks and another very good score. Please note the lucky lie of the clubs as well.

## CONSIDERABLE RESTRAINT

Board 24. Dealer West. None Vul.

> | A A 864 |
| :--- |
| $\diamond$ A 963 |
| $\diamond$ KJ 73 |
| 2 |

- K 952

ค 874
$\diamond A 8$
\& AJ 98

West
Lesniewski
1\%
Pass
Pass
Pass

© Q

- J 105
$\diamond$ Q 952
2 107643
- J 1073
$\checkmark$ K Q 2
$\diamond 1064$
* K Q 5


North East South
Maas Harasimowicz Van Glabbeek Dble Pass I (!) Pass INT Dble Pass 2e Pass

All Pass

On this board, the eventual winners showed their approach in partscore battles. A light take-out double was followed by a slight underbid of 14 . When $\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{W}$ competed further, South could show the full value of her hand by doubling INT but North knew enough and did not make any further move. 2 just made for another sound plus score to $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{S}$.

## WHEN DUTCH MEET

In the third round, the leaders were to meet another Dutch pair, who had started the session lying 5 th. The first board ended their chances:

Board 25. Dealer North. E/W Vul.

|  | - 73 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc$ A 2 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ K Q 842 |  |  |
|  | \& 8742 |  |  |
| Q Q J 106 | N |  | 92 |
| $\bigcirc 1065$ |  | E $\quad \bigcirc$ | Q J 98 |
| $\diamond 63$ |  | E $\quad \diamond$ | A 107 |
| \% K 1053 | S | \& Q 6 |  |
|  | - A 8 |  |  |
|  | - K 7 |  |  |
|  | $\diamond$ J 95 |  |  |
|  | * A 9 |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Ter Laare | Maas | Willemse | Van Glabbeek |
|  | Pass | 1\% | Dble |
| Pass | $2 \diamond$ | Pass | Pass |
| 24. | Dble | Pass | Pass |
| 320 | Dble | All Pass |  |

Marco ter Laare's experiments did not come off this time. 2 will go down one on the heart ruff, and 3\% fared even worse. Declarer had to lose five top tricks anyway and a heart ruff made it down two for 500 and another clear top score to the leaders.

## AN UNINTENDED CARD

Things were going very well for the leaders, and if this were not enough they got a little extra help when.

Board I. Dealer North. None Vul.

- K Q
- AK 1097
$\diamond 863$
Q 65
- 987543
$\bigcirc 5$
$\diamond 107$
\& K 1032
- J 10
- QJ 643

A Q 2

- J97

West had led the $\$ 8$ against the normal contract of 3 NT , dummy's king winning. A low diamond went to the jack and declarer next ran the 88 . East had lost her concentration for a moment, as she contributed the $\ulcorner 3$ ! As all players at the table had seen this card, there was no redress, of course, so declarer had scored an unlikely second overtrick for yet another top score.

## A LITTLE BIT OF HAMMAN

On the other board of this round, $N / S$ bid to a slam that was not so good, but had the one important merit of making...

Board 2. Dealer East. N/S Vul.

- KJIO 98
$\triangle$ A Q 3
$\diamond A 9$
- AK 3

| - Q 62 | N | ¢ A 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc 10754$ |  | $\bigcirc 62$ |
| $\diamond$ J 874 | W E | $\checkmark$ Q 10652 |
| 9104 | S | ¢ 9862 |
|  | ¢ 743 |  |
|  | ¢KJ 98 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ K 3 |  |
|  | \& Q J 75 |  |

Top spot is 6NT, of course, but 61 too brought N/S a fair share of the available matchpoints.

## MISSED CHANCE

$\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{S}$ missed a chance for yet another top score on this board:
Board 5. Dealer North. N/S Vul.

- AK 43
$\bigcirc$ A 105
$\diamond 753$
\& 1074

| - Q 10652 | N |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc 83$ |  | E | Q J 76 |
| $\diamond$ K Q J 109 |  | E | 64 |
| \& A | S |  | K 62 |
|  | ¢ J 7 |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc \mathrm{K} 9$ |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ A 2 |  |  |
|  | * Q J |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Power | Maas | Meehan | Van Glabbeek |
|  | 19 | Pass | 29 |
| $2 \diamond$ | Pass | 3 ¢ | All Pass |

[^0]trump lead would have led to two down for 300 and another top. Without a double and with the lead of the $\$$, West went down only one for what turned out to be a quite reasonable score for N/S again.

## THINGS THAT HAPPEN

When luck is running your way, misplays like this are bound to happen:

Board 8. Dealer West. None Vul.

$$
\text { Q } 94
$$


$\diamond 1$
2K K 976

- 175

○K 8
K 876
\& A 1054


AK 1082
A 104
A 954
\& 8
. 63
Q Q 76
$\checkmark$ Q 1032
\& Q J 32
East is in 4s on a club lead. She wins, draws two rounds of trumps and ruffs a heart. The only problem is the diamond suit. If you play the ace first, you make six, if not, you make five. Needless to say, East at this table played low to the king first...

