

# France in Pole Position for the Grand Prix de Menton 



Big Deal in Menton
Although there are still many deals to be played there is the possibility of a remarkable French treble. In the Open Paul Chemla \& Phillipe Cronier are a near (0.0I) behind India's Anil Phadye \& Rajesh Dalal while Catherine d'Ovidio \& Danielle Allouche are on top of the Woman's event, and the Seniors are led by François Leenhardt \& Patrick Sussel.

## Final Bulletin

We hope to bring you a final bulletin today with photos of the winners and the results. It should be available after the medal ceremonies.

## Schedule of play <br> Today

10.00-14.00

O/W/S Pairs (Final A, B 3rd Session)
O/W/S Pairs (Final A 4th Session)

## Prize Giving Ceremony

The Prize giving ceremony of the European Open Pairs Championships (Seniors, Women, Open) will be held today 28 June in the Theatre (VuGraph) at 19.45.
The following will be awarded:

- The three best classified pairs in each final (Title and Medals).
- The three best European Pairs in each category, coming from Europe - same country - best classified in the final (European Trophy to the Federation and replicas to the players).
- The three best classified pairs in the finals B \& C (Open) and in the finals B (Women and Seniors)
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## OPEN PAIRS

## Final - Ist Session

## An Impression

On Friday morning, 52 pairs were present at the start of the last stage of these Championships: the Final of the Open Pairs. It took some time before the boards had woken up, but once they had, some good and some nice stuff was in the air.

On board 10, the average score was -IIO when EW made $3{ }^{\circ}$. Before they arrived there, they had already missed a chance, as this was the auction at one table:

|  | Board 10. Dealer | All Vul. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | - 1085 |  |
|  | QQJ 75 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ AJ 75 |  |
|  | \& 95 |  |
| - 942 | N | - 76 |
| $\bigcirc \mathrm{K} 8$ | W E | - A 1093 |
| $\checkmark 1082$ | W E | $\checkmark$ K 943 |
| - AQJ 7 | S | - K 10 |
|  | - AKQ 3 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 642$ |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ Q 6 |  |
|  | \% 8632 |  |



Michel Bessis - Alain Lévy
Jean-Christophe Quantin - Michel Abécassis

Anyone for sitting the double and lead the $\vee \mathrm{K}$ ?
3e makes because as trumps are drawn North is caught in a red-suit squeeze.

On the next board, the popular score was +450 as only three Easts led diamonds. Nobody made 12 tricks, however, but the chance was there when East led the $\stackrel{\mathrm{Q}}{\mathrm{Q}}$.

Board II. Dealer South. None Vul.

- AK9765
$\stackrel{Q}{ }$ Q
$\diamond$ Q 7
\& K K 106
© J 82
89762
$\diamond$ K 103
- J 93

, 1043
$\checkmark$ AKJ5
$\diamond 42$
8742
If you guess the lead to be a singleton, 12 tricks are there: overtake the $\vee \mathbf{Q}$, discard the diamonds and finesse the trumps. Pairs is a nervous game.

Take for example the next board, another useful 6-6:
Board I 2. Dealer West. N/S Vul.

- 102
$\bigcirc 86542$
$\diamond 93$
\& 1973
Ⓚ 96
คA 1073
$\diamond 1075$
K K 104

© Q J $\triangleright$ K Q J 9
$\diamond$ J 4
\& A Q 652
\& A 87543
$\checkmark-$
$\diamond$ AK Q 862
98

As usual on this sort of wild hand, simply scoring +620 in 4s was way under average - just 13 M.P. The most spectacular bidding sequence on this hand we probably saw on VuGraph:

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Quantin | Lévy | Abécassis | Bessis |
| Pass | Pass | INT(!) | $2 \diamond(!)$ |
| $3 N T$ | Pass | Pass | $4 \leqq$ |
| Dble | All Pass |  |  |

$2 \triangleleft$ showed spades and diamonds and so proved an excellent description of the South hand - just one mistaken little club. The real virtue of this convention, however, is that it is not forcing. Don't worry, someone will always bid again, it's pairs. In the end, justice was done and an nearly average score reached (only 31 M.P. for scoring +790).

On board 15, one strange score appeared: -480 . What had happened?

Board 15. Dealer South. N/S Vul.

- 62

87
$\diamond$ Q 9853
AK 93

| - AKQJ984 | N | -10753 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc$ AJ | W E | QK9543 |
| $\checkmark 74$ | W E | $\checkmark$ A 6 |
| Q 17 | S | -65 |
|  | - - |  |
|  | Q Q 1062 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ KJ 102 |  |
|  | \& Q 10842 |  |

Did someone forget to cash the dik first? Yes.
Did declarer dare to finesse the $\S \mathrm{J}$ immediately? Yes, as there had been an easy clue: a second-round take-out double by South after 14-Pass - 2s.

Well played, Doron Yadlin.
A few boards later, the squeeze specialists could shine again:

## Board I8. Dealer East. N/S Vul.

¢ 74
VKJ4 2
$\diamond$ K 74
\& Q J 105
, K 2

- A 75
$\diamond A$ Q 52
\& K 632

| N | - AQJ953 |
| :---: | :---: |
| W E | $\bigcirc$ Q 9 |
| W E | $\diamond 98$ |
| S | \& A 74 |
| , 1086 |  |
| $\bigcirc 10863$ |  |
| $\diamond$ J 1063 |  |
| ¢98 |  |

The optimum contract is 3NT (or optimistically 6NT) in West. Win the 2 Q lead with the king and play a heart to the queen. North takes and continues clubs. Win the ace, unblock the $\triangle \mathbf{Q}$, cross to the $\mathbb{K}$, throw a diamond on the $\vee \mathbf{A}$ and play all the spades. You have to decide to finesse the diamond or play for the squeeze in the end. Yet, there was just one 490 on the score sheet.

Overcalling on tram tickets has to be practised in pairs from time to time, but on the board below, West was caught speeding at eight tables and had to pay 800.

Board 23. Dealer South. All Vul.


It all happened very easily:


On the last board of the session, a very aggressive overcall after INT brought a very good score to the overcallers:

Board 26. Dealer East. All Vul.
ฯ 76532
๑K 953
$\diamond-$
2 K J 32

| - 8 | N | - A Q 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Q 1082 |  | $\bigcirc$ A 6 |
| $\diamond$ Q 1087542 | W E | $\diamond$ A 93 |
| - A 4 | S | Q Q 10975 |
|  | - KJ9 4 |  |
|  | QQJ 74 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ KJ 6 |  |
|  | -86 |  |


| West <br> Gotard | North | East <br> Piekarek | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | INT | $2 \boldsymbol{2 9 *}$ *!) |
| $2 \diamond$ | 49 | Pass | Pass |
| $5 \diamond$ | Pass | Pass | Dble |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

2 showed majors (of any sort, apparently) and the final double settled the issue at 45 M.P. for NS for one down. In fact, 4s played by North even makes if the defenders don't lead hearts to prepare their heart ruff.

## WOMEN PAIRS <br> Final - Ist Session

The first session of the Women's Pairs final was very much a question of making the right bid at the right time - at least on the deals I watched. I leave it to you the reader to judge for example when the right bid was made at the wrong time.

Board I 2. Dealer West. N/S Vul.

$$
102
$$

88642
$\checkmark 93$

- 973
\& K 96
$\diamond$ A 1073
$\diamond 1075$
$\& K 104$



## The Bidding Battle

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weigkricht | Gromova | Kellner | Ponomareva |
|  | INT | $2 \diamond *$ | Dble* |
| $2 \&$ | Dble | $3 \diamond$ | Pass |
| $3 \&$ | Pass | Pass | Dble |
| Pass | $4 \curvearrowright$ | Pass | $5 \&$ |
| Dble | All Pass |  |  |

Two Diamonds promised spades and South made a card showing double. If East had passed Two Spades would South have moved? You certainly need to, as East is a certainty to make at least eight tricks, should make nine, and might come to ten against inaccurate defence. It seemed strange for North to remove her partner's double, but in a sense she was right to do so. However, 3NT would have been the winning choice. Five Clubs had no real chance. West led the nine of spades and East won and switched to the king of diamonds. West ruffed and had to come to a heart trick. That was worth $26 / 30$ for the Austrian pair, who were well in the hunt for a medal.

Board 14. Dealer East. None Vul.

|  | , Q 865 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | คK 765 |  |  |
|  | $\diamond 1053$ |  |  |
|  | \& 107 |  |  |
| $\text { - } 19$ | N |  | ¢ K 1032 |
| $8 \text { A } 94$ | W E |  |  |
| $\diamond$ Q J 942 |  |  | 876 |
| $962$ | S |  | Q 853 |
|  | ¢ A 74 |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ QJ 10832 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark \mathrm{K}$ |  |  |
|  | \& AJ 4 |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Weigkricht | Gromova | Kellner | Ponomareva |
|  |  | 19* | $2 \triangle$ |
| Dble | 38 | Pass | $4 \bigcirc$ |
| Pass | Pass | 5\% | Dble |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

One Spade was the start of a potential Canapé, but East decided not to bid over Three Hearts. However, when South went on to game East decided it was time to come again.

That was not a good idea here, with Four Hearts due to fail, but if you are going bid then 4NT must be best, suggesting that you are playable in both minors. Five Clubs proved to be a very uncomfortable spot.

Declarer won the opening heart lead with the ace, pitching a spade and took the diamond finesse. Forced at trick two she tried the queen of clubs. West won and played a third heart. Declarer ruffed, cashed the king of clubs and played diamonds. West
bided her time, and eventually came in with the ace of spades, drew declarer's last trump and cashed a heart. +500 and $26 / 30$.

The young Danish pair were doing well, but they didn't cope well with this board:

Board I 7. Dealer North. None Vul.

- 632
$\checkmark$ A Q 87
$\diamond$ K Q J 843
0 -
4 A Q 1087
$\bigcirc 93$
$\diamond 75$
\& AK 109

- K 94

ค J 106
$\checkmark$ A 1092
\& Q J 2

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Simons | Krefeld | Pasman <br>  <br> Pass | $3 \diamond$ |

Three Hearts showed more than a minimum and in theory no singletons. West cashed the ace of clubs and then switched to a diamond. Declarer won in hand and played the jack of hearts. West followed with the three - maybe the nine would be better? - and East won and returned a club. +50 was worth 21/9.

The Netherlands had qualified several pairs and one of them was having an excellent session.

Board I9. Dealer South. E/W Vul.

|  | - AJ 93 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\checkmark$ Q 64 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ Q J 9 |  |
|  | \% Q J 8 |  |
| ¢ 65 | N | , K 4 |
| $\bigcirc 8$ | W E | $\bigcirc$ AKJ 1032 |
| $\checkmark$ A 653 | W E | $\diamond$ K 10874 |
| 2K 109543 | S | 2- |
|  | ¢ Q 10872 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 975$ |  |
|  | $\diamond 2$ |  |
|  | 2 A 762 |  |

West

Van Zwol \begin{tabular}{ccc}
North <br>
Belogh

$\quad$

East <br>
Hoogweg

$\quad$

South <br>
Avon <br>
Pass
\end{tabular}

Missing this game was very expensive, giving East/West only 5 points. Where does the blame lie? Well, I don't think there is any case for West to take another bid, so East must accept responsibility. When partner has shown some cards it is surely right to simply bid Four Hearts over Three Spades. Assuming you buy the hand eleven tricks are possible and would be worth 24/30.

