

# The Pride of Europe 



We salute the first European Open Team Champions in three categories, Open Women's and Senior. In the Open Israel's Kalish, Avigdor Kalish, Leonid Podgur, Ilan \& Ofir Herbst, Doron \& Israel Yadlin outpaced France's Chemla, Paul Chemla, Philippe Cronier, Michel Abécassis \& Philippe Soulet.
In the Women's event the team representing Italy FIGB Mosca, Emanuela Capriata, Cristina Golin, Gabriella Manara, Caterina Ferlazzo, Monica Buratti \& Darinka Forti overcame The Netherlands Vriend Net, Femke Hoogweg, Wietske Van Zwol, Carla Arnolds, Bep Vriend, Jet Pasman \& Anneke Simons.
The Senior Teams went to the French squad Adad, Pierre Adad, Maurice Aujaleu, Guy Lasserre, Philippe Poizat, François Leenhardt \& Gérard Sallière (NPC Yves Aubry). They defeated the Italian team Fornaciari, Ezio Fornaciari, Antonio Vivaldi, Adriano Abate, Fabrizio Morelli, Franco Baroni \& Marco Ricciarelli.

## OPEN TEAMS

## Semi - Final - Ist half

## Miroglio v Kalish

Four teams still were in contention for a place in Wednesday's Finals when play resumed on Tuesday afternoon: Chemla (the pride of France) would play Chagas (representing the Americas) and in the other match Miroglio (Poland with distinct Italian flavour) would face Kalish (Israel).

Let's first turn our attention to the Miroglio-Kalish match, in which Tuszynski-Kowalski would play Podgur-Kalish on Vugraph, with Szymanowski-Romanski facing the Herbst brothers in the Closed Room.

The Poles scored their first goal on the first board to take a I-0 lead, but the second board brought a much higher turnover:

Board 2. Dealer East. N/S Vul.

|  | - 52 <br> $\bigcirc 32$ <br> $\diamond$ AJ 8654 <br> \& $A$ Q 3 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - 193 | N | - K 10764 |
| $\bigcirc$ Q 87 |  | $\bigcirc 1095$ |
| $\diamond$ Q 10973 | W E | $\checkmark$ - |
| -95 | S | \% K 10864 |
|  | - A Q 8 |  |
|  | PAKJ64 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ K 2 |  |
|  | * 172 |  |

Apolinary Kowalski, Poland
Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kalish | Tuszynski | Podgur | Kowalski |
|  |  | $2{ }^{1}$ | Dble |
| 31. | $4 \diamond$ | Pass | $4 \bigcirc$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

Piotr Tuszynski, who had to bid $4 \diamond$ under a certain pressure, gave the auction some more thought when $4 \bigcirc$ came round to him, but when he finally passed this the Poles were in a sound contract and registered an easy enough +620 . Kowalski won the spade lead, cashed the A and ruffed a spade before playing two top hearts followed by the $\Delta$ K which was ruffed. No overtrick.

At the other table, the Israeli pair were given all the room they wanted:

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Romanski | I. Herbst | Szymanowski | O. Herbst |
|  |  | 2 | Dble |
| 21 | 31. | Pass | 6NT |

With the diamonds not behaving and the 2 K offside, Ofir had to go down two. Miroglio +13 IMP's to lead by 14 now.

Kalish scored a goal on the next board to trail I-I4, but a few boards later it was bingo for them:

Board 6. Dealer East. E/W Vul.

- 98
$\checkmark$ A Q 4
$\diamond$ AK 109
\& 1965

| - 2 | N | -1053 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc 1095$ |  | $\bigcirc 176$ |
| $\checkmark$ QJ 762 | W E | $\checkmark 843$ |
| Q Q 832 | S | \& AK 74 |
|  | - AKQJ | 4 |
|  | ¢K832 |  |
|  | $\checkmark 5$ |  |
|  | - 10 |  |

## Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kalish | Tuszynski | Podgur | Kowalski |
|  |  | Pass | 18 |
| Pass | 2\% | Pass | 2 |
| Pass | 2NT | Pass | 3s |
| Pass | $4 \diamond$ | Pass | 4NT |
| Pass | 5 | Pass | 68 |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

When Kalish led the $\diamond$ Q Apolinary Kowalski quickly had all the tricks. Miroglio +1010 . Could this be a loss for them?

Yes it could. In the Closed Room, they found the club lead but had to pay dearly for it:

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Romanski | I. Herbst | Szymanowski | O. Herbst |
|  |  | Pass | 19 |
| Pass | 2\% | Pass | 34 |
| Pass | $4 \checkmark$ | Pass | $4 \checkmark$ |
| Pass | 4NT | Pass | 54, |
| Pass | 64 | Dble | Redble |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

This was worth +1620 or so, an unusual enough number, but Kalish had got I2 IMP's back to trail by just I.

On the very next board, the Poles struck back:
Board 7. Dealer South. All Vul.

- Q 6
$\checkmark$ A Q
$\diamond$ AQ 843
- A 1093

| - 」109 | N | - 842 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc 10972$ | E | -KJ865 |
| จ1109 | W E | $\diamond 72$ |
| 9875 | S | \% K Q J |
|  | - AK 753 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 43$ |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ K 65 |  |
|  | -642 |  |

## Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kalish | Tuszynski | Podgur | Kowalski |
|  |  |  | Pass |
| Pass | 190 | Pass | 14 |
| Pass | $2 \diamond$ | Pass | 2s |
| Pass | 2NT | Pass | $3 \diamond$ |
| Pass | 34 | Pass | $4 \diamond$ |
| Pass | $4 \bigcirc$ | Pass | 49 |
| Pass | 4NT | Pass | 5 |
| Pass | $6 \diamond$ | All Pass |  |

Straightforward Polish Club. $2 \triangleleft$ showed a strong hand with diamonds and the rest was completely natural,Tuszynski's bid of 3s showing top honour doubleton being the key to a thin slam. When all the suits broke nicely, declarer no longer needed the heart finesse as 12 tricks were already there. Miroglio +1370.

At the other table, they came nowhere near:

## Closed Room

West
Romanski

North
l. Herbst

Pass
1s
3NT

## South <br> O. Herbst <br> East <br> Szymanowski

When North chose 2NT as his rebid, NS were off the slam track. Miroglio had scored 12 IMP's and again taken a more substantial lead.

A few boards later, Kalish again levelled the match:

Board IO. Dealer East. All Vul.
-AJ7542
$\bigcirc$ A Q
$\diamond$ A 73

- 82

| - 98 | N | - 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc 9643$ |  | $\bigcirc \mathrm{K} 1052$ |
| $\checkmark$ K 10 | W E | $\diamond$ J 952 |
| 2KQJ106 | S | + A 97 |
|  | - K Q 63 |  |
|  | QJ87 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ Q 64 |  |
|  | - 543 |  |

## Open Room

| West | North <br> Nuszynski | East <br> Podgur <br> Pass | South <br> Kowalski <br> Pass |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pass | 14 | Pass | $2 \downarrow$ |
| Pass | $2 N T$ | Pass | 3NT |
| Pass | 4 | All Pass |  |

Against this very normal contract East led the $\diamond$. Dummy played low, West had to play the ten and declarer ducked, possi-


Avigdor Kalish, Israel
bly hoping for a favourable continuation. Seeing the ek next was not quite what he wanted... With the 8 K offside, there was no redress. Kalish +100.

## Closed Room

| West | North | East <br> Romanski | South <br> I. Herbst |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Szymanowski | O. Herbst |  |  |
| Pass | $1 乌$ | Pass | Pass |
| Pass | $3 \diamond$ | Pass | $2 ゆ$ |
| All Pass |  | Pass | $4 \$$ |

In the other room, East made a passive trump lead, and Ilan Herbst quickly seized his chance by winning this and presenting the $\oslash$ Q! East won, but he still did not know that he had to attack clubs, so he returned a heart. The ace won, perforce, a middle trump was overtaken in dummy, a club went on the $\oslash$ J and a club was led. When the defence continued clubs, Herbst could ruff high, cross to dummy by overtaking the $\$ 2$ with the $\$ 3$, eliminate the clubs and play the $\triangleleft \mathrm{A}$ and a diamond to the queen. West won the king but had to give a ruff and discard. Well done, +620 to Kalish and 12 IMP's back.

Kalish took the lead two boards later, when NS had to cope with a gigantic misfit:

> Board I2. Dealer West. N/S Vul.


## Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kalish | Tuszynski | Podgur | Kowalski |
| Pass | $2 \boldsymbol{\$}$ | Pass | 2NT |
| Pass | $4 \boldsymbol{e}$ | All Pass |  |

24 showed a twosuiter, but Kowalski's idea behind bidding 2NT certainly was not to hear his partner announce a strong 65 or so. When came back to him, he was stuck as anything he would do next would be treated as forcing. Passing was not a great success either, as the contract went down two, +200 to Kalish.

In the other semifinal, at one table North did not open so it was East who opened IS. South now went in to show his beautiful red hand by bidding $3 \boldsymbol{\%}$, which became the final contract as North could not possibly find any red suit preference with his two singleton nines.

At the other table in this match, the Herbsts were among those who showed the way:

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Romanski | I. Herbst | Szymanowski <br> Pass | O. Herbst <br> Pass |
| ANT Pass |  |  |  |

Don't care too much about partner's twosuiter, just bid what you hope to (and might well!) make. Kalish a practical +600 and a lead of I3 IMP's.

At half time, it stood at 41-27 to Kalish. The second half became a tense affair. At board 20, the score stood level at 42-42, and you might be able to read a report on what happened afterwards elsewhere in this issue.

## A Brilliant Recovery

Mark Horton

On this deal from the final qualifying session of the Open Pairs Germany's Thomasz Gotard overcame an aberration in the bidding by finding a brilliant lead.

Board I4. Dealer East. None Vul.


West
Jaguiewski
Pass
14
Pass

All Pass

One Club was Polish. Yes it would be normal to open One Heart in that system, but this is Menton and $41^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ - or at least it feels like it. North's jump to Four Hearts suggested he had four cards in that suit and five or more clubs.

