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# ITALY IN CONTROL 



Andreas Flodqvist, four and a half months young, made his debut on the bridge stage last week by quickly emptying the bidding box and then placing a red Double all over it.

By scoring two maximums, Italy have put a 20 VP gap between themselves and second-placed Sweden. Then, at a respectful distance, come Turkey, Poland, Netherlands and Russia.

## Sweden Lead Women

Sweden moved into the lead in the Women's series, ahead of France, Netherlands and Austria. Then there is a gap to England, Israel (the hot team in this series in recent rounds) and Germany.

## Germany Race Clear

Germany have moved 21 VP ahead of second-placed France in the Seniors, having defeated their nearest challengers 20-10 yesterday on vugraph. Close behind come England, Poland, Denmark and Italy, all hoping to secure at least a medal.

## Today's VuGraph Matches

## OPEN TEAMS - Round 22

 France v Sweden 10.30OPEN TEAMS - Round 23 Belgium v Poland
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## Information from Malmö Tourism

| A sightseeing tour of north-western Skåne will be ! arranged on Thursday I July between 9.00-16.00. Tickets for the tour can be bought at the Malmö City stand outside vugraph until I0.00, Tuesday 29 i i June. The price for the tour is 300 Skr .
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## OPEN TEAMS RESULTS

| ROUND 20 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Home Team | Visiting Team | IMPs | VPs |
| 1 | SWEDEN | RUSSIA | 40-19 | 19-11 |
| 2 | SWITZERLAND | BYE |  | 18-0 |
| 3 | SCOTLAND | ITALY | 5-105 | 0-25 |
| 4 | SPAIN | FAROE ISL. | 104-16 | 25-0 |
| 5 | TURKEY | CZECH REP. | 63-47 | 18-12 |
| 6 | NORWAY | SAN MARINO | 65-52 | 18-12 |
| 7 | ISRAEL | PORTUGAL | 76-38 | 23-7 |
| 8 | SERBIA/MONT. | IRELAND | 49-48 | 15-15 |
| 9 | DENMARK | CROATIA | 99-31 | 25-2 |
| 10 | FRANCE | FINLAND | 61-42 | 19-11 |
| 11 | ICELAND | LITHUANIA | 55-62 | 14-16 |
| 12 | ENGLAND | NETHERLANDS | 13-26 | 12-18 |
| 13 | GERMANY | BELGIUM | 89-32 | 25-4 |
| 14 | HUNGARY | WALES | 60-32 | 21-9 |
| 15 | GREECE | ROMANIA | 19-52 | 8-22 |
| 16 | POLAND | LATVIA | 60-46 | 18-12 |
| 17 | AUSTRIA | BULGARIA | 44-62 | 11-19 |


| ROUND 2I |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
|  | Home Team | Visiting Team | IMPs | VPs |
| I | RUSSIA | SWITZERLAND | $66-38$ | $21-9$ |
| 2 | BYE | SCOTLAND |  | $0-18$ |
| 3 | ITALY | SPAIN | $89-3$ | $25-0$ |
| 4 | FAROE ISL. | TURKEY | $30-65$ | $8-22$ |
| 5 | CZECH REP. | NORWAY | $47-31$ | $18-12$ |
| 6 | SAN MARINO | ISRAEL | $46-52$ | $14-16$ |
| 7 | PORTUGAL | IRELAND | $44-36$ | $16-14$ |
| 8 | SWEDEN | DENMARK | $34-37$ | $14-16$ |
| 9 | CROATIA | FRANCE | $12-49$ | $7-23$ |
| I0 | FINLAND | ICELAND | $31-52$ | $11-19$ |
| II | LITHUANIA | ENGLAND | $31-52$ | $11-19$ |
| I2 | NETHERLANDS | GERMANY | $20-36$ | $12-18$ |
| I3 | BELGIUM | HUNGARY | $44-57$ | $12-18$ |
| I4 | WALES | GREECE | $19-71$ | $5-25$ |
| I5 | ROMANIA | POLAND | $48-18$ | $21-9$ |
| I6 | LATVIA | AUSTRIA | $39-39$ | $15-15$ |
| I7 | BULGARIA | SERBIA/MONT. | $65-16$ | $25-5$ |

## Victory Banquet

The Victory Banquet Desk will be located at the Hospitality Desk and will be open from 0930 to II00 and from 1630 to 1730 on Monday 28th and Tuesday 29th.
Team captains are kindly requested to collect the invitations for the team.
Only captains, npcs, coaches and players are entitled to receive invitations. Wives, companions, etc, can participate; the cost of the ticket is 300 SK.
All department heads should collect invitations for their staff.
The Victory Banquet will be held at Malmö-Mässan at 2030 on Saturday July 3rd.
There will be a show during the banquet.
IMPORTANT: Invitations must be collected no later than 1000 on Wednesday 30th.

## OPEN TEAMS PROGRAM

| ROUND 22 |  |  |  | 10.30 |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Table | Home Team | Visiting Team |  |  |
| 1 | SCOTLAND | RUSSIA |  |  |
| 2 | SPAIN | BYE |  |  |
| 3 | TURKEY | ITALY |  |  |
| 4 | NORWAY | FAROE ISL. |  |  |
| 5 | ISRAEL | CZECH REP. |  |  |
| 6 | IRELAND | SAN MARINO |  |  |
| 7 | SERBIA/MONTENEGRO | PORTUGAL |  |  |
| 8 | DENMARK | SWITZERLAND |  |  |
| 9 | FRANCE | SWEDEN |  |  |
| 10 | ICELAND | CROATIA |  |  |
| 11 | ENGLAND | FINLAND |  |  |
| 12 | GERMANY | LITHUANIA |  |  |
| 13 | HUNGARY | NETHERLANDS |  |  |
| 14 | GREECE | BELGIUM |  |  |
| 15 | POLAND | WALES |  |  |
| 16 | AUSTRIA | ROMANIA |  |  |
| 17 | BULGARIA | LATVIA |  |  |


| ROUND 23 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Table | Home Team | Visiting Team |  |
| I | RUSSIA | SPAIN |  |
| 2 | BYE | TURKEY |  |
| 3 | ITALY | NORWAY |  |
| 4 | FAROE ISL. | ISRAEL |  |
| 5 | CZECH REP. | IRELAND |  |
| 6 | SAN MARINO | PORTUGAL |  |
| 7 | SCOTLAND | DENMARK |  |
| 8 | SWITZERLAND | FRANCE |  |
| 9 | SWEDEN | ICELAND |  |
| 10 | CROATIA | ENGLAND |  |
| 11 | FINLAND | GERMANY |  |
| 12 | LITHUANIA | HUNGARY |  |
| 13 | NETHERLANDS | GREECE |  |
| 14 | BELGIUM | POLAND |  |
| 15 | WALES | AUSTRIA |  |
| 16 | ROMANIA | BULGARIA |  |
| 17 | LATVIA | SERBIA/MONTENEGRO |  |


