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Semi Final action from the Lavazza-Mali match
The qualifying stages saw teams containing players from eight different countries qualify for the semi-finals. Going in to the last round those teams were already in the first four positions, and they played out 16/14 score lines that proved good enough to keep them there, as none of the chasing teams managed a big enough win. Pride of place at the top of the table went to Popova who chose to play Stoppa, leaving Lavazza to meet Mali.

Both matches proved to be exciting, Lavazza taking a big lead at half time but then having to hang on as most of it disappeared. Popova trailed by 14 IMPs at the half, but was ahead by 6 IMPs going into the last board. They found a good save at one table, but bid a slam that was defeated by a ruff to see Stoppa home by 6 IMPs.

## PLAYING SCHEDULE

## Today, Friday, March 22 <br> MIXED TEAMS

10.00 6th session (2 matches, 10 boards)

Final \& 3rd place match (32 boards)
I5.30 Prize-giving \& cocktail-buffet


## Prize Giving Ceremony

The Prize Giving Ceremony of the 7th European Bridge Mixed Teams Championship will be held today in the playing room immediately after the publication of the results. A buffet will follow, in the same room, in the area near the steps. $4^{4}+$ Evian
$\square$ mushay lotto domo

## THE PLEASURE TO WORK IN A REAL TRANSNATIONAL TEAM

## Another way to build Europe

A championship gives the pleasure for most of us to play, but it also gives the opportunity to meet some old friends. I am thankful to the EBL for offering me another rare pleasure to work in a team I appreciate more at each event: the so called Bulletin Staff. If you have got regularly all the week long the Bulletin early in the morning or even, sometimes, the previous evening it is through the efforts of the most transnational squad you could imagine. You should be thankful, as I am, to Mark Horton, from England, cheerful, always present to collect a good hand, watching the big fight at the tables. In the team Jos Jacobs, from The Netherlands, has done tremendous work, kibitzing all the time...when he was not writing. Ron Tacchi, living in France and pretending to be British was the man who accompanied them on the spot to catch pictures of players at the table and also responsible for proofreading. Joining the team lately but effectively was our friend Franco Broccoli, from Italy. We had also steady contributions from the local journalist bridge star, from Belgium Colette Grosfils. All this material was made attractive by our friend from Greece Stelios Hatzidakis responsible for the layout. Many tanks should go to Jan Sijbers and Tom Venesoen, who made a tremendous job printing over a thousand bulletins, sorting and stapling the pages.

See most of you in June in Salsommagiore.
Jean- Paul Meyer, France or should I say Europe!

## That Must Be Wrong!

Working with local software, as we quite often do, I am always anxious about its performance. So once in a while I check the outcome, which I did once more before the first morning match yesterday, round 10 . And it happened that the team ranked I played the team ranked 2. No reason to worry, that is the main goal of a Swiss. 3 played 4,5 played 6 . Can that be right? 7 played 8,9 against 10, II-12, 13-14. I looked at the operator of the programme. Did you make it Danish? He didn't understand the question. After explaining he told me that the programme couldn't do Danish. 15-16, 17-18, 19-20. 'This is impossible', I said. He looked puzzled, nothing is impossible for an operator who thinks his progamme works well. 'OK, no Danish, but may be you forgot the first 9 rounds and started all over again? This really can't be true.' We went through the opponents already played against. That was all right for the matches we checked. 21 played 22,23 played ..... no not 24 , but 25 . It was true after all! In all 46 matches the programme had to change the natural order only three times, which probably is a world record in the tenth round of a Swiss.

Why don't the top ranked teams not just win their matches? This almost caused me a heart attack.

## Ton Kooijman

## A Little Lead Problem

Dealer North. E/WVul.

| N | - 973 |
| :---: | :---: |
| W E | $\bigcirc 8$ |
| S | $\begin{aligned} & \diamond \text { A } 632 \\ & \operatorname{HA} 976 \end{aligned}$ |

You have the East hand above and see the following auction:

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
|  | 3 | Pass | 35 |
| Pass | $4 \checkmark$ | Pass | 5 |
| Pass | $6 \checkmark$ | Pass | 6 |
| All Pass |  |  |  |
| What would you lead? |  |  |  |
| How many points would you give to a player who led the ace |  |  |  |
| of diamonds? |  |  |  |
| This was the full deal: |  |  |  |

Board 25. Dealer North. E/WVul.

