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## Team Greathe Sets a Furious Pace



However the match did not finish amicably as they could not agree the score!

The French team that started yesterday in fourth place swept to the top of the table with I80VP.They are pursued by Popova's Bulgarian/Turkish/Israeli tripartite squad on 171 VP. Third place is held by Italy's Fornaciari on 167 VP . Fourth place is occupied by yet another transnational outfit, the Norwegian/Polish combination, Mali with 164 VP.

These four teams are in the box seats, but the chasing pack includes several of the fancied teams, including those captained by Stoppa, Willard, Lavazza and the holders, Auken.

## PLAYING SCHEDULE

## Today, Thursday, March 21 MIXED TEAMS

10.00 4th session (3 matches, 10 boards)
16.30 5th session (2 matches, 10 boards) Semifinal (32 boards)
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## The Morning Session



Dorthe Schaltz, Denmark

The most interesting match drawn for Round 5 seemed to be the encounter at table 6, where the defending champions from Denmark were to face a strong team from the Netherlands. As two (grand) slams came along in these 10 boards, it proved to be an excellent match to watch.

First blood went to the Dutch when the weak NT put the Danish off the right defensive track.

Board II. Dealer North. None Vul.

|  | - KJIO |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc 10854$ |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark 87$ |  |  |
|  | \% J 1084 |  |  |
| - AQ64 | N |  | - 32 |
| $\bigcirc 96$ |  | $\bigcirc \mathrm{KJ} 3$ |  |
| $\checkmark 54$ |  | $\diamond$ A Q JIO932 |  |
| \& A Q 732 | S | -6 |  |
|  | - 9875 |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ A Q 72 |  |  |
|  | $\diamond$ K 6 |  |  |
|  | \& K 95 |  |  |
| Open Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Van Prooijen | Schaltz | Hoogweg | Schaltz |
|  |  |  | INT |
| Pass | Pass | 3 | Pass |
| 3NT | All Pass |  |  |

As you can see, a heart lead followed by a spade switch will easily beat 3 NT , but with nothing to guide him, who can blame Peter Schaltz for selecting the as his opening lead? When the \&Q scored the first trick, the rest was easy when South, having opened INT, had to win her $\triangleleft K$ at the first attempt.

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Auken | Maas | Auken | Vriend |
|  |  |  | 18 |
| 23 | 2 | 3 | All Pass |

After a natural $1 \varangle$ opening bid, the Aukens did not come anywhere near to bidding 3NT and settled for a more normal IIO. VRIEND +7 IMPs.

With very little in the next few boards, we had to wait till near the end for something substantial.

| Board 17. Dealer North. None Vul. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - 10754 |  |  |
| $\bigcirc \mathrm{K} 74$ |  |  |
| $\diamond 96$ |  |  |
| \& J1042 |  |  |
| - J 8 | N | - AK 9 |
| ¢Q986 |  | $\bigcirc$ A J |
| $\diamond$ AKQ 2 |  | $\diamond$ J10843 |
| \& A Q 7 | S | \& K 95 |
| ¢ Q 632 |  |  |
| $\bigcirc 10532$ |  |  |
| $\diamond 75$ |  |  |
| \& 863 |  |  |

Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Van Prooijen | Schaltz <br> Hoogweg <br> Pass | Schaltz <br> INT | Pass |
| 6NT | All Pass |  |  |

A sensible, swift auction to the best contract, one would say. VRIEND +990 .

Closed Room

| West | North | East <br> Auken <br>  <br>  <br> Maas | Auken <br> South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Priend |  |  |  |

2 showed (among others) a strong balanced hand and 5NT confirmed the aces and asked for extra values. Both the fifth diamond and the 8 J proved sufficient extras, even more so when a
low heart to the jack at trick three saw the contract home. AUKEN +1440 and 10 IMPs to take the lead 16-7. This also was the final score of the match.

The next two boards were flat, but by no means without interest.

|  | Board 18. Dealer East. N/S Vul. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ¢ Q864 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 10653$ |  |
|  | $\diamond$ A 107 |  |
|  | \& A 7 |  |
| ¢ 92 | N | ¢ A J |
| $\checkmark$ AKJ 8 |  | $\bigcirc$ Q 97 |
| $\diamond$ Q 95 |  | $\diamond$ KJ62 |
| \& KJ 104 | S | \& Q 532 |
|  | 4. K 10753 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 42$ |  |
|  | $\checkmark 843$ |  |
|  | \& 986 |  |

One would expect most pairs to end up in 3NT on the E/W cards and go two down. This is what happened in our match, but anyone for $4 \checkmark$ in the Moysian fit?

The next board was another grand slam, and this time a good one, very well bid at both tables.


| Open Room |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West | North | East | South |
| Van Prooijen | Schaltz | Hoogweg | Schaltz |
|  |  |  | 1s |
| Pass | 2 | Pass | 2NT |
| Pass | 30 | Pass | 3NT |
| Pass | $4 \diamond$ | Pass | $4 \bigcirc$ |
| Pass | 5\% | Pass | $5 \checkmark$ |
| Pass | 5 | Pass | 6 |
| Pass | $7 \diamond$ | All Pass |  |

This auction needs much explanation. 2NT showed a balanced $15-18$. $3 \%$ enquired and $3 N T$ was the minimum range, I516. $4 \diamond$ set trumps and $4 \boxtimes$ was a cuebid. $5 \%$ then was a Danish asking in clubs, $5 \triangleleft$ denying any club control (very useful information in view of North's void). $5 \square$ then was another asking bid and
$6 \diamond$ showed second-round control as well as three aces. That's all North needed to know. Well bid!
Closed Room

| West | North <br> Maken | East <br> Auken | South <br> Vriend <br> $1 s$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pass | $2 \diamond$ | Pass | $3 \&$ |
| Pass | $3 \triangleq$ | Pass | $4 \diamond$ |
| Pass | $4 N T$ | Pass | $5 \diamond$ |
| Pass | $5 N T$ | Pass | $6 \diamond$ |
| Pass | $7 \diamond$ | All Pass |  |

A much more natural auction in which the $4 \diamond$-cue denied club control. So Maas could check the aces and ask for kings with $5 N T$, the one king shown by $6 \diamond$ being the much needed king of hearts. Now Anton could count at least I3 tricks with diamonds as trumps. Well bid too.

Needless to say that a few pairs managed to reach the grand slam, but in the wrong denomination. With the spades not behaving, 74 has no play. Unlucky?

For the second round of the morning, we chose a match at table 4. Here, yet another Italian team would play a transnational team from Bulgaria and Israel. It might have been a spectacular match, but the boards this time did not cooperate very well. Still, there were some interesting problems for the players.

Board 22. Dealer East. E/WVul.

```
- Q 652
```

- K 5
$\diamond 5$
- AJ9742


Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mariani | Gumev | Buratti <br> Pass | Popova <br> 18 |
| $2 \diamond$ | Dble | $\mathbf{3} \triangleleft$ | Dble |
| Pass | $5 \&$ | All Pass |  |

The play presented no problems. POPOVA +400 .

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Zobu | De Falco | Haramati <br> Olivieri |  |
|  |  | Pass | 18 |
| Pass (!) | 14 | Pass | INT |
| Pass | 29 | Pass | $2 N T$ |
| Pass | 48 | All Pass |  |



Dano De Falco, Italy
When Zobu did not judge his hand worth a vulnerable twolevel overcall, the Italians soon were in trouble. For systemic reasons, they could not show the clubs as a natural suit, so De Falco could think of nothing better than having a shot at 4 . This is not a bad contract, but with the hearts not behaving, declarer got fatally shortened on continued diamond leads. POPOVA another +50 and 10 IMPs.