Board 2I. Dealer North. N/S Vul.
¢ 32
-K87542
$\diamond 3$
\& A J 63

```
\ J 10
& 1063
\(\diamond\) K Q J 72
\& Q 82
```



AKQ 5
२ Q J 9
$\diamond$ A 10654
\& 4
¢ 98764
$\checkmark$ A
$\diamond 98$
K K 10975
West
Van Zwol
3e*
Pass
Pass
North
Belogh
Pass
Pass
$3 \varnothing$
Pass
East
Hoogweg
$1 \diamond$
$3 \diamond$
Dble
Dble
South
Avon
Pass
Pass
34
All Pass

North chose a singularly unfortunate moment to protect. Declarer had to get the clubs right to escape with -500, but that did not trouble the scorer.


Danielle Avon, France

## OPEN PAIRS

## Final

## Eyes on the prize

Going into the final four sessions of the Open Pairs, Americans Jeff Meckstroth and Eric Rodwell were leading - and attracting attention.

They were first up on the vugraph presentation, and they made a second appearance before the first final session was completed.

When all was said and done, they were still in the lead, by a bit more than 2 percentage points. As usual with the two, often referred to collectively as Meckwell, there was lots of action at their table.

Rodwell started the day by making an overtrick in INT for 39 out of 50 matchpoints. On the second deal, against Alain Lévy and Michel Bessis, a less-than-robust raise by Lévy got the pair too high.

Board 2. Dealer East. N/S Vul.

- A 76
© J 94
$\checkmark$ KJ 7
\& Q 1082

4. 842

Q Q 632
$\diamond 853$

* 754

|  | $$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West | North | East | South |
| Lévy | Rodwell | Bessis | Meckstroth |
|  |  | 18 | $1{ }^{1}$ |
| 28 | 2. | 48 | All Pass |

Lévy's feather-light raise did not provide the dummy Bessis needed. Just one more queen, perhaps that of clubs, would have been sufficient.As it was, nine tricks was the limit and Bessis was minus 50. The Americans were off to a good start with another 36 MPs.

The next four rounds were not so kind, and the leaders dropped into second place with a total of 58 matchpoints out of a possible 200. Their comeback began on the following deal.

> Board 7. Dealer South. All Vul.
, AK6432
$\checkmark$ A 10
$\diamond$ J 98

- 43

| Q J | N | - Q 97 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc 43$ |  | $\bigcirc 9762$ |
| $\checkmark$ A Q 10743 |  | $\checkmark 65$ |
| \& KJ65 | S | * Q 982 |
|  | -1085 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ K Q J 85 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ K 2 |  |
|  | - A 107 |  |


| West | North <br> Rodwell | East | South <br> Meckstroth |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pass | $2 \triangleleft$ | Pass | $2 \Phi$ |
| $3 \diamond$ | $4 \Omega$ | All Pass |  |

INT was ostensibly 14-16, but as vugraph commentator Eric Kokish pointed out earlier in the day, some 13-point hands get upgraded, as was Meckstroth's on this occasion. It served the pair well, guiding the spade contract to the South hand.
West started with his singleton trump, taken in dummy. Meckstroth cashed the other top spade and ran five rounds of hearts, discarding all of dummy's diamonds. The defenders got only a club and the high spade. Plus 650 was worth all of the matchpoints.

Meckstroth played well on the following deal in an awkward spot to land his contract.

Board 8. Dealer West. None Vul.


2e showed a good hand but not necessarily a fit for the overcalled suit. With a void in each hand, notrump was not an ideal spot, but Meckstroth handled it adroitly.

West started with a low diamond to the king and ace, and Meckstroth returned a diamond to dummy's 8. A third round of diamonds was ducked by West. Meckstroth, in his hand, played a low heart, ducking when West played the queen. West cashed the $\diamond Q$ and East, who had discarded the 210 and $\$ 3$ on the second and third rounds of diamonds, now discarded the 2 . West continued with the 9 K , taken in dummy with the ace, and Meckstroth played the 89 to East's jack, West discarding a club.

It seems best for East to stick North in by returning a heart to dummy's 10 , forcing him to lead away from the $\$ \mathrm{~K}$, but West has an awkward discard on the fourth round of hearts. If he pitches a club, declarer can play a low spade from dummy, losing two spades but no other tricks. East can win the $\Phi 10$, but if East
returns a club, the ace and king will drop West's queen. If West discards a spade, declarer can lead any spade from dummy. If West wins the queen, he will be endplayed after cashing the ace. If he wins the ace and sticks declarer back in dummy with the queen, dummy's spots are good enough to overcome East's length.

All that was moot, however, because East returned a club. Meckstroth cashed the high clubs and put West in with the queen. West had to give dummy two of the last three tricks. Plus 120 was good for 34.44 matchpoints.

Round five was excellent for the Americans, as East-West had a bidding accident, landing in 2s on a 4-2 fit that played very badly. That was minus 300 and 45 MPs to Meckstroth and Rodwell. The next deal was passed out - another 43 MPs to the Americans.

On most occasions when you play 49 doubled, making, it's very close to a top. Not in a field such as the Open Pairs in Menton. Have a look:

Board 12. Dealer West. N/S Vul.

|  | -102 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc 86542$ |  |
|  | $\checkmark 93$ |  |
|  | -1973 |  |
| - K 96 | N | Q Q J |
| ¢ A 1073 |  | ¢KQJ 9 |
| $\checkmark 1075$ |  | $\diamond{ }^{\circ} 4$ |
| - K 104 | S | * A Q 652 |
|  | - A 87543 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ - |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ AK Q 862 |  |
|  | -8 |  |



Jean-Christophe Quantin

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rodwell |  | Meckstroth |  |
| Pass | Pass | INT | 49 |

## Dble All Pass

There was nothing East-West could do. Meckstroth lost two spades and a club for plus 790, but only 3I MPs. Sixteen other pairs recorded the same score, and there were two lower-level spade contracts that were doubled, making with overtricks, of course. Still, you don't sneeze at $62 \%$ of the matchpoints. Consider the poor South players who went undoubled in 44. Plus 620 was a mere 13 out of 50 MPs.

The Americans received another gift on Board 14 when a defender against Meckstroth's $3>$ ducked the setting trick, winding up minus 140 for about 7 MPs when taking the trick would have given his side a score four times higher.

By the end of round seven, Meckstroth and Rodwell had rehabilitated their game, which at one point stood at $44 \%$, to nearly $61 \%$.

The next four boards were two bad ones and two averages. It was time for another rally.As it happened, it occurred in round II against the pair sitting second to Meckstroth and Rodwell after the 10th round - French stars Michel Abecassis and JeanChristophe Quantin.

Board 21. Dealer North. N/S Vul.


The previous day, Meckstroth had played 3NT with the heart suit wide open, but the opponent on lead had a more attractive suit to start proceedings with. That happened to let the contract through with overtricks. Quantin might have been similarly lucky - he has nine tricks if he can gain the lead in time -- although on this occasion Meckstroth could lead his partner's suit but would have to switch to something else at trick two. Meckstroth cut out the middleman by simply leading his best suit, to devastating effect. On the lead of the 9 , Quantin put up the queen in desperation, but Rodwell won the ace and returned the 3, Quantin discarding a diamond. Meckstroth won the $\%$, unblocked the $\checkmark$ A and returned a club to Rodwell, who won the jack, cashed the QK and went back to clubs. Meckstroth and Rodwell had the first seven tricks for plus 150 and 43 MPs.

They did even better on the next deal.

Board 22. Dealer East. E/W Vul.


Rodwell's $2 \triangleleft$ was alerted as showing a "decent" three-card heart raise. North-South can make game - indeed, II of the 26 pairs bid game in hearts or notrump. Had Abecassis passed 3 9 , the likely minus 170 would have been worth 32 MPs for his side. The void in hearts and long suit were too tempting, however, so Abecassis tried one more time. Meckstroth expressed his doubts, and Rodwell started with a low heart to the jack and queen, ruffed in the closed hand.

West played a low club, ducked by Rodwell to Meckstroth's king, and the defense was deadly accurate from there.

Meckstroth switched to the $\$ 3$, to the queen and ace, and when declarer played dummy's diamond, Meckstroth hopped up with the ace, played a spade to Rodwell's king, ruffed the spade return and played a club to Rodwell's ace. Rodwell still had the Q 10 to cash for plus 800 and 49 out of 50 MPs .

On the penultimate round, the Americans were slightly below average (they doubled Is for penalty but could not manage more than plus 500 against their vulnerable game).

The final round - a wild one - improved the American's score, but it could have been better.

Board 25. Dealer North. E/W Vul.

- K Q 53
$\bigcirc$.
A 432
- AK 1094
- A
- KJ 962
$\diamond$ Q 10986
- 32

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rodwell |  | Meckstroth |
|  | 19** | Pass | 1)* |
| Dble | 20 | Pass | $2 \diamond^{*}$ |
| Dble | Pass | 2. | Dble |
| $3 \checkmark$ | Dble | 38 | Dble |
| Pass | 31. | Pass | 3NT |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

1\% was Precision, I $\downarrow$ showed 8+ HCP and five or more spades or II-I3 balanced. $2 \diamond$ showed the latter. West had reason to double both of Meckstroth's artificial bids, with strong holdings in both suits. When East stuck his nose in with 24, Meckstroth had visions of a big number for the plus column of his scorecard. It got better when West ran to the three level, and even in their nine-card heart fit, East-West were going for at least 500. Rodwell, however, pulled the double to 3s and Meckstroth had to settle for the notrump game. He made II tricks easily enough on a heart lead for an above-average score, but plus 500 would have been worth 46 MPs.

The final deal of the set also featured lots of action.
Board 26. Dealer East. All Vul.


West obviously was encouraged by his partner's redouble perhaps he envisioned East with the $\diamond A K x$, in which case his hand would be worth seven tricks. It is interesting to note that only a club lead defeats $3 N T$ - it is necessary to remove the entry to the diamonds, since South can hold up his king to cut declarer off.Whether Meckstroth would have found the lead will never be known since East ran from the double. Lesser players might have doubled simply from being in the rhythm of doing so, but not Meckstroth.

The contract could not be defeated, so the Americans were minus 130 , but they scored 36 to end their session still in first place with a $58.32 \%$ game.

## Jack Retains Title of World Computer-Bridge Champion

Jack defeated Bridge Baron in the 64-board final, I88-117, I I to retain the title of World Computer-Bridge Champion. This I is Jack's third year in a row as title holder. Jack won the 2000 I I championship in Maastricht by defeating Micro Bridge in the I I final and the 2001 championship in Montreal by defeating I I Wbridge5 in the final. Jack started with a 2 IMP carryover. To I I get a carryover you have to have beaten your opponent in I I your head-to-head round robin match and end up higher in the round robin standing.

|  | Carryover I-15 | 16-32 | 33-47 | 48-64 | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bridge Baron, USA | 26 | 29 | 6 | 56 | 117 |  |
| Jack, The Netherlands | 2 | 79 | 34 | 56 | 17 | 188 |

Jack accumulated an early lead, in part due to board 7, and I never looked back.