- 5

Q QJIO 964
$\diamond$ K Q 95
43

- 84

ค A 7
$\diamond$ JIO 874
\& KJ 52

Of course, you may have thought you could give your partner a diamond ruff, but South's removal to Six Hearts makes it very unlikely that the diamond bid was based on length.

## The Luck Factor

The major problem with any tournament using the Swiss system as a qualification method is that there is inevitably an element of luck involved.

In Bellaria, had play stopped one round earlier, only one of the eventual top four teams would have qualified; and stopping two rounds earlier would also have produced a different line up.

One possibility would be to take the top eight teams at the cut off point, leaving them to play a complete round robin to determine the top eight places.

The next eight teams also play a round robin in a consolation final deciding the places 9 -16.This might encourage more teams to enter as they would have high hopes of reaching at least the secondary final and the Championship would be of more interest.

Maureen Dennison

## The Last Trains



Danielle Avon, France

0$n$ Thursday morning, each team had three more chances to maintain their qualifying spot or to qualify at another team's expense. Rather than watch tables I or 2 we turn our attention to the matches where the teams ranked below 4th are trying to catch their last train. So here you will find a report about the match at table 3 between the French team STOPPA, full of past European champions, against a much younger and therefore less experienced team from Iceland, ALLA.

On the first board, the slow approach worked much better, surprisingly enough:

Board II. Dealer North. None Vul.

- KJ7 3
-KQJ732
$\diamond$ Q 86
2-
4 A 6
$\bigcirc 6$
$\diamond$ AJ 743
\& Q 10953


## Open Room

| West | North <br> Isak | East <br> Sagnheidlir | South <br> Serf |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I $\diamond$ | 18 | 14 | Pass |
| Pass | 48 | All Pass | $3 ه$ |

Well, nothing wrong with Isak Sigurdsson's opening bid, but the effect of it was that he got the timing wrong to show the true nature of his hand. The French were allowed to play in peace and made II tricks. STOPPA +450 .

## Closed Room

| West <br> Stoppa | North <br> Valur | East <br> Avon | South <br> Haraldsdottir <br> Pass |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pass | 18 | Pass | $2 \rrbracket$ |
| 2NT | $4 \varnothing$ | 59 | Dble |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

Stoppa did very well to first pass and then describe his hand in one bid later on. Bidding 5 thus was a matter of routine for Avon. One down, - 100 but 8 IMPs for STOPPA.

Board 13 produced no swing, as both declarers were the victims of the same optical illusion:

## Board I3. Dealer North. All Vul.

- 62
$\triangleright$ KQJ 83
$\diamond 1098$
\& K J 6
- 1073
『9752
$\diamond$ Q 3
© 10985

- A Q J 54
$\vee$ A 6
$\diamond 76542$
92
4K 98
$\bigcirc 104$
$\diamond A K J$
\& A Q 743


Maria Haraldsdottir, Iceland

East opens Is and South ends up in 3NT on a spade lead to the jack and king. If South ducks the jack, East will continue a low spade and the effect will be the same. Now the point is that there is no point in taking the diamond finesse. Just try to count East's cards. She holds five spades and the $\vee \mathrm{A}$, so after five rounds of clubs she cannot hold more than two diamonds if she has not discarded a single spade. So the diamond finesse will be wrong or the queen will drop. If East throws a spade, declarer can play a heart for her ninth trick, of course.

Near the end, the French had another substantial gain when their opponents did too much bidding.


| Open Room <br> West | North <br> Isak | East <br> Stretz | Sagnheidlir <br> South <br> Serf |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1\& | Pass | 3 | Pass |
| 4i | All Pass |  | Pass |

With nothing to guide him, Isak Sigurdsson won the heart lead in hand with the ace and ran the $\diamond 10$. This lost to the ace and a heart came back to dummy. Declarer's third heart went on the $\diamond \mathbf{Q}$, but the preparations had been made now for a defensive overruffing festival when a club was led off dummy next. South took her ace and played a heart, ruffed with the 10 and overruffed with the jack. When South could ruff the diamond return with her 9 , the contract was down two. STOPPA +100 .