North found the stunning lead of the five of diamonds!!
Naturally declarer thought it was a singleton and went up with the ace. Playing North to be 3-4-I-5, he played a spade to the ace and a spade to the king and was down 3 , -500 and a $95 \%$ score for the German pair.

## OPEN TEAMS

## Semi - Final - 2nd half

## Chemla v Chagas

On Vugraph for the second part of the Semifinal Round the audience was to be treated to the Chemla-Chagas match. Here, the score was $26-17$ to Chemla at half time, but those who might have been hoping for a close, well-fought match were to be disappointed. Not very much happened, and apart from that it was mostly one way traffic too.

On Vugraph they would start with boards 27 and 28 to enable the Closed Room to finish play on the other boards early enough to make direct comparisons possible. For once, we will follow this same order in the report, as 27 and 28 really were the boards on which it all happened:

Board 27. Dealer South. None Vul.

- Q 8
$\bigcirc$ Q 2
$\diamond$ AKQJIO 9
\& Q 83

| $\begin{aligned} & \Delta J 96 \\ & \vee K J 1093 \\ & \diamond 4 \end{aligned}$ | N |  | - A 10743 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\bigcirc \mathrm{A}$ |  |
|  |  | E |  |
| \& KJ75 | $S$ A |  | 642 |
|  | - K 52 |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 87654$ |  |  |
|  | $\triangleleft 8763$ |  |  |
|  | \& 10 |  |  |
| Open Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Garner | Abécassis | Weinstein | Soulet |
|  |  |  | Pass |
| Pass | INT | $2 \checkmark$ | 2 |
| Pass | Pass | Dble | Pass |
| 2 | 3 | Pass | Pass |
| 31 | Pass | Pass | 420 |
| Dble | 4 | All Pass |  |

Two Diamonds showed spades and a minor, but it looks as if Garner was limping on two thoughts here, as he showed no sign of willingness to defend $2 \triangleleft$ doubled, but also refrained from making a clear game try instead. So everything remained very much in the dark. Even the answer he got from Soulet to his question about Abécassis' diamond length probably needed for the $3 \diamond$ bid (maybe five, maybe six, to which Zia as a Vugraph commentator added that his own personal record was in fact eight) did not help very much to clear the fog.

Even in the repechage offered when Soulet came to life with 4e, game was not found by EW, so Chemla's team lost just 50 on the deal.

In the Closed Room, they made it look very easy:

| Closed Room <br> West <br> Cronier | North <br> Chagas | East <br> Chemla | South <br> Brenner <br> Pass |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pass | INT | 24 | Pass |
| 2NT | Pass | $3 \$$ | Pass |
| 4 | All Pass |  |  |

Spades and, upon request, clubs, so no further problems with a double fit and an outside singleton.

Chemla +420 and 9 IMP's.
On the next board, the Americans struck back, or at least so it looked:

Board 28. Dealer West. N/S Vul.

- A Q 108
© J 742
$\diamond 5$
2 Q 963
- 732
- K Q 63
$\diamond$ J 842
- J 10

- KJ654

ค85
$\diamond 1073$

- 874

Open Room

| West | North | East <br> Garner <br> Abécassis | Weinstein |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | | South |
| :---: |
| Soulet |

Philippe Cronier, France

The French had done their best once again to put their opponents off the track, but this time EW managed to catch the last train. As $5 \triangleleft$ clearly implied adequate trumps and no values in any black suit, Weinstein closed his eyes for a long time before opening them to make the winning bid. Chagas +920 .

The Vugraph audience had to wait till the end of the session before they were to know what the French would do, but in this report, we can finish off the matter already now.

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cronier | Chagas | Chemla | Brenner |
| Pass | Pass | $1 \diamond$ | Pass |
| $1 \diamond$ | Pass | $2 \diamond$ | Pass |
| $3 \diamond$ | Pass | $3 \diamond$ | Pass |
| $4 \diamond$ | Pass | 4 NT | Pass |
| $5 \curvearrowright$ | Pass | $6 \diamond$ | All Pass |

When NS did not interfere, the 24 splinter once again made it look almost too easy. Chemla +920 and no swing.

Helped by the intervention, Abécassis could find a nice line to land 3s:


Well, this did not look too good for the French. North had done well not to overbid in hearts, realising they would probably be stacked behind him, but he might as well have ventured a double over $3 \diamond$ on which South would have been delighted to pass and collect 500 (five top tricks and two heart ruffs).

Abécassis recovered well by making 3s in elegant fashion. East led an obvious trump, West winning the ace and continuing trumps. Declarer drew the remainder of the trumps, East discarding a club and a diamond, unblocked the $\forall \mathrm{A}$ and then played A and a club. East does best to return a diamond immediately but he first played the 9 K instead. This held the trick, and now the diamond came but is was too late. Dummy's king won, declarer throwing a heart, a club was ruffed and the PIO led. East had to win and could only return another heart...Chemla +140 .

It would not have helped East very much to return a diamond immediately, as declarer has the same play available of ruffing a
club and leading the 810 to East, the only difference being that it might have been more difficult to find this solution.

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cronier | Chagas | Chemla | Brenner |
|  | 14 | Pass | INT |
| Pass | $3 \checkmark$ | Pass | $3 N T$ |

When Chemla did not interfere with the opposition bidding (and why should he on a hand like that?), the Brazilians quickly were too high. On a diamond lead, declarer had no play and went one down for another +50 or 5 IMP's to Chemla.

Another partscore swing to Chemla arose when Chagas apparently bid for the swing on this board:

Board 22. Dealer East. E/W Vul.

```
& AK IO 7
PQ985
AK96
04
```


2. usually shows the majors in France, but when it came back to North with a red card, the seized the opportunity to show diamonds as well.As Soulet had no particular reason to disturb this, they played there fort a quiet +90 .

The other room once again was the place to be:

## Closed Room

| West | North <br> Chagas | East <br> Chemia <br> INT | South <br> Brenner <br> Pass |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pass | Pass (!?) |  |  |

Well, one would like to write something like "the place where it all happened," but in fact nothing happened at all. Chagas simply passed, no doubt hoping or even more so, expecting that INT would go down all by itself. Right he was, had Brenner led the 9 instead of his actual choice, the fourth best 6 . When dummy's held the trick Chemla could continue the suit, establish it and get off play with a low heart to North who had to surrender a trick in any suit at the end. Chemla another useful +90 and 5 more IMP's.

The issue had been clearly settled by now, Chemla winning the set 26-4 and 52-2 I in all to move forward into the final.

# Appeal No. 20 <br> England v Russia 

## Appeals Committee:

Steen Møller (Chairman, Denmark), Herman De Wael (Scribe, Belgium), Gary Blaiss (United States), Jean-Paul Meyer (France)

## Women's Teams Round of 16

Board 9. Dealer North. East/West Vulnerable.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1085432 } \\ & \diamond \text { AQ98 } \\ & \diamond \text { K } 53 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - 96 | N |  | Q J 7 |
| PJ62 | W E |  |  |
| $\checkmark$ AQ 1082 |  |  |  |
| * K 95 | $S$ S |  | QJ 62 |
|  | - K |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ K 10543 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark 97$ |  |  |
|  | 2108743 |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Ponomareva | Brock | Gromova | Nelson |
|  | $1{ }^{1}$ | 2\% | Pass |
| 21 | Pass | 2NT | Pass |
| 32 | Pass | 38 | Pass |
| 3NT | All Pass |  |  |

Contract: Three No trumps, played by East
Lead: King of Spades
Result: 9 tricks, NS -600

## The Facts:

East had alerted 3 and explained it as a cue-bid. West had not alerted it. South called the Director at the end of the hand and told him she would have lead a Heart if she had received a correct explanation of the bid of $3 \checkmark$.

## The Director:

Asked South whether she had asked anything about the $3 \oslash$ (she hadn't, because it had been not alerted), and ruled that South had not been damaged by the failure to alert.

## Ruling:

Result Stands

## Relevant Laws:

Law 75A, 40C
North/South appealed.

## Present:

All players and the Captain of North/South

## The Players:

South said she had not lead her own suit, but rather her partner's because $3 \checkmark$ had not been alerted and she had assumed it to be natural.
North told the Committee that East had alerted $3 \checkmark$ and had explained it as a mixed control, also denying the diamond control. East confirmed that this was the meaning and that this was what she had explained.
West told the Committee that she had simply forgotten to alert. She had realized it was a cue-bid, and that it showed a control rather than asking for a stopper. She had bid 3NT because she had assumed the cue bid was made on an honour, since there were not many points out.
East pointed out that South had asked a lot of questions but nothing had been asked about $3 \bigcirc$. Her English was not as good as her opponent's, and she had great difficulty in explaining, and that may be why she forgot to alert. But she had not forgotten what the bid meant.

## The Committee:

Considered that South had asked many questions but not about the one bid which might have solved the problems she said she had.
The Committee came to the conclusion that the choice of lead is always a guess, either with natural hearts or with a heart cue-bid. There was some discussion regarding the difference between the chances of finding the heart lead with the alternative meanings, but the difference was deemed negligible. The choice does not become much easier with the correct explanation.
The Committee felt that South had not been severely damaged.

## The Committee's decision:

Director's ruling upheld.
Deposit: Returned

## Personal Note:

## (by Herman De Wael)

This case illustrates a possible kind of ruling: suppose the Committee finds that the Heart lead would be found $40 \%$ of the time with the wrong explanation, and $60 \%$ of the time with the right one, would there then be a case for giving $20 \%$ of the contract going down.
One could express this as:
( $60 \%$ of 3 NT-I plus $40 \%$ of $3 N T=$ ) minus ( $40 \%$ of $3 N T$ I I plus $60 \%$ of $3 \mathrm{NT}=$ )
to be added to the table score ( $100 \%$ of $3 \mathrm{NT}=$ )
which translates to
$20 \%$ of 3 NT-I by East (NS +100 )
plus $80 \%$ of $3 N T=$ by East (NS -600)
or, when compared to the other table (NS +200), a result of $20 \% \times(-3)+80 \% \times(-13)=-11$ in stead of the -13 they now had.
Law I2C3 authorizes the Appeal Committee (and in some cases the Director) to give these kinds of scores, but would the players understand and appreciate them?

