# NEC Bridge Festival 

## England Wins 2003 NEC Cup Gold

In one of the most exciting finishes this event has ever seen, the defending team from England (Brian Senior, Brian Callaghan, Pablo Lambardi and John Armstrong) staged a remarkable comeback (they were down by as many as 50 IMPs late in the third quarter) to defeat the pre-tournament favorites, the team from Poland (Krzysztof Martens, Marcin Lesnievski, Michal Kwiecien, Jacek Pszczola, Witold Wasak and npc Radoslaw Kielbasinski), by . 5 IMP—that's right, .5 IMP-to take the 2003 NEC Cup. The Poles will just have to settle for the silver this time. As if that weren't enough, you'll remember that England had to win a tie breaker against South Africa to even get into the knockout stage of this event. The tale of this remarkable comeback begins on p.4.


NEC Cup Final: Quarter-by-Quarter Results

| Team | C/O | $\mathbf{1}^{\text {st }}$ | $\mathbf{2}^{\text {nd }}$ | $\mathbf{3}^{\text {rd }}$ | $\mathbf{4}^{\text {th }}$ | Final |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Poland | .5 | 31 | 39 | 33 | 7 | 110 |
| England |  | 2 | 21 | 40 | 48 | 111 |



USA Takes 2003 NEC Cup Bronze
In the fiercely fought battle for third place, the USA team nosed out Japan's TAJIMA to win the bronze.
NEC Cup 3rd ${ }^{\text {rd }}$ th Playoff

| Team | Carry | $\mathbf{1}^{\text {st }}$ Qtr | $\mathbf{2}^{\text {nd }}$ Qtr | Final |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| USA | .5 | 46 | 33 | $\mathbf{7 9}$ |
| TAJIMA |  | 25 | 35 | 60 |




NEC Cup $3^{\text {rd }}$ : USA


NEC Cup $4^{\text {th }}$ : Japan Tajima

Roster of the $8^{\text {th }}$ NEC Cup

| Team |  | Members |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | me/Country | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| 1 | England | Brian Senior | John Armstrong | Brian Callaghan | Pablo Lambardi | Chen Dawei |  |
| 2 | Canada-IOC | Joey Silver | Fred Gitelman | Gordon Campbell | Peter Jones |  |  |
| 3 | Canada-Commonwealth | Nicholas Gartaganis | Judith Gartaganis | John Duquette | Keith Balcome |  |  |
| 4 | Hackett | Paul Hackett | Justin Hackett | Geir Helgemo | Hugh McGann |  |  |
| 5 | South Africa | Wayne Chu | Craig Gower | Neville Eber | Bernard Donde |  |  |
| 6 | Hungary | Peter Lakatos | Gabor Winkler | Geza Homonnay | Peter Gal |  |  |
| 7 | Poland | Krzysztof Martens | Marcin Lesniewski | Michal Kwiecien | Jacek Pszczola | Witold Wasak | Radoslaw Kielbasinski (npc) |
| 8 | USA | Sharon Osberg | Mark Feldman | Bobby Wolff | John Sutherlin |  |  |
| 9 | Sweden | PO Sundelin | Johan Sylvan | Peter Bertheau | Fredrik Nystrom |  |  |
| 10 | Wales | Gary Jones | Peter Goodman | Adam Dunn | Daffyd Jones |  |  |
| 11 | European Alliance | Benedicte Cronier | Philippe Cronier | Barbara Hackett | Katrin Farwig |  |  |
| 12 | PABF Open | Yasuhiro Shimizu | Kenji Miyakuni | Yoshiyuki Nakamura | Ryoga Tanaka | Tomoyuki Harada | Kazuo Furuta |
| 13 | PABF Women | Sakiko Naito | Ayako Amano | Nobuko Setoguchi | Hiroko Ota | Makiko Hayashi | Yuko Yamada |
| 14 | KOREA | Sung Kyung Hae | Hyung In Ryung | Sohn Eun Sun | David Chu |  |  |
| 15 | Hong Kong 1 | K.F. Tung | Chris Wu | S. P. Chan | Samuel Chow |  |  |
| 16 | Hong Kong 2 | Jun Cheng | C. C. Mok | Sunny Lam | Elizabeth Cheng | Sachiyo Uenaka | Kunio Ueda |
| 17 | Hong Kong 3 | C. C. Wong | Irene Ho | Shinko Ishikawa | Youko Fukuyama |  |  |
| 18 | Chinese Taipei-Lin | Michael Ting(PC) | Chih-Mou Lin | Donny Chen | Walter Chen | Strong Huang | Peter Ho |
| 19 | Taiwan Amethyst | Violet Liu(PC) | Wong Choo Wai | Chuang Shun Ho | Tom Cheng |  |  |
| 20 | TAJIMA | Tadashi Teramoto | Mitsue Tajima | Masayuki Ino | Tadashi Imakura | Ishmael Del'Monte |  |
| 21 | HIRATA | Makoto Hirata | Nobuyuki Hayashi | Seiya Shimizu | Takehiko Nagahama | Kyoko Ohno | Akihiko Yamada |
| 22 | ESPERANZA | Haruko Koshi | Mieko Nakanishi | Hiroko Janssen | Youko Ohsako | Mizuko Tan | Misuzu Ixhihashi |
| 23 | GOING+MN | Robert Geller | Setsuko Ogihara | Hidenori Narita | Yoichi Ito | Akira Morozumi | Sei Nagasaka |
| 24 | Gryffindor | Hiroko Sekiyama | Michiko Iwahashi | Kumiko Matsuo | Youko Nenohi | Toshiko Kaho | Michiko Ohno |
| 25 | TONPUKU | Kyoko Toyofuku | Momoko Kumano | Midori Sakamoto | Yayoi Sakamoto | Koji Ito | Takahiko Hirata |
| 26 | SKOTII | Tsuneo Sakurai | Takahiro Kamiyo | Yoshiro Tsuji | Shiro Inoue | Atsushi Kikuchi | Takehiko Tada |
| 27 | Girasol | Sachiko Yamamura | Taeko Kawamura | Keiko Matsuzaki | Kimi Makita |  |  |
| 28 | DARUMA | Natsuko Nishida | Chieko Nohtomi | Tomoe Nakao | Setsuko Moriyama | Megumi Takasaki | Miho Sekizawa |
| 29 | Ron | Hiroshi Kaku | Masaru Yoshida | Masaaki Takayama | Minoru Mizuta | Chizuno Saito | Hideki Takano |
| 30 | RHEIN | Minako Takahashi | Fumiko Kimura | Michiko Ono | Toyoko Saito | Sachiho Ueda | Betty Tajiri |
| 31 | Cactus | Toshiko Miyashiro | Yukiko Umezu | Etsuko Naito | Michio Goto |  |  |
| 32 | Fairy Tale | Takao Onodera | Yukinao Homma | Ken Higashiguchi | Shunsuke Morimura | Ryo Okuno | Kazuo Takano |
| 33 | KIMURA | Osami Kimura | Kinzaburo Nishino | Kiyoko Fushida | Setsuko Kimura | Hiroko Kobayashi | Chizuko Sakamoto |
| 34 | MERRY QUEENS | Teruko Nishimura | Junko Nishimura | Toyoko Nakakawaji | Toshiko Hiramori |  |  |
| 35 | Friends | Youko Saito | Etsuko Miyaishi | Chieko Ichikawa | Kuniko Saito | Mamiko Ohdaira | Takako Nakatani |
| 36 | SWAN | Minako Hiratsuka | Michiko Shida | Kotomi Asakoshi | Aiko Banno | Naomi Terauchi | Yuko Yoneyama |
| 37 | PS-JACK | Masako Ohtsuka | Masakatsu Sugino | Akiko Imai | Takako Fujimoto | Hiroya Abe |  |
| 38 | Cosmos | Nobuko Wakasa | Masaharu Wakasa | Keiko Enomoto | Youko Takahashi | Kazuko Tsumori | Noriko Komiyama |
| 39 | My-Bridge | Masafumi Yoshizawa | Noriko Yoshizawa | Yoshitaka Agata | Kinuko Miyauchi | Shigeyuki Murano |  |
| 40 | Kinki | Chizuko Sugiura | Sonoko Namba | Mimako Ishizuka | Tohru Tamura | Atsuko Katsumata |  |
| 41 | Kacho-Fugetsu | Akiko Miwa | Kinio Kodaira | Akio Miyazaki | Makoto Nomura | Fumuko Nanjo | Koji Yamada |
| 42 | JAPAN YOUTH | Takeshi Niekawa | Shugo Tanaka | Hideaki Yoshimi | Hideaki Mimuro | Masaki Yoshida | Hiroyuki Taguchi |

Hackett Takes Yokohama Swiss


Yokohama Swiss $2^{\text {nd }}$


Yokohama Swiss Winners


Yokohama Swiss $3^{\text {rd }}$

The team captained by Paul Hackett (Justin Hackett, Geir Helgemo, Hugh McGann) won yesterday's the Yokohama Swiss. In second place was Chinese Taipei-Lin (Michael Ting, Chih-Mou Lin, PeterHo, Walter Chen) while in third place was the team from Sweden (P.O. Sundelin, Johan Sylvan, Peter Bertheau, Fredrik Nystrom). The top 20 finishers in the event are listed below:

1 HACKETT
2 Chinese Taipei-Lin
3 Sweden
4 SAKAMOTO
5 Canada-IOC
6 Ron
7 ENDO
8 ILLINGWORTH
9 NANJYO
10 Canada-Commonwealth

190
185
180
176
170
169
162
160
159
156

11 SKOTII
155
12 SETOGUCHI 152
13 MIZUTANI 150
14 Wales 149
14 CHEN 149
14 SUGINO 149
17 MAKITA 146
18 South Africa 145
18 OHNO 145
20 YAMADA141

"They call us Brother Love's traveling salvation show.:

"W hen my fingers stop bleeding I will play a card—and not before!"

Images of Our Game

"I like Seabiscuit in the fourth ..."

"...let's do the time warp, again..."

"Not much of a chance, but better than nothing."

## The 2003 Nec Cup Final: Poland vs England

Although the scores in both semifinal matches don't really reflect it, the winners might well have been losers had a few critical deals gone the other way. Nonetheless, Poland and England were deserving winners and the 64board final was expected to be a very good match.

In the Playoff for third place, USA and TAJIMA would play a 32-board match.

First Quarter (Boards 1-16):

| Bd: 1 | North |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIr: North | - AJ76 |  |  |
| Vul: None | -87 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ Q2 |  |  |
|  | \& QJ1043 |  |  |
| West | East |  |  |
| - K98 | ¢ Q10542 |  |  |
| $\bigcirc$ KQ10 | $\bigcirc$ J654 |  |  |
| $\checkmark$ K9873 | $\diamond$ J |  |  |
| - 72 | * A85 |  |  |
|  | South |  |  |
|  | - 3 |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ A932 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ A10654 |  |  |
|  | 2 K96 |  |  |
| Open Room |  |  |  |
| West <br> Lambardi | North | East | South |
|  | Lesniewski | Senior | Martens |
|  | Pass | Pass | $1 \diamond$ |
| Pass | 14 | Pass | $2 \diamond$ |
| Pass | 3 | All Pass |  |
| Closed Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Kwiecien | Armstrong | Pszczola | Callaghan |
|  | 19** | 19. | Db* |
| Rdbl | 1NT | Pass | 2NT |
| All Pass |  |  |  |
| * Covers balanced hands unsuitable for 1NT |  |  |  |

John Armstrong demonstrated immediately why he's such a good guy to have on your team when he opened the bidding in first seat.

After all, it's more fun to bid than to pass and staying loose and relaxed at the beginning of an important match is an underrated intangible. So, don't judge him harshly for the unlikely -100 he incurred after a low spade lead to the king and ace. When Jacek Pszczola ducked two rounds of clubs Armstrong tried the $\diamond Q$, which held. He crossed to the $\triangleleft A$ and reverted to clubs but could take only the $\vee A$ after that; two down. Not particularly important as E/W could make 24 easily enough. Note the leeway Michal Kwiecien gave his partner, refusing to double 2NT or compete to 3 .

Although only real men would open the North hand in first position, even quiche-eaters would tend to open $1 \diamond$ in third seat. Only a lucky South would see his passed-hand partner respond $1 \checkmark$ or $2 \%$. The rest of us would catch 14, as Krzysztof Martens did. Unable to pass that, South must choose from among 1NT, $2 \triangleleft$ and a fancy 2e, the choice being based on style and personal belief but for my money both 1 NT and $2 \diamond$ are so awful that $2 \Leftrightarrow$ is a standout (here $2 \boldsymbol{2}$ would get you to at least $3 \boldsymbol{e}$ and nine tricks might prove difficult on a trump lead). I consider it appropriate that $2 \diamond$ attracted a raise, but nothing terrible happened to Martens, who was able to scramble 8 tricks after ducking Pablo Lambardi's lead of the 8 K : -50.2 IMPs to Poland.

| Bd: 2 | North |
| :---: | :---: |
| DIr: East | 4 3 |
| Vul: N/S | $\bigcirc$ K53 |
|  | $\diamond 10864$ |
|  | \& J 9852 |
| West | East |
| - J98765 | - AQ |
| $\bigcirc 82$ | $\bigcirc$ Q10 |
| $\diamond$ Q53 | $\checkmark$ KJ97 |
| - Q10 | 2 AK764 |
|  | South |
|  | ¢ K1042 |
|  | $\bigcirc$ AJ9764 |
|  | $\checkmark$ A2 |
|  | - 3 |


| Open Room |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West | North | East | South |
| Lambardi | Lesniewski | Senior | Martens |
|  |  | 1\% | 18 |
| 19 | Pass | 2 | Pass |
| 2 | Pass | 49 | All Pass |
| Closed Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Kwiecien | Armstrong | Pszczola | Callaghan |
|  |  | 19* | Dbl |
| 24* | Pass | Pass | $3 \bigcirc$ |
| Pass | $4 \bigcirc$ | All Pass |  |

I'm not sure why Brian Senior didn't try $2 \diamond$ over 14 or why Lambardi's 24 had to deliver a sixth spade, but it would have been difficult to stop short of 4e in any case. Nor can I explain why a player as sophisticated as Marcin Lesniewski wouldn't raise to $2 \triangleleft$ while the price was right. He led the 9 K against 49 and Lambardi finished three down, -150 .

Not only did Brian Callaghan start with a takeout double on everyone else's normal $1 \checkmark$ overcall, but he also followed through with $3>$ over West's preemptive 2 (nice pass by Kwiecien, who sensibly devalued his secondary honors on the auction). Armstrong had plenty in reserve for his raise to $4 \bigcirc$ and there they were, in a 16-point game with only three sure losers. As is so often the case three losers did not prove to be a direct translation of ten winners. Kwiecien led the sQ, won the trick, and switched to a trump. Callaghan called for the king to lead dummy's spade but Pszczola won the A and returned his remaining trump and declarer could not come to a tenth trick: -100. 6 IMPs to Poland, ahead 8-0.