## ANOTHER BUSHEL OF MATCHPOINTS

Board 9. Dealer North. E/WVul.

- K 106
- Q 6
$\diamond$ KQ9754
\& 94
- J 3
$\bigcirc$ AK 104
AJ 3
\& A 652

- A Q 5
$\bigcirc 5$
$\checkmark 1086$
K KJIO 873
- 98742

ค198732
$\diamond 2$
9 Q
West
North
East
South

Dble
Van Glabbeek 29
3

|  | Van Glabbeek |
| :---: | :---: |
| Pass | 29 |
| 30 | 3 |

2es showed both majors, at least $4-4$, but $3 \vee$ should be taken as a six-card suit at least and strong distributional values. North now led the 8 Q , after which declarer could make only II tricks. On a more normal-looking diamond lead, made at many other tables, there are 12 tricks...


John Power, Ireland

## ANOTHER SLAM

After a series of quiet boards, on which the leaders consolidated their position, we saw this:


Two Hearts showed either a weak two in spades or a variety of strong hands. 34 confirmed a three-suiter with short clubs and 5 was simply quantitative in hearts. When East led the 10 , covered by the jack which held, problems were soon over. Two top trumps, $\mathrm{A}, \stackrel{\mathrm{A}}{ }$ and diamond ruff, club ruff, diamond ruff, last trump and the marked spade finesse. 12 tricks.

## WHEN LEADERS MEET

In the penultimate round, the leaders met the eventual winners of the silver medals.

One would expect to see some good bridge from the two leading pairs, but what happened can only be described as a comedy of errors.

Board I7. Dealer North. None Vul.
Q Q 9752
ค Q J 4
$\diamond$ K 86
2 65

- AK 843
$\bigcirc$ A 93
$\diamond$ J 74
\& 109


106
$\bigcirc 2$
$\diamond$ A 10932
KJ872

4
K 108765
Q 5

* A Q 43

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Eginton | Maas | Nelson | Van Glabbeek |
|  | Pass | Pass | $1 \varangle$ |
| 14 | INT | $2 \boldsymbol{2}$ | $2 \boxtimes$ |
| 24 | $3 \Omega$ | Pass | Pass |
| Dble | All Pass |  |  |

West did well to double and lead the A , but when he shifted to a club rather than the $\vee A$ and another, declarer should have made her contract. Apparently, she lost count of the trumps and conceded one down in the end. Would the N/S efforts be in vain after all?

Board I8. Dealer East. N/S Vul.

- 32

○K763
$\diamond 854$

* 10982

```
- QJ865
85
\(\diamond-\)
AKJ654
```



K 109

- AJIO 2

QJ 963
7

- A 74

Q Q 94
AK 1072
\& Q 3

| West | North |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Eginton | Maas | East <br> Nelson | South <br> Van Glabbeek |
| 2\& | Pass | $2 \diamond$ | Pass |
| 2\& | Pass | 2 NT | Pass |
| $3 \boldsymbol{2 4}$ | All Pass |  |  |



Hedwig van Glabbeek,The Netherlands
We assume West intended his 3s as forcing, but East was not on the same wavelength.

As a consequence, both pairs had divided the matchpoint honours equally at this table.

## THE LAST ROUND

So they went into the last round with everything to play for.
Board 19. Dealer South. E/W Vul.

- Q 2

PAJ62
$\diamond A$ Q 9632

* Q


| West | North <br> Maas | East | South <br> Van Glabbeek |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I $\diamond$ | Pass | $1 乌$ | Pass |
| INT | $2 \diamond$ | $2 \diamond$ | $3 \diamond$ |
| $3 \diamond$ | $4 \diamond$ | All Pass |  |

E/W had effectively talked themselves out of a reasonable game, so no matter how many tricks he would make, N/S were bound to get another good score. East led three rounds of spades, declarer ruffing. With diamonds possibly 3-0 ( $1 \diamond$ followed by INT showed a balanced hand in the E/W Strong Club system) the hand needs careful timing now. If North exits with the $\boldsymbol{e} \mathrm{Q}$ now, what can the defenders do? Hearts are out of the question
and a club return enables declarer to get the timing right for a cross-ruff of hearts and clubs. So West should return a trump, but this declarer can win somewhere to produce the same cross-ruff for ten tricks. As it was, he went one down for still an excellent matchpoint score.

## FINAL BOARD

On the final board, N/S were one of the many pairs to go down in a good slam.