## OPEN TEAMS

## Final

## What might have been

With 32 boards to play in the final of the Open Teams, the French squad led by Paul Chemla trailed the Kalish team of Israel by 23 IMPs. That is not an especially large margin with so many boards to be played. In fact, the French had their opportunities to gain ground, but it was not to be. When the second set was over, Kalish had extended their lead.

This deal early in the match was typical of the missed opportunities by the French, who played Michel Abecassis and Philippe Soulet in the open room against Ilan and Ofir Herbst, while Chemla and Philippe Cronier opposed Doron and Israel Yadlin at the other table.

- 2
- J 765
$\diamond$ K 983
\& 1976
Board 20. Dealer West. All Vul.
- K Q 10
- K 1043
$\diamond 765$
\& K Q 3

- 9643
-A 98
$\diamond$ A 1042
- A 5
- AJ 875
$\bigcirc$ Q 2
$\diamond$ Q J
- 10842

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D. Yadlin | Cronier | I. Yadlin | Chemla |
| Pass | $1 \&$ | Dble | 2 |

The Israelis had no chance to defeat 24 , and they let a trick get away to allow declarer to make nine tricks for plus I40. In the other room, Abecassis and Soulet put themselves in a position for a gain.

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Abecassis | O. Herbst | Soulet | I. Herbst |
| Pass | $1 母$ | Dble | 1. |
| $2 \checkmark$ | $2 \downarrow$ | Pass | Pass |
| $3 \diamond$ | Pass | Pass | 3 |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

Abescassis started with the $\$ 2$, taken in dummy. South led a low heart from the dummy at trick two, and Soulet rose with the ace to play the $\triangleleft \mathrm{A}$ and a diamond to his partner's king. Declarer ruffed the third round of diamonds, cashed the $\vee Q$ and played a club to dummy's queen. At this point, Soulet needed to win the \& A and return the suit, breaking up the impending heart-club squeeze against his partner. Instead, Soulet ducked. Herbst cashed the 8 K , pitching a club, then cashed a high spade in dummy. When West discarded, Herbst took another look at the contract.

East's hand could easily be counted to a 4-3-4-2 pattern, and

Herbst was not fooled at all by Soulet's duck. Soulet would hardly have made a takeout double with only two aces. Declarer's next move, therefore, was to call the $\mathbf{2}$ from dummy. Soulet's \& beat air and Herbst was home with his contract and a wellearned push.

France finally earned a small swing thanks to an accident by the Yadlins in the closed room.

Board 24. Dealer West. None Vul.

- K 75
-A65
$\Delta$ Q 94
* KJ5 4


| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D. Yadlin | Cronier | I. Yadlin | Chemla |
| Pass | $1 \&$ | $1 \diamond$ | INT |
| Pass | Pass | $2 \Leftrightarrow$ | All Pass |



Doron Yadlin, Israel

Clearly East meant his cuebid as a takeout. West did not see it that way and a silly contract was reached. The result was plus 150 for the French, who bid expertly in the open room to reach the right spot.

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Abecassis | O. Herbst | Soulet | I. Herbst |
| Pass | $1 \&$ | $1 \diamond$ | INT |
| Pass | Pass | Dble | Pass |
| $2 \boldsymbol{2 s}$ | Pass | 2 | All Pass |

Abecassis and Soulet did very well to locate their eight-card fit. The Herbsts did their best to defeat this contract, but they could not. llan led a low spade to the jack and king, and a spade was returned to the queen. Soulet played a heart to his jack and South's queen, and South underled his $\mathrm{A} Q$ to his partner's king so he could play another trump. A club was returned, however, ruffed by Soulet. Now the 810 went to the ace and the third trump was played by North. East finished with plus IIO for a 6IMP gain for Chemla.

The Israelis had a chance for a significant gain two boards later, but they let the opportunity slip away.

Board 26. Dealer East. All Vul.

|  | - A9854 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc 976$ |  |  |
|  | $\diamond 872$ |  |  |
|  | - QJ |  |  |
| - Q 763 | N |  | -102 |
| PAQ432 |  | 9 |  |
| $\checkmark$ K 5 |  | E | 10943 |
| \& 72 | S |  | 953 |
|  | - KJ |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ KJ 8 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ AJ 6 |  |  |
|  | * K 10864 |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| D. Yadin | Cronier | I. Yadlin | Chemla |
|  |  | Pass | INT |
| Pass | 2)* | Pass | 2 |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

This was a miserable contract. Spades broke badly, East could get a heart ruff with the short spade holding. Chemla could not manage more than six tricks for minus 200.

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Abecassis | O. Herbst | Soulet <br> Pass | I. Herbst <br> INT |
| $2 \mathbf{e n}^{*}$ | Dble | Redbl | Pass |
| $2 \vee$ | Pass | Pass | 3NT |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

2e showed the majors. Soulet's redouble told his partner to pick the suit. Abecassis would not have enjoyed playing $2 \bigcirc$ - he was probably going to be limited to six tricks himself for another plus 200 for the Israelis. Ilan Herbst, however, apparently mis-
interpreted his partner's double of 2\%, thinking it showed more strength than he actually had.

There was no chance to make the contract on a heart lead, which is what Herbst got. Minus 100 turned out to be a 3 -IMP gain.

Near the end of the set, the French had two chances for gains, but their normally flawless declarer play was not in evidence and they lost IMPs instead of gaining them.

Board 29. Dealer North. All Vul.
$\& 1097$
$\& 1097$
$\diamond K 183$
$\& K 106$
\& 18
\& 853
$\diamond$ Q 64

- 1872


AK 6
K 64
A 972

- A9 5
- Q 5432

Q Q J 2
$\diamond 105$
\& Q 43

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D. Yadlin | Cronier <br> I.Yadlin | Chemla <br> Pass |  |
| Pass | Pass | INT | Pas |

Israel Yadlin did not strain himself after the lead of a low spade to the jack, and he finished with seven tricks for plus 90. The vugraph commentators predicted that Abecassis and Soulet would be in game - and they were correct.

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Abecassis | O. Herbst | Soulet | I. Herbst |
|  | Pass | I | Pass |
| I $\vee$ | Pass | 2NT | Pass |
| 3NT | All Pass |  |  |

South also started with a low spade, and Soulet was encouraged to see that the held at trick one (North played the 10 ). The problem was which suit to tackle, and how to go about it. You can see that there are possibilities in three suits outside of spades.

Soulet started well by ducking a heart. He won the spade return and played a low diamond to the queen and North's king. A third round of spades cleared the suit, and Soulet could have made his game by cashing the $\diamond \mathrm{A}$, followed by the $\nabla \mathrm{K}$ and a heart to dummy's ace.After the 13th heart was cashed, declarer could lead up to the $\diamond 9$. He would have his game with three hearts, three spades, two diamonds and a club.

Instead of cashing the $\diamond A$, however, Soulet played a low diamond from his hand, apparently in the belief that spades were 44. South gratefully won the $\diamond 10$ and cashed two spade tricks for down one and a 5-IMP gain for Kalish instead of an II-IMP loss.

The next deal could only be described as an aberration by a top-flight player.

Board 30. Dealer East. None Vul.

$\wedge 64$
$\diamond$ AK 10642
$\diamond 10$
$\&$ AQJ3


$$
85
$$

$\diamond$ Q 85
$\diamond$ AQ 9864
$>65$
\& K Q 1097
$\checkmark 973$
K 32
\% 72

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D. Yadlin | Cronier | I. Yadlin | Chemla |
|  |  | Pass | Pass |
| 18 | Pass | $2 \bigcirc$ | 24 |
| $4 \checkmark$ | 49 | Dble | All Pass |

Cronier and Chemla did well to find the profitable 4save. Chemla was down only two for minus 300.

The Herbst brothers were not as aggressive in the other room, and Chemla was going to have another small swing. Instead it was a surprising loss.

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Abecassis | O. Herbst | Soulet | I. Herbst |
|  |  | Pass | Pass |
| 18 | Pass | 2 | 24 |
| 3\% | 34 | $4 \diamond$ | Pass |
| $4 \bigcirc$ | All Pass |  |  |

North started with the $\Phi \mathrm{A}$ and continued with a spade to South's queen. South played the $\mathbf{~} 7$ through declarer's hand, and North took the queen with the king to shoot the 10 back to declarer's ace. As you can see, the contract is unbreakable - as long as declarer can ruff his losing club in dummy, and you can also see that he must take care not to ruff with anything but the queen.

Vugraph commentators were calmly predicting plus 420 - until trick four. It was at that moment that Abecassis played a low heart to the queen! Now the contract could not be made. He delayed the inevitable by trying to figure out a way to come up with 10 tricks, but there was no hope. A 3-IMP gain had turned into an 8IMP loss as the Kalish team moved closer to the championship.

## Pairs Finals

We are almost sure the following numbers apply for the finals in the three pairs events:

Open Pairs: 52 in total of which 4I are from SF-A, 6 from SF-B and 5 from the KO Final.
Women's Pairs: 28 in total of which 19 are from SF-A, 6 from the KO Final and 3 from SF-B.
Senior Pairs: 32 in total of which 23 are from SF-A, 6 from the KO Final and 3 from SF-B.

Ton Kooijman

| West | North | East | South <br> Auken |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kirilenko |  |  |  | | Von Arnim |
| :---: |
| Pass |

In 3rd or 4th position, 3NT need not be as gambling as it would be in Ist or 2 nd.

Still, the golden rule of trying an ace to have a look at dummy may apply when on lead. So Kirilenko led the A and continued the 8 A on which his partner played the queen.