| ROUND 24 |  |  |  | 17.40 |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Table | Home Team | Visiting Team |  |  |
| 1 | TURKEY | RUSSIA |  |  |
| 2 | NORWAY | BYE |  |  |
| 3 | ISRAEL | ITALY |  |  |
| 4 | IRELAND | FAROE ISL. |  |  |
| 5 | PORTUGAL | CZECH REP. |  |  |
| 6 | SERBIA/MONTENEGRO | SAN MARINO |  |  |
| 7 | DENMARK | SPAN |  |  |
| 8 | FRANCE | SCOTLAND |  |  |
| 9 | ICELAND | SWITZERLAND |  |  |
| 10 | ENGLAND | SWEDEN |  |  |
| 11 | GERMANY | CROATIA |  |  |
| 12 | HUNGARY | FINLAND |  |  |
| 13 | GREECE | LITHUANIA |  |  |
| 14 | POLAND | NETHERLANDS |  |  |
| 15 | AUSTRIA | BELGIUM |  |  |
| 16 | BULGARIA | WALES |  |  |
| 17 | LATVIA | ROMANIA |  |  |

## WOMEN'S TEAMS RESULTS

|  |  | ROUND I4 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | Home Team | Visiting Team | IMPs | VPs |
| 21 | IRELAND | GERMANY | $69-49$ | $19-11$ |
| 22 | SCOTLAND | ENGLAND | $32-25$ | $16-14$ |
| 23 | LEBANON | DENMARK | $32-88$ | $4-25$ |
| 24 | FRANCE | TURKEY | $39-17$ | $20-10$ |
| 25 | FAROE ISL. | SWEDEN | $20-90$ | $2-25$ |
| 26 | NETHERLANDS | ISRAEL | $25-48$ | $10-20$ |
| 27 | CZECH REP. | NORWAY | $55-76$ | $11-19$ |
| 28 | ITALY | ICELAND | $58-38$ | $19-11$ |
| 29 | FINLAND | HUNGARY | $59-42$ | $19-11$ |
| 30 | SPAIN | AUSTRIA | $30-55$ | $10-20$ |
| 31 | CROATIA | POLAND | $33-68$ | $8-22$ |


|  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | ---: |
|  | ROUND I5 |  |  |  |
|  | Home Team | Visiting Team | IMPs | VPs |
| 21 | GERMANY | SCOTLAND | $49-58$ | $13-17$ |
| 22 | ENGLAND | LEBANON | $39-45$ | $14-16$ |
| 23 | DENMARK | FRANCE | $12-82$ | $2-25$ |
| 24 | TURKEY | SWEDEN | $15-51$ | $8-22$ |
| 25 | IRELAND | NETHERLANDS $13-59$ | $6-24$ |  |
| 26 | ISRAEL | CZECH REP. | $93-26$ | $25-2$ |
| 27 | NORWAY | ITALY | $35-55$ | $11-19$ |
| 28 | ICELAND | FINLAND | $15-87$ | $2-25$ |
| 29 | HUNGARY | SPAIN | $30-47$ | $11-19$ |
| 30 | AUSTRIA | CROATIA | $75-22$ | $25-5$ |
| 31 | POLAND | FAROE ISL. | $62-10$ | $25-5$ |

## WOMEN'S TEAMS PROGRAM

| ROUND 16 |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Table | Home Team | Visiting Team |
| 21 | LEBANON | GERMANY |
| 22 | FRANCE | ENGLAND |
| 23 | SWEDEN | DENMARK |
| 24 | FAROE ISL. | TURKEY |
| 25 | NETHERLANDS | SCOTLAND |
| 26 | CZECH REP. | IRELAND |
| 27 | ITALY | ISRAEL |
| 28 | FINLAND | NORWAY |
| 29 | SPAIN | ICELAND |
| 30 | CROATIA | HUNGARY |
| 31 | POLAND | AUSTRIA |


| ROUND I7 |  |  |  | I7.40 |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Table | Home Team | Visiting Team |  |  |
| 21 | GERMANY | FRANCE |  |  |
| 22 | ENGLAND | SWEDEN |  |  |
| 23 | DENMARK | TURKEY |  |  |
| 24 | LEBANON | NETHERLANDS |  |  |
| 25 | SCOTLAND | CZECH REP. |  |  |
| 26 | IRELAND | ITALY |  |  |
| 27 | ISRAEL | FINLAND |  |  |
| 28 | NORWAY | SPAN |  |  |
| 29 | ICELAND | CROATIA |  |  |
| 30 | HUNGARY | POLAND |  |  |
| 31 | AUSTRIA | FAROE ISL. |  |  |

## SENIOR TEAMS RESULTS

| ROUND I0 |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Home Team | Visiting Team | IMPs | VPs |
| 41 | SWEDEN | NETHERLANDS | $29-23$ | $16-14$ |
| 42 | ENGLAND | SWITZERLAND | $48-43$ | $16-14$ |
| 43 | RELAND | SCOTLAND | $38-36$ | $15-15$ |
| 44 | FINLAND | ITALY | $3-70$ | $1-25$ |
| 45 | WALES | ISRAEL | $16-57$ | $6-24$ |
| 46 | POLAND | DENMARK | $38-27$ | $15-13$ |
| 47 | NORWAY | CZECH REP. | $17-38$ | $10-20$ |
| 48 | FRANCE | GERMANY | $34-55$ | $10-20$ |


|  | ROUND I I |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | Home Team | Visiting Team | IMPs | VPs |
| 41 | NETHERLANDS | ENGLAND | $15-42$ | $9-21$ |
| 42 | SWITZERLAND | IRELAND | $43-13$ | $22-8$ |
| 43 | SCOTLAND | ITALY | $6-22$ | $11-19$ |
| 44 | SWEDEN | WALES | $57-32$ | $21-9$ |
| 45 | ISRAEL | POLAND | $52-22$ | $22-8$ |
| 46 | DENMARK | NORWAY | $33-28$ | $16-14$ |
| 47 | CZECH REP. | FRANCE | $31-48$ | $11-19$ |
| 48 | GERMANY | FINLAND | $40-16$ | $21-9$ |

## SENIOR TEAMS PROGRAM

| ROUND 12 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Table | Home Team | Visiting Team |
| 41 | IRELAND | NETHERLANDS |
| 42 | ITALY | SWITZERLAND |
| 43 | FINLAND | SCOTLAND |
| 44 | WALES | ENGLAND |
| 45 | POLAND | SWEDEN |
| 46 | NORWAY | ISRAEL |
| 47 | FRANCE | DENMARK |
| 48 | GERMANY | CZECH REP. |


| ROUND I3 |  | I7.40 |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Table | Home Team | Visiting Team |
| 41 | NETHERLANDS | ITALY |
| 42 | SWITZERLAND | SCOTLAND |
| 43 | IRELAND | WALES |
| 44 | ENGLAND | POLAND |
| 45 | SWEDEN | NORWAY |
| 46 | ISRAEL | FRANCE |
| 47 | DENMARK | GERMANY |
| 48 | CZECH REP. | FINLAND |

## EBL Seniors

## Committee Meeting

! This meeting will be held in the EBL meeting room on the 2nd ! ifloor on Saturday 3 July at 13.30 hours.