Dealer West. All Vul

- KJIO2

$\checkmark$ A 2
-K 9876

| $\pm$ Q | N | ¢ A 98 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc$ K 109 | W E | ค 86543 |
| $\checkmark$ J 85 | W E | $\checkmark$ Q 64 |
| \& Q J 1043 | S | \& $A 2$ |
|  | ¢ 76543 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ A Q 2 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ K 1097 |  |
|  | ¢ 5 |  |

West $\quad$ N
Pass 1e

Pass 24
Pass $3 \bigcirc$ !

| East | South |
| :---: | :---: |
| BB | Jack |
| Pass | $1 \Phi$ |
| Pass | $2 N T!$ |
| Pass | $4 \$$ |

All Pass
After 2s by North, South made an asking bid and North I showed good trumps and a minimum hand. South judged that I game was over $40 \%$ and bid the vulnerable game. BB led the I I Q. Of course Jack ducked and eventually ruffed out the A I to set up the $\boldsymbol{2} \mathrm{K}$ for a diamond pitch. +620 to Jack In the replay,

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jack | BB | Jack | BB |
| Pass | 12 | Pass | 14 |
| Pass | 2. | Pass | 31 |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

South made a general game try and North, with minimal I I values, passed. Jack led the $\diamond 5$. BB won the $\diamond \mathrm{K}$ in hand and led I I a club to the king and ace. Eventually, BB lost a heart, club, I I spade and the fourth diamond. + 140 to Bridge Baron, and IO I IMPS to Jack.

## New Officers in the EBL



At the first meeting of the new Executive Committee of the European Bridge League, which was elected by the EBL General Assembly last week, the following officers were nominated by the President Gianarrigo Rona and unanimously confirmed by the Executive:

First Vice President: Jens AUKEN (Denmark)
Second Vice President: Radoslaw KIELBASINSKI (Poland)
Hon. Secretary: Panos GERONTOPOULOS (Greece) Treasurer: Marc de PAUW (Belgium)

The President, the above officers and in addition Jean-Claude BEINEIX (France) \&
Marijke BLANKEN-BURGERS (The Netherlands) will constitute the EBL Presidential Council.

Bill PENCHARZ (England) was confirmed EBL General Counsel.

The following were elected delegates of the European Zone to the Executive Council of the World Bridge Federation for the period 2003-07:

> Gianarrigo RONA
> Jens AUKEN
> Radoslaw KIELBASINSKI
> Panos GERONTOPOULOS
> Marc de PAUW

In addition, Anna Maria TORLONTANO is to take up a special position, being in charge of the Women section and Protocol within the WBF.

The following appointments were also made:

WBF \& WBF Zones Laison: Panos GERONTOPOULOS<br>Protocol \& Ceremonies: Anna Maria TORLONTANO<br>IT Systems Consultant: Gianni BALDI<br>Technical Director: Ton KOIJMAN<br>Championships Director: Federigo FERRARI CASTELLANI Head Tournament Director:Antonio RICCARDI

Finally, the various EBL Committees were appointed, to be listed in the EBL website (www.eurobridge.org)

## Do you play Barbu?

The online Barbu score is taking off.
If you would like to play please look here:
http://www.math.ucsd.edu/~ghazel/barbuwin.html
Or send an email to Andy.Bowles@virgin.net
There are games most evenings around 18.00-midnight UK time on weekdays, and throughout the day at weekends. The game is free to play.

## Message from the Chairman of the Appeals Committee

Herman De Wael tells me that the number of appeals made here in Menton is below the average for a European Championship. When you bear in mind that the chaleur has increased the heat generated by the competition this is a remarkable statistic and reflects great credit on the skills of the
Tournament Directors and good sense of the players. I would
like to send a big thank you to:

- Antonio Riccardi and his team for the consistently high standard of the Tournament Director's rulings;
all members of the Appeals Committee who gave their time and expertise with a special mention to Steen Møller, Gary Blaiss, Grattan Endicott and Jean-Paul Meyer.
Herman De Wael for attending and scribing every Appeal and most of all to you the players for avoiding litigation where you can and when you can't for being gracious in success and stoical in defeat.

Bill Pencharz
Chairman, Appeals Committee

## Double Chicane

## Mark Horton

If you have been driving around the hills surrounding Menton you may have noticed there are some very severe bends - what might be called chicanes. They can be difficult to negotiate for all but the most skilful drivers. On this deal the defenders had to negotiate not one chicane but two.

Board 2. Dealer East. N/S Vul.

|  | - 5 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 8 J10873 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ J 54 |  |
|  | - J1084 |  |
| \& J 1097$\otimes K$ | N | - K Q 84 |
|  |  | $\bigcirc$ Q 5 |
| $\checkmark$ KQ 1073 | W E | $\checkmark 9862$ |
| - ${ }^{\text {a }} 2$ | S | -KQ 7 |
|  | - A632 |  |
|  | PA9642 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ A |  |
|  | - 953 |  |

The popular contract on this deal from the first session of the pair's semi-final was Four Spades. The contract was made several times, but not at the table occupied by Maria Erhart \& Jovanka Smederevac, who are leading the women's event.What is more, Jovi, who was South, had doubled the final contract.

She led the ace of hearts and continued the suit, declarer winning with the queen. When declarer played the king of spades Jovi ducked. When she also ducked the queen of spades declarer saw the 4-I break and realised it would be fatal to play a third spade as South could win and simply play a fourth spade. So declarer played a diamond. Jovi won and promptly played a heart into the double chicane. Declarer had no answer and had to concede one down.

# Statistics from the Appeals Committee 

by Herman De Wael

During these championships (not counting the last day), 38 cases were brought before the Appeals Committee. Compared to the total number boards (see below), this means the Board Appeal Ratio is 0.31 appeals per 1,000 boards, which is a small number when compared to the 0.58 from Warszawa (European Pairs 1999) or 0.56 from Salsomaggiore (European Teams 2002).

15 appeals were from the Teams' tournaments (BAR:0.3I) and 23 from the Pairs ( 0.31 ).

The Women proved very appealing, with 8 cases ( 0.67 ) against 21 for the Open ( 0.38 ) and 8 for the mixed ( 0.19 ). The seniors were apparently very satisfied with their Directors, as they appealed only once.

Only in 13 cases was some change brought to the Director's ruling.

The deposit was kept 5 times, but a number of other appellants came very close to losing theirs.

The Appeals Committee did not need to use outside help, as all cases were heard from within the group of six members. Despite members having to abstain when players of their own country were involved, an average of 4.54 members served on the Committees. Only once, a Committee was convened composed of the minimum number of 3 members.

All the Appeals have been written up and will be published, although the precise method has not yet been decided.

With regards to the question asked at the end of Appeal 20 , whether the players would understand and appreciate rulings such as the one described in the personal note, I received three kinds of answers: a majority said, "no and yes", a minority answered "yes and yes" and one person joked "I think there ought to be a square root in there somewhere".

Total number of boards:
Not counting possible drop-outs during the final day, 123,623 boards will have been played during these championships. This includes the extra boards in two knock-out matches, and the replayed boards of last week, but excludes boards not played in sit-outs.

This makes these first Open European Championships the largest ones ever organised by the EBL, easily doubling the 54,396 of Malta.

In order to compare the championships to those in the ACBL, we have also counted the number of "tables", which is the way the Americans usually measure tournaments. The counter stopped at 5,089 , which makes this event larger than most Regionals, but only about half as large as the Nationals.

## Vanishing Tricks

Mark Horton

How many tricks do you think your trump suit will be worth if it is 63 opposite $\$$ KI0872?

Take a look at this deal from the Open Teams round of 32 match between Reps and Karavainov.

Board 19. Dealer South. E/W Vul.

- Q 94

8962
$\diamond$ Q 10652
2 K 10


| West | North <br> Gotard | East | South <br> Piekerek <br> Pass |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pass | Pass | $1 \mathbf{Q N}^{*}$ | Pass |
| $1 \diamond *$ | Pass | $1+$ | All Pass |

South led the jack of hearts and declarer took the ace and king of both red suits and then exited with the jack of clubs. North won with the king, and returned a club to South's ace. When declarer won the heart exit this was the position:

|  | Q Q 94 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc-$ |  |
|  | $\diamond$ Q 106 |  |
|  | \% - |  |
| $\pm 63$ | N | ¢K10872 |
| $\bigcirc$ - | W E | $\bigcirc 8$ |
| $\diamond 87$ | W E | $\diamond-$ |
| * Q 6 | S | 9- |
|  | ¢ AJ5 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ - |  |
|  | $\diamond-$ |  |
|  | 9973 |  |

Remember, the defenders need five of the last six tricks to defeat the contract.

Declarer ruffed a heart but allowed North to overruff with the four. On the ten of diamonds declarer ruffed with the ten of spades and South scored the jack. He exited with a club and North discarded a diamond. Declarer had to ruff and exited with the seven of spades. North won with nine and played a diamond. You will probably be ahead of me by now - declarer put up the king and had achieved one down.

## Apologies

Dear friends,
I am defenceless. What can I do, what can I say? At the first cocktail I presented my most heartfelt apologies. Now I am truly dismayed; it seems like a bad dream, in fact a nightmare, but instead I realise that it's the unbearable reality.

I am truly saddened because we have worked for months and months with care and enthusiasm to organise everything in the best way possible, so that you could be at ease here and so that the Championship could offer you moments of joy and serenity. I am truly saddened because your presence at the Championship does not deserve to be repaid in this way. I am truly saddened because our magnificent staff (which has worked with passion and dedication and continues to do so, heart and soul, amid conditions which are utterly prohibitive also for them) do not deserve to see their efforts thwarted, do not deserve to feel disheartened as they do seeing you voicing your justifiable dissatisfaction. I am truly saddened because the image we have presented is certainly not what we wanted, and I can assure you it is not usual for us. I am truly saddened to have disappointed you.

Believe me, I am the first to realise that I cannot hide behind the excuse of the heat wave. It is true, however, that such a scourge has not occurred for over forty years, but it is also true that one should plan for all eventualities.

As President of the EBL, and especially according to my principles, I personally assume all responsibility for what has happened. I will make amends for this and I promise that such a situation will never happen again. I assure you that I have never not lived up to my word throughout my whole life, and for me this is worth more than an oath.

In another part of the Daily Bulletin you will find an announcement from the EBL Executive Committee inviting you to let us have your comments and suggestions for the future. I assure you that all of them will be given due consideration. My letter here is intended as a personal message not only from the President of the EBL, but from a bridgeplayer and friend.

I would like to thank you, dear friends, all of you, for your exemplary behaviour even in these conditions, which demonstrates your true love of bridge. I wish to thank you for the calm and civil way in which you have voiced your rightful complaints, presented almost more as suggestions for the future rather than reproaches. I wish to thank you because I feel you are all close to me.

Un abbraccio to you all.

Gianarrigo Rona
EBL President

## Thank you for coming!

The EBL wishes to thank all competitors for coming to Menton and being part of a historic event, the Ist European Open Championship.

This event reflects a new philosophy in that the EBL now embraces all European players, not just the top ones. And this happens in cooperation with the NBOs who are actively supporting this idea.The European Open Championships will be held every odd-numbered year in a place suitable for bridge, holidays and fun for everybody!