A club switch now would have destroyed the story, but East continued a spade, dummy's king winning. Now, Sabine Auken was able to cash all the diamonds and reach this beautiful ending:


On the last diamond, dummy discarded a spade and West was helpless. He threw a club, as throwing the $\wp 9$ would make it all too obvious, but Sabine read the position correctly. She cashed the first before returning to her hand to make the last two tricks with the 8 K and the now established 2 Q . A genuine criss-cross squeeze just had come by, a very good reason to record it for posterity here.

## Doubling Doron

As a player on the Lara team found out, you better be careful when you double Doron Yadlin. This deal from the quarterfinal match of the Kalish and Lara squads is a case in point.

Board 27. Dealer South. None Vul.

- KJ 5
-A9854
$\diamond A K 76$
- A
- 9742
$\bigcirc 6$
$\checkmark 8532$
\& K 963


| A 8 QJ 9 |
| :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

ค J 73
$\diamond 10$
Q Q 875

| West | North <br> D. Yadlin | East | South <br> I. Yadlin <br> Pass |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pass | 18 | Pass | 28 |
| Pass | $4 》$ | Dble | All Pass |

The contract cannot be defeated, but declarer must take care in the play, which is exactly what Doron did.

The opening lead was the $\diamond$ J, Rusinow style. Doron won with the ace and played the K from hand. East won the ace as West signaled a count of four cards. The $\diamond 9$ was next, taken by North with the king. Then came the A, a spade to the queen and the Q , ducked. Doron discarded his $\$$ on the Q then ruffed a club low. He ruffed a diamond in dummy, ruffed a club with the 89 , which was overruffed by East's IO.A fourth round of diamonds was ruffed in dummy, leaving this position:


The $\vee \mathrm{J}$ ran to East's queen and he was left on play at trick 12 to lead into North's tenace. That was plus 590 for Doron. His team won the match 124-49.

## Thank you for coming!

The EBL wishes to thank all competitors for coming to Menton and being part of a historic event, the Ist European Open Championship.

This event reflects a new philosophy in that the EBL now embraces all European players, not just the top ones.And this happens in cooperation with the NBOs who are actively supporting this idea. The European Open Championships will be held every odd-numbered year in a place suitable for bridge, holidays and fun for everybody!

The first event in Menton brought us back to a place that won universal acclaim when the European Team Championships were held here exactly ten years ago. The idea was to combine the wonderful surroundings with a firstclass tournament. A lot of effort was put into this, but unfortunately we have had to face many difficulties.

The main one was, of course, the heat. We are told that such weather occurs rarely. But it has occurred now, and the lack of air-conditioning in Palais de l' Europe has showed this as much as one could imagine. Other people complained about the timing, noise, smoking, mobile phones, etc. All in all, things did not go the way we planned - much to everyone's regret.

Our aim has always been - and still remains - to offer you the best service possible. Have no doubt that we are going to achieve this, and you are going to enjoy it. However, we need your cooperation.

When you get home, please take a moment to think what you liked and what you didn't in the Ist European Open Championship.Then, send us an email message to the address [menton@eurobridge.org](mailto:menton@eurobridge.org), mentioning the points you wish to see improved. You don't need to write much - just mention the points. And please, don't think that others will do it for you. Everybody's opinion counts - and the opinions of a large group takes precedence over those of a smaller one.

The EBL Executive Committee has already decided that all complaints will be considered thoroughly. The outcome will be used for a much better 2nd European Open Championship in 2005. That's a promise.

With your help and support.
For You.
For Europe.
For Bridge.
Thank you very much for your cooperation.

The EBL Executive Committee

# La Gazette du Palais 

par Guy Dupont et Philippe Brunel

## Les plus jeunes

La paire la plus jeune : I'Argentin Augustin Madala, 16 ans, qui a déjà connu son heure de gloire dans l'équipe nationale argentine, associé à l'Anglais Shivan Shah, 10 ans, et qui a déjà derrière lui une carrière de quatre ans de bridgeur. Ils ont terminé 276èmes sur 337 ( $46,37 \%$ ) des éliminatoires.

## Zia ambassadeur du vin français

Zia Mahmood a raconté, au micro du rama, qu'il s'était fâché dans un restaurant de New York, en s'apercevant que les vins français avaient disparu de la carte. Il avait protesté auprès du patron:" Faites ce que vous voulez en politique, mais, de grâce, ne nous privez pas du vin français, qui est si bon ".

## Les faux Beatles

Démasquée, la paire Sergent - Pepper, en réalité Sergent Maillet, qui a réussi a faire ressusciter ce célèbre titre des Beatles dans les trois premières séances de l'Open. Hélas ! leur performance n'a pas été à la hauteur de la notoriété de la chanson.

## Les pensées du chien Mazette

Il est toujours difficile de trouver le bon flanc contre un contrat inchutable.
(inspiré par une réflexion de Jean-Paul Meyer au Rama)

## Carré de 2

Curiosité : un joueur, en défense, a réussi l'exploit de fournir les quatre 2 sur les quatre premières levées d'une donne ; trois fois en fournissant à la couleur, la quatrième en défaussant.

## Rendons à César

A propos de la donne publiée dans la Gazette d'hier, sous le titre " Frissons sur le secteur ", notre rédacteur en chef Mark Horton, qui a l'œil - et qui nous a à l'œil -, a trouvé suspect que le déclarant ait pu réussir neuf levées dans notre compte rendu, alors que le même coup dans la version anglaise, en page 6, avait chuté ! Après l'enquête qu'il nous a dûment demandé de diligenter, il apparaît que la retransmission du rama n'avait pas révélé les toutes dernières cartes jouées par la défense. Or, au prix d'un magnanime sacrifice, Howard Weinstein avait débloqué $V$-I0 de dans V-10-8-3-2, afin d'échapper à la mise en main lorsque le déclarant, après A-R-D de avait rejoué le 6 . Un détail qui nous avait échappé (et qui a, semble-t-il, échappé à la salle du rama, dans la confusion de la fin du match). Toutes nos excuses au brillant défenseur, qui mériterait un prix pour ce coup d'éclat.

## Taillé sur mesure

Un chelem pas si facile à appeler, sur la donne 28 des demifinales. Il a souvent été manqué. Dans le match Chemla-Chagas, il fut appelé aux deux tables.
(O/N-S)

- AD 108
- V742
$\diamond 5$
\& D 963
- 732
-RD63
$\diamond$ V8 42

2. V 10

. RV654
ค85
$\diamond 1073$
\& 87
Au rama, avec la version " les adversaires font du bruit " :

| Ouest | Nord | Est | Sud |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Garner | Abécassis | Weinstein | Soulet |
| Passe | Passe | $1 \diamond$ | Passe |
| 18 | X | XX | 2 |
| Passe | Passe | 3 | Passe |
| $5 \diamond$ | Passe | $6 \diamond$ | (Fin) |

En salle fermée, avec la version " l'adversaire ne met pas le nez à la fenêtre " :

| Ouest | Nord | Est | Sud <br> Cronier <br> Chagas |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chemla | Brenner |  |  |
| Passe | Passe | $1 \diamond$ | Passe |
| $1 \diamond$ | Passe | $2 \diamond *$ | Passe |
| $3 \diamond$ | Passe | $3 \diamond$ | Passe |
| $4 \diamond$ | Passe | 4 SA | Passe |
| $5 \&$ | Passe | $6 \diamond$ | (Fin) |

* main forte avec cinq $\diamond$ sans quatre cartes à

Pas de points perdus à $\$$, le mariage à $\odot$, deux cartes à ${ }^{\boldsymbol{R}}$, Ouest a, il est vrai, la main taillée sur mesure.

## Samy donne la manche?

Mais pas du tout, il l' encaisse! Pas malheureux les Lyonnais Brigitte et Alain Samy sur cette donne, en jouant 4s de la bonne main, mais encore fallait-il les gagner...

Paires Open, 2e séance, donne 14-E / P
, R 9763
ค 862
$\diamond$ V 1098

* A

| D | N |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc$ A DV |  |  |
| $\checkmark$ A 63 |  | E |
| \%RD7652 | S |  |

¢ 542
$\bigcirc 10543$
$\diamond$ D 4
\& V984

4 AV 108

- R 97
$\diamond$ R 752
\& 103

| Ouest | Nord | Est | Sud |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | B. Samy |  | A. Samy |

Le déclarant prend l'entame du Roi de l'As, élimine les atouts et fait l'impasse à $\diamond$. En main à l'As, Ouest ressort à coupé au mort. Clarification des $\diamond$, et $\diamond$ pour le 9 de la main (fournir le 10 en Est pourrait inciter le déclarant à couvrir du Roi!). Bloqué à $\bigcirc$, Ouest rend la dixième levée.

## Respect de l'ordre

Une bonne entame et un partage défavorable à l'atout n'auront pas eu raison des déclarants précautionneux. Regardez plutôt :

Paires Open, 2e séance, donne 18 - E/N-S

$2 \diamond$ : multi, $2 \wedge$ : négatif sur un 2 faible, 2 SA : tricolore fort,
3* : demande de singleton, $3 \diamond$ : singleton

Bonne séquence pour déclarer ce chelem! Mais comment faut-il jouer sur entame atout ?

La meilleure ligne de jeu consiste à prendre l'entame en main de la Dame et à commencer par jouer As de et coupé, puis à tirer Roi et As de $\diamond$. Rien n'apparaît ! Roi de pour la défausse d'un $\diamond$ et $\diamond$ coupé. Le déclarant ouvre alors la coupe à ${ }^{3}$. Sud prend et revient à l'atout (on découvre les atouts $3-1$ ). Le déclarant prend de l'As de $\vee$, coupe son dernier du Valet de $\vee$,
rentre au mort à coupé, encaisse le Roi de $\vee$ et réalise la dernière levée avec son treizième $\diamond$ affranchi.