## ! Agenda

! I The 2004 Senior Teams Championship - Malmö
$!2$ The 2004 World Senior Bowl - Istanbul
! 3 Future Senior events
$!4$ Change of age Regulations
15 Any other business
i
Göran Mattsson - Chairman i

| OPEN TEAMS RANK after 21 rounds |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| I | ITALY | 432.00 |
| 2 | SWEDEN | 412.50 |
| 3 | TURKEY | 374.00 |
| 4 | POLAND | 372.00 |
| 5 | NETHERLANDS | 364.00 |
| 6 | RUSSIA | 356.50 |
| 7 | GERMANY | 354.00 |
| 8 | ISRAEL | 347.00 |
| 9 | ENGLAND | 343.00 |
| 10 | BELGIUM | 338.00 |
| 11 | FINLAND | 336.50 |
| 12 | GREECE | 331.50 |
| 13 | NORWAY | 330.00 |
| 14 | FRANCE | 330.00 |
| 15 | ICELAND | 324.50 |
| 16 | AUSTRIA | 318.00 |
| 17 | DENMARK | 312.00 |
| 18 | HUNGARY | 309.00 |
| 19 | BULGARIA | 306.50 |
| 20 | SCOTLAND | 305.00 |
| 21 | PORTUGAL | 298.50 |
| 22 | SPAIN | 293.50 |
| 23 | CROATIA | 291.00 |
| 24 | LATVIA | 288.00 |
| 25 | WALES | 287.50 |
| 26 | SWITZERLAND | 276.00 |
| 27 | SERBIA/MONTENEGRO | 272.00 |
| 28 | ROMANIA | 270.00 |
| 29 | IRELAND | 269.50 |
| 30 | LITUANIA | 239.50 |
| 31 | FAROE ISLANDS | 223.00 |
| 32 | CZECH REP. | 221.00 |
| 33 | SAN MARINO | 189.50 |

## NOTICE!!!

There has been a change in the timetable for the Se nior Teams today 29 June:
Round 12
14.15
Round 13
17.40

| WOMEN'S TEAMS RANKJNG |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| after 15 rounds |  |  |
| I | SWEDEN | 289.00 |
| 2 | FRANCE | 284.00 |
| 3 | NETHERLANDS | 278.00 |
| 4 | AUSTRIA | 274.00 |
| 5 | ENGLAND | 253.00 |
| 6 | ISRAEL | 251.00 |
| 7 | GERMANY | 250.00 |
| 8 | SPAIN | 241.00 |
| 9 | POLAND | 240.00 |
| 10 | FINLAND | 238.00 |
| 11 | ITALY | 235.00 |
| 12 | TURKEY | 224.00 |
| 13 | NORWAY | 219.00 |
| 14 | DENMARK | 215.00 |
| 15 | IRELAND | 213.00 |
| 16 | SCOTLAND | 207.00 |
| 17 | CZECH REP. | 204.50 |
| 18 | HUNGARY | 183.00 |
| 19 | CROATIA | 174.00 |
| 20 | LEBANON | 154.00 |
| 21 | ICELAND | 145.00 |
| 22 | FAROE ISLANDS | 134.00 |

## SENIOR TEAMS RANKING after 11 rounds

| I | GERMANY | 218.00 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 2 | FRANCE | 197.00 |
| 3 | ENGLAND | 196.00 |
| 4 | POLAND | 194.60 |
| 5 | DENMARK | 194.00 |
| 6 | ITALY | 191.00 |
| 7 | SWEDEN | 184.00 |
| 8 | ISRAEL | 181.00 |
| 9 | CZECH REP. | 169.50 |
| 10 | NORWAY | 160.00 |
| II | NETHERLANDS | 152.50 |
| I2 | SWITZERLAND | 146.00 |
| I3 | FINLAND | 131.00 |
| I4 | SCOTLAND | 119.40 |
| I5 | WALES | 43.00 |
| I6 | IRELAND |  |

## WOMEN'S TEAMS

## Round 12

The Round 12 match between Austria and Italy in the Women's series featured six deals on which one or more of the teams bid a slam. No, there were no good slams missed on the other 14 deals.


| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kriftner | Saccavini | Smederevac | Paoluzi Pass |
| 20 | Pass | 2 | Pass |
| 2NT | Pass | 3 | Pass |
| 4\% | Pass | 4 | Pass |
| $4 \bigcirc$ | Pass | 64 | All Pass |

Board 2. Dealer East. N/S Vul.

- 1087
-984
$\diamond J$
2K 98743

West

Austria v Italy - Women's Slams

| West <br> Forti | North <br> Grumm | East <br> Buratti <br> $1 \&$ | South <br> Weigkricht |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2@ Pass |  |  |  |

Both East/West pairs were playing Blue Club based methods where l\% was strong and the response showed five controls. Both pairs now bid naturally up to 34, after which cuebidding began and both sailed into the small slam. Jovanka Smederevac made twelve tricks after a heart lead; +980. Monica Buratti


Monica Buratti, Italy
slipped a diamond past the king after drawing trumps, also after a heart lead; +1010 and I IMP to Italy.

Board 3. Dealer South. E/W Vul.

| - 8 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc$ A Q J 6 |  |
| $\diamond$ K Q |  |
| * AKJ854 |  |
| N | - 95 |
| W E | $\bigcirc 9543$ |
|  | $\diamond$ J 762 |
| S | +932 |
| - A Q J 73 |  |
| $\bigcirc 108$ |  |
| $\checkmark 108$ |  |
| - Q 1076 |  |


| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kriftner | Saccavini | Smederevac | Paoluzi |
|  |  |  | Pass |
| 14 | Dble | Pass | Pass |
| 2 | 30 | Pass | 34 |
| Pass | 3NT | Pass | 4* |
| Pass | 4 | Pass | $4{ }^{1}$ |
| Pass | 4NT | Pass | 5\% |
| Pass | 6\% | All Pass |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Forti | Grumm | Buratti | Weigkricht |
|  |  |  | Pass |
| 14 | Dble | All Pass |  |

Both Souths judged to pass their partner's take-out double of I4. For Italy, Darinka Forti stood the double and played Is doubled, where she managed four tricks after a club lead for -800 .