[^0] have pronounced him 'deceased'."


Senior's 64 was a beautiful bid and deserved a better fate. Alas, Lambardi quite reasonably played North for queen-third of trumps and so went one down, -100. In the other room, Kwiecien's two-suited $2 \triangleleft$ opening made it difficult for his opponents to appreciate how well their hands fit and Pszczola bought the contract at 44; slam was never a consideration. Callaghan's lead of the $\diamond \mathrm{K}$ was ruffed and declarer got the trumps right for +710 , 13 IMPs to Poland, 21-0. Lambardi's play of the trump suit carried a $26-\mathrm{IMP}$ price tag, which is more than anyone should have to bear in the morning. Bad luck indeed.


| Bd: 5 | North |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIr: North | KJ753 |  |  |
| Vul: N/S | $\bigcirc 105$ |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ A98 |  |  |
|  | * J32 |  |  |
| West | Eas |  |  |
| - 64 | - Q98 |  |  |
| $\checkmark$ A9 | $\bigcirc$ K84 |  |  |
| $\diamond$ K6532 | $\diamond$ QJ1074 |  |  |
| \& Q974 | \& K6 |  |  |
| South |  |  |  |
| - A102 |  |  |  |
| $\bigcirc$ QJ7632 |  |  |  |
| $\diamond$--- |  |  |  |
| * A1085 |  |  |  |
| Open Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Lambardi | Lesniewski | Senior | Martens |
|  | Pass | 1NT | $2 \diamond^{*}$ |
| Db* | $2{ }^{\circ}$ * | All Pass |  |
| * $2 \checkmark=6+\checkmark$ or $6+4$ |  |  |  |
| Closed Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Kwiecien | Armstrong | Pszczola | Callaghan |
|  | Pass | $1 \diamond$ | $1 \bigcirc$ |
| 2 ${ }^{*}$ | 24 | Pass | 4 ${ }^{*}$ |
| 5 | All Pass |  |  |
| *2 $¢=$ Diamond fit, limit+ |  |  |  |
| $* 4 \diamond=$ Splinter raise of spades |  |  |  |

$4 \bigcirc$ is a decent spot for $N / S$ and Martens took ten tricks on a diamond lead, the defenders never broaching clubs: +170 .

44 would have been tougher to make than $4 \bigcirc$ but Kwiecien deprived us of the pleasure of watching Armstrong try. $5 \diamond$ escaped a double and lost four tricks after A , spade to the king: -100. 2 IMPs to Poland, 23-0.


[^1]

Both 1NT and 2NT were forcing and Kwiecien decided that nine tricks would often be easier than ten. After Armstrong's diamond lead to the king and a diamond return, Kwiecien took a safe heart finesse and cashed out for +600 .

I'm surprised that Senior didn't try for 3NT, and his 4. required some play. The lead of the 88 ran to his jack and he tried ace-king of trumps, then ace and another heart, assisted in choosing his plan by the Polish lead style (low from two, middle from three). Lesniewski switched to a club and when the king won Senior had his tenth trick: +620. 1 IMP to England, now 22 IMPs behind.



In 3NT, Callaghan got a low club lead from Kwiecien. He won the the queen and drove out the $\vee A$, got a second low club to the nine and king, and got the diamonds right: +400. If Martens' double of 1s showed diamonds, heart tolerance, and some values, Lesniewski's $2 \diamond$ looks like an underbid. He took 10 tricks in $3 \triangleleft$ on a club lead: +130. 7 IMPs to England, 8-23.

"So there I was, surrounded by naked dancing girls..."

| Bd: 9 | Bd. 9 North |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIr: North | \& J75 |  |  |
| Vul: E/W | $\bigcirc$ K98 |  |  |
| $\diamond 106$ |  |  |  |
| * AK1064 |  |  |  |
| West | East |  |  |
| - K1096 | - AQ4 |  |  |
| $\bigcirc 4$ | $\bigcirc$ J10765 |  |  |
| $\diamond$ AKQ93 | $\checkmark$ J5 |  |  |
| - 983 | * J52 |  |  |
| South |  |  |  |
| - 832 |  |  |  |
| $\bigcirc$ AQ32 |  |  |  |
| $\checkmark 8742$ |  |  |  |
| \& Q7 |  |  |  |
| Open Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Lambardi | Lesniewski | Senior | Martens |
|  | 18* | Pass | 18 |
| Dbl | Pass | 1NT | Pass |
| $2 \checkmark$ | $2 \checkmark$ | All Pass |  |
| * Polish |  |  |  |
| Closed Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Kwiecien | Armstrong | Pszczola | Callaghan |
|  | 19** | Pass | 18 |
| Dbl | 28 | All Pass |  |

Lambardi started with three rounds of diamonds. Martens ruffed with dummy's king and Senior discarded a heart. Martens cashed ace-queen of hearts, the 9 Q and m , but Senior ruffed the next club and the defense could soon claim: -150.

Kwiecien cashed only one high diamond before switching to a trump to the eight, jack and ace. Three rounds of clubs lived (spade from declarer) and on the fourth club East ruffed in as declarer disposed of another spade. Pszczola returned a trump and declarer had seven tricks for one down: -50.3 IMPs to England, 11-23.


| Bd: 10 | North |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIr: East | ¢ A1094 |  |  |
| Vul: Both | $\bigcirc$ J86 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark 74$ |  |  |
|  | Q Q632 |  |  |
| West | East |  |  |
| - KJ832 | - Q7 |  |  |
| $\bigcirc 53$ | $\bigcirc$ Q1042 |  |  |
| $\diamond$ Q1098 | $\diamond 6532$ |  |  |
| - 84 | * AKJ |  |  |
|  | South |  |  |
|  | - 65 |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ AK97 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ AKJ |  |  |
|  | - 10975 |  |  |
| Open Room |  |  |  |
| West <br> Lambardi | North | East | South |
|  | Lesniewski | Senior | Martens |
|  |  | 1NT | Dbl |
| 24 | Pass | Pass | Dbl |
| All Pass |  |  |  |
| Closed Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Kwiecien | Armstrong | Pszczola | Callaghan |
|  |  | 19** | 1NT |
| 24 | All Pass |  |  |

Martens' second double was for takeout. Lesniewski passed it and led a diamond, got his ruff, and switched to a heart, Martens taking the king and ace. Two trump losers later Lambardi was one down, -200 .

It was less obvious for Kwiecien to bid 24 at his table and he bought the contract undoubled (Armstrong did not have a penalty double available). North led a heart to the ten and king, and after arranging a diamond ruff, the 8 J was led. Here too declarer went one down, but the penalty was only 100. 3 IMPs to Poland, 26-11.

"In your dreams that's a penalty double..."


Martens saw a good chance to survive his light response by passing the potentially artificial 2s rebid and he came up roses this time. He managed an overtrick by guessing spades correctly and taking a third-round ruffing finesse in diamonds: +130.

Armstrong did well to rebid only $2 \diamond$ and Callaghan decided to give up before worse befell him. Pszczola did well himself to lead a trump, but Armstrong could take a heart ruff in dummy and his intermediate trumps enabled him to take four of those and the two top clubs in addition, for +90. 1 IMP to Poland, 27-11.


| Bd: 13 | North |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dlr: North | . A1075 |  |  |
| Vul: Both | $\bigcirc$ A32 |  |  |
|  | $\diamond 8$ |  |  |
| \% K8752 |  |  |  |
| West | East |  |  |
| - K6 | - 93 |  |  |
| $\bigcirc$ KJ974 | $\bigcirc 1085$ |  |  |
| $\diamond 76542$ | $\diamond$ AKQJ10 |  |  |
| -6 | - A43 |  |  |
| South |  |  |  |
| - QJ842 |  |  |  |
| $\bigcirc$ Q6 |  |  |  |
| $\checkmark 93$ |  |  |  |
| 2 QJ109 |  |  |  |
| Open Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Lambardi | Lesniewski | Senior | Martens |
|  | 29* | $2 \diamond$ | 24 |
| 38 | 34 | 420 | Pass |
| $4 \diamond$ | Pass | 4 | All Pass |
| Closed Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Kwiecien | Armstrong | Pszczola | Callaghan |
|  | 19 | $1 \diamond$ | 14 |
| $2 \checkmark$ | 34 | $4 \bigcirc$ | All Pass |

Both E/W pairs got the hob done in fine style here, reaching a thin but worthwhile vulnerable game. Pszczola made five, Senior only four. 1 IMP to Poland, 28-11. Both pairs had reason to hope for a big gain. I was surprised to see Lesniewski settling for only 3s and to see Callaghan sell out to $4 \checkmark$ after Armstrong's jump to 34. 44 doubled would have been a good sacrifice and might well have cost only 200, doubled, not that anyone had a legitimate double.


| Bd: 14 | North |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIr: East | - AK743 |  |  |
| Vul: None | $\bigcirc 74$ |  |  |
|  | $\diamond 74$$\diamond$ J764 |  |  |
| - J8 |  |  |  |
| West | East |  |  |
| - J82 | - Q105 |  |  |
| $\bigcirc$ K963 | $\bigcirc$ AQ1082 |  |  |
| $\diamond$ K | $\checkmark$ AQ105 |  |  |
| AK954 |  | \% 2 |  |
| South |  |  |  |
| - 96 |  |  |  |
| $\bigcirc$ J5 |  |  |  |
| $\diamond 9832$ |  |  |  |
| - Q10763 |  |  |  |
| Open Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Lambardi | Lesniewski | Senior | Martens |
|  |  | 18 | Pass |
| 2NT* | Pass | 40* | Pass |
| $4 \checkmark$ | Pass | 4 | All Pass |
| *2NT=Heart raise |  |  |  |
| *4\%=Shortness |  |  |  |
| Closed Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Kwiecien | Armstrong | Pszczola | Callaghan |
|  |  | 18 | Pass |
| 2** | Pass | 2 - | Pass |
| 3 | Pass | 3NT* | Pass |
| 4\% | Pass | $4 \diamond$ | Pass |
| 5\% | Pass | 5 | All Pass |
| *2\%=Natural, or artificial with $\vee$ support |  |  |  |
| 3NT=Slam interest, waiting |  |  |  |

While I much prefer Kwiecien's 2\% to Lambardi's artificial heart raise, I'm less fond of Kwiecien's decision to go past game.

"It's just down there, at the end."

| Bd: 15 | North |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIr: South | ¢ 7 |  |  |
| Vul: N/S | $\bigcirc$ K72 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ Q872 |  |  |
| \% Q9752 |  |  |  |
| West | East |  |  |
| - A8 | ¢ KQJ6 |  |  |
| $\bigcirc 109843$ | $\bigcirc \mathrm{AQ}$ |  |  |
| $\checkmark$ K3 | $\diamond$ A1054 |  |  |
| 2 J863 | 2 AK4 |  |  |
| South |  |  |  |
| - 1095432 |  |  |  |
| $\bigcirc$ J65 |  |  |  |
| $\diamond$ J96 |  |  |  |
| -10 |  |  |  |
| Open Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Lambardi | Lesniewski | Senior | Martens |
|  |  |  | Pass |
| Pass | Pass | 29** | Pass |
| $2 \checkmark$ | Pass | 2NT | Pass |
| 3NT | Pass | 6NT | All Pass |
| Closed Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Kwiecien | Armstrong | Pszczola | Callaghan |
|  |  |  | Pass |
| Pass | Pass | 2e* | Pass |
| $2 \checkmark$ | Pass | 2NT | Pass |
| 3\% | Pass | 3NT | All Pass |

Senior was expecting a bit more for $2 \triangle$ but 6NT was not hopeless. When South led a small spade declarer would have succeeded by calling for dummy's eight. The extra entry would have allowed him to finesse in hearts, cash the ace, return to the A , concede a heart, and get back to dummy with the $\triangleleft K$ to cash the long hearts. Alas, Senior called for the ace and could no longer make the contract. He made a third club at the end when North was thrown in with the $\diamond$ Q, but -50 was not much of a triumph.

At the other table, on the same lead, Pszczola did put in the 8 , so he came to 12 tricks for +490. 11 IMPs to Poland, 39-21.

The last deal was a flat slam. The first set had been very lively, and had Board 4 gone the
other way we would have had a different leader at the quarter pole.

Second Quarter (Boards 17-32):

| Bd: 17 | North |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIr: North | ¢ AK1082 |  |  |
| Vul: None | $\bigcirc 7$ |  |  |
|  | $\diamond 985$ |  |  |
|  | * AQ54 |  |  |
| West |  | East |  |
| - Q743 |  | ¢ --- |  |
| $\bigcirc$ J982 |  | $\bigcirc$ A63 |  |
| $\checkmark$ KQ3 |  | $\diamond$ J1042 |  |
| -102 |  | \& KJ8763 |  |
|  | South |  |  |
|  | ¢ J965 |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ KQ1054 |  |  |
|  | $\diamond$ A76 |  |  |
|  | ¢ 9 |  |  |
| Open Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Callaghan | Lesniewski | Armstrong | Martens |
|  | 14. | 3\% | 49** |
| Pass | $4 \bigcirc$ | Pass | 5 |
| Dbl | Pass | Pass | Rdbl |
| Pass | 64 | All Pass |  |
| * Spade fit |  |  |  |
| Closed Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Kwiecien | Senior | Pszczola | Lambardi |
|  | 14. | 39 | 3 |
| Pass | 3NT | Pass | 4 |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

Martens' hand was much improved if Lesniewski's $4 \checkmark$ showed the $\vee A$, but Lesniewski's 6as a bit too much. As it happened, the $5 \diamond$ cue-bid had allowed Callaghan to direct the defense to the most effective lead, and Lesniewski was two down in 6. (East rose with the 8 A on the first round): -100.

At the other table, Lambardi's choice over 3e (note that both Easts were willing to risk 3\% on a bad suit with a good hand) was $3 \bigcirc$, which more or less endplayed him into 44 at his next turn. Senior's hand had lost some of its luster
on the auction, so he passed. He won the diamond lead with the ace, led a spade to the ace and the $\vee 7$. When Pszczola followed low, Senior misguessed, not unreasonably, putting in the ten. Kwiecien won the jack, cashed two diamonds, and switched to the 2. Senior won the ace, ruffed a club, and passed the 9 K to East's ace, discarding a club. An over-ruff in clubs was the fifth and final trick for the defense: -100 . No swing, but a lost opportunity for England.