The difference in approach between the sides might have created another swing.


## Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mariani | Gumev | Buratti | Popova |
|  | Pass | Pass | $4 \uparrow$ |

All Pass

## A Short Problem on Bidding

In Round 8 one hand resulted in swings all over the place:


The simple problem for North/South is to reach Six Spades.

We know at least one International who considered the South hand to be worth an opening bid of Four Spades after three passes.

One less fortunate pair heard their opponents bid:

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pass | Pass | Pass | $2 \Delta^{*}$ |
| Pass | $2 \nabla^{*}$ | Pass | $3 Q^{*}$ |
| Pass | $4 N T^{*}$ | Pass | $5 \Phi^{*}$ |
| Pass | $6 Q^{*}$ | All Pass |  |

There is no reason why this should not have been off two cashing aces, but South's heart void was an undeserved bonus for North.

This was a better effort:

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Gunev |  | Popova |
| Pass | I $\diamond^{*}$ | Pass | 19, |
| Pass | INT | Pass | 2)* |
| Pass | 24. | Pass | 5 ${ }^{*}$ |
| Pass | 5NT | Pass | 64 |

Two Hearts was a transfer to spades, setting the suit, and Five Hearts was (we think) Exclusion Blackwood.An excellent practical shot that led to the top spot.

## DID YOU ENJOY THE NEW 'SIC' CARDS?

All players enjoyed playing with new cards that the EBL, as well as the WBF, have ruled must be used in official championships. Previously, only the backs of the cards were symmetrical, now the faces are also. Just look how the three of hearts or the ace of clubs have been changed!

But that is not the only improvement; for the comfort of players clubs are grey whilst spades remain black, diamonds are orange and hearts continue to be red. So,


For those of you who are watching in Black and White the Diamond is in the middle.

## PARTICIPANTS OF THE MIXED TEAMS

| Alberti (GER) | Alberti A. | Langer D. | Gwinner H.H. | Bausback N. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alla (ICE) | Haraldsdottir Maria | Nielsen Ragnheidlir | Sigurdsson Valure | Sigurdsson Isak Orn |  |  |
| Allix (FRA) | Raczynska | Rees | Leger | Oursel | Mauberquez | Allix |
| Al-Shati (BUL) | Al-Shati Anni | Mircheva Ralitza | Hristov Trayan | Georgiev Stefan | Georgieva Draganka | Al-Shati Valentin |
| Auken (DEN+GER) | Schaltz Dorthe | Schatz Peter | Auken Sabine | Auken Jens |  |  |
| Backstrom (FIN) | Backstrom Sue | Backstrom Jari | Koistinen Raija | Koistinen Kauko |  |  |
| Bamberger (AUS) | Redermeier A. | Telderer C. | Wohlfatt H . | Bamberger Q. |  |  |
| Barr (ISR) | Herbst llan | Baar Ronnie | Barel Michael | Tal Noga |  |  |
| Beccuti (ITA) | Mortarotti T. | Beccuti E. | Corchia R. | Matricardi G. |  |  |
| Blondal (GER) | Schneider | Smykalla | Kasimir | Malchios |  |  |
| Blouquit (FRA) | Blouquit | Das | Lejuste | Kremer | Bompis | Masse |
| Bonori (ITA) | Bonori Marisa | Gavelli Gabriele | Mazzadi Adriana | Mazzadi Francensco | Baneszkiwicz Eva | Kowalski Apollinaire |
| Brekiesz (POL) | Brekiesz Monika | Kopren Dariusz | Kater Eva | Surek Piotr |  |  |
| Bruchhauser (GER) | Bruchhauser Karin | Mavtidis Georg | Tetal Casima | Amann Klaus |  |  |
| Budd (ENG) | Lipton Alan | Simon Sue | Budd Maria | Harris Malcolm |  |  |
| Budzik (POL) | Budzik Roman | Budzik Yolanta | Kusion Andrej | Lenicka Barbara | Witkowski Piotr | Skopinska Eeva |
| Burgay (ITA) | Arrigoni Gianna | Burgay Leandro | Olivieri Gabriella | De Falco Dano | Buratti Monica | Mariani Carlo |
| Chodorowska (POL) | Chodorowska Irena | Chodorowska Jan | Krogulska Jolanta | Walczak Piotr | Brewiak Grazyna | Piasecki Jaroslaw |
| Churlin (RUS) | Khazanov Igor | Gromenkova Svetlana | Mochalova Yulia | Chorlin Igor |  |  |
| Damiani (FRA) | Damiani Jose | Lise | Lesniewski | Harasimovicz | Faigenbaum | Delors |
| De Botton (ENG) | De Botton Janet | Sandqvist Nick | Dhondy Heather | Burn David |  |  |
| De Graeve (BEL) | De Graeve Mario | Ooghe Katrien | De Cabooter Kurt | De Cloedt Elsje |  |  |
| Dehaye (BEL) | Dewasme Isabelle | Liegeois Sophie | Dehaye Bernard | Vandervorst Mike |  |  |
| Ditetova (CZE) | Ditetova Eva | Fort Tomas | Grosmanova Tana | Grosman Zdenek |  |  |
| Eginton (ENG) | Eginton Steve | Nelson Catherine | Teltscher Kittty | Teltscher B. L. |  |  |
| Falay (TUR) | Falay Faik | Ozan Eren | Demirbas Naci | Tokcan Merih |  |  |
| Farholt (DEN) | Farholt Stense | Caspersen Henrik | Krefeldt Camilla | Hammelev Johan |  |  |
| FIGB I (ITA) | Capriata Emanuela | Masucci Nino | Franzos Marina | Zenari Fabio |  |  |
| FIGB 2 (ITA) | Francisci Rosanna | Maggio Mario | Salvemini Daniela | Scriattoli Francesco | Saccavini Claria | Rosati Fabio |
| Fornaciari (ITA) | Gigliotti Donatella | Fornaciari Ezio | Peirce Roberta | Caiti Stefano | Rossano Enzo | Vivaldi Antonio |
| Garthwaite (ENG) | Garthwaite R. | Hobson H. | Latham A. | Latham J. |  |  |
| Gotard (GER) | Gotard Tomasz | Gotard Barbara | Bohnsack Suzanne | Bohnsack Henning | Gramberg Wiltrud | Schilmart Norbert |
| Grenthe (FRA) | Duguet Marlene | Duguet Michel | Vanhoutte Francoise | Vanhoutte Philippe | Grenthe Dominique | Grenthe Patrick |
| Hardeman (BEL) | Hardeman Annemie | Serras Jan | Campana Yves | Campana Francine |  |  |
| Harris (WAL) | Favager Eric | Favager Annie | Harris Diana | Gagné Paul |  |  |
| Henri (BEL) | Collet Christine | Henri Jacques | Charraut Mireille | Lafourcade Jean-Pierre |  |  |
| Hocheker (POL) | Hocheker Danuta | Cichocki Miroslaw | Pasternak Malgorzata | Araszkiewicz Konrad | Jeleniewska Malgorzata | Jagniewski Rafal |
| Huijben (NET) | Huijben Ingrid | Berendregt Carel | Van Zwol Wietske | Bertens Huub |  |  |
| Ivarsdottir (ICE) | Olgeirsson Omar | Thorsteindottir Bryndis | Jonsson Thorkkur | Ivandottir Anna |  |  |
| Johnson (BEL) | Johnson David | Angelini Laetizia | Calas Damien | Marechal Nicole | Bolle Michel | Petit Frederique |
| Jonsson (ICE) | Jonsson Birkir | Mariusdottir Gudlang | Benediktsson Stefan | Stefansdottir Inga Jona |  |  |
| Juhas (YUG) | Juhas Anamarija | Talijan Biljana | Parezanin Darko | Vucic Aleksandar |  |  |
| Juuri-Oja (FIN) | Niemisto Pekka | Niemisto Ritva | Juur-oja Pirjo | Juur-oja Erkki |  |  |
| Kaplan (FRA) | Tsur Campanile Migri | Hugon Elisabeth | Abecassis Michel | Kaplan Lewis |  |  |