For Austria, Susanne Kriftner removed herself to $2 \diamond$, a much better spot. Ilaria Saccavina showed her strong hand with clubs and Simonetta Paoluzi bid spades naturally-remember that the

1s opening could have been a weak four-card suit in the Austrians' canapé style - then showed the club support. After a couple of cuebids, Saccavina took control then bid the slam. There was only a diamond to be lost, the heart finesse being marked after the opening bid, and that was +920 and 3 IMPs to Italy.

Board 8. Dealer West. None Vul.
-KJIO6
8963
$\diamond 876$

- 762

| - 7 | N |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc$ Q 5 |  | ¢AKJ 72 |
| $\checkmark$ A 2 | W E | $\diamond$ K 95 |
| \& AKQJ10953 | S | ¢ 4 |
|  | - A 984 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 1084$ |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ QJ 1043 |  |
|  | ¢ 8 |  |


| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kriftner | Saccavini | Smederevac | Paoluzi |
| 19 | Pass | INT | Pass |
| 32 | Pass | 38 | Pass |
| $3{ }^{2}$ | Pass | 3NT | Pass |
| 420 | Pass | $4 \checkmark$ | Pass |
| 6\% | All Pass |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Forti | Grumm | Buratti | Weigkricht |
| 10, | Pass | INT | Pass |
| 20 | Pass | 28 | Pass |
| 3\% | Pass | 34 | Pass |
| 48 | Pass | 4 | Pass |
| $4{ }^{4}$ | Pass | 5\% | Pass |
| 620 | All Pass |  |  |

Both Wests opened a strong club and got a four-control response. After a couple of natural bids, West set trumps and the slam was soon reached; flat at +940 after red-suit leads.

Board I6. Dealer West. E/W Vul.

- A 754
- K 7
$\diamond$ K 65
e J 1062
- J963
- Q 9
$\diamond$ QJ 93
\& 754

- K Q 82
$\vee$ J 10864
$\diamond$ A 842
2-
- 10
-A5 32
- 107
* AK Q 983


| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kriftner | Saccavini | Smederevac | Paoluzi |
| Pass | Pass | 14 | 2\% |
| 2. | 2NT | 320 | 3NT |
| Pass | Pass | $4 \bigcirc$ | Dble |
| All Pass |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Forti | Grumm | Buratti | Weigkricht |
| Pass | 1. | Pass | 28 |
| Pass | 2NT | Pass | 320 |
| Pass | $3>$ | Pass | 4* |
| Pass | $4 \stackrel{ }{ }$ | Pass | 4NT |
| Pass | 5\% | Pass | 6\% |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

Iris Grumm and Terry Weigkricht had a free run to the small slam which needed little more than a favourable diamond position. The opening lead of the queen of diamonds settled that one rather quickly - one down for -50.

In the other room it was East rather than North who opened the bidding with IS. Having found her partner with spade support, Smederevac really did too much from there. She also appears to have confused her young partner as Kriftner passed out $4 \checkmark$ rather than going back to the known spade fit - not that 4s would have been any bargain either.

Smederevac ruffed the club lead and played a spade to the jack and ace. A forcing game looks best now but Saccavini gave her partner a spade ruff instead. Paoluzi returned a club for declarer to ruff and now the $\$ 8$ went to the queen and king. Saccavini gave her partner a second spade ruff and Paoluzi, rather than cash the $\vee A$ then play on clubs, which would have enabled declarer to take the rest by crossing to dummy's 49 and picking up the diamonds, instead played a club and, when declarer threw
a diamond away, played another club. That was ruffed in dummy as declarer ditched a diamond. Now the $\diamond \mathbf{Q}$ was covered and the ace won. The 810 went to the ace and declarer had the rest for down three; -800 and 13 IMPs to Italy.

Board 17. Dealer North. None Vul.

$$
\text { @ } 62
$$

P-
$\diamond$ AK 106532

- A963

| - Q 98 | N | - AKJ 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -1932 | W E | $\bigcirc$ AQ 107654 |
| $\checkmark$ Q 94 | W E | $\diamond$ - |
| \& K 102 | S | 2 Q 4 |
|  | - 7543 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc \mathrm{K} 8$ |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ J 87 |  |
|  | 21875 |  |


| West | North <br> Kriftner | East <br> Saccavini | South <br> Smederevac |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Paoluzi |  |  |  |

Smederevac started the East hand with a double then cuebid $4 \diamond$ at her next turn, having found a monster heart fit. Kriftner was willing to show her club control and now Smederevac jumped to the small slam. This is perfectly playable, requiring merely an even heart split. However, it was not to be and Kriftner was down one for -50.

Buratti simply overcalled $4 \rrbracket$, a long way from being my style but it worked out nicely this time. Grumm went on to $5 \triangleleft$ and Buratti doubled to show her extra strength. Forti had sufficient heart support to convert to 58 and that was that; an easy +450 and II more IMPs to Italy.

Board I8. Dealer East. N/S Vul.

|  | - 5 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | QJ10763 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ Q 10 |  |
|  | 2) 7654 |  |
| -10984 | N | - AKQJ 72 |
| $\bigcirc 4$ |  | $\bigcirc 82$ |
| $\checkmark$ AJ 754 | W E | $\checkmark 982$ |
| +983 | S | -102 |
|  | - 63 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ AKQ 95 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ K 63 |  |
|  | * AK K |  |


| West | North |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kriftner |  | | East |
| :---: |
| Saccavini | | South |
| :---: |
| Smederevac |
| $2 \diamond$ | | Paoluzi |
| :---: |
| Dble |

Smederevac opened with a heavy multi and Paoluzi started with a double then, when Saccavini took the aggressive route of jumping to $4 『$, used key card. Kriftner's 5 intervention seems to have caused some confusion as Paoluzi went on to slam in response to Saccavini's pass and there were two aces to lose; down one for - 100 .