The South hand is best-suited for a fit-showing 4 § over 3e, but that treatment isn't particularly popular here.

| Bd: 21 | North |
| :--- | :--- |
| DIr: North | 65 |
| Vul: N/S | $\diamond$ KJ983 |
|  | $\diamond$ KJ102 |
|  | A10 |


| West | East |
| :---: | :---: |
| 4. AKQ10932 | - 74 |
| $\bigcirc 10$ | $\bigcirc 72$ |
| $\checkmark 85$ | $\checkmark$ AQ9763 |
| - 952 | 2. J43 |

South

- J8
$\bigcirc$ AQ654
$\diamond 4$
* KQ876

Open Room

| West <br> Callaghan | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Lesniewski | Armstrong | Martens |
|  | 18 | 3 - | $4 \diamond^{*}$ |
| 41 | Pass | Pass | 5\% |
| 5 | Dbl | All Pass |  |
| Closed Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Kwiecien | Senior | Pszczola | Lambardi |
|  | 18 | $3 \diamond$ | $4 \diamond^{*}$ |
| 49 | Pass | Pass | 5 |

All Pass

The late Eddie Manfield was the first to write that "the five level belongs to the opponents." He knew of what he wrote. Kwiecien passed 5 and the defense got two spades and a diamond for one down: -100. Callaghan took the push to 54 and his phantom sacrifice had three clubs
and a heart to lose for -300. 9 IMPs to Poland, a nice reward for better judgment. Poland, 4921.

| Bd: 22 | North |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIr: East | ¢ AK92 |  |  |
| Vul: E/W | $\bigcirc$--- |  |  |
|  | $\diamond$ AKJ2 |  |  |
| - Q10642 |  |  |  |
| West | East |  |  |
| - J875 | - Q10 |  |  |
| $\bigcirc 93$ | $\bigcirc$ AKJ1084 |  |  |
| $\diamond$ Q8 | $\checkmark 1094$ |  |  |
| AKJ75 | - 98 |  |  |
| South |  |  |  |
| - 643 |  |  |  |
| $\bigcirc$ Q7652 |  |  |  |
| $\diamond 7653$ |  |  |  |
| - 3 |  |  |  |
| Open Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Callaghan | Lesniewski | Armstrong | Martens |
|  |  | $2 \diamond^{*}$ | Pass |
| 2 ${ }^{*}$ | Dbl | Pass | 24 |
| Pass | 49 | All Pass |  |
| *2 $2=$ Multi |  |  |  |
| *2 $2=$ Pass or correct to 24 |  |  |  |
| Closed Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Kwiecien | Senior | Pszczola | Lambardi |
|  |  | 18 | Pass |
| 19 | Pass | $2 \bigcirc$ | Pass |
| $3 \bigcirc$ | Dbl | Pass | $4 \diamond$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

On a club lead and trump switch Martens went two down in 44, -100 . It's not clear where it would have ended had Martens responded $3 \diamond$. Senior trapped over 1s at the other table but then entered a live auction at the three level to show what he had. Unfortunately, Lambardi had about as little as he figured to have on the auction and he eventually ran out of winners to finish one down in $4 \diamond$ : -50 . 2 IMPs to England, 23-49. It would have been better this time for Lambardi to pass the double.

| Bd: 24 | North |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIr: West | - 108 |  |  |
| Vul: None | $\bigcirc$ KQ6 |  |  |
| $\checkmark$ K8 |  |  |  |
| AKJ972 |  |  |  |
| West | East |  |  |
| - K943 | - AQ76 |  |  |
| $\bigcirc 5432$ | $\bigcirc$ J8 |  |  |
| $\checkmark$ AJ4 | $\diamond$ Q10972 |  |  |
| -64 | \% Q8 |  |  |
| South |  |  |  |
| - J52 |  |  |  |
| $\bigcirc$ A1097 |  |  |  |
| $\diamond 653$ |  |  |  |
| \& 1053 |  |  |  |
| Open Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Callaghan | Lesniewski | Armstrong | Martens |
| Pass | 19** | $1 \diamond$ | Pass |
| 2 | 3\% | All Pass |  |
| Closed Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Kwiecien | Senior | Pszczola | Lambardi |
| Pass | 19 | $1 \diamond$ | Pass |
| 18 | 3\% | Pass | 3 |
| Pass | 3NT | All Pass |  |

Lesniewski did not lose to the e : +130.
Senior's sporting 3NT could have been beaten off the top, but the defenders started with two rounds of diamonds. Now all Senior needed was to bring in the clubs. He misguessed, however, and so went three down: -150. 7 IMPs to Poland, 57-23.

"Look, we have three minus 100s in a row!"

| Bd: 27 | North |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIr: South <br> Vul: None | - AJ754 |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 64$ |  |  |
| $\diamond 975$ |  |  |  |
| \% 975 |  |  |  |
| West | East |  |  |
| - K63 | - 1098 |  |  |
| $\bigcirc$ A92 | $\bigcirc$ KQ853 |  |  |
| $\checkmark$ A102 | $\diamond$ K83 |  |  |
| - AJ103 | \& 82 |  |  |
| South |  |  |  |
| - Q2 |  |  |  |
| $\bigcirc$ J107 |  |  |  |
| $\diamond$ QJ64 |  |  |  |
| * KQ64 |  |  |  |
| Open Room/Closed Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Callaghan | Lesniewski | Armstrong | Martens |
| Kwiecien | Senior | Pszczola | Lambardi |
|  |  |  | Pass |
| 1NT | Pass | $2 \wedge^{*}$ | Pass |
| $2 \bigcirc$ | Pass | 2NT | Pass |
| 3NT | All Pass |  |  |

Both E/W pairs did well to reach 3NT, which had none easy tricks on a low spade lead from North. $4 \checkmark$ would have been played by West, avoiding the potential spade lead through the king, and it might well have made too, but +400 was a good result to bring back to the comparison.

| Bd: 28 | North |
| :---: | :---: |
| DIr: West | - Q5 |
| Vul: N/S | $\bigcirc$ K9 |
|  | $\diamond$ Q109832 |
|  | * K53 |
| West | East |
| - 9864 | - 103 |
| $\bigcirc$ A875 | $\bigcirc$ Q1062 |
| $\checkmark 6$ | $\diamond 75$ |
| - QJ108 | * A9764 |
|  | South |
|  | ¢ AKJ72 |
|  | $\bigcirc$ J43 |
|  | $\checkmark$ AKJ4 |
|  | -2 |


| Open Room |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West | North | East | South |
| Callaghan | Lesniewski | Armstrong | Martens |
| Pass | Pass | Pass | 14. |
| Pass | $2 \wedge^{*}$ | Pass | 49** |
| Pass | 5\% | Pass | 5 |
| All Pass |  |  |  |
| Closed Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Kwiecien | Senior | Pszczola | Lambardi |
| Pass | Pass | Pass | 14 |
| Pass | $2 \checkmark$ | Pass | 49** |
| Pass | $4 \diamond$ | Pass | 49 |
| Pass | 5 | All Pass |  |

The best game for $N / S$ is but $5 \diamond$ is very good too, and at the table it made in comfort when East led a heart over to the ace. On the lie of the cards the defenders couldn't get West on play to underlead the $\vee \mathrm{A}$. An honorable push.

| Bd: 29 | North |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dlr: North | ¢ Q984 |  |  |
| Vul: Both | $\bigcirc$ K10764 |  |  |
|  | $\diamond$ K10 |  |  |
|  | \& J 9 |  |  |
| West |  | East |  |
| - 7 |  | - AKJ103 |  |
| $\bigcirc$ A32 |  | $\bigcirc$ J8 |  |
| $\checkmark$ A962 |  | $\diamond$ Q853 |  |
| * KQ865 | - A 2 |  |  |
|  | South |  |  |
|  | - 652 |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ Q95 |  |  |
|  | $\diamond$ J74 |  |  |
|  | - 10743 |  |  |
| Open Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Callaghan | Lesniewski | Armstrong | Martens |
|  | Pass | 14 | Pass |
| 2\% | Pass | $2 \diamond$ | Pass |
| $2 \checkmark^{*}$ | Pass | 30 | Pass |
| $3 \diamond$ | Pass | 34 | Pass |
| 3NT | All Pass |  |  |


| Closed Room |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West | North | East | South |
| Kwiecien | Senior | Pszczola | Lambardi |
|  | Pass | 14 | Pass |
| 20 | Pass | $2 \diamond$ | Pass |
| $3 \checkmark$ | Pass | 3 | Pass |
| 4 | All Pass |  |  |

Callaghan needed some luck in one of the black suits to make 3NT on a heart lead, but he didn't get any and finished one down: -100.

Pszczola, in 4 because he depicted a six-card suit equivalent, got a club lead from Lambardi. He won the king in dummy, took a trump finesse and cashed the ace-king before unblocking the A , crossing to the $\diamond \mathrm{A}$, and leading the $\&$. North ruffed in as Pszczola discarded his heart loser. The heart switch went to the ace and a diamond from dummy brought the king: +650. 5 $\triangleleft$, anyone? 13 IMPs to Poland, ahead now by 47, 70-23.

| Bd: 31 | North |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIr: South | - K6 |  |  |
| Vul: N/S | $\bigcirc$ AJ9732 |  |  |
|  | $\diamond$ QJ3 |  |  |
|  | \& 97 |  |  |
| West | East |  |  |
| Q Q103 | - J97 |  |  |
| $\bigcirc 4$ | $\bigcirc$ Q108 |  |  |
| $\checkmark 9764$ | $\checkmark$ A1082 |  |  |
| * AQJ64 | * K82 |  |  |
| South |  |  |  |
| - A8542 |  |  |  |
| $\bigcirc$ K65 |  |  |  |
| $\checkmark$ K5 |  |  |  |
| - 1053 |  |  |  |
| Open Room |  |  |  |
| West | North East |  | South |
| Callaghan | Lesniewski Armstrong |  | Martens |
|  |  |  | Pass |
| Pass | $2 \diamond^{*}$ | Pass | 39* |
| All Pass Pass |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| *2 $\=$ Multi |  |  |  |
| *3e"Transfer into your major" |  |  |  |
| * $3 \diamond=$ Hearts |  |  |  |


| Closed |  |  | Room |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| West | North | East | South |
| Kwiecien | Senior | Pszczola | Lambardi <br>  <br> Pass |
| 2 |  | Pass | $3 \bigcirc$ |
| $4 \mathbf{2}$ | All Pass |  |  |

Kwiecien, auditioning for the lead role in the impending movie, "The Man Who Knows No Fear," bought a nice dummy in 4\&, but he went three down nonetheless: -150. Fortunately for Poland, Martens was at the right level in hearts on the lie of the cards: +140 . No swing.

The second quarter was not nearly as interesting as the first, but Poland had much the best of it, outscoring England 31-2. At the half, it was Poland by 47 IMPs, 70-23.

Third Quarter (Boards 33-48):

| Bd: 33 | North |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIr: North | - 3 |  |  |
| Vul: None | $\bigcirc \mathrm{J} 96$ |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ KQ54 |  |  |
| \& AJ1083 |  |  |  |
| West | East |  |  |
| - J107 | - AQ862 |  |  |
| $\bigcirc$ K2 | $\bigcirc$ A743 |  |  |
| $\checkmark$ A10932 | $\checkmark$ J8 |  |  |
| -954 | - 62 |  |  |
| South |  |  |  |
| ¢ K954 |  |  |  |
| $\bigcirc$ Q1085 |  |  |  |
| $\diamond 76$ |  |  |  |
| 2 KQ7 |  |  |  |
| Open Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Armstrong | Lesniewski | Callaghan | Martens |
|  | $1 \diamond$ | 14. | Dbl |
| 2. | All Pass |  |  |
| Closed Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Kwiecien | Senior | Pszczola | Lambardi |
|  | 1NT | 29* | Pass |
| 24 | All Pass |  |  |
| * $¢+$ |  |  |  |

Both E/W pairs bought the contract at 2 . Callaghan got a diamond lead from Martens, won the ace, and tried a club. North went in with the ace to switch to his singleton trump, ducked to the king. A second trump went to the ten and Callaghan took a third-round heart ruff with dummy's jack before exiting with a diamond. Lesniewski won the queen and continued with the king. Callaghan discarded his club loser, but now a fourth round of diamonds promoted the nine of trumps: -50 .

Pszczola also got a diamond lead and won the ace, but he started trumps himself, leading the jack. Lambardi won the king and switched to a heart to the nine and ace. Pszczola exited with the $\diamond J$ and Senior won to play the $\$ 3$ to Lambardi's queen. Senior did not overtake the \%K and Lambardi reverted to hearts. Pszczola won the 8 K , cashed the $\uparrow 10$, ruffed a club with the $\uparrow 8$, ruffed a heart with the $\uparrow 7$, and had two high trumps coming for +110 . 4 IMPs to Poland, 74-23.

| Bd: 37 | North |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIr: North | - 2 |  |  |
| Vul: N/S | $\bigcirc$ Q62 |  |  |
|  | $\diamond 764$ |  |  |
|  | - KQJ1074 |  |  |
| West | East |  |  |
| - Q1095 | ¢ J874 |  |  |
| $\checkmark$ AK109 | $\bigcirc 743$ |  |  |
| $\checkmark$ KJ1092 | $\checkmark$ Q83 |  |  |
| - --- |  | \% 83 |  |
| South |  |  |  |
| ¢ AK63 |  |  |  |
| $\bigcirc$ J85 |  |  |  |
| $\checkmark$ A5 |  |  |  |
| * A965 |  |  |  |
| Open Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Armstrong | Lesniewski | Callaghan | Martens |
|  | Pass | Pass | 1NT |
| $2 \wedge^{*}$ | $2{ }^{*}$ | 24 | Dbl |
| Pass | 3\% | Pass | 3NT |
| All Pass |  |  |  |
| * $2 \bigcirc=\bigcirc+$ + |  |  |  |
| *2 2 =Heart stopper, game values |  |  |  |


| Closed |  |  | Room |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| West | North | East | South |
| Kwiecien | Senior | Pszczola | Lambardi |
|  | $3 \boldsymbol{e}$ | Pass | 3NT |
| $4 \boldsymbol{e}^{*}$ | Pass | $4 \diamond$ | $5 \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ |
| $5 \diamond$ | Dbl | All Pass |  |

Martens soon claimed nine tricks in 3NT on the lead of the $\diamond \mathrm{J}:+600$. It looks as if Pszczola was playing his partner for a two-suiter and scrambling to find a fit while Kwiecien may have thought his partner had some genuine length in diamonds, but perhaps they knew what they were doing all along. The defense got two spades and a ruff and switched to a club. Declarer ruffed and knocked out the trump ace, but North got another spade ruff and there was still a heart to come. Four down, -800. 5 IMPs to England, 28-76.

| Bd: 39 | North |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIr: South | - J106 |  |  |
| Vul: Both | ¢ J1095 |  |  |
|  | $\diamond$ J |  |  |
|  | \& A10543 |  |  |
| West |  | East |  |
| - K52 |  | ¢ A843 |  |
| $\bigcirc$ Q2 |  | $\bigcirc$ AK864 |  |
| $\checkmark$ KQ1076 |  | $\diamond$ A42 |  |
| - Q76 |  | \% K |  |
|  | South |  |  |
|  | - Q97 |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 73$ |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark 9853$ |  |  |
|  | \& 1982 |  |  |
| Open Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Armstrong | Lesniewski | Callaghan | Martens |
|  |  |  | Pass |
| 19* | Pass | 18 | Pass |
| 1NT | Pass | $2 \diamond^{*}$ | Pass |
| 3 - | Pass | $4 \diamond$ | All Pass |

*1s includes balanced hands outside the 1NT range


| Closed Room |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West | North | East | South |
| Kwiecien | Senior | Pszczola | Lambardi |
|  |  |  | Pass |
| $1 \diamond$ | Pass | 18 | Pass |
| 1NT | Pass | 20* | Pass |
| $2 \checkmark$ | Pass | 2NT* | Pass |
| 30 | Pass | $6 \diamond$ | All Pass |

At least it wasn't a grand slam. The Armstrong/ Callaghan convention card lists a $2 \boldsymbol{2}$ puppet to $2 \triangleleft$ over opener's 1NT rebid but makes no mention of $2 \diamond$, which is most often treated as a game-forcing inquiry by pairs using this mechanism. Perhaps John played the wrong bidding card by mistake: +170.