| Kitabgi (FRA) | Kitabgi | Nahmias | Beaumier | Beaumier |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kondoch (GER) | Kondoch Hartmut | Zarkesch Flora | Caesar Karin | Boeddeker Robert |  |  |
| Kostur (SWI) | Witek Marek | Sautaux Monika | Mierzejewska Aleksand | Kostur Jacek |  |  |
| Labaere (BEL) | Labaere Valerie | Labaere Alain | Jeunen Veronique | Jeunen Frans | Vandenbossche Caroline | Van Middelem Guy |
| Langston (SCO) | Langston P. | Langston M. | Williams E. | Williams K. |  |  |
| Lavazza (ITA) | Lavazza M.Teresa | Duboin Giorgio | Versace Alfredo | Cuzzi Monica | Erhart Maria | Ferraro Guido |
| Leitner (AUS) | Lorenz Birgitt | Unger Shelley | Leiter Martin | Leitner Peter |  |  |
| Mali (NOR+POL) | Malinowski Artur | Sarniak Anna | Thoresen Siv | Winciorek Tomasz |  |  |
| Marsal (GER) | Marsal F. | Miroszaw W. | Wenning U. | Wenning K. |  |  |
| Mavromichalis (ENG) | Hackett Paul | Hackett Jason | Hackett Justin | Smith Nicola | Mavromicchalis Brigitte | Cronier Benedicte |
| Maybach (GER) | Gladiator Anne | Schreckenberger Ulrike | Maybach Robert | Oelker Clemens |  |  |
| Mayda (TUR) | Ariman Mayda | Kandolu Ozlem | Ozdil Mahmut | Uzumcu M. Fahir |  |  |
| McGloughlin (IRE) | Lillis Heidi | McGloughlin Michael | Pippet Clare | O'Lubaigh Sean |  |  |
| McGowan (FRA+SCO) | McGowan Liz | Baxter Ken | Chomer Daniel | Dechemaud Francois |  |  |
| Meehan (IRE+ENG) | Meehan Patsy | Power John | Leighton Morris | Dennison Maureen |  |  |
| Meyer (FRA) | Meyer Michele | Meyer Jean Paul | Beinéx JC | Dutilloy Nicole | Dutilloy Bruno |  |
| Miszewska (POL) | Miszewska Ewa | Zalewska Joanna | Romanski Jacek | Golebiowski Stanislaw |  |  |
| Popova (ISR+BUL+TUR) | )Zobu Ahu | Haramati Ofer | Popova Dessy | Gunev Rossen |  |  |
| Porteous (IRE) | Keaveney Gay | Maguire Pauline | Maconville Miriam | Porteous Paul |  |  |
| Renoux (FRA) | Renoux M. F. | Mari C. | Leenhardt M. | Leenhardt F. |  |  |
| Resta (ITA) | Severgnini Maddalena | Resta Guido | Gentili Luigina | Maci Giovanni | Pizza Ettore | De Crescenzo Marilena |
| Riberol (FRA) | Riberol | Ricard | D'Elbee | Lasserre | Lalanne | Pacault |
| Russo (ITA) | Paoluzi Simonetta | Guerra Enrico | Golin Cristina | Moritsch Massimo |  |  |
| Sagiv (ISR) | Sagiv Stella | Poplilov Lilo | Sagiv Yuda | Polilov Matilda |  |  |
| Samy (FRA) | Samy Alain | Grand Michele | Samy Brigitte | Azoulay lves |  |  |
| Sanglier (FRA) | Sanglier Jacques | Sussel Patrick | Gaviard Danielle | Brochot Claude | Lahayne Anne |  |
| Senior (ENG+BUL) | Penfold Sandra | Senior Brian | Senior Nevena | Stamatov Jerry |  |  |
| Sigurjonsd (ICE) | Sigurionsd Erla | Thordars Sigfus | Snorag Kristian | Gudnap Alda |  |  |
| Sin Deut (NOR) | Blagenstad Lise | Bones Tutid | Hons | Sin Deut |  |  |
| Smykalla (ICE) | Sigurjonsdottir Hjordis | Blondal Kristian | Baldursdottir Ljosbra | Thorvaldsson Matthias |  |  |
| Sterkin (RUS) | Kurichkova Oxsana | Sterkin Alexei | Panina Larisa | Rozenblum Mihail | Shur Arseni | Kelina Marina |
| Stoppa (FRA) | Avon D. | Serf M. | Stoppa J.L. | Stretz F. |  |  |
| Thordarson (ICE) | Thordarson Jorundur | Skuladottir Hraenhildur | Sigurdsson Thordur | Jonsdottir Agusta |  |  |
| Tisserand (FRA) | Frances Jacques | Tisserand Sabine | Nermel Pascal | Conter Anne Marie |  |  |
| Tobias (ENG) | Tobias Jacqui | Jones Gary | Oram Celta | Oram Derek |  |  |
| Toffier (FRA) | Toffier Ph. | Van Poperinge W. | Faivre Elisabeth | Zuker Pierre | Davic Jean-Yves | Colombaro Anne Marie |
| Uisk (SWE) | Karlsson Uisk Ylva | Uisk Anto | Evelius Nohren Jenny | Nohren Ulf |  |  |
| Ulla Koch (DEN) | Koch Ulla | Bjarnarson Gregers | Kalkerup Bettina | Hecht-Johansen Peter |  |  |
| Van der Neut (NET) | Van der Neut Jap | Bello Isabelle | Schippers Henk | Schippers Elly |  |  |
| Van Woerden (NET) | Van Woerden Tom | Klerks A. | Seisener | Van Hoof |  |  |
| Vechiatto (GER) | Weber Elke | Weber Fred | Vechiatto Claudia | Engel Berthold | Nehmert Beate | Yuen Michael |
| Vives (FRA) | Vives Catherine | Curetti Nicole | Vives Jean-Louis | Le Poder Jean |  |  |
| Volina (RUS) | Volina Victoria | Gromova Victoria | Gromov Andrew | Kholomeev Vadim |  |  |
| Vriend (NET) | Vriend Bep | Maas Anton | Hoogweg Femke | Van Prooijen Ricco |  |  |
| Weir (ENG) | Weir Grahame | Robson Sharleen | Tarster Paul | Lane Sue |  |  |
| Willard (FRA) | Willard Sylvie | Levy Anne-Frederique | Levy Alain | Mouiel Herve |  |  |
| Xtrouble (DEN+NET) | Brink Sjoert | Hecht-Johansson Gitte | Dekker Astrid | Groosman Bart |  |  |
| Zimmermann (FRA) | D'Ovidio C. | Bessis V. | Moretti R. | Bessis M. | Saporta P. | Zimmermann P. |