In the other room, Buratti opened with a solid weak two bid and Weigkricht doubled. Grumm bid $5 \$$ over Forti's raise to 49 and Buratti went on to 5 a. When Weigkricht guessed to bid 68 over that, and who can blame her, Forti in turn guessed to take one more spade bid. Grumm doubled that rather quickly. Weigkricht cashed two top clubs then the ace of hearts before trying a third club, ruffed. Buratti drew trumps, ruffing her heart loser along the way, and had to decide how to play the diamonds. The winning play would have been ace and another, right when there is a doubleton honour in the North hand, as here, but Buratti thought that Weigkricht might have both king and queen of diamonds for her bidding and so led low to the jack. When that lost to the queen and the ten came back, there was one more loser; down four for -800 and 14 IMPs to Austria.

On the so-called slam deals, Italy had outscored Austria by 28-I4 IMPs. However, Austria had the edge on the remaining deals and ran out winners by 54-45 IMPs, just enough for a 17-I3 VP win.


Darinka Forti, Italy

## WOMEN'S TEAMS

## Round 8

The commentators admired the general level of bridge on vugraph. Both teams played pretty well, but there were nonetheless a number of small technical points arising.

Board I. Dealer North. None Vul.

|  | ¢ 982 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc 87$ |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ A Q 6 |  |
|  | 9 AJ 1075 |  |
| ¢ AK 73 | N | ¢ 4 |
| $\bigcirc 10632$ | $W^{\text {c }}$ | $\bigcirc$ Q J 95 |
| $\diamond$ J 74 |  | $\diamond$ K 10952 |
| -84 | S | \& Q 92 |
|  | (Q) 1065 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ AK 4 |  |
|  | $\diamond 83$ |  |
|  | 9 K 63 |  |

Both teams played 49 by South after North had opened the bidding. A weak no trump, should that be your poison, would get North to be declarer and facilitate proceedings. A $\mid \diamond$ call, Iris Grumm's choice, gets West off to a heart lead, letting declarer guess the club queen after finding West with long spades. But Rhona Goldenfield opened 1e and Jovanka Smederevac overcalled $\mathrm{I} \diamond$, getting West off to the lead off the four of diamonds. Michelle Brunner won the ace of diamonds, played three rounds of hearts ruffing in dummy, then played on trumps. Susan Kriftner could force declarer, first with a diamond then, after ducking the second trump, with a heart, and declarer could not avoid being tapped out for down one even after finding the $\%$.

Note that if declarer puts in the $\diamond \mathrm{Q}$ at trick one, on the diamond return she cannot ruff a heart as the same position will be reached. She needs to take a club finesse at trick two - a highly unlikely play(but that doesn't work, as West plays a second club when in with the first trump and still has a diamond entry to partner's hand to get a ruff when in with the second trump. Eds).

Maybe it is better to duck the first diamond altogether. Now the defence cannot arrange the force, though admittedly if clubs were four-one this line might look very silly!

Board 2. Dealer East. N/S Vul.

- 18
$\bigcirc$ A 5
$\diamond 1043$
* AK 10752
© K 42
$\bigcirc 7$
$\diamond$ A 652
\% J 9843


## Austria v England <br> by Barry Rigal

Austria picked up a small swing here, beating 3s by only two tricks against $3>$ making the other way. But Terry Weigkricht had the chance to persuade declarer to do the wrong thing. On the 9 lead and a spade shift, Nicola Smith won the $\$ \mathrm{~K}$ to lead a heart to the queen then a low heart back, dropping the ace. If Weigkricht had dropped the $\$ 8$ under the queen, declarer might have followed up with the $\vee \mathrm{K}$ to pin a doubleton 8 J 8 or $\vee 108$. Since South had shown six spades and North six clubs, there was no real clue as to the red-suit shapes in N/S.

Board 8. Dealer West. None Vul.

- AK 9743
- 1086
$\diamond 97$
\& Q 4

```
4 85
&Q953
< Q Q 8 2
& 1087
```



- Q 10
$\bigcirc 7$
$\diamond$ AJ 1053
KJ965
- J 62
© AKJ 42
$\diamond 64$
- A 32

Since my first two deals have featured the importance of an eight, it is only appropriate that the third deal should do the same.

Brunner/Goldenfiled played a quiet 2 by North, making ten tricks on the $\vee 7$ lead. At the other table, Heather Dhondy led the $\checkmark K$ against 4s from the South seat. It was tempting for Smith to overtake and switch to a club, but this defence cannot ever be necessary. If you have two heart tricks you will always get a club and a


Nicola Smith, England
diamond trick also. But you need to set up a club trick only if you have one heart trick only. The danger with encouraging diamonds is that partner may play a low diamond next, leaving you on lead instead of her. To prevent this, best is to overtake the $\forall \mathrm{K}$ with the ace and return a low diamond. Yes, partner may try to give you a diamond ruff, I suppose, but this still looks the best defence to me.

Board I2. Dealer West. N/S Vul.

- AJ 42
© Q 108
$\checkmark 10542$
- 12

| - Q 7 | N | - 9653 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| QA975 |  | $\bigcirc 642$ |
| $\diamond$ AJ 87 | W E | $\checkmark$ K 3 |
| -K107 | S | - Q 93 |
|  | - K 108 |  |
|  | ¢ KJ3 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ Q 96 |  |
|  | 2. A 864 |  |

Heather Dhondy declared INT as West here on a diamond lead. She ran it to her hand, of course, and found the neat play of the ten of clubs. It was not clear to North to cover this and Terry Weigkricht won the ace to continue diamonds, letting declarer unscramble her seven tricks in some comfort.

Note that if Weigkricht ducks two rounds of clubs she will have sufficient information, after North's diamond discard on the third round, to take the third club and switch to a low spade. After three rounds of spades and a heart switch, declarer has seven winners but cannot unscramble them.

Board 14. Dealer East. None Vul.

$\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$
$\diamond$ AJ 107

- 75
\& 73
$\diamond$ Q 874
$\diamond$ Q 652
$\&$ QJ 10
$W^{2} \mathrm{E}$
- KQ4 2
- K 105
$\diamond K 94$
- A6 2
- 105
ค19632
$\checkmark 83$
-K 984

Iris Grumm neatly found a way to bring home an unlikely 2 s contract by North. In the Closed Room, declarer had won the heart lead and led a club to the king to take a diamond finesse, letting Smederevac win and underlead in clubs for a spade switch, for down one. In the Open Room, Grumm won the heart lead and led the $\diamond 10$ from hand! If Smith had taken this to play a trump, declarer might have guessed diamonds to land her contract. Instead, Smith found the better defence of letting this run to Dhondy's queen. Back came a second heart (yes, a trump shift works), and declarer ruffed, played ace of diamonds and ruffed a diamond, ruffed a heart, and led the fourth diamond, ruffed and over-ruffed. That gave her six tricks and the $\varphi \mathrm{A}$ and $\boldsymbol{\$ K}$ were eight winners. In fact, the defence had to be quite precise to hold declarer to her contract.