| Closed Room <br> West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stoppa | Valur | Avon <br> Haraldsdottir |  |
| $1 \diamond$ | Pass | $3 \&$ | Dble |

Guided by the double, Stoppa not only made the good decision to stay out of game, but also knew how to play the hand. He won the heart lead in dummy and simply led the $\$ \mathrm{~K}$, as it was obvious that the A would be with South. South won the ace, cashed the A and returned a heart, won by Stoppa in hand. Two more rounds of trumps followed, and then the $\diamond 10$ was run to the ace. Ten tricks and 7 IMPs to STOPPA who went on to win the match 27-6 or 21-9 V.P.Their qualification only looked a matter of time now.

In the intermediate round of the morning, the most interesting pairing was to be found at table 4.Would the holders,AUKEN from Denmark, catch their last train? They were playing VIVES, a

French team coming from nowhere to book a front row seat in their last train.

Something happened on the first board.

$\bigcirc 76543$
$\diamond$ A 10942
2A 84
Open Room

| West <br> Vives | North <br> Schaltz | East <br> Vives | South <br> Schaltz <br> Pass |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1 \diamond$ | $1 \Delta$ | All Pass |  |

A reasonable enough decision by Dorthe Schaltz to pass 14, but this time it did not work out well. The contract just made for +80 .

| Closed Room <br> West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Auken | Le Poder | Auken | Curetti <br> Pass |
| $1 \diamond$ $1 Q$ Pass |  |  |  |
| Pass | $4 \checkmark$ | All Pass |  |

Who wants to argue with success? When trumps broke and dummy had four of them, making ten tricks was not that difficult any more.VIVES +8 IMPs.

On the next board, the French again reached a good contract in one room.

Board I2. Dealer West. N/S Vul.

- 853
$\checkmark$ Q
$\diamond$ A 105
2 1087543


| Open Room <br> West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vives | Schaltz | Vives | Schaltz |
| $1 \diamond$ | Pass | 14 | $2 \otimes$ |
| Dble | Pass | 34 | Pass |
| 3NT | All Pass |  |  |

On the actual heart distribution, nine tricks were never in danger.VIVES +400 . To West it was clear that his partner's jump in spades would promise something nice in the minors.

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Auken | Le Poder | Auken | Curetti |
| $1 \Delta$ | Pass | $1 \Delta$ | $2 \vee$ |
| Dble | Pass | $4 \vee$ | Pass |
| $4 \triangleq$ | All Pass |  |  |

Sabine Auken's heart splinter put 3NT out of reach so the Aukens had to settle for 44. This would have been a make had the $\diamond A$ been doubleton anywhere.As it was, South would have to unblock the $\diamond J$ had East played a diamond to her king at any time, but having found out that it was South who was likely to have two diamonds only, Sabine Auken played for her legitimate chance of finding South with the $\diamond$ A. One down,VIVES another 10 IMPs.

Both teams missed a grand slam on board 15 , but the Aukens must have been very close to reaching it:

| Board I5. Dealer South. N/S Vul. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Q Q 762$\times 10963$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| $\checkmark 10432$ |  |  |  |
| - |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \triangle A K 108 \\ & \text { A } 42 \end{aligned}$ | N |  | - 953 |
|  | $w^{N}$ |  | $\bigcirc$ - |
| $$ | W |  | $\checkmark$ AKJ96 |
|  |  |  | 2KQJ98 |
|  | - 4 |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc \mathrm{K}$ QJ875 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark 75$ |  |  |
|  | +10542 |  |  |
| Open Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Vives | Schaltz | Vives | Schaltz |
|  |  |  | 28 |
| 2NT | 38 | 48 | Pass |
| 4. | Pass | 6\% | All Pass |

West could not be sure at all about what exactly was going on opposite.

| Closed Room <br> West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Auken | Le Poder | Auken <br> Curetti |  |
| $2 N T$ | 3 | $4 \diamond$ | Pass |
| $2 \diamond$ | Pass | 68 | All Pass |



The Aukens obviously play $4 \diamond$ as forcing, so Jens might have considered going on with all his aces... As it was, no swing.

The board below sealed the French win:
Board I8. Dealer East. N/S Vul.