## 2002 World Bridge Contest

The 2002 World Bridge Contest will be held on Friday 7th and Saturday 8th June 2002 and the WBF is hoping for a really big participation from all the European Countries

Board 13 from last year's contest provided an opportunity for the excellent commentator, Eric Kokish, to highlight the differences in bidding systems

Board I3. Game All. Dealer North.

|  | - K Q 954 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc 3$ |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ K 10 |  |
|  | \& J 9843 |  |
| ¢1063 | N | - AJ2 |
| $\bigcirc$ AJ 42 |  | $\bigcirc$ Q 85 |
| $\diamond$ Q J |  | $\checkmark$ A 8653 |
| \% K Q 76 | S | \& A 5 |
|  | ¢ 87 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc \mathrm{K} 10976$ |  |
|  | $\diamond 9742$ |  |
|  | 8 102 |  |

In The Netherlands everyone and his cousin will open the North hand with 2\&, spades and a minor. In Poland, $2 \triangleleft$ will be the popular choice, a two-suiter with at least one major. All over the world there will be some way to strike the first blow with that black five-five, but not everyone will have the right gadget, so pass, as they say in the litigious US of A, will be a logical alternative.

At those tables East will open INT or $1 \diamond$. West will often, but not always, use Stayman over his partner's strong notrump. If he simply jumps to 3NT he will keep North out of the bidding, but over 2 North will be tempted to come in with 2 . If he does, the bidding might well continue Pass-Pass-Double-All Pass. There are several chances to negotiate an 800-point penalty and North will regret his decision to pass initially, which led him to act later at greater risk.

If West does not look for a heart fit South is likely to lead the suit and give declarer a third heart trick. He will take at least two spades, three hearts, two diamonds and three clubs for ten tricks and a reasonable score.

After $\mid \diamond$-Pass- $\mid \oslash$, North will have a variety of ways to enter the auction: double, INT, 2NT (both showing length in the black suits), and perhaps one or two different cue-bids. INT looks just right to me, showing five-five without risking the three-level. If $\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{W}$ can initiate a penalty suggestion they may find themselves defending doubled, which won't be pretty for declarer. But it's a potential trouble hand for N/S so -800 won't be a bottom and -500 might be a near top. That's one of the beauties of comparison scoring - your counterparts can get into trouble as easily as you can.

A two-level opening by North opening will usually convince East to risk a 2NT overcall and West will get his side to 3NT sooner or later. At these tables South is not going to lead a heart. On a spade lead, North splitting his honours, declarer can duck or win with relative safety. By working on diamonds he can come to two spades, two hearts, three diamonds and three clubs for ten (slightly different) tricks.

The Contest provides an exciting, enjoyable and challenging game to the participants. The rare opportunity to join a major event and compete with players from so many other countries provides a stimulating experience and the commentary booklets give everyone a chance to discuss the hands afterwards. Add to this the enjoyment of watching the scores change as new results come in, the fun of adding your own comments to the site and this becomes an event not to be missed.

The contest is also seen as contributing to the growth of bridge by offering organisers at every level a great opportunity to attract media attention and this should be exploited as much as possible; this in turn will help to encourage more people to learn duplicate bridge in order to participate in these tournaments.

For more information about the World Wide Bridge Contest, please go to www.ecatsbridge.com or contact Anna Gudge, The Old Railway Station, Long Melford, Suffolk C 0109 HN , England. Tel: +44 I78788I920; Fax: +44 I78788।339; email: anna@ecats.co.uk

## Merci Beaucoup!

As Chairman of the EBL Tournament Directors Committee I wish to thank in particular all the TDs working here in Ostend, led magnificently by Max Bavin and Antonio Riccardi. Not only have they shown great competence in their work, but they have also accepted the additional task of changing the boards in the team matches. Thanks again and I promise you lots of caddies next time!

They have been helped in this task by the duplication team who also for the first time in a Swiss tournament have duplicated boards for all the matches. My thanks also to them.

## Jean-Claude Beineix

Chairman EBL Tournament Directors Committee

## The Tipster

Several members of the Bulletin Team are keen students of the turf, so it is only natural that there has been some discussion as to who might enter the winners circle on Friday. One pundit selected the following eight teams to follow before play started - their standings after four matches are in brackets.

Volina (2)
Lavazza (I0)
Auken (II)
Burgay (23)
Willard (32)

# World Bridge Championships - Montreal August 16-31 2002 

## Flights, Hotels and Reservations

Air Canada is the official carrier for the Championships, and is offering $15 \%$ and $20 \%$ discounts off air fares from outside North America, 5\% to I5\% within North America. Please quote Convention N ${ }^{\circ}$ CV 664436
Hotel reservations must be made through the 2002 World Bridge Championship Housing Bureau:
Tel: + I 5I4 844 0848; Fax: +| 5 I4 844 677 I; Email: reservations@tourisme-montreal.org
Please note that the number of rooms at the venue hotels is limited and you are advised to book early if you wish to stay in either of the venue hotels - The Queen Elizabeth is already sold out for 15th \& 3 Ist August and you are urged to make your reservations as soon as possible.
Prices are per room (double or single occupancy), per night and payable in Canadian dollars. The rates shown do not include $7 \%$ Canadian tax, $7.5 \%$ Quebec tax and a $\$ 2$ per room per night guestroom tax. (At the present time the $7 \%$ rate is refundable to non-Canadian visitors). Conversion to US\$ is calculated on the basis of US\$I = Cnd \$1.60. On the list below, US \$ prices are shown in brackets.

| Hotels <br> Host Hotels | Walking Distance | Standard <br> Rooms | Deluxe <br> Rooms | Concierge | Suites | Cutoff date for reserva | Remarks <br> ions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fairmont Queen Elizabeth Hotel | 0 mins | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 173 \\ & (\$ 108) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 194 \\ & (\$ 121) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 234 \\ & (\$ 146) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 294 \\ & (\$ 184) \end{aligned}$ | 15 July | Tournament Venue |
| Montreal Bonaventure Hilton | 0 mins | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 213 \\ & (\$ 133) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 267 \\ & (\$ 167) \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 450 \\ & (\$ 28 \mathrm{I} \end{aligned}$ | 15 July | Tournament Venue |
| Nearby Hotels |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Château Royal <br> Hotel Suites | 9 mins | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 135(\$ 85) \\ & \$ 189 \text { quad (2br) } \\ & (\$ 118) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | O1 July | Apartment style hotel |
| Day's Inn | 10 mins | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 119(\$ 75) \\ & \$ 129 \text { triple }(\$ 81) \\ & \$ 139 \text { quad }(\$ 87) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | 15 July | $10^{\text {th }}$ night free after 9 nights |
| Hotel du Fort | 15 mins | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 160 \\ & (\$ 100) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 185 \\ & (\$ 116) \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 15 May | Complimentary taxi once a day to venue |
| Le Cantile | 8 mins | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 165 \\ & (\$ 103) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | 15 May |  |
| Le Nouvel | 12 mins | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 155 \\ & (\$ 97) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | 15 May | Free Parking for at least 10 nights' stay |
| Novotel | 6 mins | \$159 (\$99) <br> \$ 174 triple (\$109) <br> \$189 quad (\$1 18) |  |  |  | 2 July |  |
| Marriott | 2 mins | \$195 (\$122) |  |  |  | Available for 28/3I Aug |  |