Board 20. Dealer West. All Vul.

|  | - Q 9 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc$ A 10643 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ A Q |  |  |
|  | \% K972 |  |  |
| - 1053 | N |  | 4 42 |
| $\bigcirc 5$ |  | $\bigcirc 1972$ |  |
| $\diamond$ J 10754 |  | $\diamond$ | $\checkmark$ K 98 |
| \& Q 1063 | 4 A 854 |  |  |
|  | 4. AKJ876 |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc \mathrm{K}$ Q 8 |  |  |
|  | $\diamond 632$ |  |  |
|  | ¢ J |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
|  | Maas |  | Van Glabbeek |
| Pass | 18 | Pass | 19 |
| Pass | 2\% | Pass | $2 \diamond$ |
| Pass | 2NT | Pass | 34 |
| Pass | $4 \diamond$ | Pass | $4 \bigcirc$ |
| Pass | 44 | Pass | 4NT |
| Pass | 54 | Pass | $6 \bigcirc$ |
| Pass | 64 | All Pass |  |

A fully natural, well-controlled auction. $4 \diamond$ was a cuebid implying spade support and 51 confirmed two key cards and the queen of trumps.

On the actual layout the slam had no play once West avoided the club lead. So with a $30 \%$ score on the last board the leaders still could not be sure of the eventual outcome, but had every reason to feel confident. As it turned out, their performance had been enough to win the final session and increase their overall lead to more than a full percent over the runners-up.

Well done.


## Grimbergen Raises

You may have noticed that the cafeteria serves a number of excellent Belgian beers, one of which rejoices in the name of Grimbergen. That may or may not have anything to do with the strange happenings at two tables on this deal from the final session of the Consolation Pairs.

Board 3. Dealer South. E/WVul.

$$
\perp \mathrm{AJ}
$$

ค 10953
$\checkmark$ KJIO 54
\& 93


By a remarkable coincidence two Austrian pairs fell into the same trap on this deal, forgetting that they were playing Bergen Raises, and therefore imagining that the jump shift showed a weak hand with diamonds. With four hearts going down almost all around the room making nine tricks in diamonds proved to be a remarkably good result.

We say almost because at one table the declarer, Denmark's Dorthe Schaltz, took advantage of a defensive error, coupled with a brilliant piece of table presence.

Dorthe was in Four Hearts and won the spade lead in dummy to play a heart to the king. West ducked, but just a fraction of a second too slowly. Backing here instincts, declarer played a diamond to the ten, a diamond to the ace and a diamond. Now the losing spade could go on the fourth diamond.

Brilliant!

## Collective Nouns

As frequently happens when two or three are gathered together over breakfast, each nursing the self-inflicted wounds of the previous evening, the talk turns as to what is the correct collective noun for tournament directors. The current debate rages between a 'Convention' and a 'Disclosure'. Seeking further enlightenment from the Internet your correspondent 'Googled' for collective nouns and whilst he remained uninformed on the subject of Tournament Directors he discovered some useful and interesting examples, including, a 'Bavin of Brushwood', a 'Shuffle of Bureaucrats', a 'Board of Directors' and a 'Huddle of Lawyers'. If you have further ideas for the correct collective noun or other obtuse examples please come to the Bulletin Room and share them with us.

## The Lighter Side

No-one has ever pretended that bridge is an easy game, but it sometimes happens that the players contrive to make it look impossible. Take a look at this deal from Round 2.

Board 4. Dealer West. All Vul.
4 Q J 6

- K 83
$\diamond 7$
\& QJIO 943


This was not exactly the optimum contract, but declarer did make all the tricks, as North, who could hardly credit East with ace of hearts as well as eight solid diamonds, discarded the king of hearts.

Facing an opening bid - although the West hand scarcely qualifies for that description - you might expect East to be in Six Diamonds rather than three. On the surface a club lead appears to leave declarer with no hope, but there is a solution. Recalling the edict of the late Harrison Gray, East should win at once and play out the long suit. At the end this sort of position will be reached:


When the two of diamonds is played South is the first to feel the pressure. A heart will allow declarer to pin his jack.A club will expose North to an endplay, so it must be a spade. A club goes from dummy and North can throw the queen of spades. Now a spade to the ace will finish North off.

Just for once we calculated this without the aid of Deep Finesse.

## TROUBLE WITH SLAMS

Catherine d'Ovidio and Paul Chemla finished in an honorable fifth place in the Pairs event. They might have been on the podium for the third time - after winning the first edition in Bordeaux in 1990 and finishing second in 1998 - had it not been for two unsuccessful slam hands on the last day

## RIGHT IDEA, WRONG CONTRACT

Board 2. Dealer East. N/S Vul.
-KJ1098
8 AQ3
$\diamond A 9$

- AK 3

Q Q 62
ค 10754
$\diamond 1874$
104


- A 5
$\checkmark 62$
$\diamond$ Q 10652
\& 9862
- 743
- K J 98
$\diamond$ K 3
Q Q 75
One can see that the slam in spades or no-trumps is a $50 \%$ proposition and wins. Very few pairs reached it. Catherine and Paul seemed on the right track - for a while.