The first event in Menton brought us back to a place that won universal acclaim when the European Team Championships were held here exactly ten years ago. The idea was to combine the wonderful surroundings with a firstclass tournament. A lot of effort was put into this, but unfortunately we have had to face many difficulties.

The main one was, of course, the heat. We are told that such weather occurs rarely. But it has occurred now, and the lack of air-conditioning in Palais de l' Europe has showed this as much as one could imagine. Other people complained about the timing, noise, smoking, mobile phones, etc. All in all, things did not go the way we planned - much to everyone's regret.

Our aim has always been - and still remains - to offer you the best service possible. Have no doubt that we are going to achieve this, and you are going to enjoy it. However, we need your cooperation.

When you get home, please take a moment to think what you liked and what you didn't in the Ist European Open Championship.Then, send us an email message to the address [menton@eurobridge.org](mailto:menton@eurobridge.org), mentioning the points you wish to see improved. You don't need to write much - just mention the points. And please, don't think that others will do it for you. Everybody's opinion counts - and the opinions of a large group takes precedence over those of a smaller one.

The EBL Executive Committee has already decided that all complaints will be considered thoroughly. The outcome will be used for a much better 2nd European Open Championship in 2005. That's a promise.

With your help and support.
For You.
For Europe.
For Bridge.
Thank you very much for your cooperation.

The EBL Executive Committee
Peter Lund (aided by Mark Horton)
Board 26. Dealer East. All Vul.
I

## François COLIN

After nine years of tremendous hard work François COLIN has created a database of hands taken card by card and bid by bid from more than 10,000 deals played at the highest levels of world competition, among them the final stages of all world championships since I955!

A gold mine of papers
A statistical device, unique all over the world.

## It can be used in two ways:

## I. Systems research and investigation

Do you want to study high-level competitive situations?
François Colin has the boards, spread over all vulnerability situations, in which the auction has reached at least the level of 34 after each player made one bid (very very hot)

Weak opening bids?
François Colin has the boards where they opened the bidding at one table and passed at the other.

All the statistics are categorised by choice of opening bid, strength, vulnerability.

François Colin has all the results on 21,300 auctions, contracts, scenarios.

You want to know where the highest numbers of IMP's were won by the active side?
It's on opening bids of 2 with five cards (or less) and 8 HCP or less when the opponents are vulnerable. This is just a small free sample of what is available.

## 2. Training material for the champions.

"All these different papers, presented in folders, are remarkable and make it easy to work quickly and precisely.
This wonderful device, that so far they have only been meant for training the French national teams, is now on offer to the general public.
If you really want to make progress with your partner, you know what to do!"

## Philippe Toffier

"These papers have been very useful to us. Those on the atypical opening bids played abroad and on the weak NT are very precious as they enable you to judge quickly if your defence against it will work."

## Jérôme Rombaut

"It's good for your own morale to see hands "murdered" by champions and know this does not only happen to you..."

## Michel Duguet

"Thanks for this wonderful device. I hope that it will help us to reach the podium!"

## Guy Lasserre

(This quote is before his two gold medals in Salsomaggiore and here in Menton)

## Training Papers for the French national teams:

1. Slams from the French national teams 200I (II4 deals) Eur 22,00
2. Defence against weak notrumps ( 109 deals)

Eur 22,00
3. Defence against preemptive $2 \triangleleft$ opening bids ( $12 \mid$ deals) Eur 22,00
4. Defence against preemptive and natural 29 opening bids ( 83 deals)Eur 20,00
5. Opening bids on strong hands (86 deals EW) Eur 20,00
6. Opening bids on strong hands ( 86 deals NS) Eur 20,00
7. Defence against classic weak $2 \boxtimes$ opening bids ( 66 deals) Eur 18,00

7 bis. Defence against modern weak $2 \checkmark$ opening bids ( 56 deals) Eur 16,00
8. Defence against classic weak 24 opening bids ( 66 deals) Eur 18,00

8bis. Defence against classic weak 2 opening bids ( 56 deals) Eur 16,00

## SPECIAL OFFER IN MENTON!

All ten papers for 150 Euro instead of 194 Euro. Plus: II9 deals on overcalling against Bocchi-Duboin

This offer is valid until June 29th, 2003.
GREAT SUCCESS IN MENTON, but no English version...
The English version will be available in September 2003, but you can pre-order it now for just 150 Euro!

ORDER QUICKLY!

François COLIN<br>Fédération Française de Bridge<br>20, quai Carnot<br>92210 Saint Cloud<br>0033 | 55573800<br>fcolin@ffbridge.net



François Colin, France


## Do you want to

 participate in the world's Jargest Bridge Festival?

YOU ARE ALL WELCOME...
...to Malmö 2004. Players, officials, journalists, family members and other guests will find everything they need for a great Festival. This will simply be "the Championship" and "the Bridge Festival" for everyone. Make sure you put $19^{\text {th }}$ June to $3^{\text {rd }}$ July 2004 in your calender now, that's when it all happens.

## WEBSITE - RESERVATIONS

You can make your bookings and get the
latest information about the Bridge Festival
at www.bridgefestival.net
We look forward to welcoming you to Malmö and the Bridge Festival 2004! www.bridgefestival.net



# La Gazette du Palais 

par Guy Dupont et Philippe Brunel

Les raisons d'une défaite

WBridge5, le logiciel français, a terminé bon premier des éliminatoires du championnat du monde des ordinateurs. Mais on n'épiloguera pas trop sur sa défaite, face à Bridge Baron, en demi-finale. Yves Costel, son père, croyait que l'épreuve se disputait en 48 donnes, à l'issue desquelles il pensait avoir gagné (il possédait une avance de 55 imp ). Quand il fut informé, le lendemain matin, qu'il restait 16 donnes à jouer, accouru en toute hâte, il n'introduisit pas les bons paramètres dans sa machine pour se défendre contre les conventions spécifiques de son adversaire. Résultat : celui-ci lui reprit 59 imp en 16 donnes, et il fut battu de 4 imp .

## Sam suffit !

Gaston Méjane et Patrice Dumazet ont oublié de jouer la dernière position de la demi-finale A! Heureusement pour eux, ils avaient suffisamment d'avance! En effet, malgré deux zéros sur les donnes non-jouées, ils ont tout de même fini Ile. Assez sportif... mais mauvais pour le carry-over de la phase finale.

## Propulsion

Bogdan Marina et Gilles Quéran avaient terminé I90èmes des éliminatoires du championnat par paires. Le second, malade, avait dû déclarer forfait pour la suite de l'épreuve. Et c'est finalement avec Yves Jeanneteau, qui ne souhaitait pas poursuivre la compétition, après sa modeste prestation dans les éliminatoires, que Marina s'associa - avec l'accord des arbitres - pour la suite du tournoi dans la demi-finale B. Que croyez-vous qu'il arriva ? Trois séances " canon " à $60,07 \%, 61,36$ et 64,69 les propulsèrent en tête de l'épreuve, et c'est ainsi qu'ils obtinrent le premier billet de repêchage pour la finale $A$.

## A la croisée des chemins

Le sacrifice est l'apanage des champions. N'imaginez pas qu'ils en font par altruisme, car ceux qu'ils choisissent de faire sont généralement assez payants.

Finale A - Donne 16-O/EO

|  | - D 654 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | QAR10952 |  |
|  | $\checkmark 7$ |  |
|  | - D 10 |  |
| ¢ V873 | N | - A 1092 |
| $\bigcirc-$ |  | $\bigcirc 87$ |
| $\checkmark$ ARV64 |  | $\checkmark 853$ |
| \& R 972 | S | +6543 |
|  | - R |  |
|  | PDV643 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ D 1092 |  |
|  | 2 AV8 |  |

Ouest<br>A.Lévy

$1 \diamond$

Nord<br>18

Est
M.Bessis
Passe
Sud
$4 \nabla$

Sur l'entame du 3 de $\diamond$ (pair-impair), le mort appelle le 2. Comment voyez-vous la défense avec la main d'Ouest ?

Alain Lévy a immédiatement visualisé que, s'il prenait la levée du Valet, le déclarant allait lui expasser successivement l'As puis le Roi de $\diamond$ pour défausser un $\&$. En conséquence, il décida de lui cacher leValet de $\diamond$ en prenant l'entame du Roi. Le retour à $\downarrow$ fut pris de l'As et un petit arriva sur la table. Le déclarant était à la croisée des chemins. Fallait-il fournir petit, ou taper l'As et tenter l'affranchissement des $\diamond$ ?

N'ayant pu localiser le Valet de $\diamond$, le déclarant s'en remit à l'impasse et ne fit que 10 levées.

## Les deux Claude

Un coup de la deuxième séance des demi-finales seniors. Les deux Claude (Bindi et Bensoussan), comme on les appelle sur la Côte, ont encore frappé!

Donne 10, E/T

|  | ¢ RV 10543 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc \mathrm{V}$ |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ A 982 |  |
|  | ¢ 105 |  |
| - 7 | N | - 982 |
| $\bigcirc$ A 863 | - E | $\bigcirc$ RD 94 |
| $\diamond$ RV 10 |  | $\diamond$ D 74 |
| \& AR 873 | S | \& V 94 |
|  | ¢ AD 6 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 10752$ |  |
|  | $\checkmark 653$ |  |
|  | ¢ D 62 |  |


| Ouest | Nord | Est <br> Bindi | Sud |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bensoussan | Passe | Passe |  |
| 48 | 24 | $X$ | 3 |

Nord entame à $\mathbf{4}$, pour l'As de Sud, qui insiste à $\mathbf{~}$, coupé. Bindi présente le Roi de $\diamond$, qui fait la levée, puis le Valet. Nord encaisse de l'As et rejoue $\diamond$, pour la Dame du mort. Le déclarant en profite pour couper le dernier et et tire alors As et Roi de $\vee$, constatant la chute du Valet puis la défausse en Nord.

Mauvaise répartition des atouts, certes, mais tout est maintenant en place pour un rendement de main final des plus efficaces: As-Roi de et pour la Dame, et Sud doit livrer les deux derniers atouts, dans la fourchette du mort.

## Trop de précision nuit

Faut-il défendre au niveau de 7 quand l'adversaire est luimême parvenu à ce niveau un peu à tâtons, après une succession d'enchères compétitives ? That is the question. Nicolas Déchelette et François Combescure ont bien décidé, sur cette donne du 3ème tour des demi-finales par paires, sur laquelle la foudre semblait s'être abattue.

```
Donne 24, O/P
- 1097
- AD 653
\(\diamond A V 1064\)
© -
```



Ce fut un combat homérique à l'enchère :

| Ouest | Nord | Est | Sud |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Déchelette |  | Combescure |  |
| 18 | 2 SA * | 4 | 58 |
| 5 | 68 | Passe | Passe |
| 6 | X** | Passe | 78 |
| Passe | Passe | 7 | X (Fin) |

Jusqu'à 6 , tout paraît limpide. C'est après que s'engage la partie de bras de fer. Le Contre de Nord est alerté comme révélateur d'une chicane à (quelle précision!), ce qui incite son partenaire à prendre la décision d'enchérir à $7 \Omega$, au nom de sa chicane à et de ses bons compléments dans les rouges. Est-ce la bonne ? Le Passe de Déchelette est alors plutôt encourageant pour ne pas laisser la situation en l'état. Et, en raison de sa chicane à $\rho$, Combescure accepte d'en rajouter une couche, en déclarant 7 @, plutôt que de contrer.