Pszczola's sequence to 2NT was forcing to game and he knew Kwiecien's shape and that he held an honor in hearts. We can see that 6 $\checkmark$ can be made after the lead of the J , but declarer does not want to choose a line that prevents him from discovering in time that South has jack-fourth of trumps. With that in mind, Kwiecien won the $\$ K$ and cashed the $\checkmark K$, intending to go to the $\diamond A$. When the jack of trumps appeared there was no guarantee that it was singleton so declarer played a second trump to the ace, then led a club. North led a second spade to knock out dummy's ace and it was no longer convenient to take a club ruff as the $\vee Q$ was the only entry to the closed hand and drawing trumps would then be impossible. Accordingly, Kwiecien decided not to take his club ruff and instead took his remaining trumps and tried to run the hearts if his spade was not high. Pain. Misery. One down: -100.

Had Kwiecien won the first spade in dummy to lead a trump to the king, he might then have conceded a club and arranged his ruff with the entry position fluid. Indeed, even two rounds of trumps and then a club would have worked had the $\uparrow \mathrm{K}$ still been in the closed hand. Having spent a half hour on this deal already, I'm convinced it merits even more, but we can't see a sure trick line that deals with all the relevant options: pick up four-one trumps, take a club ruff, ruff out the hearts and get back to them and still take a club trick when trumps are fourone.

And so it was that England gained 7 IMPs where a loss of 13 seemed inevitable at first glance. Poland, 76-35.

| Bd: 40 | North |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIr: West | - 863 |  |  |
| Vul: None | $\checkmark$ A2 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ K 4 |  |  |
|  | \% KJ8543 |  |  |
| West | East |  |  |
| - A102 | - K954 |  |  |
| $\bigcirc$ Q764 | $\bigcirc$ K95 |  |  |
| $\diamond 96$ | $\diamond$ AQJ85 |  |  |
| \& AQ96 | $\bigcirc 2$ |  |  |
| South |  |  |  |
| - QJ7 |  |  |  |
| $\bigcirc$ J1083 |  |  |  |
| $\diamond 10732$ |  |  |  |
| -107 |  |  |  |
| Open Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Armstrong | Lesniewski | Callaghan | Martens |
| 12* | 2\% | Db* | All Pass |
| *1e includes balanced hands out of range for 1NT |  |  |  |
| Closed Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Kwiecien | Senior | Pszczola | Lambardi |
| 18 | Pass | $2{ }^{\text {* }}$ | Pass |
| 2NT | Pass | 3. | Pass |
| 3NT | All Pass |  |  |

Although Armstrong might have had a doubleton club for his $1 \%$ opening, he was at liberty to have lots of them. Lesniewski's overcall with a balanced hand and nearminimum strength, was hardly safe, even nonvulnerable, and this time his opponents made him pay for his enterprise. Against $2 \%$ doubled Callaghan led a spade to the queen and ace and Armstrong switched to the $\diamond 9$ to the king and ace. Two high diamonds permitted Armstrong to discard a spade and when Lesniewski ruffed and led a second spade, Callaghan won and gave Armstrong his spade ruff. Lesniewski took the low heart switch with the ace and exited in hearts, but was tucked back in hand with a third heart. He lost two trump tricks for three down: -500.

That would be no great loss if Kwiecien brought home 3NT on a low club lead to the ten and queen. He took a successful diamond finesse and led a heart to the queen and ace. The K held and Senior exited with a heart, ducked to the ten. A third heart went to the king and declarer led a spade to the jack and ace, cashed the A, discarding dummy's last small spade and allowing South to release a spade himself, and led a diamond to the king and ace. South took the rest after declarer cashed the $\diamond \mathrm{J}:-50.11 \mathrm{IMPs}$ to England, 46-76.

| Bd: 41 | North |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIr: North | - A9742 |  |  |
| Vul: E/W | $\bigcirc 10962$ |  |  |
|  | $\diamond$ J53 |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 5$ |  |  |
| West | East |  |  |
| - KJ5 | - Q6 |  |  |
| $\bigcirc$ KQ7 | $\bigcirc$ AJ85 |  |  |
| $\checkmark$ A972 | $\diamond$ KQ4 |  |  |
| - 976 | \% QJ82 |  |  |
| South |  |  |  |
| - 1083 |  |  |  |
| $\bigcirc 43$ |  |  |  |
| $\diamond 1086$ |  |  |  |
| \& AK1043 |  |  |  |
| Open Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Armstrong | Lesniewski | Callaghan | Martens |
|  | Pass | 19** | Pass |
| $1 \diamond$ | Dbl | Rdbl* | 20 |
| Dbl All Pass |  |  |  |
| Closed Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Kwiecien | Senior | Pszczola | Lambardi |
|  | Pass | 1NT | Pass |
| 3NT | All Pass |  |  |

Callaghan downgraded his 15 -count and opened 1\% rather than a 15-17 notrump and when Lesniewski cavalierly came into the live auction he was playing with fire. The English pair decided to take what money there was on defense against 2e doubled. Declarer was able to scramble four trumps and the $\uparrow$ for three down, -500 .

Once again Poland needed its Closed Room
pair to make a game to save the board. That was an easy assignment this time: +660. 4 IMPs to Poland, 80-46.

| Bd: 42 | North |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIr: East | ¢ K9 |  |  |
| Vul: Both | $\bigcirc$ J5432 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ A93 |  |  |
|  | * QJ5 |  |  |
| West | East |  |  |
| - 1083 | - Q542 |  |  |
| $\bigcirc$ Q10 | $\bigcirc$ K87 |  |  |
| $\diamond$ KJ1076 | $2 \checkmark 4$ |  |  |
| - 102 | \% K9863 |  |  |
| South |  |  |  |
| ¢ AJ76 |  |  |  |
| $\bigcirc$ A96 |  |  |  |
| $\diamond$ Q85 |  |  |  |
| * A74 |  |  |  |
| Open Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Armstrong | Lesniewski | Callaghan | Martens |
|  |  | Pass | 1NT |
| Pass | $2 \diamond^{*}$ | Pass | $2 \checkmark$ |
| Pass | 3NT | All Pass |  |
| Closed Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Kwiecien | Senior | Pszczola | Lambardi |
|  |  | Pass | 19 |
| $1 \diamond$ | 18 | 14. | Db* |
| Pass | 4 | All Pass |  |
| * Three-card heart support |  |  |  |

Against Senior's $4 \diamond$ Pszczola led the the $\diamond 4$, declarer ducking West's ten. The 10 was covered all around and Senior did well to start hearts by leading low away from dummy. Kwiecien went in with the queen (a bravo) and played a second club to the queen. Now the $\vee J$ to complete the intrafinesse would have seen Senior home but instead he led a trump to the ace. Although he later took the spade finesse to dispose of a minor-suit loser he was one down: -100.

Against 3NT, Armstrong led the $\diamond$ J, which ran to the queen, and a low heart went to the ten, jack and king. The club switch went to the ten and jack and Martens led a heart to the nine for safety. He had three hearts, two diamonds, two
clubs, and came to three spades on an endplay: +630. 12 IMPs to Poland, 92-46.

| Bd: 43 <br> DIr: South <br> Vul: None | North <br> 107632 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 7$ |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ A5 |  |  |
| * A6532 |  |  |  |
| West |  | East |  |
| - K |  | - AQJ854 |  |
| $\bigcirc$ QJ8542 |  | $\bigcirc 63$ |  |
| $\diamond$ KJ |  | $\checkmark$ Q103 |  |
| KJ97 |  | -108 |  |
|  | South |  |  |
|  | ¢ 9 |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ AK109 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark 987642$ |  |  |
|  | - Q4 |  |  |
| Open Room |  |  |  |
|  | North | East | South |
| Armstrong | Lesniewski | Callaghan | Martens |
|  |  |  | Pass |
| 18 | $2{ }^{*}$ | Pass | 3e* |
| Pass | Pass | Dbl* | All Pass |
| * 5+a/5+m |  |  |  |
| Closed Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Kwiecien | Senior | Pszczola | Lambardi |
|  |  |  | Pass |
| 18 | $2 \bigcirc^{*}$ | Dbl | 30* |
| Dbl | All Pass |  |  |
| * $5+$ + / $/ 5+m$ |  |  |  |

Both Norths committed a Michaels Cue Bid and were picked off in 3 when East was willing to increase the stakes. Armstrong led a low heart, which ran to the nine, and the $\vee \mathrm{A}$ took care of the $\diamond 5$. Martens crossed to the $\diamond A$ and led a spade, East going in with the ace to lead the 8 , queen, king, ace. A spade was ruffed in the closed hand and over-ruffed and the $\vee \mathrm{J}$ was ruffed by East with the 10 . Declarer could take only six tricks: -500.

Kwiecien led a trump, usually a sound idea on this sort of auction. Here, however, it worked badly when it allowed Lambardi to score the \%Q. A second trump went to the ace and East rose with the ace on the low spade lead from
dummy. He cashed the queen-jack of spades before exiting in hearts so declarer took one trick more than his counterpart: -300.5 IMPs to England, 51-92.

| Bd: 44 | North |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIr: West | - K63 |  |  |
| Vul: N/S | $\bigcirc$ Q93 |  |  |
| $\checkmark$ A6 |  |  |  |
| \& A10863 |  |  |  |
| West | East |  |  |
| - 10852 | ¢ AQ97 |  |  |
| $\bigcirc$ AJ42 | $\bigcirc 87$ |  |  |
| $\checkmark 832$ | $\diamond$ KQJ94 |  |  |
| - 95 | ¢ 42 |  |  |
| South |  |  |  |
| - J4 |  |  |  |
| $\bigcirc$ K1065 |  |  |  |
| $\diamond 1075$ |  |  |  |
| \% KQJ7 |  |  |  |
| Open Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Armstrong | Lesniewski | Callaghan | Martens |
| Pass | 19* | $1 \diamond$ | 18 |
| Pass | 1NT | Dbl | Pass |
| $2 \checkmark$ | $2 \checkmark$ | Pass | Pass |
| 2 | Pass | Pass | 3\% |
| 3 | All Pass |  |  |
| Closed Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Kwiecien | Senior | Pszczola | Lambardi |
| Pass | 1NT | All Pass |  |

Senior took the opening lead of the $\triangleleft \mathrm{K}$ with the ace and led the $\vee Q$ before the position was clear to the defenders. When Kwiecien ducked, Senior cashed out for +90 . Had Kwiecien taken the $\odot A$ he would have had to return a spade to defeat the contract; not so easy.

In $3 \diamond$ Callaghan held his losses to two clubs, a heart, a diamond, and a spade for -50 . 3e would have been touch and go, but I would have put my money on Lesniewski. 1 IMP to England, 52-92.

After another IMP went the same way, came this dagger...

| Bd: 47 | North |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIr: South | \& QJ1065 |  |  |
| Vul: N/S | $\bigcirc 74$ |  |  |
|  | $\diamond$ K74 |  |  |
|  | \% K95 |  |  |
| West | East |  |  |
| - 84 | - 9732 |  |  |
| $\checkmark$ AQ3 | $\bigcirc 1098$ |  |  |
| $\checkmark$ Q10862 | $\checkmark$ AJ93 |  |  |
| \& J72 | * Q10 |  |  |
| South |  |  |  |
| $\triangle \mathrm{AK}$ |  |  |  |
| $\bigcirc$ KJ652 |  |  |  |
| $\diamond 5$ |  |  |  |
| \& A8643 |  |  |  |
| Open Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Armstrong | Lesniewski | Callaghan | Martens |
|  |  |  | 18 |
| Pass | 11 | Pass | $2{ }^{*}$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |
| *2 would have been artificial, strong (needs confirming) |  |  |  |
| Closed Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Kwiecien | Senior | Pszczola | Lambardi |
|  |  |  | 18 |
| Pass | 11 | Pass | 20 |
| Pass | 28 | Pass | 32 |
| Pass | 3 | Pass | 34 |
| Pass | 419 | All Pass |  |

In his awkward 2『, Martens finished with eight tricks when the defense never led diamonds and allowed him to concede three trump tricks and a club to establish his side suit: +110.

In his awkward 49 Senior got a trump lead, won, and ducked a club. Pszczola won and returned a club to the king. Senior tried a heart to the jack and queen and Kwiecien dealt his partner a club ruff. Now a trump tucked dummy in and Senior had to lose all his plain cards for four down, -400. 11 IMPs to Poland, 103-53.


| Bd: 48 | North |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dlr: West | ¢ 8762 |  |  |
| Vul: E/W | $\bigcirc$ A9 |  |  |
|  | $\diamond$ KJ103 |  |  |
|  | \& J43 |  |  |
| West | East |  |  |
| - AK1094 | - Q53 |  |  |
| $\bigcirc$ Q104 | $\bigcirc 87532$ |  |  |
| $\diamond 76$ | $\checkmark$ AQ |  |  |
| - Q95 | * K82 |  |  |
|  | South |  |  |
|  | ¢ J |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ KJ6 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark 98542$ |  |  |
|  | - A1076 |  |  |
| Open Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Armstrong | Lesniewski | Callaghan | Martens |
| 14. | Pass | $2 \checkmark$ | Pass |
| 38 | Pass | 4 | All Pass |
| Closed Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Kwiecien | Senior | Pszczola | Lambardi |
| 14. | Pass | 1NT* | Pass |
| 29* | Pass | 31 | All Pass |

However, just when it looked as if Poland would take a $50-\mathrm{IMP}$ lead into the fourth quarter, England struck a parting shot on the way out to compare scores...