North opened Two Clubs - strong any distribution, and Two Diamonds by South was a relay.

Two Spades by North showed five cards and 20 HCP. South bid Two No-Trumps, ambiguous but forcing. North bid Three NoTrumps. Now South came in with an advanced cue-bid, in her sense, of Four Diamonds. Chemla had an another view and passed.

This was not a great success, minus 300 instead of plus 1430 .
North thought that South could hold something like:

-     - $\vee \mathrm{xxx} \diamond$ Qxxxxx xxxx

You will be the judge.

## GOOD TECHNIQUE DOES NOT EARN DIVIDENDS

Our star pair had another disappointing result on next board, hide the East West hands before deciding how you play Six Clubs from South on the king of spades lead:

Board 26. Dealer East.All Vul.

- 62
$\bigcirc 43$
$\diamond 652$
* AJ 9532


Not a good slam, at first glance it is a $25 \%$ proposition, depending on finding either queen and jack of heart on side or ace and queen of diamonds well placed.
A pure guess?
Catherine d'Ovidio knew better, unfortunately for her. She took one round of trumps and played ace and king of hearts, with the first chance to find somebody with queen, jack of heart bare or third before taking the right view in diamonds. In fact, the best would have been to play, FIRST, a diamond to the jack and when West takes the ace, declarer can revert to the double finesse in hearts. Of course, a competent defender would have ducked the jack of diamonds smoothly.Anyhow, the contract went down one and the French pair had to say farewell to any medal.

## A Question of Deception

The first round of the Teams Championship featured a number of interesting deals, not least this one:

Board 7. Dealer South. All Vul.

| Q Q J 10 |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\diamond$ Q 976 | Pass |
| $\diamond$ A 62 | 3NT |

A 62

* 1065

| ¢ 86 | N | ¢ AK 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc$ AJ 8 |  | $\bigcirc 52$ |
| $\diamond$ Q 10954 | W E | $\diamond$ K J 83 |
| \& Q 72 | S | \&KJ 83 |
|  | ¢ 97542 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc \mathrm{K} 1043$ |  |
|  | $\diamond 7$ |  |
|  | 2 A 94 |  |

As you might imagine, all roads lead to 3 NT , the simplest route being the one adopted at our featured table:

| West | North <br> Mouiel | Echaltz | East |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | | Willard |
| :---: | | South |
| :---: |
| Schaltz |
| Pass |

South led the five of spades and North played the ten. That is not the best card in this situation, as you do not want declarer to realize that the spade suit is blocked. The jack is certainly preferable, and as North is likely to be getting in with the ace of diamonds the queen may also persuade declarer to do the wrong thing by ducking rather than winning.

In any event, declarer took the first spade and forced out the ace of diamonds. When North continued with the queen of spades declarer was able to win and knock out the ace of clubs. Notice that North could have saved the day by switching to a heart at trick three, admittedly a difficult play to find.

# EUROPEAN TEAMS CHAMPIONSHIPS '"THE GREAT WINNERS'" 

## WOMEN'S SERIES



## A Brilliancy - and A Brilliancy Missed

Many declarers were heartbroken on this deal from the final session of the Pairs Championships, but at least one took his chance - whilst another missed his.

Board 20. Dealer West. All Vul.

|  | - Q 9 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc$ A 10643 |  |  |
|  | $\diamond \mathrm{AQ}$ |  |  |
|  | \& K 972 |  |  |
| -1053 | N |  | - 42 |
| $\bigcirc 5$ |  | E | - J 972 |
| $\diamond$ J10754 |  |  | $\diamond$ K 98 |
| * Q 1063 | 2 |  | \& A 854 |
|  | 4 AKJ876 |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ K Q 8 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark 632$ |  |  |
|  | \% J |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
|  | Gotard |  | Gotard |
| Pass | 18 | Pass | 2s |
| Pass | 2NT | Pass | 3 |
| Pass | 34 | Pass | 40 |
| Pass | $4 \diamond$ | Pass | 4NT |
| Pass | 5 | Pass | 6 |
| Pass | 6NT | All Pass |  |

The German pair had a controlled auction to the excellent 6NT played by North. With a difficult lead, East decided to try the four of clubs, and suddenly declarer had a chance to overcome the bad heart break.

Thomas Gotard won the first trick with the king of clubs and cashed the king and queen of hearts getting the bad news.