##  <br> EBLFUTURE EVENTS' SCHEDULE

2004 Prague, Czech Republic, Ist - IIth August -19th European Youth Teams Championship
Barcelona, Spain, 7th - 10th October - 3rd European Champions' Cup

2005 Tenerife, Canary Islands, Spain, I8th June 2nd July -2nd European Open Bridge Championship
Wroclaw, Poland, 14th - 24th July -20th European Youth Championship (Provisional)

Brussels, Belgium, 13th - 16th October 4th European Champions' Cup
2006 Warsaw, Poland, 12th - 26th August -48th European Bridge Teams Championship

2007 Stavanger, Norway, I6th - 30th June -3rd European Open Championship

## I st Baltic Sea Bridge Championship 

The Ist Baltic Sea Bridge Championship will be held in Latvia, in a lovely city by the sea - Ventspils, from August I3 until August 22, 2004.The idea has finally become a reality and all the Baltic Sea's region countries are welcome to participate in the Ist Baltic Sea Bridge Championship's Open, Women, Senior and Junior teams contests and also in the Pairs Championship, which is open for players of all nationalities. We sincerely hope that our event will contribute to the development of the game and to better friendship and co-operation in the region. This championship is organized by the Bridge Support Foundation. We are supported by the Ventspils Government, Ventspils Olympic Center and various sponsors.
The Championship will be held in Ventspils Olympic Center which is located at the center of the city. The guaranteed price fund of the tournament is $50,000.00$ EURO. It will be divided proportionally between the teams and pairs tournaments.
We hope that you will love the tournament. Ventspils is waiting for you!
For more information, contact Maija Romanovska of the Latvian Open Team here in Malmö, or go to www.balticbridge.lv

## OPEN TEAMS

## Round 19

## Belgium $\operatorname{by}_{\text {by }} \mathbf{Y}$ jacobs England

When this match started on Sunday evening, Belgium had dropped from fifth to sixth in spite of two wins, as they were only narrow ones by 16-14 each. On the other hand, England too had registered two victories but, as they were by 23-7 and 20-10 respectively, they had gone up from 14th to 10th at that point. Would the English be able to go on at this pace?

At the beginning of the match, it did not look at all like that. The Belgians very much had the better of the exchanges, registering three swings in succession. Here they are:

Board I. Dealer North. None Vul.

| - 63 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc 10953$ |  |
| $\checkmark 976$ |  |
| * 4965 |  |
| N | - A 109 |
| W E | QJ76 |
| W E | $\checkmark 10432$ |
| S | -1087 |
| - K 8542 |  |
| $\bigcirc \mathrm{K} 4$ |  |
| $\checkmark$ A 5 |  |
| \& Q 432 |  |

## Closed Room



Alain Labaere, Belgium

Jason Hackett led the $\mathbf{~} \mathbf{6}$, the nine from dummy and the five from Justin. Declarer now drove out the $\triangleleft \mathbf{A}$ and Justin, on lead with it, shifted to a club. Alain Labaere played the king, maybe to encourage a club continuation if North happened to be able to win the trick. If this was his plan, it worked to perfection as North did indeed win the ace and returned the suit. Of course, another spade at this point would have defeated the contract, as declarer would have only eight tricks before the defenders could get five. As it was, Labaere had his nine tricks and Belgium had made a good start to the match; 400 to them.

## Open Room

| West | North <br> Poenraets <br> Price | East <br> Simpson <br> Pass | South <br> Neve <br> Is |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| INT | All Pass |  |  |

On a heart lead, Price even made ten tricks, but his score of + 180 meant a loss of 6 IMPs for England.

There was a more serious loss for them to come on the next board:

Board 2. Dealer East. N/S Vul.

```
& AK IO }
& IO
\diamond J 3
&KQ 10652
```

4 Q 852
643
K 542
\& 14

Closed Room

| West <br> A. Labaere | North <br> Jason H. | East <br> V. Labaere <br> $3 \diamond$ | South <br> Justin Hackett |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $5 \diamond$ | 62 | Pass | Dble |
| Pass | $6 \hookleftarrow$ | All Pass |  |

The aggressive Belgian pre-empts put pressure on the Hacketts, as they must have felt slam was in the air but had no room left to sort it all out. Twice, they were in a making contract, but their last landing spot, the 4-4 fit, was too hot to handle.

On the lead of the $\diamond \mathrm{A}$, the percentage play would be to take the double finesse in spades. Jason played accordingly and thus went quickly one down; Belgium +100 .

Open Room

| West <br> Price | North <br> Coenraets | East <br> Simpson <br> $2 \diamond$ | South <br> Neve |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $3 \diamond$ | Dble | $2 \diamond$ Pass | $2 \vee$ |
| $3 \diamond$ |  |  |  |

All Pass


Jason Hackett, England
With far less pre-emption against them, the Belgian North/South once again had a relatively quiet road to a very sound contract. When the hearts broke, there were 12 tricks for another +680 or 13 IMPs to them.

And the next board:
Board 3. Dealer South. E/W Vul.

|  | ¢ K 973 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc 872$ |  |  |
|  | $\diamond 432$ |  |  |
|  | \& K Q 2 |  |  |
| - A Q J 104 |  |  | ¢ 862 |
| QQJ 65 |  |  | $\bigcirc \mathrm{K} 1093$ |
| $\diamond$ A 1097 |  |  | $\diamond$ J 86 |
| \% - | S |  | ¢ 198 |
|  | ¢ 5 |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ A 4 |  |  |
|  | $\diamond \mathrm{K}$ Q 5 |  |  |
|  | \& A 1076543 |  |  |

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A. Labaere | Sason H. <br> J. Labaere | Justin Hackett <br>  |  |
| Is | INT | Pass | 3NT |

In spite of holding a fair hand, Alain Labaere kept quiet when his opponents bid 3NT against him. This proved a sensible thing to do as partner would not have provided too many useful values for a profitable save, red $v$ green. Though 3NT will go down on a heart lead (of course found by an Italian playing against Switzerland), the actual spade lead from East made nine tricks quite straightforward; England +400 .

## Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Price | Coenraets | Simpson | Neve 19 |
| 14 | INT | Pass | 3NT |
| 4* | Pass | 4, | Pass |
| Pass | Dble | All Pass |  |

At the other table, David Price did bid on over 3NT, a move he was to regret shortly afterwards. With no real fit between the East/West hands he got doubled in 44. On a club lead, his trumps were shortened fatally right from the first trick, so he could not avoid down three. Belgium scored +800 for 9 more IMPs to lead 28-0.