- A 3

ค A Q 863
$\diamond K 94$
\& Q J 4
© J 54
$\bigcirc 104$
$\diamond$ Q 10753
\& A 102


1092

- K 9752
$\checkmark$ A 82
- 93
. K Q 876
$\checkmark$ J
J 6
\& K 8765
Open Room
West
Vives

Pass
Pass
Dble
Pass

No chance on the lead of the $\diamond 2$, away from the ace. VIVES +100 .

Closed Room

| West | North <br> Auken | East Poder <br> Auken | South <br> Curetti |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pass | 18 | Pass | Pass |
| Pass | 20 | Pass | 50 |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

The three-card suit rebid by Le Poder worked out well when partner raised him all the way to game AND Sabine Auken found the unlucky lead of the $\diamond A$. Now, with the spades $3-3$, declarer could no longer go down.A very lucky +600 for another 12 IMPs to VIVES.

The titleholders ended their bid for a semifinal berth in a blaze of glory.

Board 20. Dealer West. All Vul.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { QJ6 } \\
& \& K 43 \\
& \diamond 1086 \\
& \& Q 964
\end{aligned}
$$

| ¢ K 9 | N | ¢ 72 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc 87$ |  | $\bigcirc$ A 5 |
| $\diamond$ KJ 75 | W E | $\diamond$ AQ 943 |
| - A 10872 | S | \& KJ 53 |

- A 108543

Q QJ 10962
$\diamond 2$
-

## Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vives | Schaltz | Vives | Schaltz |
| Pass | Pass | $1 \diamond$ | $4 \diamond$ |
| Dble | Rdble | Pass | $4 \checkmark$ |

All Pass
The aggressive approach on this nice 6-6 had done its job, even more so as West had failed to open. The Schaltz couple thus was allowed to make a game while there was a slam on the cards for the opponents.

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Auken | Le Poder | Auken | Curetti |
| 1\% | Pass | $1 \diamond$ | 2NT |
| Pass | 49 | 5\% | 54 |
| Pass | Pass | 6\% | Pass |
| Pass | Dble | All Pass |  |

Sabine judged the situation extremely well when she decided to bid one more. Five Spades goes down, of course, but making the slam created one of the biggest swings we have seen here in Ostend so far: 19 IMPs. The final result of the match thus became 35-19 or 19-1I V.P. to VIVES.

With one round to go before the cut, Popova, Mali, Stoppa and Lavazza were the leading foursome.As it happened, they all had to play each other and as both these matches ended 16-14 they all got enough V.P. to qualify, so there is no story about any team jumping into the last four at its final attempt.

The semifinals would be:

## Popova v Stoppa

Mali v Lavazza

## A Very Slight Amelioration But A Great Brillancy

Monica Cuzzi, playing in the Lavazza team, Catherine d'Ovidio, playing in Zimmerman's team, and perhaps few others won brilliantly Three No-Trumps on this deal in Round 10.

Board I3. Dealer North. All Vul.

```
4 }
KQJ8 }
1098
*KJ6
\begin{tabular}{lll}
\(W^{2}\) & & \\
& & \\
& & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
- A Q J 54
- A6
\(\diamond 76542\)
\& 2
- K 98
\(\bigcirc 104\)
\(\diamond\) AKJ
\& A Q 743
```

    1073
    9752
    Q 3
    - 10985

East opened the bidding with One Spade, and South finished in 3NT,West led the three of spades showing three cards and the jack was taken by the king.

With eight top tricks, simple minds would just take the diamond finesse and go two down

Monica and Catherine knew better.
If the queen of diamond would be on side what could East do on five rounds of clubs?

She had to keep the ace of hearts, four spades - otherwise declarer can play a heart - and only two diamonds.

To put it in other words East could not discard a spade nor the ace of diamonds, so she had to hold only two diamonds.

The finesse has no merit, and having seen no interesting discard our declarers played the ace and king of diamonds and down came West's queen of diamonds.

The chance to find the queen of diamonds second in the hand who has only three spades but four clubs is nothing more than $4 \%$. But that was the case. Well done girls to foresee the situation so clearly.

Of course Four Hearts makes with no skill needed but is difficult to bid.

## Curse of Jonson strikes at last

When one has teams called Jonsson and Johnson in the same tournament, one can call it a small miracle that it takes 13 rounds for the confusion to strike.