## Contre-pied

Mettez-vous à la place du Turc Ozkan, en défense, en Est, dans la deuxième séance qualificative du paires:

$$
\text { Donne } 15 \text { (S/N-S) }
$$

\& RV973

- A 107
$\diamond 107$
* R D 9


| Ouest <br> Yilmaz | Nord | Est <br> Ozkan | Sud |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Passe | $1 \diamond *$ | Passe | 2 |
| Passe | $2 ڭ^{* *}$ | Passe <br> (Fin) | $2 S A$ |
| Passe | $3 S A$ |  |  |

* ambigu (négatif ou positif)
** naturel, positif
Ouest entame du 3 de $\triangleright$ (quatrième meilleure), pour le 7 , que vous prenez du Roi. Vous décidez, à tort ou à raison, de contre-attaquer du 4 de $\diamond$, que le déclarant prend de l'As, avant de laisser filer le 10 de $\mathbf{~}$. Quel est votre plan de défense?

Histoire d'appâter le déclarant, Ozkan a encaissé de l'As, et rejoué $\diamond$, pour le Roi. Sud n'a pas manqué de poursuivre à à en renouvelant l'impasse à la Dame, localisée en Ouest. Moins 2 !


En prenant de la Dame de à la première occasion, Ouest aurait rendu moins gourmand le déclarant, qui se serait contenté de ses neuf levées.

## Cose di casa nostra

by Franco Broccoli

E così, come avete visto precedentemente in questo bollettino, dopo 14 board di semifinale, la squadra israeliana Kalish (Podgur, I. Herbst, O. Herbst, D. Yadlin, I. Yadlin) conduce per 4I a 27 su I'ultimo spicchio italiano rimasto nella competizione open, gli italo-polacchi di Miroglio (Bongiovanni, Kowalski, Tuszynski, Szymanowski, Romanski). 14 imps non sono tanti ma, in un'assurda ripartizione, richiedono sempre un recupero di almeno un imp a mano, con gli avversari ininfluenti ai limiti dell'assenza. L'impresa non è facile, eppure il quartetto polacco schierato al tavolo comincia a rosicchiare surlevée in mani che, in altre occasioni, richiederebbero impegno ed attenzione inferiori. Fino a portarsi a 9 imps di ritardo (42 a 33). A questo punto lo schermo del rama illumina la mano seguente:

Board 20. Dichiarante Ovest.Tutti in zona.

|  | $0-$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc 10742$ |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ K Q J 83 |  |
|  | ¢ 9874 |  |
| -10983 | N | ¢ A Q 6542 |
| $\bigcirc$ Q 863 |  | $\bigcirc$ - |
| $\checkmark 1096$ |  | $\checkmark$ A 542 |
| * Q 3 | S | \& $\mathrm{A} J 5$ |
|  | ¢ K J 7 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ AKJ 95 |  |
|  | $\diamond 7$ |  |
|  | \& K 1062 |  |

L'incontro trasmesso è un altro, ma le carte sono uguali per tutti e nella calda e soporifera penombra del teatro che ospita il Vu Graph appaiono i risultati in tempo reale.

Si vede subito che è una distribuzione che può spostare un congruo numero di imps da una parte all'altra. Nemmeno a dirlo, all'aggiornamento del punteggio appare che gli inseguitori hanno


Marek Szymanowski, Poland
messo a segno un colpo da 9 , proprio quei 9 imps che permettono a Miroglio di raggiungere Kalish (42 pari). Romanski/Szymanowski, in chiusa, dopo essersi appoggiati le picche, hanno contrato le $4 \checkmark$ avversarie incassando 200. Attenzione, non è così facile e immediato perché per segnare questo duecentino, limitando a nove le prese avversarie, Ovest deve attaccare fiori. Con qualsiasi altro inizio Sud è in condizione di mantenere il contratto. Nell'altra sala gli israeliani, sulle $4 \checkmark$ di Kowalski/Tuszynski, hanno rialzato a 44, per il contro di Kowalski e una presa di caduta. 200+200=9 (quanto avevi in aritmetica? No, è che se si ragiona in imps...).

L'euforia dei supporter poloitaliani dura pochissimo, una vera doccia scozzese. Nel board immediatamente successivo, infatti...

Board 21 . Dealer North. N/S Vul.
¢ 7643
คAQ9732
$\diamond 6$

- 82


Il verdetto è secco: Kowalski, in Sud, ha preso il contro a $4 \diamond$ cadendo di due prese (-500), mentre Szymanowski, in Est nell'altra sala, ha pagato 150 per tre down nel contratto di 4e. Sono 12 pesantissimi imps per Israele che fa un deciso balzo in avanti verso il passaggio del turno.

Nei board 23 e 24 i polacchi rosicchiano due imps per $4 \checkmark$ mantenute da Szymanowski contro il 3SA di Kalish e la doppia surlevée a 3SA di Kowalski contro la singola, nello stesso contratto degli avversari nell'altra sala.

L'ultimo colpo di reni degli inseguitori è stato questo:
Board 25. Dichiarante Nord. E/O in zona.
¢ 5
๑K Q 75
$\diamond$ AKQ 73
\& J 106
ゅKQ743
$\bigcirc 43$
$\diamond$ J 852
\& 53

Gli E/O israeliani in aperta, indecisi sullo sviluppo dell'incontro, chiamano la manche a 4inendo un down, mentre Roman-
ski／Szymanowski si fermano a 2 realizzando 8 prese．
Il tempo ormai lavora contro chi deve fare punti per forza e i tre capitoli conclusivi di questa avvincente semifinale portano un imp ai vincitori（ $24+2$ contro $2 s+3$ nel board 27 ）e due mani pari（3SA＋I contro 4s nel 26 e $5 \diamond+I$ nel 28 ）．Kalish passa in fi－ nale per 6 imps ．Miroglio agguanta il bronzo con tanta soddisfazione e un minimo di rimpianto che，in questi casi，è au－ tomatico．Onore ai vincitori e ai vinti．

Come al solito，torniamo indietro di un match per parlare di una mano della fase precedente．
Siamo nei quarti，Miroglio／Wests（Irlanda），seconda sessione，gara tesa e vibrante（pietà！），spettatori pochi，differenza di imps buona ma non esaltante，mani da giocare due．Parliamo della penultima．

|  | 27．Dichiar <br> © K J <br> －A 9 <br> $\diamond A K$ <br> \＆$A$ | nte Sud．Tutti in $854$ $76$ | prima． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| － 9742 | N | －A |  |
| $\bigcirc 6$ |  |  | Q 102 |
| $\checkmark 8532$ |  | $\mathrm{E} \quad \diamond \mathrm{Q}$ | 194 |
| －K963 | S | －10 |  |
| －Q 1063 |  |  |  |
| $\bigcirc \mathrm{P} 73$ |  |  |  |
| $\checkmark 10$ |  |  |  |
| \＆Q 875 |  |  |  |
| Ovest | Nord | Est | Sud |
| Romanski | Fitzgibbon | Szymanowski | Mesbur |
|  |  |  | passo |
| passo | 13 | contro | surcontro |
| ISA | contro | passo | passo |
| surcontro | passo | $2 \checkmark$ | passo |
| passo | contro | tutti passano |  |

I è è forte，contro disturba mostrando i rossi o i neri，sur－ contro è $4 / 6$ con le fiori，ISA chiede se stiamo sul rosso o sul nero，contro vuol dire contro，surcontro richiede， $2 \triangleleft$ mostra i rossi e contro sta per contro，per la miseria！

Mesbur ha intavolato il $10 \diamond$ d＇attacco per tre giri nel colore di Fitzgibbon．Non promette proprio bene．Szymanowski，che strada facendo si è sbloccato in atout，entra con l＇8 del morto sul terzo colpo a quadri e gioca cuori，che Nord fila，per il Re della mano． Dopo aver tagliato il 2 di cuori con l＇ultimo atout del morto Szy－ manowski ha giocato una piccola fiori per l＇Asso secco di Nord che è tornato picche．Il dichiarante è entrato con l＇Asso，ha elimi－ nato l＇ultima quadri in giro e ha rigiocato picche．Sud ha impegna－ to la Dama，rimanendo in presa，e ha intavolato la Dama di fiori． Szymanowski è entrato di Re e，rigiocando picche，ha messo in presa Nord che è stato costretto a portare l＇ultima cuori． $2 \vee x-1$ ． Sembrava un massacro durante la licita．．．Nell＇altra sala i 3SA chia－ mati da N／S sono caduti di una presa．

Casa Italia è ancora in festa．Altro metallo pregiato prende la strada di casa con FIGB Mosca（Buratti／Forti，Ferlazzo／Ma－ nara e Capriata／Golin）nello squadre donne e di Fornacia－ ri（Vivaldi，Abate／Morelli e Baroni／Ricciarelli）nel seniores． Appuntamento a domani per il resoconto sulla prima ses－ sione della finale femminile．

## World Computer－Bridge Championship Round

 Robin CompleteThe round robin portion of the ACBL 7th annual world computer－bridge championship was completed on Tuesday evening．The four top finishers are compete in a semifinal KO that started yesterday．The order of finish is shown below．Wbridge5 topped all competitors with 165 VPs ． Closely behind were two－time defending champion，Jack， with I62，and Micro Bridge with 161．Fourth place was de－ cided in the final round in which Bridge Baron defeated Wbridge5 46－45 to narrowly take fourth place over Q－ Plus Bridge．Will history repeat itself with Wbridge5 and Jack meeting in the final as they did last year in Montreal？ Not if Micro Bridge and Bridge Baron continue to play as they did in the round robin，with both defeating their semi－ finals opponents．

Wednesday＇s 64－board semifinal match pitted Wbridge5 against Bridge Baron and Jack against Micro Bridge．

The final standing，using a 25 VP scale，is：

| Team | VPs |
| :--- | :---: |
| Wbridge5，France | 165 |
| Jack，The Netherlands | 162 |
| Micro Bridge，Japan | 161 |
| Bridge Baron，USA | 141 |
| Q－Plus Bridge，Germany | 128 |
| Oxford Bridge，UK | 121 |
| Blue Chip Bridge，UK | 88 |
| Meadowlark Bridge，USA | 29 |
| Sabrina，France | 9 |