It wasn't over yet:
Board 6. Dealer East. E/W Vul.

- A QJ 32

ค762
$\checkmark$ A 10

- A 104

| - 5 | N | ¢ K 10984 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc$ Q 93 |  | $\bigcirc \mathrm{K} 84$ |
| $\diamond$ KQJ543 |  | $\diamond 876$ |
| +932 | S | ¢ K 5 |
|  | - 76 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ AJ 105 |  |
|  | $\checkmark 92$ |  |
|  | Q QJ 876 |  |

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A. Labaere | Jason H. | V. Labaere | Justin Hackett |
| $2 \boldsymbol{2 s}$ | $2 \boldsymbol{3}$ | 3 | All Pass |

The Belgians' Multi-like 2e placed the declaration in the East hand. This turned out to make a lot of difference, as we shall see. South led the $\mathbf{~} 7$ to North's ace. North continued a club away from his ace, dummy's king winning as declarer did not have the option Jason was hoping for.A club came back to Jason's $\$ 10$ and now, finally, a heart was led to the ten and queen. This proved far too late as declarer could ruff his last club, shed a losing heart on the $\mathbf{~ K}$ and draw trumps. This way, Alan Labaere lost a trick in each suit to just make his contract; Belgium + IIO.

## Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Price | Coenraets | Simpson | Neve |
| $2 \diamond$ | $2 \downarrow$ | $3 \diamond$ | Dble |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

When the English could not use the same gadget as their Belgian counterparts, West had to open $2 \triangleleft$. North and East both duplicated the bids made at the other table, but Neve made an aggressive take-out double of $3 \checkmark$ which Philippe Coenraets elected to pass.

He then found the excellent lead of the 86 on which declarer played the king from dummy, hoping to disrupt defensive communications if the suit broke $5-2$. Neve won the ace and returned
the $\oslash \mathrm{J}$ to declarer's queen. Next came a low spade, but Coenraets rose with the ace, cashed his A as well and only then played his last heart. South won and led the I3th heart on which declarer discarded a club, so North's $\vee 10$ scored the first undertrick with the ace of trumps still to come. Belgium collected a fine +500 and 12 IMPs more to lead by $4 \mathrm{I}-3$ at this stage. In fact, the trump promotion is always there. If declarer ruffs high, North will discard, win the $\forall$ A later on and play a spade, ruffed by South with the $\diamond$.

On Board 10, the English got IMPs back on a double partscore swing to trail bylI-4I, and then came:

Board I 2. Dealer West. N/S Vul.


Olivier Neve, Belgium

Of course, Alain Labaere knew, after his support double, that he might be putting his partner in a 4-3 fit but, with a singleton club, this might well be a good spot to be in. Not this time - with the trumps stacked behind East she went down five for the unusual score of +250 to England.

## Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Price | Coenraets | Simpson | Neve |
| $1 \diamond$ | Pass | $1 \diamond$ | $1 \$$ |
| $3 \diamond$ | All Pass |  |  |

At the other table, with no support double at hand, David Price jumped to $3 \triangleleft$ at his second turn and there it rested; England +110 for a gain of 8 IMPs.

England lost seven of these IMPs on the next board when the Hacketts overbid to a hopeless game but, one board later, this loss was compensated for like this:

Board 14. Dealer East. None Vul.

$$
\text { AK } 10753
$$

- J 85
$\diamond 4$
- A 82
- J42
$\nabla \mathrm{A}$
$\diamond$ AJ9832
- Q 64

Q 6


$\checkmark$ K Q 10765
\& 953
4 Q 98
๑Q 1076432
$\diamond$ -

* K 107


## Closed Room

## West

A. Labaere

## North

Jason H.

| East | South |
| :---: | :---: |
| V. Labaere | Justin Hackett | Pass

5
All Pass
This hand was particularly suitable for the style of the twins, and $5 \$$ proved an excellent contract with only the AK of trumps away; England +450 .

## Open Room

| West | North <br> Price <br> Coenraets | East <br> Simpson <br>  | South <br> Neve <br> Pass |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $5 \diamond$ | All Pass |  |  |

At the other table, the pre-empting did its job in keeping both North and South silent. The contract went one down, but England had scored 9 IMPs more to trail by 30-48 now.

And the English rally continued:

Board I5. Dealer South. N/S Vul.

|  | - 1062 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ¢K10952 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ Q J |  |
|  | - 1094 |  |
| - KQ 985 | N | - 4 |
| $\bigcirc 874$ |  | $\bigcirc$ A |
| $\diamond 10975$ | W E | $\checkmark$ A 64 |
| 2- | S | \& AKQ 87652 |
|  | - AJ 7 |  |
|  | QQJ 63 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ K 832 |  |
|  | 2 J 3 |  |

## Closed Room

West
A. Labaere

24

## Closed Room

## West

A. Labaere

2NT
3NT
4NT

North
Jason H.
Pass
Pass
All Pass

## East

V. Labaere

3\%
$4 \diamond$

South<br>Justin Hackett<br>Pass<br>Pass

Valérie Labaere was far from sure that 3NT would be the right spot, so she went on over partner's response over $3 \%$. This proved wrong when the hearts were wide open, but nicely distributed in equal portions between the opposition. The contract went down two when declarer discarded a club on the fourth round of hearts; England +200 .

## Open Room

West
Price
$1 \&$
2 NT
North
Coenraets
Pass
Pass

| East | South |
| :---: | :---: |
| Simpson | Neve |
| $1 \diamond$ | $1 \stackrel{1}{\diamond}$ |
| 3NT | All Pass |

Coenraets too led the $\vee \mathrm{A}$, but upon seeing his partner's seven he shifted to the $\$ 8$. This went to king and ace. Declarer now cashed the top diamonds, but when the queen did not appear just played a spade to his nine to land his contract. The overtrick was there when the clubs provided five tricks. England gained +630 and I3 IMPs to take the lead; 54-48.

On the next board, they increased their lead to 65-48 when the Belgian North/South overbid to a hopeless slam and lost II more IMPs.

There were a few overtricks in the final boards and the result of the match thus became 68-48 to England, which is 19-1I VPs.We had been watching a remarkable recovery by the English and a most unwanted setback of the Belgian hopes.

## $\Gamma$

 things worse Simpson, who held about ten solid tricks in his hand and could be sure about a spade stopper in dummy, was in a position to clearly express his opinion on the chances of the contract. This meant +1000 for England who now had come back all the way from 3-4I down to 4I-48 down. What was going on?We got to see that one board later. Board 16. Dealer West. E/W Vul.