At one table East and West had both already explained their system to the opponents, when it turned out they did not know one another.

In fact, the player that turned out to be at the wrong table was not needed at all, since her teammates had meanwhile started their match without her.

## The Semifinals

A$s$ LAVAZZA made it easy going in the first half of their semifinal $v$. MALI, we will concentrate in this report on the other semifinal match: STOPPA v. POPOVA. The latter team won the qualification and thus earned the privilege to choose an opponent, but in spite thereof one would expect a close match here, as the experienced French were doing better and better as the championship went on.

The first board was a nice test in declarer play, successfully passed by both declarers.

Board I. Dealer North. None Vul.

- K Q J
$\bigcirc 97$
) J 62
\& AJ 53


At both tables, South was in 4 s and found the correct line. Duck the lead of the $\oslash Q$, win the next round, draw trumps and play a club to the jack followed by a club to the queen.

No swing.
On the next board, there was a swing, however, when the Bulgarian opening NT range led to a game being missed.

Board 2. Dealer East. N/S Vul.

- 752

86532
$\diamond$ JIO
\& Q J 42



Ahu Zobu, Turky
West, already thrown in at trick I so to speak, led a club to dummy's queen. Declarer next ran the $\diamond$ J successfully and then led a spade. When East went in with the king, the rest had become relatively easy. Ten tricks, STOPPA +630 .

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stoppa | Gunev | Avon | Popova |
|  |  | Pass | 2NT |

All Pass
As 2NT was systematically $|9-2| \mathrm{hcp}$. one can imagine North's Pass. He was unlucky to find his partner with a supermaximum 21, probably well worth a higher range opening NT bid.

The same ten tricks on a spade lead, but 10 imps to STOPPA.
The next board produced yet another swing:
Board 3. Dealer South. E/WVul.

- J 1083
-A 642
$\diamond 64$
* K Q 2

\% J 103

Open Room

| West <br> Haramati | North <br> Stretz | East <br> Zobu | South <br> Serf <br> Pass |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1 \diamond$ Pass $1 \&$ | Pass |  |  |
| $\mathbf{2} \diamond$ | Pass | 3NT | All Pass |

An aggressive opening bid brought EW in a stretched game contract, but due to the lucky layout it might even have made. Strange things happened in the play, however. North won the $\$ 8$ lead with the ace and switched to the K , on which South played the jack. Next came the Q and another. When declarer chose to play the 9 , South scored a surprise 10 , cashed the $\diamond A$ and exited with a heart. Declarer won this in hand, crossed to the K and tried to establish the diamonds by playing $\diamond \mathrm{K}$ and another.

This meant down three and 300 to STOPPA.

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stoppa |  |  |  |$\quad$| Gunev |
| :---: |
| Avon |
| Popova |
| Pass |

When the experienced Stoppa could not conjure up an opening bid out of the West cards in second seat, it was left to Gunev to open a Precision-style $\mathbf{I}$. Avon carefully showed her twosuiter, and there it quickly rested. The contract just made for another +90 and 9 imps to STOPPA.

A few boards later, the French made the better of a balancing situation.


Even though non-vulnerable, South did not venture a balancing 2NT. In 2 Avon lost the obvious five tricks for +110 to STOPPA.


Jean Louis Stoppa, France

## Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Haramati | Stretz | Zobu | Serf |
|  |  | $1 \mathbf{4}$ | Pass |
| INT | Pass | $2 \checkmark$ | Pass |
| 2 | Pass | Pass | 2NT |
| Pass | $3 \diamond$ | All Pass |  |

At the other table, Marianne Serf did balance, and right she was. In $3 \diamond$, Stretz did well to play $\diamond A, \diamond I 0$, thus picking up West's trump holding for no loser. STOPPA another +110 and 6 imps . They led 29-0 at this stage.

After another overtrick imp to France, their opponents finally were to get off the mark when the French stuck to the discipline of their Standard Français.

Board 8. Dealer West. None Vul.
-KJ 105
-A42
$\diamond A J$

- A 1064

4 9832
$\bigcirc 17$
$\diamond 865$
\& 1975

\& $A 764$
$\bigcirc K$ Q 6
$\diamond$ Q 9432
2 Q
, Q
ค 109853
$\diamond$ K 107

- K 832

| Open Room <br> West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Haramati | Stretz | Zobu | Serf |
| Pass | INT | Dble | $2 \diamond$ |
| Pass | $2 \triangleleft$ | All Pass |  |

The double showed four of a major and five of a minor. When Stretz could do nothing but accept the transfer, Serf understandably made no further move. Ten tricks. STOPPA +170 .