AI Levy

## 「ーーーーーーーーーーーーーーー

I England＇$s$ Mark Smith might at times be described as un－
－kempt．The proprietor of the hotel at which he resides in－ formed him that he resembled Robinson Crusoe．Mark promptly replied，＇Its alright，I＇m leaving Friday．＇
－There was a certain amount of confusion surrounding the result of the match between Senior \＆Turkey．The Turkish players took their place at the table for Board I
－but were replaced by the Anglo／Bulgarian alliance for
－Board 2．Luckily this game of musical Bridge did not con－ tinue．

I As the heatwave continues unabated the Icelandic Bridge
【 Federation announce record entries for their next tour－ nament．

## OPEN PAIRS - SEMI-FINAL A

(standings after I session - provisional)

|  | Ist | 67 | CZUL - PIETRASZEK | 49.32 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I SANDQVIST - HOLLAND | 71.00 | 68 | KEMENY - TRENKA | 49.18 |
| 2 MORATH - GUSTAWSSON | 65.17 | 69 | RUBENIS - JANSONS | 48.88 |
| 3 GOTARD - PIEKAREK | 64.08 | 70 | FRANCES - TORRES | 48.84 |
| 4 POLETYLO - WOJCICKI | 63.01 | 71 | MOEN - ERGA | 48.84 |
| 5 MEJANE - DUMAZET | 62.35 | 72 | DIKLIC - MILADIN | 48.71 |
| 6 ANIL - RAJESH | 61.96 | 73 | GROMOLLER - KIRMSE | 48.42 |
| 7 ATABEY - KOLATA | 61.85 | 74 | MECKSTROTH - MECKSTROTH | 48.24 |
| 8 BIZON - KOWALSKI | 59.87 | 75 | PEDERSEN - BARDSEN | 48.01 |
| 9 ANDREEV - VOROBEI | 59.53 | 76 | APTEKER - GOWER | 47.79 |
| 10 GAROZZO - DUPONT | 59.44 | 77 | DE FALCO - DEL BUONO | 47.72 |
| II PAZUR - JAGNIEWSKI | 59.11 | 78 | IYILIKCI - ZOBU | 47.70 |
| 12 WIJS - MULLER | 58.39 | 79 | FISCHER - SAURER | 47.59 |
| 13 BIRMAN - LEVIN | 57.85 | 80 | ZONCHEV - SHOKOV | 47.45 |
| 14 MADSEN - MADSEN | 57.76 | 81 | FARAH - HAMDAN | 47.25 |
| 15 BALDURSSON - JONSSON | 57.51 | 82 | JOHANNSSON - JONSSON | 47.17 |
| 16 FAGIER - SCHERDIN | 57.35 | 83 | CARPENTIERI - GUARINO | 47.14 |
| 17 VERHEES - JANSMA | 56.92 | 84 | ZNAMIROWSKI - OPALINSKI | 46.99 |
| 18 HACKETT -WATERLOW | 56.78 | 85 | CICHOCKI - ZURAKOWSKI | 46.81 |
| 19 STARKOWSKI-TUSZYNSKI | 56.65 | 86 | NOBERIUS - TORNQUIST | 46.80 |
| 20 USZYNSKI-RENOUARD | 56.51 | 87 | GLADYSH - KRASNOSSELSKI | 46.78 |
| 21 ASSAEL-ZORLU | 56.49 | 88 | BURG - BUCHMAYR | 46.70 |
| 22 PEICHEV - ARONOV | 56.41 | 89 | HISHMAR - VARENNE | 46.66 |
| 23 FURUTA - TERAMOTO | 56.35 | 90 | VICENT - GODED | 46.60 |
| 24 MECKSTROTH - RODWELL | 56.12 | 91 |  | 46.57 |
| 25 FORRESTER - MCINTOSH | 55.88 | 92 | MARTIN - BOSS | 46.41 |
| 26 THOMPSON - CLARK | 55.73 | 93 | MAGNUSSON - BERTHEAV | 46.31 |
| 27 WLADOW - ELINESCU | 55.70 | 94 | COHEN - LEBOVICH | 46.17 |
| 28 GROMOV - PETRUNIN | 55.11 | 95 | TUTKA - GAWECKI | 46.11 |
| 29 EIDI - MARTENS | 55.10 | 96 | OLANSKI - KWIECIEN | 46.02 |
| 30 COMPERNOLLE - DEBUS | 54.97 | 97 | FILIOS - PAPAKYRIAKOPOUL | 46.01 |
| 31 AMOEDO -VILLAS | 54.83 | 98 | BORIN - EFRAIMSSON | 46.00 |
| 32 ROBSON - BAKHSHI | 54.16 | 98 | ANCESSY - BRUNET | 46.00 |
| 33 REPS - LUDEWIG | 53.92 | 100 | ANCESSY - BRUNET | 46.00 |
| 34 GILBOA - WAX YACOV | 53.89 | 101 | ROMBAUT - DADOUN | 45.99 4599 |
| 35 HACKETT - DE BOTTON | 53.87 | 102 | SIIVERSTONE - STOCK | 45.99 |
| 36 DIEDEN - BLOMDAHL | 53.77 | 102 | SILVERSTONE - STOCK | 45.98 |
| 37 CHMURSKI - PUCZYNSKI | 53.77 | 103 | VOZABAL - SVOBODA | 45.74 |
| 38 AUKEN - ARNIM | 53.70 | 104 | WIKNER - PETTERSSON | 45.02 |
| 39 GAEK - PROKHOROV | 53.63 | 105 | JAGGER - PAGAN | 45.01 |
| 40 GIBBONS - COOKE | 53.57 | 106 | BERTENS - BAKKEREN | 45.00 |
| 41 OURSEL - FLEURY | 53.38 | 107 | CHOUR - SOLNTSEV | 44.92 |
| 42 SADEK - ELAHMADY | 53.00 | 108 | MAARI - KOWALSKI | 44.53 |
| 43 FANTONI - LANCIANO | 52.94 | 109 | LORMANT - ELLIA | 44.51 |
| 44 HOEYLAND - HOEYLAND | 52.69 | 110 | BESSIS - LEVY | 44.36 |
| 45 PROOIJEN - BRINK | 52.59 | 111 | LEWACIAK - MICHALEK | 44.31 |
| 46 HAAS - MARACHE | 52.45 | 112 | ZHMAK - GARUSOV | 44.29 |
| 47 VINCIGUERRA - MARI | 52.25 | 113 | NEUT - BOMHOF | 44.24 |
| 48 CABANES - GAUTRET | 52.08 | 114 | GRAGLIA - CARRE | 43.74 |
| 49 HANLON - MCGANN | 52.06 | 115 | SENIOR - WOLFARTH | 43.66 |
| 50 AABYE - HELNESS | 51.97 | 116 | SIKORSKI - HENCLIK | 43.53 |
| 51 MULTON - PALAU | 51.86 | 117 | THOMA - NASDER | 42.95 |
| 52 MOSS - GRUE | 51.75 | 118 | VIVES - VIVES | 42.77 |
| 53 PEDERSEN - BECK | 51.72 | 119 | ISPORSKI - KOVACHEV | 42.12 |
| 54 PIKUS - LUCKO | 51.34 | 120 | DE MARTINIS - FIORETTI | 41.60 |
| 55 WESTRA - EIJCK | 51.14 | 121 | MARIPUU - SESTER | 41.46 |
| 56 FRITSCHE - MARSAL | 51.09 | 122 | IZISEL - PILON | 41.43 |
| 57 RINGUET - MATEOS-RUIZ | 50.96 | 123 | BASTIANSEN - SANDVIK | 40.80 |
| 58 OZDIL - MESBUR | 50.88 | 124 | FONTENEAU - CARALP | 40.63 |
| 59 HALLBERG - RYMAN | 50.80 | 125 | BACH - EVANS | 40.26 |
| 60 CARROLL - GARVEY | 50.74 | 126 | MILO - VOLPI | 39.26 |
| 61 INGIMARSSON - EINARSSON | 50.54 | 127 | RALKO - WOJAS | 39.14 |
| 62 KOISTINEN - BACKSTROM | 50.47 | 128 | GERIN - BOULOGNE | 38.88 |
| 63 KOWALEWSKI - BUZE | 49.87 | 129 | SABATE - PARTIARROYO | 38.54 |
| 64 SOLARI - MUS MARC | 49.82 | 130 | TEODORESCU - NEGOESCU | 38.43 |
| 65 SCHILHART - BUCHLEV | 49.75 | 131 | LUPU - LUPU | 38.04 |
| 66 SAUR - GAMLEMSHAUG | 49.49 | 132 | BONGIOVANNI - ROMANSKI | 34.84 |