He now turned his attention to the spade suit and with everyone down to five cards this was the position:


When declarer played the last spade, discarding the six of hearts from hand, East had no good discard. Either red suit would allow declarer to score three tricks, and the ace of clubs would allow declarer the luxury of an end play in either red suit.

Surprisingly + 1440 proved to be a top for North-South!
At another table one North/South pair did remarkably well to reach Six Spades from the short side - but Nevena Senior found the only lead to give the defence a chance by selecting a trump.

In practice declarer did not find a winning line, but one is available! It relies on the not unreasonable premise that the passive lead suggests that East was faced by an awkward choice at trick one. Suppose you take the simple view of drawing trumps and going after the hearts. When the bad break is revealed you set up a long heart and play some spade winners. Retaining one spade you go to dummy with the ace of diamonds and cash the long heart to get rid of your losing club. There are various variations depending on what East/West discard, but this is one possible ending:


Declarer now plays the king of clubs, ruffs East's ace and exits with a diamond, forcing East to give the last trick to the nine of clubs.

If East keeps an extra diamond, at the point you play the fourth heart from dummy you simply discard your losing club from hand and East is endplayed, having to lead into the diamond tenace or away from the ace of clubs.

## The Millennium Bug

In the final session of the Mixed Pairs a doubled vulnerable contract went off seven for a loss of 2000 . However the scoring computer would not recognise this as a valid score. So at last we have found an example of the Millennium Bug.

## BIARRITZ 2002

From July 2nd till July 14th

| IMP | $2-4$ JULY |
| :--- | :--- |
| OPEN PAIRS | $5-7$ JULY |
| TEAMS: | $8-9$ JULY |
| INDIVIDUAL | 11 JULY |
| MIXED PAIRS | I2-14 JULY |
| DETAILS:Tel.: 331556520046 |  |

## A Fortunate Lie and a Stepping Stone

Board 6. Dealer East. E/W Vul.

```
& }9
\ K
\Delta 65432
& A 54 3
```



North led a diamond and West won the first trick with the king. A low heart brought good news in the shape of North's king
and the delightful position in clubs meant that it was easy to arrive at nine tricks.

At the other table, declarer went one down in Four Hearts, probably by going wrong in the trump suit, to give the Icelandic team a useful swing.

There is a delightful variation on this deal if declarer plays the queen of hearts at trick two. Winning North's king with the ace, declarer plays on clubs. Eventually he will arrive in this position


By exiting with the queen of diamonds declarer ensures a trick for the nine of hearts.


## Mixed Teams:The First Day

From Tuesday onwards, the Mixed Teams Championship is scheduled. Below we will take a look at some of the interesting things that happened at table I on the first day.

In the second round, RUSSO of Italy would play VOLINA of Russia.

After a flat board I on which both E/W pairs were overboard in 44, the real action started on the next board.


Simonetta Paoluzi, Italy
Board 2. Dealer East. N/S Vul.
--
คAK8752
$\checkmark$ AKJ 4

- 1097
$\& K 93$
$\vee Q$
$\diamond 953$
$\& K J 6432$

- AJ 10
- J 103
$\diamond$ Q 10876
Q Q 5
- Q 876542

964
$\checkmark 2$
A 8
Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gromov | Guerra | Gromova | Paoluzi |

All Pass
N/S play transfer preempts. Gromov made the excellent lead of the $\ulcorner\mathbf{Q}$, but there was no way to prevent declarer from mak-
ing ten tricks. RUSSO +170 .
At the other table, they had more orthodox ideas.

## Closed Room

| West <br> Golin | North <br> Kholomeev | East <br> Moritsch <br> Pass | South <br> Volina |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pass | $2 \triangleleft$ | Pass | $2 \triangleleft$ |
| Pass | $4 \boxtimes$ | All Pass |  |

With his spade void, Kholomeev could be pretty sure that Volina held spades, so it was safe to bid the way he did. $4 \checkmark$ looks a bit of a gamble, but he found a very useful dummy and made an overtrick after a diamond lead.VOLINA +650 and 10 IMPs.

A phantom save on the next board brought the score back to almost level.

Board 3. Dealer South. E/WVul.

- A 73
- K 7
$\checkmark$ Q 6
\& 987532

| - 982 | N | ¢ K Q J 106 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc 1842$ |  | $\bigcirc 109$ |
| $\diamond 10982$ | W E | $\diamond$ AK 53 |
| \& AK | S | ¢ J 6 |
|  | - 54 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ A Q 653 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ J 74 |  |
|  | 2 Q 104 |  |

Open Room

| East | South <br> Gromova <br> Paoluzi <br> Pass |
| :---: | :---: |
| IS | Pass |

Nine easy tricks, VOLINA +140 .
Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Golin | Kholomeev | Moritsch <br> Volina |  |
| Pass | $3 \boldsymbol{Q}$ | $3 \Phi$ | Pass |
| $4 \varrho$ | Pass | Pass | $5 \&$ |
| Dble | All Pass |  |  |

Preempts in third position no longer guarantee a reasonable suit, but this time Volina found her partner with too much unwanted defensive strength. RUSSO +500 for down three and 8 IMPs back.