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stoppa | Gunev | Avon | Popova |
| Pass | $1 \mathbf{2}$ | Pass | 18 |
| Pass | $1 \mathbf{4}$ | Pass | $2 \boldsymbol{2}$ |
| Pass | $2 \varnothing$ | Pass | $4 \nabla$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

The strong Club worked well here. South showed her 5-4 distribution and simply bid game when North showed his support. Ten tricks and 6 imps to POPOVA.

Two boards later, we saw a mistimed French balancing action leading to a substantial adverse swing:

Board IO. Dealer East.All Vul.


Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Haramati | Stretz | Zobu | Serf |
|  |  | $1 \diamond$ | Pass |
| Pass | INT | All Pass |  |

On a heart lead, this went two off, POPOVA +200.

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stoppa | Gunev | Avon | Popova |
|  |  | $1 \diamond$ | All Pass |

Gunev did much better, showing no interest holding a fourcard diamond suit himself. This unhappy contract suffered the same fate as INT at the other table: two off for a swing of 9 imps to POPOVA. From 30-0 they had come back to 32-15.

There was little in the remainder of the first half, the score at halftime being 37-23 to STOPPA. In the other match, LAVAZZA led $56-15 \mathrm{v}$. MALI at halftime.

## 2002 EBL CHAMPIONS CUP CANIB TROPHY

## Warsaw, Poland 25th-27th October 2002

The EBL is proud to announce the first ever European Champions Cup in collaboration with CA~IB Bank. The event will be held in Warsaw, Poland, from 25th to 27th October 2002.

## Right to participate

The EBL Champions Cup - CA-IB Trophy is an official EBL competition reserved to EBL NBOs which have has their own National Open Teams Championship structured in series, with a pyramidal format.
The Open Team Champions of the first 7 NBOs classified in the European Open Teams Championship in Salsomaggiore Terme, June 2002, plus the Team Champions from the Host Country, will be allowed to participate. If the Host country is already classified in the first seven positions of the ranking, the 8th will also be allowed to participate. An official invitation from the EBL will be sent to the Federations of all these teams before Ist July 2002.

The Federation should send their replies, with the name of the Teams, Captain and Players, by 3 I st July 2002.After the approval from the EBL Credentials Committee, confirmation will be sent to the Federations in the next 48 hours.

If a Federation is unable or not permitted to participate, the Champions Teams of the following NBOs in the ranking of the European Open Teams Championship will be invited.

## Format

The Teams will be divided into two groups of four which will play a complete Round-Robin of 20 board matches after which the two top teams of each group will play a 32 board K.O. semi-final match. The winning teams will play a 32 board K.O. final match for the Ist place and the loser a 24 boards K.O. play-off match for 3rd place. The other four Teams will play another two matches to classify for 5th place.

## Schedule of Play

Friday 25th

- 16.00 Welcome Cocktail
- 16.30 Round-Robin Ist Match
- 20.45 Round-Robin 2nd Match

Saturday 26th

- 10.00 Round-Robin 3rd Match
- 15.30 Semi-finals / Consolation

Sunday - 11.00 Final / Play-off

- 19.00 Prize-giving \& Victory Banquet


## Prizes

The EBL Champions Cup - CA-IB Trophy will be awarded to the winning Team and replicas to the players. Gold, silver and bronze medals will be awarded to the players of the first 3 Teams classified.

Cash prizes totalling $\$ 15.000$ will be awarded:
I st team $\$ 7.000$, 2nd $\$ 3.500$, 3rd $\$ 2.250$, 4th $\$ 1.250$, 5th $\$ 1.000$.