## Schedule of Events

Fri 16 August

Sat. 17 August
Sun 18 August
Invitation Par Contest
Mixed Pairs - qualifying I/2
Mixed Pairs: 3rd qualifying
\& Ist Final Sessions Zonal Mixed Pairs
Mixed Pairs 2nd \& 3rd Sessions Final Zonal Mixed Pairs
Rosenblum/McConnell
Rosenblum/McConnell
Rosenblum/McConnell
Thur 22 August
Fri 23 August
Sat 24 August
Sun 25 August
Mon 26 August
Tue 27 August
Wed 28 August
Thur 29 August
Fri 30 August
Sat 3I August
*Will declare Open and Senior winners

Opening Ceremony

|  | Junior Teams <br>  <br>  <br>  <br> Junior Teams <br> Junior Pairs |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Zonal Teams | Junior Pairs | Senior Teams |
| Zonal Teams | Junior Individual | Senior Teams |
| Pairs Qualifying |  | Senior Teams |
| Pairs Qualifying |  | Senior Teams |
| Pairs - Semi Final | Zonal Pairs | Senior Pairs |
| Pairs - Semi Final | Zonal Pairs | Senior Pairs |
| Pairs - Final | IMP Pairs * | Senior Pairs |
| Pairs - Final | IMP Pairs * | Senior Pairs |
| Pairs - Final | Closing Ceremony |  |

An ACBL Regional Tournament will be conducted in conjunction with the World Championships. It will begin at 8pm on 16 August with a I-session mixed pairs event and end on 02 September 2002

## Entry Fees

## Event

Mixed Pairs
Open and Women's Pairs
Players not qualifying for the Semi Finals may play free of charge in Zonal Pairs Open and Women's Pairs Final
Rosenblum and McConnell Teams
Senior Teams **
Senior Pairs 320
IMP Pairs (50\% discount to participants from the Open \& Women's Pairs) Juniors in Junior World Championship events Can-Am Regional (per Player/per Session)640

## Price US\$

240
400

80
800

160
free
10

## Length of event

Three days
Four days

2 I/2 days
10/II days; teams are guaranteed to play at least 5 days
Four days
Four days
Two days
Six days
Every day
** Senior players qualified for the Zonal Teams may enter the Senior Teams for an fee of $\$ 320$ instead of $\$ 640$

## Further Information

Please visit the WBF website at www.bridge.gr or www.ecats.co.uk

# 2002 EUROPEAN WOMEN'S BRIDGE FESTIVAL 

PALERMO - SICILY - ITALY - 21 TO 26 MAY 2002

## Hotel

The venue for the Women's Bridge Festival will be the four star Jolly Hotel. It is situated on the seaside at Palermo, near the historical centre. It has restaurants - bar - swimming pool - parking - air conditioning - and TV and minibar in all rooms.

## Packages

Special packages have been arranged for the whole period, valued in EURO.

You will find all the details on the Registration Form on the back of this brochure.

Please complete this form very carefully, and return it to: Borzi Viaggi di Palermo, via Serradifalco 2C-90145, Palermo, Italy Tel: +39 09| 2255II; Fax: +39 09| 6820269
Email: antonella@borziviaggi.it or sonia@borziviaggi.it

## Entry Deadline

Entries must be made to Antonella or Sonia not later than 25 March 2002, and must be accompanied by a deposit equivalent to 180 Euro per person. Late entries can only be accepted if there is sufficient accommodation available in the Hotels.

## Registration - Hospitality Desk

As soon as participants have registered in the Hotel Jolly and unpacked, they are invited to go to the Registration - Hospitality Desk, located in the same hotel, the Festival venue, to register, pay the balance of their package costs and the bridge entry fees and receive their hospitality files and badges and a convention card to be used by all the players in the Individual Tournament. This is a very simple system, available in English, French, Italian and Spanish.. They will also be able to obtain information and details about any excursions as well as about the Festival itself.

## Bridge Entry Fees

The entry fees for the Bridge Competitions, to be paid at the Hospitality Desk, are as follows:

Individual Tournament (I Session)
Pairs Tournament (3 Sessions)
Both Tournaments (4 Sessions)

16 Euro per player 40 Euro per player 50 Euro per player

PLEASE NOTE that all additional costs, including the cost of the package, bridge entry fees, extras, coupons etc. cannot be paid by credit card. Only EURO ( $€$ ) can be accepted either in cash or as a Euro cheque.

## Extras

If anyone who is not on the "package" deals wishes to attend the Closing Banquet, the sightseeing in Palermo or the full day excursion to Agrigento and Segesta he or she should enquire at the Hospitality desk about availability and prices.

## Bidding Boxes

Bidding boxes will be used throughout the Bridge Tournaments. However, participants who have never used them before will be shown how to do so on the Tuesday evening after dinner (please ask the organisers during the welcome party).

## Prizes

There will be many prizes in kind, objets d'art and other lux-
ury goods.
Awards will be as follows:
Individual Tournament
Pairs Tournament
Festival (combined classification)
"Women's Bridge Festival Champion"

First 3 places
First 3 places
First 6 places
First position only

European Master Points will be awarded to the leading five pairs from Europe in the Pairs Tournament.

NOTE Obviously the Lady players who do not compete in both individual and pairs tournaments, will not have the right to receive the prizes for the Festival (combined classification)

## Prize Giving Ceremony

This will take place during the closing banquet on the Saturday night.

## Coupons

In order to make it easier to purchase various items (drinks, cakes, coffee, tea, etc), Coupons will be available in blocks from the Hospitality Desk. One coupon will be required for each item purchased. Money will not be refunded for coupons not used.

## General Information

Smoking: The International rules for smoking will be followed.

Rubber Bridge:There will be optional rubber bridge available after dinner each evening.

Weather: 22/25 degrees - usually no rain
Shopping:What to buy? Dresses, clothes, bags and shoes in all price ranges; folk art objects, ceramics, typical Sicilian handcarts and marionettes, very special and unique sweets and candies.

## Companions

If you wish your husband, your family or your friends accompany you to Palermo, there is no problem. We will arrange side games for them if numbers are sufficient and, of course, if they are with you on the package deals offered, they will be able to accompany you on the tours and to the banquet. If they are not, please read the item "Extras"

## All Alone? No Partner?

Don't worry - This is no problem: We will arrange it all for you! We will be your guardian angels and will not leave you, not even for a moment!