The most beautiful board of the set came next.


Vadim Kholomeev, Rusia
Board 4. Dealer West. All Vul.

- QJ 6
- K 83
$\diamond 7$
2 Q J 10943


| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gromov | Guerra | Gromova | Paoluzi |
| 18 | 2\% | Dble | Pass |
| 24 | Pass | 3 | Pass |
| 38 | Pass | 5 | All Pass |

On the lead of the SK there were II easy tricks. Without that lead, declarer can establish his hearts for a club discard for an easy enough 12 tricks.

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Golin | Kholomeev | Moritsch | Volina |
| 18 | 2\% | $2 \checkmark$ | Pass |
| 2 | Pass | $4 \checkmark$ | Pass |
| $4 \checkmark$ | Pass | 44 | Pass |
| 4NT | Pass | 6 | All Pass |

After West had shown an even number of aces Cristina Golin bid the slam with some confidence. Right she was, for even with the K lead the contract can be made. Win the A and run seven trumps. Both defenders will have to hold on to two hearts and a club, so they both will have to come down to two spades. Next cash the A and ruff a spade before exiting with a club. South may win her 8 if she kept it, or North may win a higher club, but either defender will have to open up the heart suit now.

Declarer missed this play at the table, so a possible gain of 12 IMPs turned into a 12 -IMP loss for Russo.

There was little in the next five boards, but the last board caused a swing again.

Board IO. Dealer East. All Vul.

- K Q 109
-A 986
$\diamond$ J 3
- A 52
- 832
$\bigcirc$ K 1075
$\diamond 75$
2 Q 1093

- A 7

ค Q 432
$\diamond$ Q 109842
-
. 1654
8 -
$\diamond$ AK 6

* KJ8764

Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gromov | Guerra | Gromova | Paoluzi |
|  |  | $2 \nabla$ | $3 \&$ |
| $3 \varnothing$ | Dble | Pass | $3 \Phi$ |
| Pass | $4 \infty$ | All Pass |  |

A Polish-style $2 \triangleleft$ did not deter the Italians from finding their spade fit at the right level. RUSSO +650 .

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Golin | Kholomeev | Moritsch | Volina |
|  |  | Pass | 230 |
| Pass | $2 \diamond$ | Dble | $2{ }^{2}$ |
| Pass | 3 | Pass | 3NT |
| Pass | 49 | Pass | 5 |
| Pass | 64 | Dble | All Pass |

A nice auction in which the inspired $5 \bigcirc$ cuebid saw the Russians launch themselves into a thin slam. Basically, it's on the clubs and the spades behaving. When Golin doubled, Moritsch had little trouble in finding the club lead into the tenace for his partner to ruff.

The loss of the ace of trumps could not be avoided. RUSSO +I3 IMPs to square the match at 25-26.

In Round 3, table I was to be occupied by BLOUQUIT v. FIGB I.So we would see a second team from Italy playing against a French squad.

On the first board, it was slam time again.

Board II. Dealer North. None Vul.

- A 763
$\bigcirc 8$
$\checkmark$ K 872
- AQ 105
- 109
$\diamond Q 102$
$\diamond$ Q J 1096
~ 987


In the Open Room, there were no problems as this was the auction:

| Open Room |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West | North | East | South |
| Masucci | Bompis | Capriata | Blouquit |
|  |  |  | 14 |
| Pass | 20 | 28 | 320 |
| Pass | 34 | Pass | $4 \checkmark$ |
| Pass | $4 \checkmark$ | Pass | 49 |
| Pass | 59 | Pass | 64 |
| Completely natural and straightforward. BLOUQUIT + In the Closed Room, too much care was taken. |  |  |  |
| Closed Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Masse | Zenari | Lejuste | Fransos |
|  |  |  | 14 |
| Pass | 20 | Pass | $2 \checkmark$ |
| Pass | 2 | Dble | 2NT |
| Pass | 34 | Pass | 4080 |
| Pass | $4 \checkmark$ | Pass | $4 \bigcirc$ |
| Pass | 44 | All Pass |  |

We leave it to you to decide who was most at fault here...
II IMPs to BLOUQUIT.
The Italians equalised on the very next board:
Board I2. Dealer West. N/S Vul.