Against $4 \checkmark$ Martens led the $\diamond 8$ to the ten and queen, A trump went to the ten and ace (it would have been better here for South to go in with the king to switch to his singleton spade) and North switched to a low club to South's ace. The hand was over now: diamond to the ace, trump: +620.

Hearts were never in the picture in the Closed Room and Senior led a trump to the jack and ace. Kwiecien took two more trumps ending in dummy and led a hearet to the ten and ace. He won the diamond switch with the queen and led a second heart to South's king, won the diamond continuation, led a club to the king, drew the last trump, cashed the $\vee Q$, and tried the obligatory finesse in clubs, ducking in dummy. South had two club winners and declarer had nine tricks: +140. 10 IMPs to

England.
England won the third quarter 40-33 but after 48 deals, Poland was 40 IMPs ahead, 103-63.

Fourth Quarter (Boards 49-64):

| Bd: 49 North |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIr: North Vul: None | - Q974 |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 86$ |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ AKJ3 |  |  |
|  | * J63 |  |  |
| West | East |  |  |
| - A | \& KJ63 |  |  |
| $\bigcirc$ KJ5432 | $\bigcirc$ AQ109 |  |  |
| $\diamond$ Q8654 | $\checkmark 102$ |  |  |
| - 10 | * K42 |  |  |
| South |  |  |  |
| - 10852 |  |  |  |
| $\bigcirc 7$ |  |  |  |
| $\diamond 97$ |  |  |  |
| AQ9875 |  |  |  |
| Open Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Armstrong | Lesniewski | Callaghan | Martens |
|  | Pass | 10* | 20 |
| 3)* | Pass | 4 | Pass |
| Pass | Dbl | All Pass |  |
| *1s includes balanced hands out of range for 1NT <br> *3 3 =Forcing |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Closed Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Kwiecien | Senior | Pszczola | Lambardi |
|  | 1NT | Dbl* | 3\% |
| $4 \bigcirc$ | All Pass |  |  |

$4 \bigcirc$ was cold, doubled or not. It looks as if Lesniewski was counting on a bit more from Martens. England won the board 590 to 420 and gained 5 IMPs, 68-103.


| Bd: 50 | North |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIr: East | - K98 |  |  |
| Vul: N/S | $\bigcirc 9876$ |  |  |
|  | $\diamond$ J1093 |  |  |
|  | - K5 |  |  |
| West | East |  |  |
| - Q7654 | - AJ3 |  |  |
| $\bigcirc 5$ | $\bigcirc$ A2 |  |  |
| $\checkmark$ AK876 | $\diamond 542$ |  |  |
| - 108 | - AJ432 |  |  |
| South |  |  |  |
| - 102 |  |  |  |
| $\bigcirc$ KQJ1043 |  |  |  |
| $\diamond$ Q |  |  |  |
| * Q976 |  |  |  |
| Open Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Armstrong | Lesniewski | Callaghan | Martens |
|  |  | 1NT | Pass |
| 2 ${ }^{*}$ | Pass | 24 | Pass |
| $3 \diamond$ | Pass | 31 | Pass |
| 3NT | Pass | 49 | All Pass |
| Closed Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Kwiecien | Senior | Pszczola | Lambardi |
|  |  | 1\% |  |
| 2 | 38 | 3 | Pass |
| 4 | All Pass |  |  |

Lesniewski led a heart against Armstrong's 44. Declarer won and led a diamond and when the queen came up he took the ace, went to the J and led a diamond through South, who released a heart. Now, when declarer conceded a diamond, a fourth round brought South's ten of trumps into play. Declarer lost to the 10 , another trump to North, and a slow club for one down.

Although the play varied in the Closed Room on the lead of the $\diamond J$, declarer could not find a way home after taking the first trick. No swing at E/W -50.

The winning line is not so easy to see. Declarer must duck South's $\diamond$ Q. Then he can play off the A before reverting to diamonds and ruffing the fourth round safely with dummy's three. We believe that we've seen this textbook trump management position before but total recall is
no longer part of our arsenal. It's just not intuitive to see the solution at the table.


At both tables West found the essential diamond lead. Both Easts took the queen with the king and had no clue that pointed to a club return. Declarer won the spade switch, discarded a diamond from dummy, and started clubs, and could no longer be defeated. No swing.

"And a one, and a two,...

| Bd: 52 | North |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIr: West | - Q6 |  |  |
| Vul: Both | $\bigcirc$ A10 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ A983 |  |  |
|  | * AJ972 |  |  |
| West |  | East |  |
| - 32 |  | - AJ985 |  |
| $\bigcirc$ KQ62 |  | $\bigcirc$ J75 |  |
| $\diamond$ KQ1072 |  | $\diamond 5$ |  |
| Q6 |  | \% K1053 |  |
|  | South |  |  |
|  | ¢ K1074 |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 9843$ |  |  |
|  | $\diamond$ J64 |  |  |
|  | -84 |  |  |
| Open Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Armstrong | Lesniewski | Callaghan | Martens |
| $1 \diamond$ | Pass | $1{ }^{1}$ | Pass |
| 1NT | All Pass |  |  |
| Closed Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Kwiecien | Senior | Pszczola | Lambardi |
| $1 \diamond$ | 1NT | Dbl | $2 \diamond^{*}$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |
| * Supposed to be $\diamond+$ ¢ but intended as Stayman |  |  |  |

Armstrong did well to make 1NT (my reading of the play record does not reveal precisely how) which saved the board for England because Lambardi/Senior finished in Kwiecien's suit and went for 200. 3 IMPs to Poland, 106-63.

| Bd: 53 DIr: North Vul: N/S | North |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | - Q10982 |
|  | $\bigcirc$ KJ8 |
|  | $\checkmark$ A10 |
|  | 2 Q106 |
| West | East |
| - K5 | - J64 |
| $\bigcirc$ A973 | $\bigcirc 64$ |
| $\checkmark 843$ | $\diamond$ KJ952 |
| - A982 | \& J74 |
|  | South |
|  | ¢ A73 |
|  | $\bigcirc$ Q1052 |
|  | $\diamond$ Q76 |
|  | - K53 |


| Open Room |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West | North | East | South |
| Armstrong | Lesniewski | Callaghan | Martens |
|  | 11. | Pass | 2NT* |
| Pass | 34 | All Pass |  |
| *2NT=Three-card limit raise |  |  |  |
| Closed Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Kwiecien | Senior <br> 1NT | Pszczola All Pass | Lambardi |

Against Lesniewski's 34 Callaghan led his doubleton heart, Armstrong playing ace and another. When he came in with the sK, Armstrong dealt Callaghan a heart ruff, but poor East had no safe exit and dummy"s long heart would provide a discard for the minor suit that East did not lead: +140 .

In 1NT Senior got a diamond lead around to his ten and drove out the $\triangle \mathrm{A}$. He won the diamond continuation and built a club triclk for his seventh winner: +90. 2 IMPs to Poland, 10863.

| Bd: 54 | North |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dlr: East | - K |  |  |
| Vul: E/W | $\bigcirc 109$ |  |  |
|  | $\diamond$ AJ8432 |  |  |
|  | \% 9652 |  |  |
| West | East |  |  |
| 4 Q6 | ¢ 743 |  |  |
| $\bigcirc$ KJ842 | $\bigcirc$ A53 |  |  |
| $\checkmark 95$ | $\diamond$ KQ76 |  |  |
| * AQ43 | \% KJ10 |  |  |
| South |  |  |  |
| A AJ109852$\bigcirc$ Q76 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| $\diamond 10$ |  |  |  |
| ¢ 87 |  |  |  |
| Open Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Armstrong | Lesniewski | Callaghan | Martens |
|  |  | 19* | 31 |
| Dbl* | Pass | $4 \diamond$ | Pass |
|  | All Pass |  |  |
| Closed Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Kwiecien | Senior | Pszczola | Lambardi |
|  |  | 1980 | 34 |
| Dbl All | Pass |  |  |

The fact that N/S can't beat 3NT doesn't mean that E/W can find a reasonable excuse to get there, and as $4 \bigcirc$ can be beaten Pszczola's decision to pass his partner's negative double would appear to be the winner. On a diamond lead, declarer started hearts but had to lose three of those and two clubs for -100 .

And the fact that $4 \checkmark$ can be defeated doesn't mean that it shall be. Anyone could lead the s K against $4 \checkmark$ after partner's preempt but real experts lead the $\diamond A$ and continue with the suitpreference eight to give their partner a ruff. Marcin Lesniewski, an expert's expert to the core, was pleased to see his partner ruff the second diamond. He was less pleased a moment later when he found himself on lead with the $₫ K$. Now declarer's second spade went on the $\diamond \mathrm{K}$ after trumps were drawn and Armstrong recorded a neat +620 on the "we" side of his private score. 11 IMPs to England, 79-108.

If you think this couldn't happen to you, ask yourself how you'd like to defend if North had king-and-one spade and only five diamonds.

| Bd: 55 | North |
| :--- | :--- |
| DIr: South | AQJ97 |
| Vul: Both | $\diamond---$ |
|  | $\diamond 10543$ |
|  |  |


| West <br> . K85 |  | East $1043 \text { ــ }$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc$ K87654 |  | $\bigcirc$ AJ1093 |
| $\checkmark$ AQ8 |  | $\checkmark$ K972 |
| -9 |  | * K |
|  | South |  |
|  | 4 62 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ Q2 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ J6 |  |
|  | * A10 |  |

Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Armstrong | Lesniewski | Callaghan | Martens |
|  |  |  | Pass |
| 18 | 19. | 24* | Pass |
| 4 | All Pass |  |  |


| Closed Room |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West | North | East | South |
| Kwiecien | Senior | Pszczola | Lambardi |
|  |  |  | Pass |
| 18 | 11. | 24* | Pass |
| 30 | Pass | 48 | All Pass |

Both Norths led a club over to the ace; a spade through the king then led to two spades and a ruff for one down: -100. Perhaps you would have tried 3 over the fit-showing 2s cue-bid and reached $5 \%$. Well, good for you, then.

| Bd: 56 | North |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIr: West | - 6 |  |  |
| Vul: None | $\bigcirc 8432$ |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ Q108 |  |  |
|  | \% QJ1042 |  |  |
| West | East |  |  |
| 4 K3 | ¢ AJ8542 |  |  |
| $\bigcirc$ Q9 | $\bigcirc$ J6 |  |  |
| $\checkmark$ K94 | $\diamond 7632$ |  |  |
| AK7653 | 39 |  |  |
| South |  |  |  |
|  | - Q1097 |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ AK1075 |  |  |
|  | $\diamond$ AJ5 |  |  |
| - 8 |  |  |  |
| Open Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Armstrong | Lesniewski | Callaghan | Martens |
| 1NT | Pass | $28^{*}$ | Dbl |
| Pass* | 3 | 31 | $4 \bigcirc$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |
| Closed Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Kwiecien | Senior | Pszczola | Lambardi |
| 1NT | Pass | $2{ }^{*}$ | Dbl |
| Pass* | 3 | 3 | Pass |
| 44 | Pass | Pass | Dbl |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

Both Wests denied as many as three spades by passing when South doubled East's transfer response. When both Norths scraped together a "raise" to $3 \checkmark$ and East competed to 3 the South players evaluated their hands rather differently but at both tables the stakes were fairly high.

Against $4 \checkmark$ Callaghan led the 9 to the king and Armstrong played $\uparrow \mathrm{K}$, spade, forcing the closed hand. Lesniewski took ace-king of trumps, ruffed a spade and passed the m K , discarding dummy's last spade. Armstrong won but had to lead a diamond from the king or a club to North's winners: +420 was an excellent result for Poland but it wasn't excellent enough.

Against East's 49 doubled Lambardi cashed two high hearts, Senior following upwards. South switched to ace and anothercdiamond and Pszczola won the king to play ace-king of clubs, discarding a diamond. South ruffed, cashed partner's diamond, and waited for another trump trick. Three down, -500.2 IMPs to England, 81-108.

With eight deals remaining, the Polish lead had been reduced to 27 IMPs, and the momentum was clearly in England's favor. Hold onto your seats, we're expecting some turbulence.

| Bd: 57 | North |
| :---: | :---: |
| DIr: North | ¢ A73 |
| Vul: E/W | $\bigcirc 42$ |
|  | $\diamond$ QJ93 |
|  | \% KQ54 |
| West | East |
| - K64 | - Q1092 |
| $\bigcirc$ A98 | $\bigcirc$ Q76 |
| $\checkmark$ A10864 | $\checkmark 52$ |
| -109 | \% 1872 |
|  | South |
|  | ¢ J85 |
|  | $\bigcirc$ KJ1053 |
|  | $\checkmark$ K7 |
|  | * A63 |

Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Armstrong | Lesniewski | Callaghan | Martens |
|  | $1 \mathbf{p e}^{*}$ | Pass | 18 |
| Pass | 1NT | Pass | 3NT |
| All Pass |  |  |  |
| Closed Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Kwiecien | Senior | Pszczola | Lambardi |
|  | 1NT | Pass | 3NT |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

At both tables East found the effective lead of the 10 , which held. Pszczola continued with the 2 to the king and Senior held up the ace until the third round. A heart to the jack held and a club to the king permitted declarer to lead a heart to the ten and ace. West returned a club, but with hearts coming in and the $\diamond \mathrm{A}$ in West, the contract was cold: +400 .

Callaghan, seeing a count card from Armstrong, decided to switch horses as he thought it unlikely that two spade tricks would be enough to defeat the contract. He tried the $\diamond 5$, seven, ace, three, and Armstrong reverted to spades, leading the king. Lesniewski elected to take the trick, which proved to be his undoing. When West came in with the $\vee \mathrm{A}$ he had a spade to lead to East. One down, -50. 10 IMPs to England, 91-108.