## DO COME AND JOIN US FOR THE EUROPEAN WOMEN'S BRIDGE FESTIVAL IN PALERMO!

Plan to take your Bridge Holiday with us and please, please, book in good time as space is limited and will be allocated on a first-come/first-served basis. As Sicily is such a wonderful region, if you wish to prolong your holiday, just ask the organisers - they will be very pleased to help you!
ORGANISATION:ITALIAN BRIDGE FEDERATION EUROPEAN BRIDGE LEAGUE WOMEN'S COMMITTEE BORZIVIAGGI DI PALERMO

## PROGRAMME

|  | Ist day (2I.05.2002.Tuesday) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & 16.00-18.30 \\ & 19.15 \end{aligned}$ | Arrival in Palermo |  |
|  | Registration |  |
|  | Welcome drink in Hotel Jolly followed by dinner and a friendly pairs tournament |  |
|  | 2nd day (22.05.2002. Wednesday) | 15.00 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 09.00 \\ & 15.00 \end{aligned}$ | Three hour sightseeing tour of Palermo | 20.30 |
|  | Individual Tournament (one session) |  |
|  | 3rd day (23.05.2002. Thursday) |  |
| 08.30 | Full day tour | 14.30 |
|  | Visit to the famous and enchanting "Temples Valley" in Agrigento and the Greek Temple in Segesta. Lunch included and professional guides will take you round the archaelogical sites and monuments. | 20.30 |

These areas are unique in the world, being a perfect combination of nature at its best, ancient sites and a magic atmosphere

4th day (24.05.2002. Friday)
Morning free
Pairs Tournament (first session)
Pairs Tournament (second session)
5th day (25.05.2002. Saturday)
Morning free
Pairs Tournament (third session)
Prize-giving ceremony and Victory Banquet
6th day (26.05.2002. Sunday)
Departure after breakfast


## Appeal No. 14

## Italy v Russia

## Appeals Committee:

Steen Møller (Chairman, Denmark), Herman De Wael (Scribe, Belgium), Grattan Endicott (England)

Mixed Teams Round 2
Board 9. Dealer North. East/West Vulnerable.


## Contract:

Three No trumps, played by East.
Lead: Jack of Spades.
Result: tricks, N/S +200.

## The Facts:

North intended his INT to show a Club one-suiter, but South explained it as a two-suiter (not both Majors). Over a twosuiter, a Double by West over would be for penalties, but on a one-suiter it would be Take-Out. East/West called the Director, claiming that the different explanations had prevented them from reaching Four Hearts

## The Director:

Ruled that East/West had been misinformed and that they had been damaged as a result.

## Ruling:

Score adjusted to $4 \uparrow+\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{S}-650$.

## Relevant Laws:

Law 75A, 40C, 12C2.

## North/South appealed.

## Present:

All players.

## The Players:

North explained his mistake. He had not noticed that the opponents were playing Polish Club, and thought it was Strong Club. Over a Strong Club, his INT does indeed show a Club suit. South did realize that le was Polish, and her explanation was correct. North/South were able to show the first meaning on their Convention Card, the second one was explained as a logical consequence of an unusual NT in a position protected by an initial Pass (they had a method to show Majors).

East/West explained their methods, the gist of which is explained in the Committee's reasoning below.

North/South explained why they appealed. In their opinion, East/West had had ample opportunity to introduce the Heart suit, and the fact that they did not was a consequence of North/South's busy bidding, not of the misexplanation.

## The Committee:

Considered that North had indeed made a bidding mistake. Consequently, West had received a correct explanation and no redress could be made on that side of the screen.

East had not received a correct explanation though, and her actions could be considered in the light of misinformation.

The Committee summarized what they had learnt of East/West's system.

East's double showed points under both explanations. Two Hearts would not have been forcing.

In West's point of view, where INT had been explained as a two-suiter, $2 d$ was a run-off, and a Double would be for penalties. Needing to bid something to show his extra point strength, $2 \checkmark$ was a normal forcing bid, not necessarily showing a very unbalanced hand. When the bidding came back to him, he made a forcing pass and when partner decided to play 3NT that was OK to him.

In East's point of view, $2 \boldsymbol{w}$ was a natural acceptance of the suit North had shown. Since West then had a Take-Out Double available, $2 \triangleleft$ had to show an unbalanced hand. East then took the choice of not introducing the bad Heart suit and preferred to support partner's diamonds. On the next round, 3NT seemed a good choice. East may have expected six diamond tricks and a few aces and stoppers.

If East had received the same information as West had, she would have realized that West was not necessarily unbalanced, and she might have introduced her Heart suit.

The Committee concluded that the misinformation had indeed influenced East's choice of bid.

## The Committee's decision:

Director's ruling upheld.

## Deposit:

Returned.

## Afternoon Impressions

At the start of Wednesday's afternoon session, our reporting team went back to table I, hoping to see a good match between the leaders at the time, GRENTHE from France, and Italy's FORNACIARI, a six-player squad that did not play their captain in this match.

Those who would expect good bridge at this table I match may consider thinking twice now before reading on, but a good match it certainly was. Below, we compiled most of the highlights - in every respect, that is to say.

After an easy slam bid at both tables this was board 2 :
Board 2. Dealer East. N/S Vul.

```
            4 A IO 972
            < K }
            \ IO
            8 A 9 8 32
```



```
    \nu J 
    Q Q 764
    < K
```

    Q Q
    Q 7654
    \(\diamond 32\)
    * Q J 1074
    At both tables, 41 was the final contract. As we can see from the diagram, there is no real way to land ten tricks, but with a little help from our friends...Say East leads a top diamond and switches to her singleton club, one of the suits bid by her partner. Declarer wins the king in dummy, plays a trump to his ace (felling the queen) and leads a cunning 9 from hand. If you ruff and next cash the $\vee$ A the contract will be made, so you better discard...

Anyway, a substantial swing to FORNACIARI, as the proper defence was found only once.

Board 5. Dealer North. N/S Vul.

- QJ 1054

Q Q 106
$\checkmark 98$
\& 932

- K 32
- J 87
$\diamond$ K 3
2 K Q 654
up with her ace now and continue spades. If she does not, declarer wins his $\diamond K$, cashes just one more top club and establishes his diamonds.

If South grabs her $\diamond \mathbf{A}$, declarer unblocks the king and now has to read the position well to succeed. He should win the next spade and cash the diamonds, throwing a spade, a heart and two clubs. He then exits with a losing spade to North, throwing another club. What can North do? On the last diamond he has a choice of evils. He can either keep two spades and $Q$ Q 10 , thus parting with his last club and allowing himself to be thrown in with a spade, or he can blank his 8 Q in which case declarer can win by laying down the 9 K from dummy. Several declarers found either winning play against this optimum defence.

Board 6. Dealer East. E/WVul.

- 1984
$\bigcirc 1096$
$\diamond$ A 1065
\& A 5

$$
\text { AQ }
$$

$\diamond$ AQ 874
$\diamond$ Q 8732
$\& 4$

4 K 10763
○ 53
$\diamond$ KJ 94
\& 19

- 52
- K J 2
$\diamond-$
K Q 1087632

Open Room
West

Vivaldi \begin{tabular}{ccc}
North <br>
Vanhoutte

$\quad$

East <br>
Rossano <br>
Pass

$\quad$

South <br>
Vanhoutte <br>
Pass
\end{tabular}

When East did not judge her hand worth a vulnerable opening pre-empt, E/W had given themselves a free run to what might be the wrong contract. After a spade lead into the tenace, North grabbed his \&A at trick two and continued the $\forall \mathrm{A}$ ! Dummy was an entry short now, so when South ruffed the third club from her doubleton, there was no way to make the contract. Down two, GRENTHE +500 .

## Closed Room

West

Grenthe $\quad$\begin{tabular}{c}
North <br>
Caiti

$\quad$

East <br>
Grenthe <br>
3 3e

$\quad$

South <br>
Peirce <br>
Pass
\end{tabular}

The club suit may well be too good for a three-level pre-empt and 3NT might make when partner has some support in diamonds. When North led a low diamond, the contract was doomed with four diamond tricks and the 2. Still 9 IMPs for GRENTHE, but it might have been a I8-IMP swing had they bid and made 6\%.