- 1042
- K Q J 872
$\diamond 84$
- 53
© 98
$\checkmark$ A 5
$\diamond$ Q 7
\& K Q J 842

$\bigcirc 94$
$\diamond$ KJ9632
\& 10

| Open Room <br> West | North |
| :---: | :---: |
| Masucci | Bompis |
| $2 \boldsymbol{2}$ | Pass |
| Pass | $2 \vee$ |
| 3NT | All Pass |

East
Capriata
$2 \diamond$
3

South<br>Blouquit<br>Dble<br>Pass

On the lead of the $\varangle 8$ declarer had no problems, but as North is entryless even a heart lead will not do any harm. FIGB I +400.
Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Masse | Zenari | Lejuste | Fransos |
| $1 \mathbf{1 2}$ | $2 \vee$ | Dble | Pass |
| $3 \mathbf{2}$ | Pass | Pass | $3 \diamond$ |
| Pass | Pass | $4 \boldsymbol{e}$ | All Pass |

Here, the French first missed game and then fell overboard at their second attempt. FIGBI +50 and 10 IMPs back.

The most interesting board of this set again was the fourth:
Board 14. Dealer East. None Vul.

- K Q J 732

คA32
$\diamond 10$


As you can see, $\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{W}$ are cold for 6 § , but neither pair got anywhere near it.

| Open Room <br> West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Masucci | Bompis | Capriata <br> Blouquit |  |
| Pass | 19 <br> Pass | 14 | $4 \vee$ |

At least the defence was very good here: heart lead to the ace, heart ruff, spade to the ace, club ruff, low diamond and another club ruff. FIGB I +50.

| Closed Room <br> West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Masse | Zenari | Lejuste <br> Fransos |  |
| Pass | $4 \diamond$ | Pass | $3 N T$ |
| Pass | $5 \$$ | All Pass | $4 \checkmark$ |

The bidding very much looked like a misunderstanding: $4 \bigcirc$ was clearly intended as showing an outside control but North was not asking for it by bidding $4 \diamond$, he had explained.Anyway, East led a spade to West's ace, North contributing the jack, and West had to find a return. Had he played a diamond, he might have got his ruff, but when he returned a heart declarer had the rest. FIGB I another +420 and 10 IMPs.

Beautiful declarer play we saw on the penultimate board:
Board 19. Dealer South. E/W Vul.

- Q 984
$\bigcirc 107$
$\diamond$ K 1084
\& K J 3


## Un Chelem Rocambolesque

Par Colette GROSFILS

Teams - 2ème session -
Donne 8. Ouest Donneur. Personne Vul.

- R 106
$\checkmark$ A 103
$\diamond 1032$
\& 986
Mari
© V987543
R 6
$\diamond A \vee 4$
* $A$


Sur l'ouverture d'las, Est répond 2SA (fit et forcing manche).
Après la redemande à $3 \diamond$, la paire s'envole, à tort ou à raison, vers un 6t un peu "poussé".

Malgré l'enchère de $3 \diamond$, Christian Mari reçoit l'entame dans cette couleur pour le Dame en Sud et l'As.

Le déclarant présente alors le Valet de que Nord laisse passer et qui gagne la levée.

Toujours en main, le déclarant présente le 6 de 8 sous le Roi et Nord plonge de l'As.

Nord, vraiment mal inspiré revient et le tour est joué.
Quand le talent et la chance s'allient, tout est permi!

## Vexillollogical Penalties

Concerned about the drop in number of appeals at major championships, the EBL Appeals Committee are in constant search of new breaches of proprieties in order to exert their powers. Not content with penalties for smoking and drinking, Chief Vexillolloger (that's flag expert) Herman De Wael suggests the award of penalty points for the use of wrong symbols and colours. First recipients of the penalty were a Swedish pair whose Convention Card was in Yellow and Green in stead of Yellow and Blue.

## Congratulations

Frequently partnerships in the Mixed Pairs event are a husband and wife combination. At this event there is one English pair who have not yet attained the state of marital bliss, but they propose to tie the knot next week after returning from these championships. They are having the ceremony on the Isle of Egg in the Hebrides. We wish Sharleen Robson and Graham Weir every happiness in their future together.

The E/W hands were a triumph for the Strong Club played by the Italians. West opens $1 *$ and East responds $I \mathbb{1}$, denying three controls. Slam is out of the question now. FIGB I kept cool and scored 620.

In the Closed Room, West could not resist temptation when East responded I $\vee$ over his $\mathrm{I} \diamond$ opening bid. South overcalled Is and West rebid 4NT, entering the Down Zone because the 9 K failed to cooperate. So another likely draw at table I had turned into a 19-II win for FIGB I.