## MIXED TEAMS <br> Results after 14 matches

| 5 Auken | 247.0 | 49 Churlin | 208.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 Willard | 244.0 | 50 Juuri-Oja | 207.0 |
| 7 Riberol | 241.0 | 51 Blondal | 205.0 |
| 8 Zimmermann | 238.0 | 52 Porteous | 205.0 |
| 9 Blouquit | 237.0 | 53 Kondoch | 205.0 |
| 10 Grenthe | 236.0 | 54 Henri | 204.0 |
| II Labaere | 234.0 | 55 Al-Shati | 204.0 |
| 12 Miszewska | 234.0 | 56 Van Woerden | 203.0 |
| 13 Van der Neut | 233.0 | 57 Maybach | 202.0 |
| 14 Fornaciari | 233.0 | 58 Meyer | 202.0 |
| 15 Vives | 233.0 | 59 Backstrom | 202.0 |
| 16 Burgay | 232.0 | 60 Barr | 200.0 |
| 17 Uisk | 232.0 | 61 Kostur | 199.0 |
| 18 Damiani | 231.0 | 62 Garthwaite | 199.0 |
| 19 FIGB I | 230.0 | 63 Chodorowska | 199.0 |
| 20 Farholt | 226.0 | 64 Resta | 198.0 |
| 21 Allix | 223.0 | 65 Smykalla | 198.0 |
| 22 Hocheker | 223.0 | 66 Marsal | 197.0 |
| 23 Huijben | 222.0 | 67 FIGB 2 | 197.0 |
| 24 Ulla Koch | 222.0 | 68 Thordarson | 196.0 |
| 25 Bonori | 221.0 | 69 McGloughlin | 195.0 |
| 26 Kitabgi | 219.0 | 70 Tobias | 194.0 |
| 27 Ditetova | 219.0 | 71 McGowan | 191.0 |
| 28 Beccuti | 219.0 | 72 Brekiesz | 189.0 |
| 29 De Botton | 218.0 | 73 Budd | 188.0 |
| 30 Vriend | 218.0 | 74 Sagiv | 187.0 |
| 31 Mavromichalis | 217.0 | 75 Johnson | 187.0 |
| 32 Senior | 216.0 | 76 Toffier | 186.0 |
| 33 Sterkin | 216.0 | 77 Weir | 185.0 |
| 34 Renoux | 216.0 | 78 Leitner | 182.0 |
| 35 Juhas | 215.0 | 79 De Graeve | 181.0 |
| 36 Dehaye | 215.0 | 80 Harris | 178.0 |
| 37 Russo | 214.0 | 81 Samy | 178.0 |
| 38 Bruchhauser | 213.0 | 82 Xtrouble | 177.0 |
| 39 Ivarsdottir | 213.0 | 83 Alberti | 176.0 |
| 40 Kaplan | 212.0 | 84 Budzik | 176.0 |
| 41 Eginton | 211.0 | 85 Hardeman | 176.0 |
| 42 Sin Deut | 211.0 | 86 Meehan | 172.0 |
| 43 Bamberger | 211.0 | 87 Sigurjonsd | 171.0 |
| 44 Tisserand | 211.0 | 88 Jonsson | 170.0 |
| 45 Vechiatto | 210.0 | 89 Langston | 167.0 |
| 46 Alla | 209.0 | 90 Mayda | 148.0 |
| 47 Gotard | 209.0 | 91 Volina | 116.0 |
| 48 Falay | 208.0 | 92 Sanglier | 111.0 |

## MIXED TEAMS <br> Semifinal Results

|  | $I-16$ | $17-32$ | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Popova-Stoppa | $23-37$ | $27-21$ | $50-58$ |
| Mali - Lavazza | $15-56$ | $35-10$ | $55-66$ |

## A Little Play Problem

H
ow would you have tackled this problem from Round 9 of the Team Championships?
You have the South cards (the hands have been rotated for convenience) and Open One Club. The player on your left overcalls One Diamond and leads the king of spades against the final contract of Five Clubs.

How do you play?


There appear to be three possibilities:
Win the ace of spades and simply take the club finesse.
Win the ace of spades, cash the ace of clubs and then play on hearts.

Win the ace of spades and play on hearts at once, intending to view the trump suit later.

Without attempting an exhaustive analysis, we suspect that the last line is best. This was the full deal:

Board 3. Dealer South. E/WVul.
K Q 52
V 9652
$\diamond$ K 10975
\&

- 964
$\bigcirc$ K 10
- 186
\& A QJ 83

- A 73

ค A Q J 8
$\diamond A 3$
\& 10974

K 652