## OPEN PAIRS - SEMI-FINAL B

 (standings after I session - provisional)|  | Ist | 58 | DOBROIU - HEAGU | 53.42 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I DRIJVER - SCHOLLAARDT | 67.96 | 59 | LODATO - TROMBETTA | 53.38 |
| 2 BOMPIS - DE ST.MARIE | 65.73 | 60 | KARBANOVICH - ZHURAVEL | 53.37 |
| 3 RYNNING - BRANDSNES | 63.27 | 61 | YUEN - HARRY | 53.28 |
| 4 MORTAROTTI - RUSSO | 63.22 | 62 | MAUBERQUEZ - ALLIX | 53.17 |
| 5 BERG-JORGENSEN | 62.94 | 63 | HONTI - HARANGOZO | 52.99 |
| 6 SIMON -TERRANEO | 62.90 | 64 | ATTALI - CUENCA | 52.96 |
| 7 BITRAN - ROCAFORT | 61.77 | 65 | GWINNER - KUNZLI | 52.90 |
| 8 BRUGGEMAN - GROOT | 61.48 | 66 | VAINIKONIS - PSZCZOLA | 52.80 |
| 9 CLAIR - TOTARO | 61.43 | 67 | BEAUMIER - NAHMIAS | 52.63 |
| 10 BUSSEK - ROHOWSKY | 61.34 | 68 | LEDGER - SQUIBB | 52.58 |
| II SCHRODEL-ZEITLER | 61.29 | 69 | SONSER - BARRETT | 52.52 |
| 12 TURCI-RAFFA | 61.00 | 70 | ATHUIL - BUREAU | 52.29 |
| 13 WRANG - FALLENIUS | 60.73 | 71 | STAML - JAHE | 52.11 |
| 14 SISSENER - LOVE | 60.34 | 72 | VOINESCU - TACIUC | 52.09 |
| 15 ASLAN - AKGUL | 60.32 | 73 | SALOMONE - BERTELLO | 52.09 |
| 16 MARINA - QUERAN | 60.21 | 74 | KYTCHANOV - KHANTIMIROV | 52.07 |
| 17 MATRICARDI - CORCHIA | 60.17 | 75 | LITVAK - RUDAKOV | 52.01 |
| 18 KRISHNAKUMAR - KIRUBAKARA | 59.91 | 76 | ASH MIKE - MCPHEE | 51.98 |
| 19 ARASZKIEWICZ - PASTERNAK | 59.74 | 77 | PETERSON - ANDERSSON | 51.94 |
| 20 ARMSTRONG - MELBOURNE | 59.73 | 78 | BOGACKI - LUNA | 51.73 |
| 21 BOWLES - MOHANDES | 59.56 | 79 | FRANCES - BARBAROUX | 51.63 |
| 22 KUJAWA - OLECH | 59.54 | 80 | WERNLE - SCHIFKO | 51.59 |
| 23 TCHAMITCH - BAROUDI | 59.30 | 81 | OSAULENKO - SHUDNEV | 51.52 |
| 24 HELLE - NAQVI | 59.17 | 82 | FRANK - BRAUNSTEIN | 51.32 |
| 25 DARNICHE - ELIE | 58.72 | 83 | PIECZKA - ROG KAZIMIERZ | 51.31 |
| 26 KOKISH - BARAN | 58.34 | 84 | NATALE - DI BELLO | 51.30 |
| 27 DELVIGO - FILIPPINI | 58.33 | 85 | INTONTI - SABBATINI | 51.23 |
| 28 ZACK - NAFTALI | 57.98 | 86 | SVENDSEN - HAUGE | 51.17 |
| 29 MALINOWSKI-THORESEN | 57.96 | 87 | DYBDAHL - BROWN | 51.12 |
| 30 HENRI - LAFOURCADE | 57.73 | 88 | SMITH - CZERNIEWSKI | 51.11 |
| 31 POITIER - SAINT | 57.58 | 89 | AUKEN - BLAKSET | 51.11 |
| 32 DELMOULY - POTIER | 57.24 | 90 | DUGUET - TOFFIER | 51.05 |
| 33 GIERULSKI - SKRZYPCZAK | 56.68 | 91 | YALCIN - DONG | 50.99 |
| 34 TOMESCU - STIRBU | 56.61 | 92 | SAPORTA - ZIMMERMANN | 50.84 |
| 35 HELLING - HEIN | 56.39 | 93 | GUARIGLIA - UCCELLO | 50.70 |
| 36 HENRIQUES - BARBOSA | 56.28 | 94 | DANIC - COLOMBARO | 50.62 |
| 37 JELENIEWSKI - KRAJEWSKI | 56.26 | 95 | TATARKIN - KHAZANOV | 50.58 |
| 38 PALAZO - BANCHEREAU | 56.23 | 96 | MANDRUTA - DOBRIN | 50.58 |
| 39 AGENES - GIAVARINI | 56.11 | 97 | VEYER - JONG | 50.53 |
| 40 ARAIZI - CHLIAPAS | 55.98 | 98 | JANSSON - BJORKLUND | 50.49 |
| 41 CAMERINI - CAMEO | 55.83 | 99 | O'LUBAIGH - DELANEY | 50.44 |
| 42 OSTERGAARD - JENSEN | 55.76 | 100 | WILDE - TUWANAKOTTA | 50.38 |
| 43 CASTELLANI - CATARSI | 55.73 | 101 | BROGELAND - GILLIS | 50.30 |
| 44 SAVIN - MATEI | 55.55 | 102 | SHAH - MADALA | 50.28 |
| 45 OZKAN - YILMAZ | 55.30 | 103 | BAREL - SCHNEIDER | 50.24 |
| 46 KROJGAARD - CASPERSEN | 55.12 | 104 | PAUNCZ - VIKOR | 50.07 |
| 47 FISSORE - CATELLANI | 54.92 | 105 | GIARD - BENOIT | 50.01 |
| 48 ROTARU - LUNGU | 54.65 | 106 | BOVE - DI STEFANO | 49.86 |
| 49 MUSSO-RIOLO | 54.59 | 107 | NEVE - FRONTAUVA | 49.51 |
| 50 BAREKET - LENGY | 54.54 | 108 | MINTZ - LIMOR | 49.48 |
| 51 SAMY - SAMY | 54.44 | 109 | ENGEL - BAUSBACK | 49.39 |
| 52 KIRCHHOFF - ABRAM | 54.39 | 110 | DELLA - BIANCHI | 49.31 |
| 53 SERGENT - PEPPER | 54.32 |  | HOMONNAY - DUMBOVICH | 49.18 |
| 54 MEIJS - TER LAARE | 54.24 |  | LEPADAT - JOVESCU | 49.08 |
| 55 MORITSCH - GUERRA | 54.03 | 113 | JONSSON - SIGURDSSON | 49.07 |
| 56 MARKOVIC - DRENKELFORD | 53.90 | 114 | GONCA - ALFEJEVA | 49.04 |
| 57 WETTENSCHWILER - RANIS | 53.48 | 115 | FERRARI - CARAMANTI | 49.01 |

116 HANSEN - LARSEN
117 GOTTLIEB - ZUCKER
II8 DELIBALTADAKIS - KANNAVOS
II9 OVESEN - KINDSBEKKEN
120 CHADHA - ORSMOND
I2I HANSSEN - FJELLSTAD
122 VERSACE - CORSO
123 KARAMANLI-LYDAK - KARAMANLIS
124 BURN - DHONDY
125 HOILAND - DRANGSHOLT
126 MURCIANI - ASTRUC
127 SEBBANE - THUILLEZ
128 TSEVIS - KOUTOUGOS
129 BREMARK - NYSTROM
130 MOFAHKAMI - ODELLO
I3I MARINO - MARINO
132 PEICHEV - BARANTIEV
133 WINKLER - LAKATOS
134 LEONETTI - ROBERTI
I 35 MARJAI - GERO
I36 PANERO - CHAMPION
137 KAPLAN - ROMANOWSKI
138 MARMONTI - MASSA
139 STERKIN - KHIOUPPENEN
I40 LO PRESTI - MAZZADI
I4I WILMOTT - MCGUIRE
142 VANDEREET - GELDERS
143 GULHEIM - SKJAERAN
144 KAJAN - UZZAN
145 HAMMELEV - MONSTED
146 STURE - BERG
147 BELLA - CARZANIGA
148 FRENCKEN -VANDERVORST
149 DUDZIK - ZANADZKI
I50 JAUNIAUX - COLINET
I5I KARAIVANOV -TRENDAFILOV
152 MAURIN - VOLDOIRE
153 BJORKMAN - SANZEN
154 PEHLIVAN - UZUM
155 DAURER - HOFLINGER
156 ODDEN - HOGSTAD
157 FOUGT - KRISTIANSEN
158 GONFREVILLE - COHEN
159 SAVELLI - CATUCCI
160 RUNDGREN - HALLBERG
161 MARTIN - HYNES
162 STOPPA - STRETZ
163 HARINGS - KROES
48.98
48.95
48.91
48.75
48.70
48.68
48.65
48.64
48.63
48.62
48.57
48.49
48.44
48.15
48.14
48.00
47.94
47.85
47.72
47.71
47.67
47.62
47.52
47.36
47.23
47.12
47.02
46.92
46.90
46.89
46.82
46.76
46.67
46.59
46.47
46.47
46.47
46.41
46.40
46.19
46.18
46.10
46.02
45.94
45.86
45.82
45.81
45.64
164 MOIR - CROFTS ..... 45.29
165 SOUDAN - FRUTOS ..... 45.28
166 YANES - ROCA ..... 45.28
167 HAYASHI -TAKANO ..... 45.17
168 ISTVAN - SZILAGYI ..... 45.08
169 SEMP - HAYET ..... 45.01
170 ROZAK - KUSION ..... 44.99
I7I BAKIR - BING ..... 44.94
I72 PETROZZIELLO - GIANNOTTI ..... 44.80
173 CLEEF - ZWART ..... 44.22
174 HOLMGREN -WESTLIN ..... 44.22
175 PRICE - SIMPSON ..... 43.93
176 AJELLO - NOZZOLI ..... 43.92
177 ZEIN - KADDOURAH ..... 43.92
178 COMBESCURE - DECHELETTE ..... 43.84
179 KOSICKA - SLEMR ..... 43.63
180 EBENIUS - UPMARK ..... 43.58
I8I BACKSTROM - ERKKILA ..... 43.51
182 FAIK - AYDIN ..... 43.17
183 POPLILOV - SAGIV ..... 42.79
184 MAMULA - BARRETT ..... 42.74
185 TAYLOR - DAVIS ..... 42.56
186 CARELLI - COMELLA ..... 42.50
187 ESKIZARA - DALKILIC ..... 41.84
188 NICOLODI -TERENZI ..... 41.64
189 VARDAPETAYAN - BALOJAN ..... 41.35
190 MARRAY - HAMPSON ..... 41.34
191 KEAVENEY - QUINN ..... 41.24
192 PAL ACZEL -VARGA ..... 40.13
193 GAGLIARDI - SPINELLI ..... 39.83
194 ILLA - FORT ..... 39.79
195 SHEATHER - SHEATHER ..... 39.39
196 BENASSI - DEVINCENZO ..... 39.38
197 BRUHN - ERIKSEN ..... 39.35
198 RUSSO - KUCHARSKI ..... 39.35
199 MACKENZIE - CAMPBELL ..... 38.79
200 CRENN - CRENN ..... 38.74
201 ABLEY - EDDLESTON ..... 38.48
202 DEL GAUDIO - MIGNOLA ..... 38.25
203 MESMAECKER -VANDERBIST ..... 38.13
204 DI MEMMO - GENTILE ..... 37.86
205 BOASSA - DE MONTIS ..... 35.78
206 MCEWING - BRUCE ..... 35.04
207 FLACKS - KEALHER ..... 34.66
208 PORAT - STERN ..... 34.29
209 SAKRAK - UCAN ..... 31.90