## MIXED TEAMS Results after 4 matches

| 1 | Gotard | 82.0 | 47 | Sin Deut | 62.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Volina | 79.0 | 48 | Renoux | 62.0 |
| 3 | Maybach | 78.0 | 49 | Hocheker | 61.0 |
| 4 | Grenthe | 76.0 | 50 | Budzik | 60.0 |
| 5 | Huijben | 74.0 | 51 | Ulla Koch | 60.0 |
| 6 | Kitabgi | 74.0 | 52 | Van Woerden | 60.0 |
| 7 | FIGB I | 73.0 | 53 | Van der Neut | 60.0 |
| 8 | Blouquit | 73.0 | 54 | Smykalla | 59.0 |
| 9 | Henri | 73.0 | 55 | Meyer | 59.0 |
| 10 | Lavazza | 72.0 | 56 | Vives | 59.0 |
| 11 | Auken | 72.0 | 57 | Porteous | 58.0 |
| 12 | Vriend | 72.0 | 58 | Mavromichalis | 58.0 |
| 13 | Sanglier | 71.0 | 59 | Miszewska | 58.0 |
| 14 | Alla | 70.0 | 60 | Juhas | 57.0 |
| 15 | Farholt | 70.0 | 61 | Meehan | 56.0 |
| 16 | Kaplan | 70.0 | 62 | Senior | 55.0 |
| 17 | Popova | 69.0 | 63 | Brekiesz | 55.0 |
| 18 | Blondal | 69.0 | 64 | Backstrom | 55.0 |
| 19 | Garthwaite | 68.0 | 65 | Xtrouble | 55.0 |
| 20 | Fornaciari | 68.0 | 66 | Damiani | 54.0 |
| 21 | Dehaye | 67.0 | 67 | Eginton | 54.0 |
| 22 | Mali | 67.0 | 68 | Tisserand | 54.0 |
| 23 | Burgay | 66.0 | 69 | McGloughlin | 53.0 |
| 24 | Beccuti | 66.0 | 70 | Harris | 51.0 |
| 25 | Kondoch | 66.0 | 71 | Resta | 51.0 |
| 26 | De Botton | 65.0 | 72 | Uisk | 51.0 |
| 27 | Kostur | 65.0 | 73 | Budd | 49.0 |
| 28 | Russo | 65.0 | 74 | FIGB 2 | 49.0 |
| 29 | Juuri-Oja | 65.0 | 75 | Langston | 49.0 |
| 30 | Riberol | 65.0 | 76 | Labaere | 49.0 |
| 31 | Sterkin | 65.0 | 77 | Leitner | 49.0 |
| 32 | Willard | 65.0 | 78 | Samy | 48.0 |
| 33 | Zimmermann | 65.0 | 79 | Al-Shati | 48.0 |
| 34 | Stoppa | 65.0 | 80 | Vechiatto | 46.0 |
| 35 | Tobias | 65.0 | 81 | Bamberger | 46.0 |
| 36 | McGowan | 65.0 | 82 | Thordarson | 45.0 |
| 37 | Chodorowska | 64.0 | 83 | Jonsson | 44.0 |
| 38 | Bruchhauser | 64.0 | 84 | Sigurjonsd | 44.0 |
| 39 | Sagiv | 63.0 | 85 | Ivarsdottir | 44.0 |
| 40 | Falay | 63.0 | 86 | De Graeve | 44.0 |
| 41 | Marsal | 63.0 | 87 | Hardeman | 44.0 |
| 42 | Churlin | 63.0 | 88 | Barr | 43.0 |
| 43 | Toffier | 63.0 | 89 | Bonori | 41.0 |
| 44 | Allix | 62.0 | 90 | Mayda | 41.0 |
| 45 | Weir | 62.0 | 91 | Ditetova | 37.0 |
| 46 | Johnson | 62.0 | 92 | Alberti | 33.0 |

## A Matter of Style



Hanna Shezifi, Israel
srael's Hanna Shezifi is a former 800 metres champion, who showed on this deal that she is also pretty nifty at the bridge table:

Board IO. Dealer East. All Vulnerable.

- 1986
$\bigcirc 4$
$\diamond 984$
\& K Q J 92


```
- A 2
© J 10852
KJ3 2
83
```

5
5
\& A96
\& A96
A Q 7 }6
A Q 7 }6
A A }10
A A }10

- KQ 1074
- KQ 73
$\checkmark 10$
- 764

| West <br> Rand | North | East <br> Shezifi <br> Pass | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Db | 4 | $5 》$ | Db |

## All Pass

Only a club lead will defeat Five Hearts, but South had no reason to do anything other than lead a top spade. Declarer could win with the ace and run the eight of hearts. There was no way South could take more than one heart and one spade.

Should North have mentioned the clubs? There will always be time to raise spades later, and if the opponents go on to Five Hearts partner will have a better idea of what to do next.


[^0]:    20 showed either clubs or a balanced hand, invitational or better. Holding minimum values, North felt he could not make any further move over $3 \diamond$. Right he was, but a double and a