On the next board, we again saw the squeeze mechanism in operation.

Board 7. Dealer South. All Vul.

|  | - Q 3 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc 984$ |  |
|  | $\diamond$ Q J 3 |  |
|  | 2 Q 10654 |  |
| ¢ 10875 | N | - J 6 |
| $\bigcirc$ AK 10762 | $W^{\text {N }}$ | $\bigcirc$ Q J |
| $\diamond 108$ | W E | $\checkmark$ A 7652 |
| $\bigcirc 3$ | S | \& AJ9 8 |
|  | - AK 942 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 53$ |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ K 94 |  |
|  | \& K 72 |  |

After a 14 -opening by South, both Wests reached $4 \bigcirc$ and both Norths led the Q and switched to a trump. Both declarers next led a low diamond from dummy. In the Closed Room, Peirce grabbed the $\Delta K$, cashed the $₫ \mathrm{~A}$ and returned a trump. Now declarer had time to ruff out the diamonds (the suit breaking a lucky $3-3)$ with the A still there as an entry to dummy. Ten tricks.

In the Open Room, South ducked the low diamond and North won the jack. He returned a club to remove the entry, an essential play. Vivaldi won the ace and led the from dummy. South won this and now tried to cash the first instead of simply playing a second trump. Dummy was dead already, so a possible club winner cannot run away. Vivaldi was quick to seize his chance: he ruffed, ruffed a spade and played off all the trumps, squeezing North in diamonds and clubs and South in diamonds and spades. Well done for a flat board.

The next board is included as a warning to all of us.
Board 8. Dealer West. None Vul.

|  | - A 10532 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc 942$ |  |
|  | $\diamond$ A 73 |  |
|  | \& $A$ Q |  |
| ¢ Q 9 | N | ¢ J 64 |
| ¢AKJ6 |  | $\bigcirc$ Q 107 |
| $\diamond$ K Q 2 |  | $\diamond 984$ |
| \& K 74 | S | 21932 |
|  | - K 87 |  |
|  | $\checkmark 853$ |  |
|  | $\diamond 1065$ |  |
|  | 2 10865 |  |

On this one,West, playing at notrumps, managed to make two spade tricks when North led a spade at trick one. We cannot tell you more...

The final score was 19-I7 to FORNACIARI, a $16-14$ win in V.P.
In the second match of the afternoon, once again the table 4 match is focused. It would be WILLARD from France v. MALI, a Polish combination with some Norwegian flavour in it.

On board I, which in the Closed Room actually was the 6th board to be played, Hervé Mouïel was not happy.


Hervé Mouïel, France
Board I. Dealer North. None Vul.

- K Q J 96

89532
$\diamond 874$
\& 5


Mouiel felt that his partner might have bid $4 \bigcirc$ immediately over $2 \triangleleft$. In a sense, he was right as he emerged with ten tricks on a diamond lead and continuation, but...
Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Levy | Malinovski | Levy | Thoresen |
|  | Pass | Pass | 19 |
| Pass | 15 | Pass | $2 \checkmark$ |
| Pass | $4 \vee$ | All Pass |  |

When Alain Levy found the spade lead from the West seat, he had secured the spade ruff for his partner. Well done for another +50 or 6 IMPs to the French.


Anne-Frederique Levy, France
On the following board, the Polish equalised:
Board 2. Dealer East. N/S Vul.

- 108653
-K 952
$\diamond-$
\& K 1052

```
    & KQJ }
    \Q J IO 7 3
    \diamond J }
    & %
```

| N | - A 74 |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc 84$ |
|  | $\checkmark$ K 98 |
| S | \& A Q J 76 |
| - 2 |  |
| $\bigcirc$ A 6 |  |
| $\checkmark$ A Q 10 | 2 |
| \& 843 |  |


| Open Room |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West | North | East | South |
| Levy | Malinovski | Levy | Thoresen |
|  |  | 18 | $1 \diamond$ |
| 18 | 14 | 2\% | $2 \checkmark$ |

All Pass
The French sold out rather cheaply here, each of them holding something in reserve. The contract duly went one down, but...

| Closed Room <br> West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Winciorek | Mouïel | Sarniak | Willard |
|  |  | INT | $3 \diamond$ |
| Dble | Pass | 3NT | All Pass |

Here, $\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{W}$ made it easy going for themselves by starting off with a strong notrump. On the lead of the $\diamond A$ nine tricks were quickly made. MALI +400 and 7 IMPs back.

Then, a slam decision:
Board 4. Dealer West. All Vul.

|  | ¢ 74 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | - K Q 52 |  |  |
|  | $\diamond$ A Q 63 |  |  |
|  | \% 1032 |  |  |
| - 10 | N |  | 4 A 3 |
| $\bigcirc$ A 76 |  |  | ¢ J 109843 |
| $\diamond$ K 10952 |  | E $\diamond$ | $\diamond 84$ |
| \& J 965 | S | ${ }^{2}$ |  |
| ¢ K Q 98652 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| $\diamond$ J 7 |  |  |  |
| \& AKQ |  |  |  |
| Open Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Levy | Malinovski | Levy | Thoresen |
| Pass | Pass | Pass | 20 |
| Pass | $2 \checkmark$ | Pass | 2 |
| Pass | 2NT | Pass | 49 |
| Pass | 6s | All Pass |  |

Holding quite a lot of useful values in reserve, Malinovski had an automatic raise over 44. When West did not lead his 8 A but a spade instead, declarer had to perform a standard show-up squeeze on him to make sure of his contract. MALI +1430.

In the other room, East made some noise:

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Winciorek | Mouïel | Sarniak | Willard |
| Pass | Pass | $2 \diamond$ | Dble |
| Pass | Pass | $2 \checkmark$ | $4 \uparrow$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

Maybe South should have taken stronger action. Maybe, North should have taken stronger action. WhenWest led the $\triangle \mathrm{A}$, declarer could quickly claim 12 tricks for a loss of I3 IMPs.

Once again, the equaliser came on the next board.
Board 5. Dealer North. N/S Vul.

- A Q 109
- Q 74
$\triangleleft$ A 763
996

4. 5
$\diamond 109863$
$\diamond K Q 42$
$\& 1075$

-KJ76432
-KJ5 2
$\diamond-$

- QJ

| Closed Room |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West | North | East | South |
| Winciorek | Mouïel | Sarniak | Willard |
|  | $1 \diamond$ | 2\% | 24 |
| Pass | 34 | Pass | 4 |
| Pass | 49 | All Pass |  |

When East did not compete any further at her second opportunity, N/S had a free run to 620 .

| Open Room |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West | North | East | South |
| Levy | Malinovski | Levy | Thoresen |
|  | $1 \diamond$ | 23 | 24 |
| 3\% | 49 | 5\% | 54 |

Please note that 5 will be beaten only on a spade lead and diamond continuation. East does not hold the 9 and thus will pick up the trumps for no loser. This would have been a great save, but beating 5 turned an II -imp swing into a 12 -imp one.

The score stood at 20-18 to the Polish (Norwegian) and remained unchanged for four rather dull boards. On the last board, we once again saw far too little action at either table, this time resulting in a partscore swing to the French:

Board IO. Dealer East.All Vul.