Le bon choix, en l'occurrence, car mieux vaut laisser marquer dans la colonne de l'adversaire 300 (deux de chute) que 1510. Car $7 \odot$ était bien sur table.

Christian Mari, écarté de la finale pour trois fois rien, garde un mauvais souvenir de la donne. Avec Hervé Vinciguerra, ils étaient parvenus à 7 จ . Si l'adversaire avait défendu à 7 s, ils n'auraient pas eu à regretter leur élimination, mais, en l'occurrence, $7 \diamond$ fut suivi de trois Passe! Pouvait-on imaginer de ne pas être contré à ce contrat ? La paire française fut la seule à ne pas l'être! L'eut-elle été ? Elle aurait disputé la finale.

## Le champ du possible

Un problème de déclarant, sur cette donne de la troisième séance des demi-finales par paires :

Donne II, S/P

- 7632
- A 72
$\diamond$ AV 942
\& D
$\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{S}}^{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{E}$
- DV 8

PV95
$\diamond 653$

* ARV4
Ouest

Engel \begin{tabular}{c}
Nord <br>
Zimmermann

 

Est <br>
Bausback

 

Sud <br>
Saporta <br>
1
\end{tabular}

Ouest entame du 10 de , pour votre Valet. Vous jouez $\diamond$ vers le mort. La Dame apparaît en Ouest. Votre plan de jeu ?


#### Abstract

"A qui ai-je affaire ? ", s'est demandé Pierre Saporta ? " Si Ouest est un bon joueur, tâchons de nous montrer à sa hauteur!"

D'où peut provenir cette Dame ? D'une Dame sèche, seconde - pourquoi pas? -, ou encore de Roi-Dame secs, ou de Roi-Dame-10 ? Possible. De Roi-Dame-x ? Certainement pas : le défenseur aurait fourni petit, le déclarant étant parti pour jouer vers le 9. Mais encore de D-I0-x, si Ouest, clairvoyant, cherche à vous brouiller les pistes. Ayant fait le tour de la question, Saporta couvrit de l'As, poursuivit par la Dame de prise de l'As, encaissa le Roi, et rejoua $\diamond$. Quand Ouest fournit le 8, il appela le 9 du mort, qui poussa au Roi. Non mais !


- 7632
- A 72
$\diamond A V 942$
2 D

| - AR 1095 | N | - 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc$ D 8 |  | -R10643 |
| $\checkmark$ D 108 | O E | $\checkmark$ R 7 |
| - 1093 | S | 287652 |
|  | - DV 8 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc \vee 95$ |  |
|  | $\diamond 653$ |  |
|  | * ARV4 |  |

Pour 120 et une bonne moyenne.

## Cose di casa nostra

by Franco Broccoli
leri abbiamo chiuso con il board 10 della prima sessione di finale delle donne, Italia contro Olanda. Ripartiamo con la mano immediatamente successiva.

Board II. Dichiarante Sud.Tutti in prima.

|  | - J |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ¢ J 10 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ AK 73 |  |  |
|  | - A 87643 |  |  |
| - KQ 762 | N |  | - 10985 |
| $\bigcirc 964$ |  | E | $\bigcirc \mathrm{K} 75$ |
| $\checkmark$ J 62 |  |  | $$ |
| - 19 |  |  |  |
|  | $\text { A } 43$ |  |  |
|  | ¢AQ832 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ Q 1094 |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 2$ |  |  |
| Rama |  |  |  |
| Ovest | Nord | Est | Sud |
| Forti | Pasman | Buratti | Simons |
|  |  |  | 18 |
| passo | 20 | passo | 2 |
| passo | 2 | passo | 31 |
| passo | 4 | passo | 5 |
| tutti passano |  |  |  |

Una licita naturale e molto descrittiva da parte delle olandesi. Dopo il 5 , quando il carrello è andato dalla parte di Nord per la decisione finale, Pasman si è fermata a pensare a lungo, combattuta tra la forte tentazione di rialzare a slam e la disciplinata obbedienza al segnale scoraggiante della compagna. Ha vinto la seconda. Certo, se Simons avesse intrapreso un altro tipo di azione sul $4 \diamond$ di Nord (per esempio $4 \bigcirc$ o 4¢, in linea con il sistema giocato), la coppia avrebbe potuto raggiungere lo slam. Dopo I'attacco Re di picche, Simons, non avendo necessità di fare il massimo delle prese, ha realizzato $5 \diamond+$ I, +420 .

## Chiusa

| Ovest | Nord | Est <br> Hoogweg | Sud <br> Ferlazzo |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Manara Zwol |  |  |  |

Appurato il fit a quadri la coppia siciliana, non avendo velleità di slam, decide di giocare la manche più corta. $4 \bigcirc+\mathrm{I},+450$.

Board I2. Dichiarante Ovest. N/S in zona.


Rama
Ovest
Forti
I $\triangleright$
$4 \stackrel{5}{4}$
44
Nord
Pasman
$2 \oslash$
passo
passo

| Est | Sud |
| :---: | :---: |
| Buratti | Simons |
| $2 \boldsymbol{2}$ | passo |
| $4 \diamond$ | passo |
| 6 SA | tutti passano |

La coppia italiana, dopo il salto debole di Pasman, raggiunge lo slam in scioltezza passando per una splinter di Ovest e una cuebid di Est. Se dovesse mancare il Re d'atout, come nella realtà, il salto debole di Nord dà una piccola spinta verso Sud. Buratti opta per 6SA per una ragione supplementare, quella di evitare un eventuale taglio a cuori su attacco e ritorno nel colore. La compagna è corta a fiori, potrebbe benissimo avere una quadri in meno e una cuori in più. Sud ha attaccato cuori per l'Asso di Pasman che, tornando fiori ha manifestato l'assenza del Re di picche tra le sue carte. Con un certo sollievo da parte della dichiarante. 6SA mi, +990 .

Chiusa
Ovest
Van Zwol
$1 \diamond$
24
42
49
59
Nord
Manara
$\mathbf{I} \varangle$
passo
passo
passo
passo
Est
Hoogweg
14
$2 S A$
$4 \diamond$
$4 S A$
54
Sud
Ferlazzo passo passo passo passo tutti passano

In questa sequenza molto articolata le olandesi appurano la mancanza di due carte chiave. Dopo il 5 di Ovest, Est sarebbe in condizione di interrogare a livello con $5 \triangleleft$ per sapere se la carta utile di Ovest è un Asso o il Re d'atout. C'è da considerare però che Manara è intervenuta a livello e non con un salto debole, fatto che, contrariamente all'altra sala, piazzerebbe la maggior parte dei punti della difesa tra le sue carte. Stesso attacco, ritorno quadri di Nord, impasse a picche, 12 prese. $54+1,+480$.

Una presa in più nel parziale a Senza per Mosca (board I3) ci traghetta al

Board I4. Dichiarante Est. Tutti in prima.

|  | 2 AKQ 109 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc$ Q 1083 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ A 4 |  |
|  | - 52 |  |
| - 6 | N | ¢ 753 |
| ) J 976542 | - | $\bigcirc \mathrm{K}$ |
| $\diamond 1093$ |  | $\diamond$ Q J 652 |
| \& AK | S | 2 J 643 |
|  | ¢ J 842 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ A |  |
|  | $\diamond$ K 87 |  |
|  | 2 Q 10987 |  |

## Rama

| Ovest | Nord | Est | Sud |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Forti | Pasman | Buratti | Simons |
| $3 \varnothing$ | tutti passano | passo | Passo |
| 3 |  |  |  |

Darinka Forti, terza di mano - tutti in prima, tira una bella sassata nello stagno avversario ponendo la Pasman di fronte all'immediata decisione di entrare o meno in licita con 34, avendo lunghezza e un po' di forza nel colore avversario. La sua scelta, abbastanza impopolare in rama, è stata quella di astenersi. Dopo I'attacco Asso di picche, Forti ha realizzato sei prese. $3 \bigcirc-3,+150$.

## Chiusa

| Ovest | Nord | Est | Sud |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Van Zwol | Manara | Hoogweg <br> Ferlazzo |  |
| $2 \diamond$ | passo | $2 \boxtimes$ | Passo |
| passo | contro | Passo | Passo |
| passo | $4 \Phi$ | tutti passano |  |

Van Zwol, compressa tra la lunghezza del colore e la sua consistenza, dà retta alla seconda e decide di aprire in multi, lasciando più spazio di azione alla coppia italiana. Il gioco non comporta problemi. 4e $\mathrm{I},+450$.

Board 15. Dichiarante Sud. N/S in zona.

- K 1065

คQ 108
$\checkmark 865$

- 876
¢ A 2
- K 93
$\triangleleft A J 1092$
- A 92

$\pm 943$
© J 6
$\diamond K 4$
\& K QJ 1043
, Q J 87
-A 7542
$\diamond$ Q 73
5


## Rama

| Ovest <br> Forti | Nord <br> Pasman | Est <br> Buratti | Sud <br> Simons |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| passo | ISA | $3 \%$ | passo |
| contro |  |  |  |

Questo è il primo board giocato in rama, uno dei due senza confronto immediato. Forti appoggia al passaggio il barrage per cercare di disturbare il dialogo avversario. Così facendo, però, genera nella compagna una certa aspettativa in una mano più sbilanciata, una distribuzione che renda favorevole la difesa a 5 \%. E' tutto molto forzato e il sacrifico diventa salato. Simons ha attaccato Dama di picche riuscendo in seguito e far realizzare a Pasman un taglio nel colore. La difesa totalizza due picche, un taglio, due cuori, una quadri e l'Asso d'atout. 5※x-5, - I IOO.

Chiusa
Ovest

Van Zwol \begin{tabular}{ccc}
Nord <br>
Manara

$\quad$

Est <br>
Hoogweg

$\quad$

Sud <br>
Ferlazzo <br>
Passo
\end{tabular}

tutti passano
Le avversarie non entrano in licita e Manara/Ferlazzo raggiungono la manche a cuori realizzando II prese dopo l'attacco di 7 di fiori di Sud. $4 \bigcirc+\mathrm{I},+650$.

Board 16. Dichiarante Ovest. E/W in zona.

- J 42
$\bigcirc 4$
$\diamond 975$
QJIO 762
- A 97
คAQ875
$\diamond A K 84$
99

Q 1086
- KJ2
$\diamond J 1062$
- K 4
- K 53
- 10963
$\checkmark$ Q 3
A 853

Questo è l'ultimo board della sessione. Mano pari a $4 \bigcirc \mathrm{mi}$ per E/O nelle due sale. Se N/S dovessero trovare la difesa a 5\%, per rendere la penalità redditizia (4 down), $\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{O}$ dovrebbero incassare tre picche non muovendo il colore e, specialmente, non aiutando il dichiarante a eliminare completamente cuori e quadri. In caso contrario Nord sarebbe in condizione di giocare piccola picche da tutt'e due le parti, eventualmente indovinando a stare basso sul ritorno di cartina nel colore di Est.

Il primo dei tre turni di gioco previsti finisce con FIGB Mosca in vantaggio di 32 imps (55 a 23).