Hey, Harry, you might want to watch this on Vugraph...

| Bd: 59 North |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIr: South 83 | - 83 |  |  |
| Vul: None | $\bigcirc$ K5 |  |  |
| $\diamond$ Q1087 |  |  |  |
| 2 AJ983 |  |  |  |
| West | East |  |  |
| - KQ752 | - A6 |  |  |
| $\bigcirc$ A43 | ¢ J1072 |  |  |
| $\checkmark$ AJ4 | $\checkmark 932$ |  |  |
| Q4 | - K1052 |  |  |
| South |  |  |  |
| ¢ J1094 |  |  |  |
| $\bigcirc$ Q986 |  |  |  |
| $\diamond$ K65 |  |  |  |
| ¢ 76 |  |  |  |
| Open Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Armstrong | Lesniewski | Callaghan | Martens |
|  |  |  | Pass |
| 1NT | Pass | 20* | Pass |
| 2. | Pass | 2NT | Pass |
| 3NT | All Pass |  |  |
| Closed Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Kwiecien | Senior | Pszczola | Lambardi |
|  |  |  | Pass |
| 1NT | Pass | 20 | Pass |
| 2 | Pass | 2NT | Pass |
| 3 | Pass | 3NT | All Pass |

Lesniewski made the normal lead of the 8 against Armstrong's 3NT. The queen won and a second club went to dummy's ten. Declarer crossed to the $\$ \mathrm{Q}$ and led a low heart to the jack and queen, Martens returning a spade. A heart to the ace felled the king and Armstrong cleared spades for a rather spectacular nine tricks: four spades, two hearts, two clubs and the $\diamond \mathrm{A} ;+400$.

I must remember to ask the inimitable Brian why he led a Marstonesque diamond and not a mortal's club but l'm afraid he might tell me, and then where will I be? The $\Delta \mathrm{K}$ was permitted to hold and a second diamond went to the jack and queen, Senior clearing the suit at trick three. The Q lost to the ace and Senior took the fourth defensive trick in diamonds before exiting with the \%9. Declarer finessed successfully and played four rounds of spades, claiming the rest for one down, -50.10 IMPs to England, within 7 IMPs now at 101-108.


Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Armstrong | Lesniewski | Callaghan | Martens |
| $1 \diamond$ | Pass | 19 | Pass |
| 2\% | Pass | $2 \diamond$ | Pass |
| 4NT | Pass | $5 \diamond^{*}$ | All Pass |
| Closed Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Kwiecien | Senior | Pszczola | Lambardi |
| $1 \diamond$ | Pass | $1{ }^{1}$ | Pass |
| 3 | Pass | 3NT | Pass |
| $4 \diamond$ | Pass | $4 \bigcirc$ | Pass |
| $6 \diamond$ | All Pass |  |  |

$6 \diamond$ is a reasonable slam because North may not lead a heart, and even if he does, there's a fair chance of avoiding a diamond loser. I'm a big John Armstrong fan but even as a tactical move his 4NT seems like an odd choice and in the end it told him nothing. Here hearts were unbid so Lesniewski led one: +400 , another excellent result for England.

Things were looking positive for Poland, however, when the bidding was displayed on the Vugraph screen. Lambardi had not doubled Pszczola's $4 \bigcirc$ cue bid so Senior was likely to lead a spade. Right?

But, no. With his second-best heart so much better than his second-best spade, Brian's fingers settled on the 83 . One down, $-50,10$ IMPs to England, now ahead in the match by 3 IMPs, 111-108. Four boards remained.


There was nothing to the play, a push at E/W +620 . Three deals left.

| Bd: 62 | North |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dlr: East <br> Vul: None | - AQJ1053 |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ K32 |  |  |
| $\checkmark$ A102 |  |  |  |
| \& Q |  |  |  |
| West | East |  |  |
| - 97 | ¢ K642 |  |  |
| $\bigcirc 5$ | $\bigcirc$ QJ874 |  |  |
| $\checkmark$ K984 | $\diamond 653$ |  |  |
| K KJ1075 | * 6 |  |  |
| South |  |  |  |
| - 8 |  |  |  |
| $\bigcirc$ A1096 |  |  |  |
| $\diamond$ QJ7 |  |  |  |
| \& A9832 |  |  |  |
| Open Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Armstrong | Lesniewski | Callaghan | Martens |
|  |  | Pass | 20* |
| Pass | $2 \diamond^{*}$ | Pass | 2 |
| Pass | 24* | Pass | $3{ }^{*}$ |
| Pass | 3NT | All Pass |  |
| Closed Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Kwiecien | Senior | Pszczola | Lambardi |
|  |  | Pass | 190 |
| Pass | 2 | Pass | 39 |
| Pass | 31 | Pass | 4 |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

Lesniewski got a small heart lead from Callaghan, won the ten, had Armstrong cover the $\diamond$ Q, and sold a spade to Callaghan: +490.

Senior, in 44, got the lead of the from Pszczola. He won the ace and lost a trick to the \&K. East got out with a diamond and declarer eventually lost a heart for +450 . 1 IMP to Poland, 109-111. Close enough for you? Two boards left.

| Bd: 63 | North |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIr: South | - K75 |  |  |
| Vul: N/S | $\bigcirc$ K8632 |  |  |
|  | $\diamond$ J432 |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
| West |  | East |  |
| - 1032 |  | ¢ AJ984 |  |
| $\bigcirc$ Q5 |  | $\bigcirc 109$ |  |
| $\checkmark$ K106 |  | $\diamond 5$ |  |
| Q QJ975 |  | 2 K8643 |  |
|  | South |  |  |
|  | - Q6 |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ AJ74 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ AQ987 |  |  |
|  | - 102 |  |  |
| Open Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Armstrong | Lesniewski | Callaghan | Martens |
|  |  |  | $1 \diamond$ |
| Pass | 18 | 14 | $2 \checkmark$ |
| 24. | $4 \bigcirc$ | All Pass |  |
| Closed Ro | oom |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Kwiecien | Senior | Pszczola | Lambardi <br> 1NT |
| Pass | $2 \diamond^{*}$ | $2 \bigcirc^{*}$ | 38 |
| 34 | 4* | Pass | $4 \bigcirc$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |
| *2 2 = Heart |  |  |  |
| *2 $¢=5+$ + $/ 5$ | 5+m |  |  |

Callaghan led his singleton diamond against Lesniewski's $4 \checkmark$. Declarer called for the ace, picked up trumps, and lost a diamond and a spade: +650.

Kwiecien led the 4 against Lambardi's 4 『. Pszczola judged correctly to take the ace to switch to the $\diamond 5$. Lambardi ducked to the king and ran into a diamond ruff, but now he could pick up trumps for +620 . 1 IMP to Poland, 110111. Close enough for you? Last board coming up. Watch closely now...



Both E/W pairs handled N/S's diamond interference beautifully, slipping into spades at the eleventh hour. The Easts will tell you that their sequence showed club support in case 4s did not hit a primary fit. Both Souths led the $\$ 7$. Lesniewski took his ace and returned the ten for Martens to ruff. Callaghan had the rest with the aid of the heart finesse: +650 .

It was going to be tough for Poland to gain an IMP on this deal. In the Closed room Senior put in the 9 at trick one. Pszczola won the jack, drew trumps ending in dummy ( $\diamond$ Q from North), led a club to the queen, and another club. Senior won cheaply and knocked out the $\diamond A$ and Pszczola ruffed out the A , cashed the $\bigcirc$ A, ruffed a diamond, cashed the long club, and led a heart towards the queen. North had only hearts remaining and so Pszczola scored the $\vee Q$ for his eleventh trick: +650 . No swing.

And no more boards.
England had trailed by 50 IMPs with one deal remaining in the third quarter, their nadir in the match. They started the final set 40 IMPs behind, with a half-IMP carryover to help them lose if the teams were tied at the table after 64 boards. The defending champions showed strength and character by holding their powerful opponents to just 7 IMPs over the final 16 deals while scoring 48 themselves. The final score was 111-110.

The Polish team did not fall apart down the stretch. Indeed, they did many good things, but it's never easy to beat a team of destiny, England earning that label when they qualified for the knockout phase on IMP quotient to break a tie with South Africa. Although both teams could have avoided some of their soft results, it's fair to say that Poland did not lose this match but England won it.

A toast to the back-to-back champions and their opponents, two great teams that gave us everything we could hope for in a final.


The first Commonwealth Nations Bridge Championship was held in Manchester, England, in July, one week before the Commonwealth Games-a parallel with the IOC Grand Prix event held just before the Winter Olympics at Salt Lake City.

Twenty-eight countries entered, including big guns Australia, Canada and England. Many of the other countries have bridge associations of fewer than 100 members but were there to compete fiercely and try and take a few scalps along the way. The numbers were augmented by the inclusion of a Sponsor's team (Maple Financial Group of Canada) and a Patron's team (Brigitte Mavromichalis), without whose financial help the whole event would not have been possible.

The play was from 10 am to 6 or 7 pm , with a variety of entertainment laid on for the evenings, including an opening reception, hosted by the Lord Mayor in the impressive Town Hall, a visit to Old Trafford and a superb Chinese closing banquet.

The 30 teams were divided into two groups, playing a three-day Round Robin of 9 board matches. The top two in each group would qualify for the semi-finals. South Africa faced Australia in the first round and Ismael Del'Monte showed why Australia was one of the pre-tournament favourites with his play on this hand:

| Vul: E/W | . AKQ953 |
| :---: | :---: |
| DIr: North | $\bigcirc$ Q97 |
|  | $\diamond 73$ |
|  | * 75 |
| - J7 | - 1062 |
| $\checkmark$ A6 | $\bigcirc$ J1054 |
| $\checkmark$ KJ652 | $\diamond 1094$ |
| KJ102 | * A94 |
|  | - 84 |
|  | $\bigcirc$ K832 |
|  | $\checkmark$ AQ8 |
|  | ¢ Q863 |


| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Gower | MarstonChu | Del'Monte |  |
|  | $1 \boxtimes(1)$ | Pass | $1 N T(2)$ |
| $2 \triangleleft$ | 2 | Pass | 3NT |
| All Pass |  |  |  |
| (1) At least four spades  <br> (2) Up to 11 points  |  |  |  |

Gower avoided the fatal diamond lead and opted for the 2 (the jack is no better) to Chu's ace, and he switched to the $\diamond 10$. Del'Monte won with ace and played a heart to dummy's queen. He then ran the spades, reaching this pretty end position:

|  | 4 3 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc 97$ |  |
|  | $\diamond 7$ |  |
|  | - 7 |  |
| Q--- |  | 4 --- |
| $\bigcirc$ A |  | $\bigcirc$ J105 |
| $\diamond$ KJ |  | $\checkmark 9$ |
| \% KJ |  | -9 |
|  | ¢ --- |  |
|  | $\bigcirc \mathrm{K}$ |  |
|  | $\diamond$ Q8 |  |
|  | \& Q8 |  |

Declarer threw the 8 K on the last spade. West discarded his 8 A , and was then thrown in with a club to concede the last trick to the $\diamond$ Q. South Africa were one off in 34 in the other room, so that was 10 IMPs to Australia who won the match by 23 VPs to 7 .

Zimbabwe met Jamaica on Vu-Graph in Round 3:

"Some days it just doesn't pay to get out of bed..."

| Vul: None | 4 |
| :---: | :---: |
| DIr: West | $\bigcirc 97$ |
|  | $\diamond$ KJ976 |
|  | \& AKJ103 |
| - 943 | - QJ1076 |
| $\bigcirc$ J54 | $\bigcirc$ K8632 |
| $\checkmark 1054$ | $\checkmark$ A3 |
| - 7542 | \& 8 |
|  | ¢ AK82 |
|  | $\bigcirc$ AQ10 |
|  | $\diamond$ Q82 |
|  | - Q96 |

There are three making slam contracts and Michael Coore and Wayne Chai-Chong, in the closed room for Jamaica, bid to without too much difficulty. Zimbabwe was not so successful on Vu-Graph:

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pass | $1 \diamond$ | Dbl | Rdbl |
| Pass | 32 | Pass | $3 ¢$ |
| Pass | $3 N T$ | Pass | $4 \curvearrowright$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

South had started with a redouble (because 1s would not have been forcing in his methods) and then tried one forcing bid too many. John Collings was on Vu-Graph commentary duty at the time and was urging South to bid 6NT on the second and the third round of bidding. When North, who assumed he was facing a hand that was at least five-five in the majors, produced his final pass card, the ever-excitable Collings knocked over his microphone, his water and most of the other items on the commentators' table. Zimbabwe's only consolation was that they were now in the lead for the prize for the contract with the worst trump fit.

This hand from Round 4 generated big swings in many of the matches:

"Beats me why they put us out in the hall here."

| Vul: None DIr: East | ^ AQJ9643 |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc$ Q2 |
|  | $\diamond$ Q1074 |
|  | 2--- |
| - K1072 | ¢ 85 |
| $\bigcirc 64$ | $\bigcirc 953$ |
| $\checkmark$ J83 | $\checkmark 9652$ |
| \% QJ32 | \% 9875 |
|  | ¢ --- |
|  | $\bigcirc$ AKJ1087 |
|  | $\checkmark$ AK |
|  | \% AK1064 |

Wales, Kenya, Singapore, England and the Patron's team all bid to $7 \vee$, which needs the diamonds to break four-three if a trump is led. This was England's sequence in the closed room of their Vu-Graph match with Canada:

| West | North <br> Price | East | South <br> Mossop |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Pass | 20 |
| Pass | 24 | Pass | 3 |
| Pass | 34 | Pass | 49 |
| Pass | 5 | Pass | 5NT(1) |
| Pass | $6 \diamond(2)$ | Pass | 78 |
| All Pass |  |  |  |
| (1) Grand slam force |  |  |  |
| (2) One of the top three honours in heart |  |  |  |

The Canadian auction (which got slower and slower as it went along) was well received by England's supporters in the Vu-Graph audience:

| West | North | East <br> Pass | $\begin{aligned} & \text { South } \\ & 1 \leftrightarrow(1) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pass | $1 \diamond(2)$ | Pass | 18 |
| Pass | 14 | Pass | 2\% |
| Pass | $2 \diamond(3)$ | Pass | 30 |
| Pass | 3 | Pass | $4 \diamond(4)$ |
| Pass | 5 | Pass | $6 \bigcirc$ |
| Pass | 6 | All P |  |
| (1) Strong club |  |  |  |
| (2) 5-11 points |  |  |  |
| (3) Fourth suit forcing |  |  |  |
| (4) Alerted by North as agreeing spades, but not necessarily a diamond control |  |  |  |

One off in 6as 17 IMPs to England, but Canada had the last laugh, winning the match 19-11.

Elsewhere the Australians played the board in $5 \diamond$ on a four-two fit, making seven when the $\diamond J$ fell on the third round. They got a lucky 10 IMPs for their trouble when Malaysia matched the Canadian result of minus one. But Malaysia won the match 22-8-a scalp for them. The Sri Lankans, for whom former Test cricketer Asanthade Mel was appearing, also benefited from the trebleton $\diamond J$, bidding and making 7NT.