## WOMEN PAIRS - SEMI-FINAL A <br> (standings after I session - provisional)

|  | Ist |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| I | ERHART - SMEDEREVAC | 63.61 |
| 2 | POMARES - FUSARI | 57.99 |
| 3 KOCH - KLEMMENSEN | 57.75 |  |
| 4 LEVY - HEREDIA | 57.63 |  |
| 5 MENIL - RENOUX | 56.70 |  |
| 6 GACKOWSKA - BREWIAK | 56.34 |  |
| 7 POULAIN - LACROIX | 55.98 |  |
| 8 SIVELIND - SIVELIND | 55.46 |  |
| 9 PIGEAUD -TANANBAUM | 54.75 |  |
| IO FARHOLT - RAHELT | 54.42 |  |
| II THUILLEZ - JEANNIN-NALTET | 54.20 |  |
| I2 AVON - BELOGH | 53.82 |  |
| I3 PECCOUD - MORETTI | 53.60 |  |
| I4 KELLNER -WEIGKRICHT | 53.46 |  |
| I5 DUMON - CLEMENT | 53.43 |  |
| I6 MISZEWSKA - NOEL | 53.36 |  |
| I7 D'OVIDIO - ALLOUCHE | 52.84 |  |
| I8 CIVIDIN - COLONNA | 52.81 |  |
| I9 POPLILOV - ZUR-CAMPANILE | 52.60 |  |
| 20 GRONKVIST - FORSBERG | 52.37 |  |
| 21 RUDENSTAL -TENGA | 52.12 |  |
| 22 GROMOVA - PONOMAREVA | 51.92 |  |


| 23 | OLIVIERI - ARRIGONI | 49.62 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 24 | GEIGER - GEIGER | 49.28 |
| 25 | CRONIER - WILLARD | 49.14 |
| 26 | ROSETTA - DE LUCCHI | 49.13 |
| 27 | RYMAN - RYMAN | 49.06 |
| 28 | GIRARDIN - FISHPOOL | 48.71 |
| 29 | ROSSARD - RACZYNSKA | 48.61 |
| 30 | DOWLING-LONG - MORAN | 48.43 |
| 3I BABAC - OZAN | 47.98 |  |
| 32 | BUKET - WITTEVEEN | 47.65 |
| 33 | BINDERKRANTZ - BEKKOUCHE | 47.63 |
| 34 | BAIETTO - BALDASSIN | 47.11 |
| 35 | DE SERAFINI - DEI POLI | 46.85 |
| 36 | CHORUS - BAK CLAARTJE | 45.41 |
| 37 | LEVIT-PORAT - AS ILANA | 43.78 |
| 38 | WEBER - NEHMERT | 42.64 |
| 39 | BECK - HEGEDUS | 41.73 |
| 40 GALAKTIONOVA - ROMANOWSKAYA | 41.39 |  |
| 4I | PICCIONI - PASQUARE' | 40.94 |
| 42 LEON - HONTORIA | 36.57 |  |
| 43 LORDOU - TILLYRI | 36.37 |  |
| 44 MOEN - FOSSAN | 36.04 |  |

## WOMEN PAIRS - SEMI-FINAL B

(standings after I session - provisional)

|  | MIDSKOG - BERTHEAU | 62.80 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | KEREKES - MEZEY | 62.13 |
| 3 | CROCI - FERE' | 60.89 |
| 4 | KOWALSKA - ADAMCZYK | 58.84 |
| 5 | BREIVIK - HARDING | 57.92 |
| 6 | FAIRCLOUGH - HECHT-JOHANSEN | 57.81 |
| 7 | MARTELLINI - MARTELLINI | 56.70 |
| 8 | BARTHE - CHATARD | 56.21 |
| 9 | KEMPLE - BEARPARK | 56.01 |
| 10 | SAUVAGE - GUILLEBON | 55.63 |
| 11 | COCCA - COCCA | 54.90 |
| 12 | SENIOR - PENFOLD | 54.56 |
| 13 | KREFELD - KIRSTAN | 53.49 |
| 14 | VILLE - CURETTI | 53.37 |
| 15 | EVELIUS-NOHREN - KARLSSON-UISK | 52.83 |
| 16 | LANGER - GERSTEL | 52.33 |
| 17 | LAVAGGI - COLAMARTINO | 52.29 |
| 18 | MCCALLUM - BAKER | 51.99 |
| 19 | GRZEJDZIAK - KATER | 51.61 |
| 20 | O'FARELL - MEEHAN | 50.94 |
| 21 | LEMAITRE - COCHET-COMBESCU | 50.90 |
| 22 | JAGGER - NELSON | 50.63 |
| 23 | CATTANI-VENNI | 49.10 |


| 24 | SCHRECKENBERGER - LISS | 48.31 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 25 | DEBEAUD - HABBARD | 48.24 |
| 26 | CUTELLI - LANDI | 47.97 |
| 27 | CAGNER - PARADISI | 47.96 |
| 28 | LYNCH - O'NEILL | 47.95 |
| 29 | LINARA -VOULGARI | 47.71 |
| 30 | GREGSON - COOK | 47.55 |
| 31 | BOSLY - CONTARINI | 47.39 |
| 32 | RAIMBAULT - MULTON | 47.02 |
| 33 | ANASTASIADOU - KIRITSI | 46.74 |
| 34 | BONORI-CAPODANNO | 46.65 |
| 35 | NIKITINE - HAEMMERLI | 46.10 |
| 36 | PARNIS-ENGLAND -VELLA | 45.84 |
| 37 | SMYKALLA - LUSSMANN | 44.69 |
| 38 | OIKONOMOU - KANELLOPOULOU | 44.25 |
| 39 | FRANCOLINI - CHIAVARELLI | 43.85 |
| 40 | GROSMANOVA - DITETOVA | 42.57 |
| 41 | BASILICO - MOSCATELLI | 41.94 |
| 42 | MULTIMAKI - CAROLI | 41.93 |
| 43 | PANELLA - FRANCO | 41.81 |
| 44 | TETAL-LINDE | 41.46 |
| 45 | CIOFFI-DE IANNI | 40.94 |
| 46 | GROMOLLER - PANTLE-REICHERT | 40.60 |

## WOMEN TEAMS

| F/NAL |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Ist | 2nd | 3rd | total |
| 1 | VRIEND | 23 | 43 | 34 | 100 |
|  | FIGB MOSCA | 55 | 39 | 23 | 117 |

## Schedule of play

10.00-13.45

O/W/S Pairs (Semi-final A \& B 2nd Session)
16.00-19.45

O/W/S Pairs (Semi-final A \& B 3rd Session)

## SENIOR PAIRS - SEMI-FINAL A <br> (standings after I session - provisional)



## SENIOR PAIRS - SEMI-FINAL B <br> (standings after I session - provisional)

|  | Ist | 22 | BOESIGER - SPENGLER | 50.76 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SIMM - SULA | 66.79 | 23 | ELIE - LOMBARD | 50.28 |
| 2 FLEISCHMANN - FLEISCHMANN | 60.76 | 24 | PATUZZI - POLLAK | 50.00 |
| 3 GROMOLLER - SCHNEIDER | 60.11 | 25 | GAZONNOT - HUBY | 48.99 |
| 4 DE LEMOS - PASQUINI | 59.09 | 26 | JANSA - POKORNA | 48.46 |
| 5 BALLESTRA - DANNAY | 57.51 | 26 | JANSA - POKORNA | 48.46 |
| 6 ALBARET - GUITTA | 55.12 | 27 | COLUCCI - DA PRADA | 8.23 |
| 7 COUPERE - DIEUDONNE | 54.30 | 28 | KRAUSE - KRAUSE | 47.97 |
| 8 CORTE-REAL - OLIVEIRA | 54.26 | 29 | CORACHAN - GRACIA | 47.70 |
| 9 HOBSON - GARTHWAITE | 54.12 | 30 | FREI - IMHOF | 47.37 |
| 10 GHIRAGOSSIAN - GUTH | 54.08 | 31 | CROCOLI - DE SANDRO | 47.04 |
| II GOMMERS - SEIJ | 53.52 | 32 | JAKK - RAUDE | 46.83 |
| 12 BARDIN - BARZAGHI | 53.19 | 33 | MONTWILL - MONTWILL | 46.70 |
| 13 LIEBERMAN - ZILBERBUSH | 53.16 | 34 | CONNORS - CONNORS | 46.69 |
| 14 DE SIMONE - PRIMAVERA | 52.78 | 35 | LEVIT - RAND | 45.23 |
| 15 CALVITTO - PALAZZO | 51.89 | 36 | LHOIR - MANDRON | 45.17 |
| 16 BAHNIK - JAROS | 51.62 | 37 |  | 44.72 |
| 17 HANSART - PIERROT | 51.61 | 37 | GULDEN - DROOGENDIJK | 44.72 |
| 18 KOSIANKO - PUCZYNSKI | 51.42 | 38 | ARCIERI - MAGLIETTA | 42.23 |
| 19 OLSSON - FRANZEN | 51.17 | 39 | CABEZAS - VAISBERG | 41.55 |
| 20 MANCA - RIZZUTI | 51.01 | 40 | GAZZARI - MOCHI | 34.00 |
| 21 GRENSIDE - HOGER | 50.93 | 41 | CLEARY - CLEARY | 32.60 |