## OPEN PAIRS - FINAL A <br> (standings after 2 sessions - provisional)

|  |  | Ist total | 2nd total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | ANIL - RAJESH | 55.02 | 56.77 |
| 2 | CHEMLA - CRONIER | 51.69 | 56.76 |
| 3 | ABECASSIS - QUANTIN | 56.27 | 55.96 |
| 4 | MECKSTROTH - RODWELL | 58.88 | 55.87 |
| 5 | BIRMAN - LEVIN | 53.96 | 55.75 |
| 6 | PAZUR - JAGNIEWSKI | 54.31 | 55.37 |
| 7 | VERHEES - JANSMA | 53.99 | 55.03 |
| 8 | FURUTA - TERAMOTO | 53.20 | 54.97 |
| 9 | HERBST - HERBST | 55.40 | 54.89 |
| 10 | BESSIS - LEVY | 56.19 | 54.39 |
| 11 | ROBSON - BAKHSHI | 54.59 | 53.71 |
| 12 | MORATH - GUSTAWSSON | 54.98 | 53.67 |
| 13 | SANDQVIST - HOLLAND | 55.23 | 53.63 |
| 14 | WIKNER - PETTERSSON | 53.91 | 53.41 |
| 15 | WIJS - MULLER | 52.08 | 53.41 |
| 16 | ZNAMIROWSKI - OPALINSKI | 54.50 | 53.24 |
| 17 | SADEK - ELAHMADY | 50.14 | 53.17 |
| 18 | ASSAEL - ZORLU | 51.60 | 53.05 |
| 19 | GOTARD - PIEKAREK | 55.68 | 52.99 |
| 20 | STARKOWSKI - TUSZYNSKI | 50.24 | 52.88 |
| 21 | MADSEN - MADSEN | 50.83 | 52.84 |
| 22 | HOEYLAND - HOEYLAND | 56.43 | 52.48 |
| 23 | POLETYLO - WOJCICKI | 51.80 | 52.45 |
| 24 | BIZON - KOWALSKI | 52.76 | 52.34 |
| 25 | CHMURSKI - PUCZYNSKI | 54.68 | 52.31 |
| 26 | YADLIN - YADLIN | 54.06 | 52.31 |


| 27 | MULTON - PALAU | 50.88 | 52.23 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 28 | PEICHEV - ARONOV | 51.89 | 52.06 |
| 29 | AABYE - HELNESS | 50.87 | 51.46 |
| 30 | KALISH - PODGUR | 53.53 | 51.43 |
| 31 | BOMPIS - DE ST.MARIE | 49.96 | 51.12 |
| 32 | JEANNETEAU - MARINA | 50.75 | 50.81 |
| 33 | MEJANE - DUMAZET | 53.31 | 50.75 |
| 34 | WERNLE - SCHIFKO | 52.84 | 50.66 |
| 35 | FAGIER - SCHERDIN | 52.38 | 50.65 |
| 36 | BUSSEK - ROHOWSKY | 52.24 | 50.61 |
| 37 | WLADOW - ELINESCU | 51.88 | 50.49 |
| 38 | OZDIL - MESBUR | 52.74 | 50.48 |
| 39 | HENRI - LAFOURCADE | 54.21 | 50.26 |
| 40 | ANDREEV -VOROBEI | 52.41 | 50.03 |
| 41 | REPS - LUDEWIG | 49.03 | 49.96 |
| 42 | BALDURSSON - JONSSON | 49.79 | 49.80 |
| 43 | KUJAWA - OLECH | 54.20 | 49.65 |
| 44 | EIDI - MARTENS | 47.51 | 49.09 |
| 45 | PROOIJEN - BRINK | 52.83 | 48.88 |
| 46 | PIKUS - LUCKO | 47.88 | 48.84 |
| 47 | ATABEY - KOLATA | 52.36 | 48.78 |
| 48 | GIBBONS - COOKE | 52.50 | 48.43 |
| 49 | USZYNSKI - RENOUARD | 48.80 | 47.48 |
| 50 | DE FALCO - DEL BUONO | 50.24 | 47.28 |
| 51 | OURSEL - FLEURY | 48.66 | 47.03 |
| 52 | VICENT - GODED | 51.48 | 46.95 |

## OPEN PAIRS - FINAL B <br> (standings after 2 sessions - provisional)

|  | Ist | 2nd | total | 28 | SALOMONE - BERTELLO | 54.72 | 53.89 | 54.28 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FISCHER - SAURER | 63.12 | 57.97 | 60.54 | 29 | HENRIQUES - BARBOSA | 51.55 | 56.84 | 54.18 |
| 2 DELMOULY - POTIER | 56.45 | 63.87 | 60.16 | 30 | UCAN - SAKRAK | 51.01 | 57.09 | 54.00 |
| BASTIANSEN - SANDVIK | 62.66 | 55.28 | 59.02 | 31 | KHAZANOV -TATARKIN | 56.67 | 51.23 | 53.91 |
| 4 SHARKANAS -JANKUNAITE | 60.73 | 57.13 | 58.92 | 32 | SERGENT - PEPPER | 51.63 | 55.76 | 53.72 |
| 5 WILDE -TUWANAKOTTA | 58.20 | 58.72 | 58.49 | 33 | COMPERNOLLE - DEBUS | 52.60 | 54.69 | 53.67 |
| 6 BITRAN - ROCAFORT | 63.83 | 52.70 | 58.27 | 34 | ESKIZARA - DALKILIC | 57.52 | 49.43 | 53.45 |
| 7 KRISHNAKUMAR - KIRUBAKARA | 61.40 | 54.36 | 57.90 | 35 | MORTAROTTI - RUSSO | 55.13 | 51.16 | 53.20 |
| 8 HACKETT -WATERLOW | 53.32 | 62.22 | 57.81 | 36 | OVESEN - KINDSBEKKEN | 51.17 | 55.24 | 53.16 |
| 9 NATALE - DI BELLO | 55.94 | 55.72 | 57.24 | 37 | BAUSBACK - ENGEL | 53.66 | 52.45 | 52.99 |
| 10 MARINO - MARINO | 53.39 | 59.79 | 56.55 | 38 | ASLAN - AKGUL | 55.33 | 50.46 | 52.87 |
| 11 JELENIEWSKI-KRAJEWSKI | 59.18 | 53.29 | 56.25 | 39 | CLAIR - TOTARO | 55.33 | 49.62 | 52.47 |
| 12 KYTCHANOV - KHANTIMIROV | 52.08 | 59.70 | 55.88 | 40 | LEWACIAK - MICHALEK | 50.20 | 54.71 | 52.43 |
| 13 SCHILHART - BUCHLEV | 52.83 | 58.63 | 55.76 | 41 | BOGACKI - LUNA | 56.26 | 48.53 | 52.38 |
| 14 ARASZKIEWICZ - PASTERNAK | 47.55 | 63.88 | 55.72 | 42 | SAVIN - MATEI | 51.33 | 53.29 | 52.24 |
| 15 REGEL - SIN DEUT | 54.58 | 56.75 | 55.67 | 43 | ASH MIKE - MCPHEE | 50.14 | 53.99 | 52.10 |
| 16 TUTKA - GAWECKI | 52.03 | 59.15 | 55.60 | 44 | OZKAN -YILMAZ | 52.92 | 51.31 | 52.07 |
| 17 DUDZIK - ZAWADZKI | 57.04 | 54.10 | 55.54 | 45 | SHIVAM - MADALA | 54.12 | 49.86 | 52.01 |
| 18 DELIBALTADAKIS - KANNAVOS | 60.29 | 50.61 | 55.46 | 46 | VOZABAL - SVOBODA | 57.02 | 46.85 | 51.95 |
| 19 GONCA - ALFEJEVA | 51.25 | 58.65 | 54.98 | 47 | LUPU - LUPU | 53.83 | 50.03 | 51.88 |
| 20 RUBENIS -JANSONS | 52.41 | 57.34 | 54.85 | 48 | KHIOUPPENEN - STERKIN | 50.15 | 53.66 | 51.86 |
| 21 IYILIKCI-ZOBU | 50.64 | 58.75 | 54.71 | 49 | HONTI - HARANGOZO | 51.71 | 51.81 | 51.83 |
| 22 ROTARU - LUNGU | 49.51 | 59.55 | 54.57 | 50 | WESTRA - EIJCK | 53.54 | 49.42 | 51.50 |
| 23 KROJGAARD - CASPERSEN | 55.29 | 53.82 | 54.52 | 51 | NOBERIUS - TORNQUIST | 52.71 | 50.19 | 51.49 |
| 24 ARMSTRONG - MELBOURNE | 55.74 | 53.33 | 54.50 | 52 | AGENES - GIAVARINI | 56.94 | 46.01 | 51.47 |
| 25 MASSA - MARMONTI | 55.13 | 51.87 | 54.42 | 53 | VERSACE - CORSO | 53.87 | 48.95 | 51.43 |
| 26 GROMOLLER - KIRMSE | 57.70 | 50.94 | 54.31 | 54 | MUSSO - RIOLO | 54.55 | 48.17 | 51.35 |
| 27 MARKOVIC - DRENKELFORD | 54.29 | 54.28 | 54.31 | 55 | MAARI - KOWALSKI | 51.27 | 51.32 | 51.30 |


|  | VE - DI STE | 2.0 | 50.37 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 57 | PALAZO - BANCHEREAU | 53.45 | 48.81 | 51.18 |
| 58 | MINTZ - LIMOR | 57.42 | 44.81 | 51.17 |
| 59 | BURG - BUCHMAYR | 56.61 | 45.47 | 51.01 |
| 60 | DRIJVER - SCHOLLAARDT | 43.65 | 58.26 | 50.97 |
| 61 | SIMON - TERRANEO | 56.76 | 45.08 | 50.96 |
| 62 | BENASSI - DEVINCENZO | 58.15 | 43.74 | 50.95 |
| 63 | BARRETT - MAMULA | 51.94 | 51.86 | 50.83 |
| 64 | ZONCHEV - SHOKOV | 46.00 | 55.27 | 50.66 |
| 65 | BERG - JORGENSEN | 49.32 | 51.65 | 50.50 |
| 66 | PEDERSEN - BECK | 48.67 | 52.16 | 50.44 |
| 67 | HEIN - HELLING | 48.00 | 52.58 | 50.33 |
| 68 | CZUL - PIETRASZEK | 46.41 | 54.03 | 50.17 |
| 69 | GIERULSKI - SKRZYPCZAK | 41.22 | 59.14 | 50.14 |
| 70 | SIKORSKI - HENCLIK | 50.77 | 49.24 | 49.96 |
| 71 | FILIOS - PAPAKYRIAKOPOUL | 42.50 | 57.17 | 49.80 |
| 72 | SHUDNEV - OSAULENKO | 47.22 | 52.24 | 49.71 |
| 73 | OSTERGAARD - JENSEN | 51.65 | 47.32 | 49.62 |
| 74 | QUINN - KEAVENEY | 47.32 | 51.61 | 49.50 |
| 75 | LITVAK - RUDAKOV | 46.02 | 52.72 | 49.34 |
| 75 | RYNNING - BRANDSNES | 44.39 | 54.26 | 49.34 |
| 77 | BACH - EVANS | 44.70 | 53.91 | 49.31 |
| 78 | FRANK - BRAUNSTEIN | 52.93 | 45.61 | 49.27 |
| 79 | BONGIOVANNI - ROMANSKI | 46.36 | 51.48 | 49.23 |
| 80 | BRUGGEMAN - GROOT | 46.74 | 51.54 | 49.14 |
| 81 | TURCI - RAFFA | 46.94 | 51.21 | 49.08 |
| 82 | beaumier - NAHMIAS | 52.42 | 45.76 | 49.04 |
| 83 | HALLBERG - RYMAN | 43.17 | 54.42 | 48.76 |
| 84 | STERN - PORAT | 53.65 | 43.63 | 48.67 |
| 85 | SMITH - CZERNIEWSKI | 48.79 | 47.45 | 48.62 |
| 86 | MARTIN - BOSS | 52.25 | 44.91 | 48.61 |
| 87 | SCHRODEL - ZEITLER | 48.14 | 49.19 | 48.59 |
| 88 | TER LAARE - MEIJS | 50.95 | 46.10 | 48.47 |
| 89 | SLEMR - KOSICKA | 47.00 | 49.78 | 48.41 |
| 90 | ROBERTI - LEONETTI | 37.33 | 59.51 | 48.39 |
| 91 | HAMMELEV - MONSTED | 47.69 | 49.13 | 48.37 |
| 92 | VEGER - JONG | 54.26 | 42.31 | 48.32 |
| 93 | FRITSCHE - MARSAL | 47.95 | 48.59 | 48.31 |
| 94 | KARAMANLI-LYDAK - KARAMANLIS | 48.80 | 47.84 | 48.31 |
| 95 | GILBOA - WAX YACOV | 46.33 | 50.11 | 48.23 |
| 96 | FERRARI - CARAMANTI | 41.82 | 54.27 | 48.07 |
| 97 | IZISEL - PILON | 45.29 | 50.66 | 48.03 |
| 98 | MOIR - CROFTS | 53.82 | 42.02 | 47.94 |