Round 6 on the second day included another slam hand:

| Vul: Both | - 32 |
| :---: | :---: |
| DIr: East | $\bigcirc$ J103 |
|  | $\checkmark 953$ |
|  | ¢ K10982 |
| - AKQJ97 | - 10654 |
| $\bigcirc$ A95 | $\bigcirc$ Q4 |
| $\diamond$ AQ | $\diamond$ KJ10872 |
| - A5 | \& Q |
|  | - 8 |
|  | $\bigcirc$ K8762 |
|  | $\checkmark 64$ |
|  | - J7643 |

The grand slam proved easiest to bid when East was able to open a weak $2 \diamond$ although the resulting final contract was often 'only' a safe $7 \diamond$. Fourteen of the 28 pairs got to a grand slam, but four pairs stayed in game. One educational sequence (after a pass by East) was 2 -2 ; 3s-3NT. East thought that 3NT showed values but denied an ace (with 4s being the weakest bid). West was on a different wavelength and passed.

Round 8 featured Bermuda v. St Lucia on VuGraph.

"Look Bob, PacMan just ate Godzilla!"

| Vul: E/W DIr: North | , Q85 |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc$ A62 |
|  | $\checkmark$ K10 |
|  | - AQ954 |
| - 63 | - AK1042 |
| $\bigcirc 10853$ | $\bigcirc$ KQ4 |
| $\diamond 952$ | $\diamond J$ |
| -10763 | \% KJ82 |
|  | ¢ J 97 |
|  | $\bigcirc$ J97 |
|  | $\diamond$ AQ87643 |
|  | 2--- |

$3 \diamond$ was the most popular contract, usually making exactly when West got his spade ruff. St Lucia went two off in $5 \diamond$ in the closed room for -100 . The real interest was on Vu-Graph where Roman Smolski, who represented Britain many times in World and Zonal championships in the 80s and 90s, was South for Bermuda:

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 1NT | Dbl | 3 NT |
| Pass | Pass | Dbl | $4 \diamond$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

What should South bid when North's strong 1NT opening has been doubled? Smolski tried an each-way 3NT, which would have made easily as the cards lie, but not surprisingly he ran to $4 \diamond$ when East doubled again. The defence started with king, ace and another spade (the ten, I believe). West ruffed and switched to the 810 . Smolski called for dummy's ace and dropped the jack from hand (the card he was known to hold). Now he ran his diamonds, leaving the \&AQ stranded in dummy. East of course discarded his PKQ , so that he could keep the eKJ. West did not realise that his $\vee 8$ still had a part to play, and declarer made the last two tricks with the nine and seven of hearts.

At the end of the second day, with ten of the fifteen Round Robin matches played, Canada, the Sponsor's team and India were clear of fourth-placed England in Group A. Things were closer in Group B, with Australia leading the Patron's team, Bermuda, Pakistan and South Africa, with Wales a distant sixth.

England kept their hopes alive by beating Scotland 23-7 in the first match on the third day, but some indifferent results thereafter meant that they never really challenged the leading teams. Canada reinforced their own position with a 22-8 victory over India, and although India fought back strongly and won their last four matches, they had too much ground to make up.

Group B was far more exciting. Wales had had some excellent results and after three rounds had moved into third place, just ahead of Pakistan. Pakistan's last two matches, both on Vu-Graph, were against the leading teams, Patron and Australia. Good wins might help Pakistan into a qualifying spot but would also help Wales.

This board was instructive for the Vu-Graph audience.

| Vul: None | - 865 |
| :---: | :---: |
| DIr: East | $\bigcirc 42$ |
|  | $\checkmark$ AKQ954 |
|  | $\bigcirc 42$ |
| - QJ10 | - 97 |
| $\checkmark$ AK87 | $\bigcirc$ J63 |
| $\checkmark$ J1063 | $\diamond 87$ |
| \& A6 | \& KQJ1075 |
|  | - AK432 |
|  | $\bigcirc$ Q1095 |
|  | $\checkmark 2$ |
|  | ¢ 983 |

Both rooms reached 3NT by West. The Patron's team cashed their five top tricks to beat the contract in the closed room. Could Pakistan flatten the board on Vu-Graph? The Pakistani N/S were a scratch pair, formed when their team of six was reduced to four by illness and a sudden urgent business commitment. North led the ace-king-queen of diamonds, South discarding the four and two of spades. After an agonising trance, North played a fourth diamond. Eric Kokish, who was leading the VuGraph commentary team, felt the players should share the blame. North should have played the $\diamond$ Q at trick two, so that South would know he had three cashing tricks. South should have discarded the $\vee Q$ on the third trick. With the $\oslash \mathrm{J}$ visible in dummy, this would clearly call
for a spade. Despite this setback, Pakistan won the match 16-14. Meanwhile Wales had gained a maximum 25 VPs against Bermuda and were now close to the two leaders.

This was the crucial hand in the final round:

| Vul: Both | ¢ A6 |
| :---: | :---: |
| DIr: South | $\bigcirc \mathrm{K} 2$ |
|  | $\diamond$ AQJ1094 |
|  | \% 942 |
| - K98754 | - J3 |
| $\bigcirc 93$ | $\bigcirc$ AQJ8754 |
| $\checkmark$ K653 | $\checkmark 82$ |
| - 7 | ¢ 83 |
|  | - Q102 |
|  | $\bigcirc 106$ |
|  | $\checkmark 7$ |
|  | AKQJ1065 |

When Australia were N/S on Vu-Graph they bid to 5e after East had pre-empted in hearts. West decided to lead a diamond rather than his partner's suit and declarer came to a comfortable 12 tricks. This was the bidding at the other table:

| West | North | East | South <br> 12 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $1 ヵ$ | $2 \diamond$ | $2 \checkmark$ | 32 |
| Pass | $3 N T$ | Dbl | All Pass |

East led the $\mathbf{~ J}$ and declarer made 11 tricks for a score of +1150 and 11 IMPs. Pakistan won the match 23-7

In the their match against Wales the Malaysians reached an unambitious $4 \diamond$, while Wales, who needed their fifth big win in a row, bid to 6NT by North. East led ace and another heart. Adam Dunn won and cashed dummy's clubs, on the last of which West had to find a suicidal discard from $4 \mathrm{~K} 9 \diamond \mathrm{~K} 65$. The 16 IMPs helped Wales to a 22-8 win, enough for them to move ahead Australia into the second qualifying position.

The hand was also played in 6NT by Les Steel for Scotland in their match against Zimbabwe. West had bid a weak 2s over Willie Whittaker's 1\% opening bid, so East led the J . This was
covered by the queen, king and ace. Steel ran the clubs to reach this position:

|  | , 6 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc \mathrm{K}$ |  |
|  | $\diamond$ AQJ |  |
|  | 2--- |  |
| - 98 |  | - 3 |
| $\bigcirc$--- |  | $\bigcirc$ AQ |
| $\diamond$ K65 |  | $\checkmark 82$ |
| 9--- |  | - --- |
|  | - 102 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 106$ |  |
|  | $\diamond 7$ |  |
|  | 2--- |  |

Andrew Brooke in the West seat had had to discard his hearts to keep his stoppers in spades and diamonds. Now declarer finessed the diamond, came back to his hand with the 10 and threw Brooke in with the 2 . The forced diamond return gave Steel his 12 tricks. Well played? Yes indeed, but Brooke could have saved the day by not covering the $₫ \mathbf{Q}$ at trick one, not an easy play to find.

The leading results of the Round Robin were as follows:

## Group A

1 Canada (Judith \& Nicholas Gartaganis, Gordon Campbell \& Keith Balcombe)-315
2 Sponsor (Boris Ewart \& Gary Hyett, Bernard Goldenfield \& John Holland, Bill Hirst \& John Hassett)-297
3 India-284
4 England-246
5 Scotland-228

## Group B

1 Patron (John Armstrong \& Tony Forrester, Justin, Jason \& Paul Hackett, NPC John Williams)—277
2 Wales (Gary \& Dafydd Jones, Tim Rees \& Filip Kurbalija, Peter Goodman \& Adam Dunn, NPC Jim Luck)—274
3 Australia-266
4 Pakistan-258
5 Northern Ireland-257
The conditions of the tournament were that neither the Patron's team nor the Sponsor's
team could win medals, so the 48-board semifinal between Canada and Wales would decide who got Gold and Silver, and the top Commonwealth team in the consolation event would win the Bronze.

The Welsh had built a small but useful lead towards the end of the first stanza, but Canada got nearly all of it back with this board:

| Vul: Both DIr: East | ¢ 765432 |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc 8$ |
|  | $\diamond$ K86 |
|  | - A93 |
| - 9 | ¢ AKQ10 |
| $\bigcirc$ AKQ972 | $\bigcirc 654$ |
| $\diamond$ Q107542 | $\diamond 3$ |
| - --- | - J8765 |
|  | ¢ J8 |
|  | $\bigcirc$ J103 |
|  | $\checkmark$ AJ9 |
|  | \% KQ1042 |

In the closed room it was an inter-family affair, with Wales E/W.

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gary J | Nick G | Dafydd J | Judith G |
|  |  | Pass | 1NT |
| 2NT | Pass | 3\% | Dbl |
| $3 \diamond$ | Pass | 34 | Pass |
| $4 \bigcirc$ | All Pass |  |  |

North did not find a trump lead, so Wales scored +680 . The Canadian E/W were more ambitious on Vu-Graph:

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Campbell | Kurbalija | Balcombe | Ree |
|  |  | Pass | 18 |
| 18 | 19 | 2(1) | Pass |
| 5\%(2) | Pass | 5 (3) | Pass |
| 68 | All Pass |  |  |
| (1) Good raise in hearts |  |  |  |
| (2) Exclusion Roman KC Blackwood |  |  |  |
| (3) One key card (not the A) |  |  |  |

North might have had more reason to lead a trump on this sequence, since he knew
declarer was almost certain to have a diamond suit and would not be throwing a losing spade on dummy's clubs. On the actual spade lead Campbell was able to ruff two diamonds in dummy and bring home the slam. That was 13 IMPs to Canada who had reduced the deficit to 4.

Canada had moved into a small lead in the second quarter when this monster appeared:

| Vul: Both DIr: West | - 32 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc$ QJ1087 |  |  |
|  | $\diamond$--- |  |  |
| * AQ7632 |  |  |  |
| , AJ865 | - KQ1097 |  |  |
| $\bigcirc 932$ | $\bigcirc$ K65 |  |  |
| $\checkmark$ AK976 | $\checkmark$ J105 |  |  |
| - --- |  | -10 |  |
| - 4 |  |  |  |
| $\bigcirc$ A4 |  |  |  |
| $\checkmark$ Q8432 |  |  |  |
| 2 KJ984 |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Gary J | Nick G | Dafydd J | Judith G |
| 14. | 24) | 49 | 4NT |
| Pass | 5\% | Pass | Pass |
| 54 | Pass | Pass | 68 |
| Dbl | All Pass |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Campbell | Goodman | Balcombe | Dunn |
| 14 | 24(1) | 49 | 4NT |
| Dbl | 5\% | Pass | Pass |
| 54 | All Pass |  |  |
| (1) Michae |  |  |  |

Both Souths found out that their partner's second suit was clubs and then had to decide what to do over 54. Adam Dunn passed for Wales and when Peter Goodman chose the textbook lead of the 2 , rather than the mundane $\vee Q$, Gordon Campbell was able to wrap up 12 tricks for +680 .

Judith Gartaganis chose to sacrifice over 54 but, when her husband produced the perfect dummy, 6sod not to be a sacrifice at all and was worth +1540 and 19 IMPs to Canada, who led by 27 IMPs at the halfway stage.

Amazingly, the two scores on this board were duplicated in the other semi-final, the Patron's team gaining the same 19 IMPS to lead the Sponsor's Manchester-based team by 45 IMPs at half-time.

The Patron's team pulled away in the third quarter and, 53-145 down, the Sponsor's team conceded. Canada also built up a big lead and at one stage were over 60 IMPs ahead, but a fightback by Wales saw them go into the last quarter 37 IMPs behind.

Wales continued their recovery with aggressive bidding and good play. The margin was down to 19 IMPs when they overbid to 74, going one off, with Canada stopping in 54, plus one. Wales bounced back immediately:

| Vul: Both DIr: North | ¢ J652 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc 4$ |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ KQ98 |  |  |
| * J986 |  |  |  |
| - A |  | ¢ K3 |  |
| $\bigcirc$ J987653 |  | $\bigcirc$ AKQ |  |
| $\checkmark$ J4 |  | $\checkmark$ A10632 |  |
| - A73 |  |  | 1054 |
|  | 4, Q109874 |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 102$ |  |  |
|  | $\diamond 75$ |  |  |
|  | 2 KQ2 |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Campbell | Kurbalija | Balcombe | Rees |
|  | Pass | $1 \diamond$ | 19 |
| 2 | 2NT | Dbl | 34 |
| 4* | Pass | $4 \bigcirc$ | All Pass |
| West | North | East | South |
| Gary J | Nick G | Dafydd J | Judith G |
|  | Pass | $1 \diamond$ | Pass |
| 18 | Pass | 1NT | Pass |
| 2e(1) | Pass | $3 \bigcirc$ | Pass |
| 34 | Pass | 3NT | Pass |
| 4* | Pass | $4 \diamond$ | Pass |
| 5 | Pass | 5NT | Pass |
| 68 | All Pass |  |  |
| (1) Checkback |  |  |  |

Canada stopped in $4 \checkmark$ in the open room, making 12 tricks. There was a mighty cheer from the Welsh supporters in the Vu-Graph room when the closed room result was displayed. The Jones father and son combination had bid and made $6 \checkmark$ on a spade lead. Canada ahead by 17 IMPs with four boards to go. Wales picked up another 6 IMPs on the next board, but the set finished with three part score hands and Wales ran out of time, losing by 7 IMPs, 137-144.

For Canada it was a notable double; the three men on the team had won the Gold medal in the Men's event at the IOC Grand Prix at Salt Lake City. Judith Gartaganis had not been eligible for the Men's team there, but the Commonwealth have a more enlightened attitude and had a single open event in Manchester.

For Wales, too, it was a triumph. This was their first ever medal in international competition and the team and their many supporters were absolutely delighted.

The final between Canada and the Patron's team on the final day was closely fought. There were no medals at stake, but both teams wanted to win the competition.