- A Q 98
©K9764
$\triangleleft K$ Q 5
$\because 10$

| - K654 | N | - 32 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ¢ A Q 853 | W E | 8 J 10 |
| $\checkmark$ AJ | W E | $\checkmark 7432$ |
| - K 5 | S | -QJ972 |
|  | - J 107 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 2$ |  |
|  | $\checkmark 10986$ |  |
|  | \& A 8643 |  |


| Open Room <br> West <br> Levy | North <br> Malinovski | East <br> Levy <br> Pass | South <br> Thoresen <br> Pass |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I 8 | ?!? |  |  |

What would you bid with the North hand? Malinovski did not know the reply and passed. So did everybody else. The contract was made on the lead of the 10. WILLARD +80 .

At the other table, Mouïel was faced with the same problem. Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Winciorek | Mouïel | Sarniak <br> Pass | Willard <br> Pass |
| I $\vee$ | ??? |  |  |

He improvised with 14 and there it rested. With his usual careful play, he managed no less than ten tricks and thought he had missed a game and lost the board. Not quite.WILLARD scored an unexpected 6 IMPs on this one to win 24-20 or 16-14 V.P.

## Lucky in Lo

Board 4. Dealer West. All Vul.


Merik Tokan immediately thought in terms of a slam once West had opened the bidding. It seemed like a good idea to inhibit a club lead, hence her cunning bid of Three Clubs. Four Diamonds set the trump suit, and Four Spades showed a control in spades but not in hearts.

Declarer knew she would probably need to have to have some luck with partner's heart holding, and in the event, with a club lead by South now impossible to find, she had to guess the heart position.

Still, as she said herself, 'I'm always lucky in heart games.'

## Thursday 2lst March

## Quick Menu of the Day

## Steak Peper met Frietjes

## 13,00 Euro

## Steak with Pepper Sauce and Chips

## Traduttore Tradittore (or not)

When a Belgian player translated his familiar "Deux Faible" into "Two Weak", he was unwittingly right. After the contract went three light, it really was a case of "Too Weak".

## MIXED TEAMS Results after 9 matches

| 1 | Grenthe | 180.0 | 47 | Kaplan | 135.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Popova | 171.0 | 48 | Sin Deut | 134.0 |
| 3 | Fornaciari | 167.0 | 49 | Falay | 134.0 |
| 4 | Mali | 164.0 | 50 | Bonori | 134.0 |
| 5 | Stoppa | 163.0 | 51 | Russo | 133.0 |
| 6 | Alla | 162.0 | 52 | Johnson | 132.0 |
| 7 | Willard | 160.0 | 53 | Van Woerden | 132.0 |
| 8 | Lavazza | 157.0 | 54 | Tisserand | 132.0 |
| 9 | Blouquit | 154.0 | 55 | Sterkin | 131.0 |
| 10 | Auken | 154.0 | 56 | Ditetova | 131.0 |
| 11 | Kitabgi | 153.0 | 57 | Kostur | 130.0 |
| 12 | De Botton | 152.0 | 58 | Vechiatto | 129.0 |
| 13 | Labaere | 151.0 | 59 | Tobias | 128.0 |
| 14 | Vriend | 150.0 | 60 | Renoux | 128.0 |
| 15 | Dehaye | 150.0 | 61 | Sanglier | 126.0 |
| 16 | Vives | 150.0 | 62 | Barr | 126.0 |
| 17 | Volina | 149.0 | 63 | Brekiesz | 126.0 |
| 18 | Riberol | 148.0 | 64 | Bamberger | 126.0 |
| 19 | FIGB I | 147.0 | 65 | Garthwaite | 125.0 |
| 20 | Blondal | 146.0 | 66 | Churlin | 124.0 |
| 21 | Zimmermann | 146.0 | 67 | Juhas | 123.0 |
| 22 | Van der Neut | 146.0 | 68 | Toffier | 123.0 |
| 23 | Senior | 146.0 | 69 | Sagiv | 122.0 |
| 24 | Damiani | 145.0 | 70 | Meyer | 122.0 |
| 25 | Uisk | 145.0 | 71 | Mavromichalis | 122.0 |
| 26 | Hocheker | 144.0 | 72 | Miszewska | 122.0 |
| 27 | Huijben | 143.0 | 73 | Chodorowska | 122.0 |
| 28 | Kondoch | 143.0 | 74 | Thordarson | 121.0 |
| 29 | Gotard | 143.0 | 75 | Harris | 120.0 |
| 30 | Porteous | 143.0 | 76 | Resta | 119.0 |
| 31 | Burgay | 142.0 | 77 | FIGB 2 | 119.0 |
| 32 | Henri | 142.0 | 78 | Weir | 118.0 |
| 33 | Ulla Koch | 142.0 | 79 | Xtrouble | 118.0 |
| 34 | Al-Shati | 142.0 | 80 | Sigurjonsd | 117.0 |
| 35 | Bruchhauser | 141.0 | 81 | McGloughlin | 113.0 |
| 36 | Jonsson | 140.0 | 82 | Samy | 112.0 |
| 37 | Maybach | 139.0 | 83 | Hardeman | 112.0 |
| 38 | Allix | 139.0 | 84 | Smykalla | 110.0 |
| 39 | Marsal | 139.0 | 85 | Budzik | 109.0 |
| 40 | Eginton | 137.0 | 86 | Leitner | 109.0 |
| 41 | Beccuti | 137.0 | 87 | Alberti | 107.0 |
| 42 | Ivarsdottir | 137.0 | 88 | De Graeve | 103.0 |
| 43 | Farholt | 136.0 | 89 | Langston | 101.0 |
| 44 | McGowan | 136.0 | 90 | Budd | 96.0 |
| 45 | Backstrom | 135.0 | 91 | Meehan | 95.0 |
| 46 | Juuri-Oja | 135.0 | 92 | Mayda | 86.0 |

## Hélas, trois fois hélas...

Par Colette GROSFILS

Un joli coup technique réalisé par Jean Pierre Lafourcade et qui ne sert à rien... Dommage.

3ème Séance Par Équipes - Donne 13
Nord Donneur - Tous Vul.
Lafourcade

- 65

D D 105
V 1062
\& R 652
4. A DV 107

ค 832
$\diamond$ R 98
\& AD


R 983
$\bigcirc$ AV 6
$\diamond 754$
\& V 74
Charrault

- 42
- R 974
$\diamond$ AD 3
\& 10983

| Ouest | Nord | Est | Sud |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Passe | Passe | Passe |
| INT | Passe | 20 | Passe |
| 2. | Passe | 4 | Passe |

Passe Passe
Jean Pierre Lafourcade entame atout. Le déclarant prend puis réjoue atout vers le mort et tente l'impasse à qui échoue.
Nord revient $\diamond$ pour l'As de Mireille Charrault qui retourne du 3 de Carreau pris par le Roi d'Ouest.

Le déclarant débloque l'As de et revient au mort avec un atout maître. Il présente alors le Valet de sur lequel il défausse un $\diamond$ et rejoue son troisième $\diamond$ qu'il coupe.

A quatre cartes de la fin, la situation est la suivante, quand Ouest présente le 8 de $\oslash$. Quelle carte Nord doit-il jouer?


Il voit un et trois $\vee$ au mort et connaît la main d'Ouest qui possède également encore un et trois $\rho$.

Sur le 8 de $\varnothing$, Nord a fourni la Dame, carte obligatoire si Ouest possède le 9 de $\rho$.

Hélas, tout cela ne servait à rien puisque le 9 de $\vee$ se situait dans la main de sa partenaire.

D'autant plus beau, que c'était inutile!