| 99 | ISPORSKI - KOVACHEV | 53.44 | 42.36 | 47.93 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 100 | TERENZI - NICOLODI | 43.54 | 52.05 | 47.81 |
| 101 | JAGGER - PAGAN | 48.13 | 47.42 | 47.76 |
| 102 | CHADHA - ORSMOND | 49.81 | 45.34 | 47.55 |
| 103 | FRENCKEN - VANDERVORST | 47.40 | 47.40 | 47.42 |
| 104 | PEHLIVAN - UZUM | 50.82 | 44.01 | 47.39 |
| 105 | TOMESCU - STIRBU | 53.13 | 41.60 | 47.34 |
| 106 | CATUCCI - SAVELLI | 45.44 | 48.95 | 47.21 |
| 107 | BOASSA - DE MONTIS | 45.50 | 48.91 | 47.21 |
| 108 | IOVESCU - LEPADAT | 44.25 | 50.02 | 47.15 |
| 109 | MARIPUU - SESTER | 50.16 | 44.11 | 47.09 |
| 110 | PETROZZIELLO - GIANNOTTI | 46.24 | 48.54 | 47.06 |
| 111 | THOMPSON - CLARK | 48.53 | 44.55 | 46.58 |
| 112 | JANSSON - BJORKLUND | 45.49 | 47.31 | 46.44 |
| 113 | MECKSTROTH - MECKSTROTH | 50.40 | 41.41 | 45.97 |
| 114 | BELLA - CARZANIGA | 43.94 | 46.21 | 45.11 |
| 115 | KOWALEWSKI - BUZE | 45.27 | 45.05 | 45.10 |
| 116 | MILO -VOLPI | 39.85 | 49.53 | 44.67 |
| 117 | HOLMGREN - WESTLIN | 48.10 | 39.96 | 44.05 |
| 118 | DEL VIGO - FILIPPINI | 48.25 | 39.75 | 44.00 |
| 119 | JAUNIAUX - COLINET | 35.65 | 52.56 | 43.61 |
| 120 | HACKETT - DE BOTTON | 41.78 | 45.47 | 43.56 |
| 121 | HAAS - MARACHE | 41.95 | 45.16 | 43.49 |
| 122 | BAKIR - BING | 42.86 | 43.62 | 43.28 |
| 123 | VANG-LARSEN - NORMAN | 44.01 | 42.38 | 43.27 |
| 124 | TROMBETTA - LODATO | 47.12 | 39.31 | 43.26 |
| 125 | CICHOCKI - ZURAKOWSKI | 39.80 | 45.63 | 42.73 |
| 126 | MANDRUTA - DOBRIN | 54.05 | 31.36 | 42.71 |
| 127 | DHANDY - BUSN | 43.15 | 41.77 | 42.42 |
| 128 | BREMARH - NYSTROM | 45.30 | 39.31 | 42.36 |
| 129 | BROGELAND - GILLIS | 40.54 | 44.06 | 42.27 |
| 130 | APTEKER - GOWER | 41.09 | 43.28 | 42.17 |
| 131 | CRENN - CRENN | 41.96 | 40.90 | 41.40 |
| 132 | SOUDAN - SAINT | 40.61 | 42.08 | 41.38 |
| 133 | PEDERSEN - BARDSEN | 48.58 | 35.96 | 40.84 |
| 134 | NEUT - BOMHOF | 40.78 | 37.52 | 39.10 |
| 135 | GRAGLIA - CARRE | 35.36 | 40.40 | 37.87 |
| 136 | BOWLES - MOHANDES | 48.33 | - | 24.15 |
| 137 | MATRICARDI - CORCHIA | 47.99 | - | 23.99 |
| 138 | TACIUC -VOINESCU | 46.16 | - | 23.03 |
| 139 | RUSSO - KUCHARSKI | 42.55 | - | 21.33 |
| 140 | DE MARTINIS - FIORETTI | 42.38 | - | 21.22 |

## OPEN PAIRS - FINAL C <br> (standings after 2 sessions - provisional)

| 1 | HALLBERG - RUNDGREN |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2 | KOUTOUGOS - TSEVIS |
| 3 | WILMOTT - MCGUIRE |
| 4 | MOFAHKAMI - ODELLO |
| 5 | PIECZKA - ROG KAZIMIERZ |
| 6 | DELANEY - O'LUBAIGH |
| 7 | DANIC - COLOMBARO |
| 8 | ARAIZI - CHLIAPAS |
| 9 | BRUHN - ERIKSEN |
| 10 | FALAY - UYSAL |
| II | AJELLO - NOZZOLI |
| I2 DELLA - BIANCHI |  |
| I3 DIEDEN - BJORKMAN |  |
| 14 MARRAY - HAMPSON |  |
| 15 MAUBERQUEZ - ALLIX |  |


| Ist | 2nd | total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 64.96 | 53.82 | 59.39 |
| 58.14 | 56.60 | 57.37 |
| 55.87 | 54.18 | 55.03 |
| 53.22 | 55.84 | 54.53 |
| 52.46 | 54.39 | 53.43 |
| 49.24 | 57.23 | 53.24 |
| 44.32 | 61.12 | 52.72 |
| 43.94 | 60.23 | 52.08 |
| 52.46 | 51.57 | 52.01 |
| 48.49 | 54.51 | 51.50 |
| 45.64 | 56.63 | 51.14 |
| 49.24 | 52.69 | 50.96 |
| 49.05 | 52.12 | 50.59 |
| 59.09 | 42.04 | 50.57 |
| 51.89 | 48.45 | 50.17 |

16 GAGLIARDI - SPINELLI
17 FLACKS - LEATHER
18 SHEATHER - SHEATHER
19 LEDGER - SQUIBB
20 ILLA - FORT
21 ABLEY - EDDLESTON
22 VARDAPETYAN - BALOYAN
23 DAURER - HOFLINGER
24 COHEN - GONFREVILLE
25 WETTENSCHWILER - RANIS
26 MARTIN - HYNES
27 BRUCE - MCEWING
28 PANERO - CHAMPION
29 COMELLA - CARELLI
30 DI MEMMO - GENTILE

16 GAGLIARDI - SPINELLI
17 FLACKS - LEATHER
18 SHEATHER - SHEATHER

20 ILLA - FORT
21 ABLEY - EDDLESTON
22 VARDAPETYAN - BALOYAN
23 DAURER - HOFLINGER

25 WETTENSCHWILER - RANIS
26 MARTIN - HYNES
27 BRUCE - MCEWING

29 COMELLA - CARELL
30 DI MEMMO - GENTILE
$\begin{array}{lll}56.25 & 43.03 & 49.64\end{array}$ $45.08 \quad 54.17 \quad 49.62$
$47.35 \quad 51.51 \quad 49.43$
$\begin{array}{llll}53.79 & 45.04 & 49.42\end{array}$
$51.33 \quad 47.19 \quad 49.26$
$49.24 \quad 49.02 \quad 49.13$
$\begin{array}{llll}50.95 & 46.56 & 48.75\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}50.57 & 46.56 & 48.56\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lll}51.33 & 43.40 & 47.37\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lll}51.51 & 40.88 & 46.19\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lll}45.08 & 46.97 & 46.02\end{array}$
$47.16 \quad 43.35 \quad 45.26$
$41.10 \quad 44.35 \quad 42.72$
$\begin{array}{lll}38.26 & 45.99 & 42.12\end{array}$
$42.99 \quad 40.56 \quad 41.78$

## WOMEN PAIRS - FINAL A <br> (standings after 2 sessions - provisional)

|  | Ist total | 2nd total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I D'OVIDIO - ALLOUCHE | 59.69 | 58.55 |  |
| 2 HOOGWEG -VAN ZWOL | 57.78 | 57.62 |  |
| 3 OLIVIERI - ARIGONI | 55.55 | 55.39 |  |
| 4 | ERHART - SMEDEREVAC | 57.79 | 54.77 |
| 5 CIVIDIN - COLONNA | 52.97 | 54.76 |  |
| 6 LEVY - HEREDIA | 54.90 | 54.69 |  |
| 7 CRONIER -WILLARD | 54.69 | 54.65 |  |
| 8 BURATTI - FORTI | 53.30 | 54.13 |  |
| 9 KELLNER -WEIGKRICHT | 52.67 | 54.12 |  |
| IO KREFELD - KIRSTAN | 54.25 | 54.09 |  |
| II ARNOLD -VRIEND | 54.64 | 53.96 |  |
| I2 MIDSKOG - BERTHEAU | 55.09 | 53.26 |  |
| I3 GRONKKIST - FORSBERG | 53.35 | 53.03 |  |
| I4 GACKOWSKA - BREWIAK | 50.54 | 52.64 |  |
| I5 AVON - BELOGH | 52.26 | 51.97 |  |
| I6 GIRARDIN - FISHPOOL | 49.92 | 51.25 |  |


| 17 | FARHOLT - RAHELT | 49.38 | 51.00 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| I8 | PASMAN - SIMONS | 49.78 | 50.62 |
| 19 | SENIOR - PENFOLD | 48.92 | 49.84 |
| 20 | ROSETTA - DE LUCCHI | 49.26 | 49.54 |
| 21 | THUILLEE - JEANNIN-NALTET | 49.53 | 49.44 |
| 22 | DUMON - CLEMENT | 48.32 | 49.28 |
| 23 | POULAIN - LACROIX | 49.67 | 48.59 |
| 24 | DE SERAFINI - DEI POLI | 50.87 | 48.57 |
| 25 | BABAC - OZAN | 53.92 | 48.42 |
| 26 CAPRIATA - GOLIN | 52.83 | 47.82 |  |
| 27 KOCH - KLEMMENSEN | 48.88 | 47.70 |  |
| 28 | CATTANI - VENNI | 44.83 | 47.56 |
| 29 MENIL - RENOUX | 47.85 | 47.51 |  |
| 30 GROMOVA - PONOMAREVA | 48.88 | 47.24 |  |
| 31 BUKET - WITTEVEEN | 47.56 | 45.83 |  |
| 32 | RUDENSTAL - TENGA | 47.48 | 45.31 |