This board caught my eye on Vu-Graph:


The Patron's team in the other room bid 1NT3NT for +400 ; 4s was not so straightforward. A club was led, won by the king in dummy. Judith Gartaganis played a spade to hand and led a heart. Armstrong made the fine entrydestroying play of the king. Declarer cashed the $\Phi \mathrm{K}$, discovering the four-one break, and played a heart. Forrester won with the queen (to avoid being endplayed with it later on) and exited with a third heart. It seemed that declarer would have to find the $\diamond A$ onside, or North with ace-queen-jack (since a man good enough to play the $\checkmark \mathrm{K}$ could hardly fail to insert the $\triangleleft 9$ ). But declarer showed there was a better line. She played a spade to the ten, cashed the \%A, ruffed a club and led the last heart. Forrester ruffed with his last trump, but Judith Gartaganis declined to over-ruff, discarding a losing diamond. North now had to open up the diamonds and the contract was made. Well played all round.

The match was fairly even until the last few boards, when the Patron's team drew away and won 102-75. Wales built up a good lead in the other match, then held off a late charge by the Sponsor's team to win by 6 IMPs, 69-63.

The expanded consolation event (other UK teams had joined in) produced the winner of the Bronze medal.

1 India (C. R. Bandrinath, K. R. Venkataraman,P. Sridharen, R. Krishnan, Sunit Chokski, S Sundarraman)-151
2 Manchester CBA-131
3 Northern Ireland-109
4 Singapore-91
Such a successful and well-run event should not go by without tribute being paid to all the Manchester-based helpers, EBU workers and, in particular, Jeff Morris (whose brainchild the tournament was), Paul Hackett, Denis Robson, chairman of the organising committee, and Paul Bowyer, editor of the daily bulletin. Everyone who attended hopes that Australia will host the second Commonwealth Nations Bridge Championships in Melbourne in 2006.



Bridge is music and you're tone-deaf! by Norberto Bocchi

I have to admit that my first steps in the bridge world were not particularly glorious, but even then were quite profitable. In fact at age thirteen I acted as caddy at the bridge club where my father played, collecting the boards and taking them to the tables, for the princely sum of four thousand lira (about two euros today). Between one delivery and the next I would cast a glance and overhear snippets of conversations ... but in those days bridge was a bit of a mystery to me. However, with cards in general I had already struck up a friendship and after school I would amuse myself by ripping off my friends in games of all kinds played in the bars in my neighbourhood.

My weekly income was then further supplemented by a marketing operation which seemed very smart to me and involved my sister, Mabel, a famous basketball player, although she remained completely unaware of my actions: every morning I got her to sign some autographs which I would then sell to my schoolmates or swap for break-time snacks...in short in those days I was a real businessman rather than a bridgeplayer, a quality which has diminished considerably over the years.

Leaving behind the bars and snacks, I was then promoted to kibitzer in the club where l'd worked as caddy, and after a long period during which I never even held any cards, I finally threw myself into playing rubber bridge and then proper tournaments. Right from the start it was clear that I had quite a talent for bridge and so when I was $18 / 19$ I decided to make a change in my life, making the following choices in this order:
a) play with a professional
b) leave school...I'd had more than enough!
c) hang up my basketball shoes...l'd been playing in the premier league


In fact I'd clearly realized that it was far better to enjoy myself more and sweat less...it was unfortunate then that once thrown into this new world, about which I knew very little from the inside, I found myself being brought up by the most difficult, demanding, cantankerous, BRILLIANT, partner to be found in Italy: Arturo Franco. And here are two anecdotes about this experience.

After Arturo had given me an extremely messy (or so it seemed to me then) system to study, He summoned me for a training session to check if I'd been diligent.

Right at the first hand, after a bidding full of
relays?, it was up to my teacher to have the last word on the final contract. The cards were as follows:

| ME |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | K Q x |
| $\bigcirc$ | A Q xx |
| $\checkmark$ | K $x x$ |
| 2 | A xx |
|  | $O_{S}^{N} E$ |
| 4 | A 1098 x |
| $\checkmark$ | K J |
| $\diamond$ | A Q xx |
| \% | K Q xx |
|  | ARTURO |

He came close to me and said very calmly: "You see, Norberto, the whole world, having the information I have, would bid 74, but I would go further and bid 7NT and I'll explain why. If the spades are split 4-1, I definitely go down, but if the player with the four spades also has four diamonds then I can squeeze him and make my 7NT".

What was incredible was that he said all this having only seen his own cards. I don't think l've ever felt so disheartened: I felt like getting up and leaving. I didn't, but at that precise moment I realised that if I wanted to become a real bridgeplayer, I still had a long way to go.

The second episode took place during the Italian Team Championship. We found ourselves at the table with two gurus, Belladonna and Garozzo, while behind, beside, around us, in fact everywhere, were at least three hundred avid spectators. I remember that I was as nervous as a child before his first Christmas concert. Thanks to some divine intervention, given the circumstances, I played the right cards up till the 19th deal. The spectators were right behind us as the leaders. Despite the good score and the
euphoric atmosphere, I noticed however that hand after hand and for no apparent reason Arturo was getting more and more down. Then at last (for him!), during the 20th hand when by this point I was extremely stressed, I let the opponents make an insignificant overtrick. What on earth had I done!

He insulted me in the most imaginative ways including: "Bridge is music and you are definitely tone-deaf...you can't seriously think of being a professional if you make such terrible blunders." He went on ranting and raving for at least five minutes in front of the dumbfounded opponents and the throng, so much so that it seemed like being on "Candid Camera."

The year passed and the partners changed: from Mosca to Belladonna, from Cedolin to Ferraro and Versace, ending up with Giorgino Duboin, my partner for the past twelve years.

But let's take a step back in time to 1987 when I was returning by plane from China, where I'd been playing for ten days with Guido Ferraro.

Not content with this and being real bridge addicts, we took advantage of a stopover in Paris to participate in the Cino Del Duca tournament which happened to start that very day.

During the very last board of the tournament, after a rather flat performance, we found ourselves at the table with a French couple, probably lovers rather than husband and wife...and I'm sure that from what follows you'll agree with me. The auction goes like this:

| Norberto | Him | Guido | Her |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2} \oslash$ | Pass | 3 | 3NT |
| All Pass |  |  |  |
| $2 \oslash=$ preemptive |  |  |  |



I led the Queen of hearts and then to my great surprise I saw Ferraro grinning like a Cheshire cat. After having quickly cashed the King and Ace of hearts, Guido exited with his last heart. To cut a long story short: my remaining four hearts were all good. In the meantime, while we calmly took all there was to take, from the third trick onwards, i.e. eleven times, the Frenchman, who was aware that his partner never had a heart stopper, starter asking her in a very friendly and polite manner: "No more hearts, dear?" After each and every trick the lady, increasingly more ashamed and almost under the table, replied in a feeble and equally polite voice: "No, dear."

After every "no," he diligently and carefully ripped his card into four identical pieces.

Guido and I were absolutely astonished and about to burst into hysterics. Finally he got up from the table with a little bow, but with an expression like Jack Nicholson in "The Shining" and left us saying": Cette jeux est magnifique!" (this game is magnificent!).

A few years later my partnership with Giorgino Duboin began, and as you can imagine over these years we've seen it all and I could go on forever. But perhaps one of the funniest occurred when after having played Blue Club for some time we switched to Natural, even if it wasn't very natural with the numerous gadgets we added.

In one of the first tournaments we played with this new system we bid in this way:

| Norberto | Giorgino |
| :---: | :---: |
| 12 (could be strong) | 1 ( $=4$ or more ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ) |
| 2e (GF or other hands) | $2 \checkmark$ (relay) |
| 49 (cue bid + spades fit) | 49 (please stop) |
| 5\% (odd number of aces + first round club cue) | 5 (doubleton or queen of hearts) |
| 69 (grand slam try but 1 need some help in clubs) | $7{ }^{\text {7 }}$ |

Both in a cold sweat-me because five times I'd bid clubs I didn't have and I was terrified that Giorgio would pass; him because he was respecting all the rules but knowing how anarchic I am he feared that I had completely forgotten the system. Finally his trust in me was rewarded as the contract was made, but be sure that Giorgino's lifetime was most certainly shortened by at least three years by that hellish club bidding.

Dulcis in fundo my captain, sponsor and friend, Maria Teresa Lavazza, who has put up with me for 21 years. Also with her there are a few stories to tell, including one which happened recently in Viareggio, where Maria Teresa played together with Ferraro.

After a rather difficult auction, our teammates reached a 4 contract. After the lead, Maria Teresa laid down her cards as dummy and Guido, with his 'notorious kindness' said to her: "Thank you, little one." How had he dared. Maria Teresa, interpreting "little one" as a reference to her, got annoyed and replied angrily: "That's what you'd say to your dog."

Obviously Ferraro meant a small heart from dummy.

Enough said. I'll sign off with just one wish: that Menton will be great fun for us all.

## COME TOGETHER IN MENTON

## FORMAT

The championship will include:

- Mixed Teams
- Mixed Pairs
- Open, Women=s and Senior Teams
- Open, Women=s and Senior Pairs
- Side Games


## RIGHT OF ENTRY

Bridge players (registered members of WBF NBOs) from all WBF zones, in good standing with their Federation, are entitled to participate. All events will be TRANSNATIONAL and there will be no restrictions on numbers of entries per NBO.

## VENUE

Palais de I=Europe, Menton, Côte d=Azur, France

## REGISTRATION

Must be made through your own Federation before $\mathbf{3 0}^{\text {th }}$
April 2003 and sent to:
European Bridge League
Gianarrigo Rona B President
Via Ciro Menotti 11/C
20129 Milano B Italy
Tel. +39 0270000333
Fax +39 0270001398
E M ail: ebl@federbridge.it
Non-European players may also register by internet
as from October 2002.

## ENTRY FEES

To be paid in cash (Swiss Francs, Euros, and US Dollars) at the Tournament Reception and Registration Desk in Palais de I=Europe in Menton:
Mixed Teams - Swiss Francs 1200
Mixed Pairs - Swiss Francs 400
Open Teams - Swiss Francs 1400
Women's Teams - Swiss Francs 1400
Senior Teams - Swiss Francs 1200
Open Pairs - Swiss Francs 700
Women's Pairs - Swiss Francs 700
Senior Pairs - Swiss Francs 500

## HOTEL ACCOMODATION

Please contact:
Syndicat des Hoteliers de Menton
Tel. +33493359803
Fax +33493571010
E-mail: info@hotelmenton.com

## HOW TO REACH MENTON

- By plane: Nice Côte d=Azur Airport (30 mins.)
- By train: Menton Railway Station


## FURTHER INFORMATION

Please contact:
Christina MacEachen B EBL
Via Ciro Menotti 11/C
20129 Milano B Italy
Tel. +39 0270000333 / 70000022
Fax +39 0270001398

E-mail: ebl@federbridge.it

## INFORMATION ON INTERNET

http://www.eurobridge.org
http://www.worldbridge.org
http://bridge.ecats.co.uk/
PROGRAMME \& SCHEDULE OF PLAY
Saturday $14^{\text {th }} \quad$ - Opening Ceremony

Sunday $15^{\text {th }}$
Monday $16^{\text {th }}$ - Mixed Teams (16- \& 8-Final/ Consolation Swiss)
Tuesday $17^{\text {th }} \quad$ - Mixed Teams (4- \& Semi-final)

- Mixed Pairs (Qualifying 1st \& 2nd Sessions)
Wednes. $18^{\text {th }} \quad$ - Mixed Teams (Final)
- Mixed Pairs (Qualifying 3rd \& Final 1st Sessions)
Thursday 19 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ - Mixed Pairs (Final 2nd \& 3rd Sessions)
Friday $20^{\text {th }}$ - Men, Women \& Senior Teams (Qualifying Sessions)
Saturday 21th - Men, Women \& Senior Teams (Qualifying Sessions)
Sunday $22^{\text {nd }} \quad-$ Men, Women \& Senior Teams (Qualifying Sessions)
Monday $23^{\text {rd }}$ - Men, Women \& Senior Teams
(16- \& 8-Final / Consolation)
Tuesday $24^{\text {th }} \quad-$ Men, Women \& Senior Teams (4- \& Semi-final)
- Men, Women \& Senior Pairs
(1st \& 2nd Qualifying Sessions)
Wednes. $25^{\text {th }}$ - Men, Women \& Senior Teams (Final)
- Men, Women \& Senior Pairs (3rd Qual. \& 1st Semi-final)
Thursday $26^{\text {th }} \quad$ - Men, Women \& Senior Pairs (2nd \& 3rd Semi-final)
Friday $27^{\text {th }} \quad-$ Men, Women \& Senior Pairs
(1st \& 2nd Final \& Consolation)
Saturday $28^{\text {th }} \quad-$ Men, Women \& Senior Pairs (3rd Final Session)
- Closing Ceremony \& Prize-Giving at
7.30 p.m. followed by Victory Banquet

Play will start at 10/10.30 a.m. and finish at 8/8.30 p.m. each day, except for Saturday 14th June, when play will start in the afternoon after the Opening Ceremony.

## AWARDS

Gold, silver and bronze EBL Medals will be awarded. Winners will receive the title of European Open Champion. Honour prizes will be presented to the best ranking pairs and teams in each category. MasterPoints will also be awarded.

COME TO MENTON TO ENJOY TOGETHER THE FIRST EUROPEAN OPEN CHAMPIONSHIP

## Play 'Em Like You Bid 'Em

In yesterday's Yokohama Swiss, John Probst found himself in an ambitious $4 \checkmark$ contract after his partner, Makoto Wakabayashi, invited him to the prom and he accepted. This was the layout:


The Canadian West started a low heart. Probst won the queen and immediately attacked spades, playing the king to West's ace. Back came a second heart. Probst won in hand cheaply (East pitching a club), unblocked the $Q$, played A, ruff a club with the $\vee A$ and then cashed the (pitching a diamond from dummy). When West ruffed the third spade low, Probst over-ruffed and found himself in dummy in the following four-card end position:


He judged accurately to exit with a heart, endplaying West for his tenth and game-going trick. This turned out to be a super result since $N / S$ stopped in $3 \checkmark$ at the other table and took nine tricks for +140 .

If you're gonna bid 'em like this, you'd better play 'em this good.

## $8^{\text {th }}$ NEC Bridge Festival Daily Schedule

| Day/Date | Time | Event | Location |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Sunday (Feb. 9) | $10: 00-17: 00$ | Asuka Cup | F203-206 |
|  | $18: 00-19: 00$ | Closing Ceremony | F201-202 |

[^2]
[^0]:    "Few people are aware of it but 3 out of 5 doctors

[^1]:    "So you think he forgot his methods?"

[^2]:    NEC Cup Bridge Festival on the Web
    Call your friends and tell them that your exploits are being chronicled on the World Wide Web. They can follow all of the action at the $8^{\text {th }}$ NEC Cup Bridge Festival by surfing to:
    http://bridge.cplaza.ne.jp/necfest.html

    - or -
    http://www.jcbl.or.jp