## WOMEN PAIRS - FINAL B <br> (standings after 2 sessions - provisional)

|  |  | Ist | 2nd | total |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | MCCALLLUM - BAKER | 74.17 | 54.01 | 64.09 |
| 2 | BREIVIK - HARDING | 59.62 | 59.22 | 59.42 |
| 3 | MARTELLINI - MARTELLINI | 57.25 | 61.19 | 59.22 |
| 4 | LAVAGGI - COLAMARTINO | 55.47 | 58.85 | 57.17 |
| 5 | LEVIT-PORAT - AS ILANA | 55.32 | 57.11 | 56.22 |
| 6 | PICCIONI - PASQUARE' | 59.19 | 51.28 | 55.24 |
| 7 | MEZEY - KEREKES | 63.64 | 45.80 | 54.72 |
| 8 | MEEHAN - O'FARELL | 51.39 | 57.78 | 54.58 |
| 9 COCCA - COCCA | 51.15 | 57.16 | 54.16 |  |
| IO OIKONOMOU - KANELLOPOULOU | 56.75 | 50.93 | 53.84 |  |
| II | BAIETTO - BALDASSIN | 51.48 | 54.10 | 52.79 |
| I2 | BARTHE - CHATARD | 50.29 | 54.33 | 52.31 |
| I3 CROCI - FERE' | 51.41 | 53.01 | 52.21 |  |
| I4 | HONTORIA - LEON | 50.07 | 52.53 | 51.30 |
| 15 VOULGARI - LINARA | 46.56 | 55.44 | 51.00 |  |


| 16 TETAL-LINDE |  |  | 54.54 | 46.83 | 50.69 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17 MOSCA | - BASILIC |  | 53.07 | 47.68 | 50.37 |
| 18 ANASTA | DOU - KIRI |  | 48.76 | 51.92 | 50.34 |
| 19 SAUVAG | GUILLEBON |  | 50.00 | 49.26 | 49.63 |
| 20 GROM | R - PANTLE | ERT | 47.78 | 49.40 | 48.59 |
| 21 BOSLY | NTARINI |  | 50.88 | 42.52 | 46.70 |
| 22 PARAD | CAGNER |  | 45.61 | 45.22 | 45.41 |
| 23 GROSM | VA - DITE |  | 36.45 | 53.68 | 45.06 |
| 24 CHIAVA | LI - FRANC |  | 45.19 | 42.90 | 44.04 |
| 25 KOWAL | - ADAMCZ |  | 40.72 | 46.98 | 43.85 |
| 26 CUTELL | ANDI |  | 37.88 | 49.71 | 43.79 |
| 27 O'NEILL | NCH |  | 42.58 | 43.83 | 43.20 |
| 28 FAIRCL | - HECH | NSEN | 46.87 | 38.30 | 42.58 |
| 29 VELLA | NIS-ENGLA |  | 40.29 | 44.58 | 42.44 |
| 30 FOSSAN | MOEN |  | 40.76 | 42.78 | 41.77 |
| 31 ClOFFI | IANNI |  | 39.05 | 41.66 | 40.35 |
| West | North | East |  | uth |  |
| 19\% | 18 | 1s |  | $4 \bigcirc$ |  |
| 49 | $5 \checkmark$ | 5 |  | Pass |  |
| 59 | Pass | 6\% |  | Pass |  |
| Pass | $6 \diamond$ | Dble |  | All Pass |  |

Imagine holding seven-card support for partner's overcalled suit and taking one bid. Imagine, also, giving preference to partner's second suit with only three cards.

Here's what led to the unusual action.
West took some time to bid 54, and South surmised correctly that he did so because he held the $\triangleleft K$, meaning it was well placed for declarer in a diamond contract. South also reasoned that the opponents would probably bid 6s if 68 became the contract. That contract would be defeated on the lead of either minor suit, but South couldn't tell, and there was a virtual certainty that $6 \diamond$ was going to make. Why not take the sure plus? As it happens, had the opponents bid 64, that might have prompted North-South to "save" in $7 \diamond$ or $7 \diamond$. Both, as you can see, are makers.

When North wrapped up an overtrick in $6 \diamond$, the opponents were annoyed, particularly on viewing the dummy, but that might be because they need some lessons in logic.

## SENIOR PAIRS - FINAL A <br> (standings after 2 sessions - provisional)

|  | Ist total | 2nd total | 17 | BOEGEM - JANSSENS | 52.27 | 52.04 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I LEENHARDT - SUSSEL | 56.87 | 55.98 | 18 | MASSAROLI - POZZI | 50.90 | 51.56 |
| 2 LASSERRE-POIZAT | 55.60 | 55.49 | 19 | KLAPPER - WILKOSZ | 56.29 | 51.37 |
| 3 BUER - MUNKVOLD | 54.94 | 55.14 | 20 | ROUQUILLAUD - ELBAZ | 53.29 | 51.26 |
| 4 ADAD - AUJALEU | 57.40 | 54.79 | 21 | LASOCKI -WIELEMANS | 48.38 | 50.91 |
| 5 SAGIV - SCHWARTZ | 54.04 | 54.36 | 22 | BRAV - SHKEDY | 47.87 | 49.58 |
| 6 OMERNIK - POCHRON | 54.33 | 54.35 | 23 | HJERKINN - PEDERSEN | 47.52 | 49.50 |
| 7 BROUWER - GROENENBOOM | 51.97 5246 | 54.02 | 24 | FORNACIARI - VIVALDI | 49.57 | 49.46 |
| 8 SZENBERG - WALA | 52.46 54.22 | 53.83 53.82 | 25 | BINDI - BENSOUSSAN | 53.26 | 48.52 |
| 10 DAMIANI - FAIGENBAUM | 53.63 | 53.50 | 26 | HIRST - JOURDAIN | 50.77 | 47.70 |
| II BARONI-RICCIARELLI | 53.63 | 53.20 | 27 | BAXTER - MCGOWAN | 44.65 | 47.46 |
| 12 FRANCO - RESTA | 53.58 | 52.85 | 28 | CHMELIK - SCHROEDER | 45.59 | 47.38 |
| 13 NORRIS - FLEMMING | 51.47 | 52.78 | 29 | BAHNIK - JAROS | 48.92 | 47.28 |
| 14 JEZIORO - RUSSYAN | 52.58 | 52.40 | 30 | KLESSER - WENGELAAR | 49.58 | 47.05 |
| 15 OHANA - PALADINO | 54.52 | 52.23 | 31 | HOBSON - GARTHWAITE | 47.08 | 45.76 |
| 16 HASSETT - HIRST | 50.99 | 52.20 |  | ALBARET - GUITTA | 43.32 | 45.65 |

## SENIOR PAIRS - FINAL B <br> (technical problems prevents the publication of the full results)

| I POKORNA - JANSA $\begin{gathered}\text { 2nd session } \\ 65.02\end{gathered}$ | 23 FLEISCHMANN - FLEISCHMANN 24 KOSIANKO - PUCZYNSKI |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 50.91 \\ & 50.44 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 THERKELSSON -WENANDER 61.33 | 25 PATUZZ | OLLAK |  | 50.24 |
| 3 CANAL - DUNOGUIER 60.67 | 26 SIMM - |  |  | 49.68 |
| 4 DIEUDONNE - COUPERE 59.31 | 27 CORTE- | - OLIVEIR |  | 49.5 |
| 5 BARDIN - BARZAGHI 56.75 | 28 WESTM | - HOGLUND |  | 48.98 |
| 6 STANLEY - RUE DEREK 56.43 | 29 PRIDAY | IDAY |  | 48.89 |
| 7 SENIKIES - FARGEOT 55.61 | 30 RAND |  |  | 48.19 |
| 8 PIERROT-HANSART 54.81 | 31 SAXON | AN ORA |  | 47.50 |
| 9 OSTERBERG - WICKSTROM 54.67 | 32 ARCIER | AGLIETTA |  | 47.08 |
| 10 SCHNEIDER - GROMOLLER 54.49 | 33 LOMBA | ELIE |  | 46.01 |
| 12 CONNORS - CONNORS 54.08 | 34 CROCO | DE SANDR |  | 45.52 |
| 13 GRENSIDE - HOGER 53.21 | 35 MOCHI | AZZARI |  | 44.02 |
| 14 BALLESTRA - DANNAY 52.20 | 36 TAKK - | DE |  | 43.45 |
| 15 RUNACHER - MOHTASHAMI 51.81 | 37 MONTW | - MONTW |  | 43.12 |
| 16 ZDZIENICKI-ALEKSANDRZAK 51.76 | 38 PALAZZ | CALVITTO |  | 42.56 |
| 17 KRAUSE - KRAUSE 51.72 | 39 MANCA | IZZUTI |  | 42.47 |
| 18 OTVOSI - BOREWICZ 51.67 | 40 GOMM | SEIJ |  | 42.28 |
| 19 HUMBURG - MATTSSON 51.59 | 41 GULDEN | DROOGEND |  | 40.67 |
| 20 BYSTROM - BERTILI 51.49 | 42 GHIRAG | IAN - GUT |  | 38.39 |
| 21 FRANZEN - OLSSON 51.33 | 43 CABEZA | VAISBERG |  | 38.31 |
| 22 BOESIGER - SPENGLER 51.21 | 44 FREI - IM |  |  | 36.33 |
| Dangerous Double | West | North | East | South |
| by Patrick Jourdain (Wales) | Abecassis | Doron | Soulet | Israel |
| Israel Yadlin was the star of Board I 5 in the battle for gold inthe Teams. Michel Abecassis must have regretted his double that |  |  |  | 2e* |
|  | Pass | $2{ }^{*}$ | Pass | 28 |
| the Teams. Michel Abecassis must have regretted his double that gave the clue to the winning line. | Pass | 30* | Pass | 38 |
| Board I5. Dealer South. N/S Vul. <br> - 1054 | Pass | $4 \bigcirc$ | Pass | Pass |
|  | Dble | All Pass |  |  |

Three Clubs was a second negative.
Abecassis, West led a trump. The normal line for declarer would be to use dummy's trump entry to lead towards one of the kings, but the double suggested that this was doomed to failure. So Israel won the lead in hand, unblocked the top clubs, crossed to the queen of trumps, ruffed a club in hand, and rattled off all his trumps. With one trump to go five cards remained. West was down to a winning club and two ace-queens. When the last trump was cashed he was in trouble. If he bared either ace he woould be put on lead with it to give declarer a trick in the other suit. He actually chose to discard the winning club, but that made no difference. Yadlin exited with a diamond and came to the king of spades at the end.