- 83
© AK 86
$\diamond$ Q 64
- 8532


## - A Q 9

ค 1053
KJ
\& AKQ96
This was absolutely not the right moment to double.To make

North
Coenraets

Pass
3NT
Pass
All Pass

| N | -1072 |
| :---: | :---: |
| W E | $\bigcirc 42$ |
| W E | $\diamond$ A 1087532 |
| S | $\bigcirc 7$ |
| - KJ654 |  |
| Q QJ9 7 |  |
| $\checkmark 9$ |  |

* 104


## Championship Diary

Many thanks to our Iberian correspondent, Spain's Joao Passarinho, for handing us a copy of Zinadine Zidane's new book, Penalty Taking for Dummies, which features a photograph of David Beckham on the cover.

It was suggested that in Round 19 Switzerland received a penalty for late arrival at the table. That strikes us as a little unlucky, as according to Bridgebase they were playing Italy, Bulgaria and Belgium at the same time, which might explain why they took some time to find the right tables!

A Danish senior was overheard complaining that, having secured 25 VP against England, Ireland and Scotland, his team had run out of teams to play from the United Kingdom. There was also talk of sueing Wales for not sending a team!

Jill Arthur is representing Scotland in the Women's Teams. We are awaiting her first written contribution to the Bulletin, but meanwhile she is keeping us supplied as always with her excellent homemade shortbread and tablet.

## OPEN TEAMS <br> Round 18

## Sweden v Italy

Casting aside all protests that the match would inevitably be dull, your Editor settled down to watch the key encounter between the two teams who have so far dominated the Open Championship, Sweden \& Italy.A big win for either side might go some way to determining who would collect the gold medals. Meanwhile, Jos Jacobs reports on France v Netherlands. As the match got under way I noticed that more than 4000 people were on line.

Board I. Dealer North. None Vul.

```
$ 8542
\nu J }
A 7 5
* A 75 }
```

| $\pm 3$ | N | - AJ 1096 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc$ K Q 10872 | $W^{\text {c }}$ | $\bigcirc$ A 53 |
| $\diamond 4$ | W E | $\diamond 1032$ |
| 2 Q 10864 | S | -93 |
|  | ¢ K Q 7 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 94$ |  |
|  | $\diamond$ K Q J 986 |  |
|  | \% K J |  |

## Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Duboin | Bertheau | Bocchi | Nystrom |
|  | Pass | Pass | $2 \diamond *$ |
| $2 \triangleleft$ | $3 \diamond$ | Dble* | Pass |
| $4 \boldsymbol{2 e}$ | Pass | $4 \nearrow$ | All Pass |

$2 \diamond$ |I- $15,5 \diamond 4$ \& or $6+\diamond$
With such good shape West was happy to show his second suit and Four Hearts proved to be a simple affair. North led the ace of diamonds, South dropping the king, and continued the suit. Declarer ruffed, played a spade to the ace and a club for the jack, queen and ace. He ruffed the diamond return, drew trumps and gave up a club; +420.

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fredin | Lauria | Lindkvist | Versace |
|  | Pass | Pass | $1 \diamond$ |
| $3 \triangleleft$ | Dble* | Pass | $\mathbf{4} \triangleleft$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

West's pre-emptive action did not turn out well, as he did not attract a raise from East. Still it looked easy enough to defeat the contract. West led his spade and East won and returned the suit for West to ruff. He played the king of hearts, but East overtook and tried to give West a second spade ruff. Declarer won and ran the trumps to squeeze West in hearts and clubs; +130 and a fast start for Italy, ahead by II IMPs.

On Board 2 both East/West pairs bid the cold Six Spades on these cards:


Wales reached Seven Hearts, excellent if West had held the ten of spades, but here needing hearts 3-3 (they were) on a nonclub lead; +1510 against the game recorded at the other table.

The next board also had slam potential, but both pairs made it look easy, albeit in rather different ways:

Board 3. Dealer South. E/W Vul.


Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Duboin | Bertheau | Bocchi | Nystrom |
|  |  |  | 19* |
| Pass | 2\%* | Pass | 2)* |
| Pass | 24* | Pass | $3 \vee *$ |
| Pass | 3)* | Pass | 3NT* |
| Pass | 4\%* | Pass | 4 ${ }^{*}$ |
| Pass | 6\% | All Pass |  |

1s $10-155+$, usually not 5-3-3-2 and 10 HCP
2. FG Relay

2 54, minimum, no singleton
2. Relay
$3 \diamond$ Any 5-4-2-2
3 Relay
3NT 5-2-2-4
4थ. Asks for top cards, using zz responses, where $A=3, K=2, Q=1$
$4 \vee$ One ace and two queens

## Closed Room

| West <br> Fredin | North <br> Lauria | East <br> Lindkvist | South <br> Versace <br> Pass |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14 | Dble | Pass | INT |
| Pass | $3 \boldsymbol{e}$ | Pass | $4 \mathbf{Q}^{*}$ |
| Pass | $6 \boldsymbol{e}$ | All Pass |  |

You might imagine it would be harder to bid the slam once South had passed and West had opened, but the Italians also made it look easy, the keys to the auction being the jump to Three Clubs and the reply of Four Spades. No swing.

Board 5. Dealer North. N/S Vul.

- QJ965
$\checkmark$ Q 8
$\diamond 942$
\% 143



P1064
$\checkmark$ K 73
\& A Q 10762
Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Duboin | Bertheau <br> Bocchi | Nystrom |  |
|  | Pass | INT* | Pass |
| $3 \mathbf{N}^{*}$ | Dble | $4 \varnothing$ | All Pass |

INT I2-I4

South led his spade and declarer took the jack with the king and cashed the ace of hearts. North's eight was a worry, but there was not much to do if hearts were 4-I, so declarer played a second heart and finessed the jack. He had to go down now, South collecting a spade ruff, the ace of clubs and eventually a diamond; -50.

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fredin | Lauria | Lindkvist 18* | Versace Pass |
| 18 | Pass | $2{ }^{*}$ | Pass |
| 2)* | Pass | 2** | Pass |
| 2NT | Pass | 38 | Pass |
| 4 | Pass | $4 \bigcirc$ | All Pass |
| II-I3NT, or II-16 short diamonds, or any 17+ <br> $2 \triangleleft$ II-I3 <br> 28 Artificial Invitation <br> 2. Minimum |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

This time North was on lead. He tried the two of diamonds and South won with the king, cashed the ace of clubs and switched to the two of spades. Declarer won with dummy's king and cashed the ace of hearts. His next move was a heart to the king. When the queen fell he could claim ten tricks; +420 .

Why play the king?
Fredin did so because he trusted his instincts, but in the given situation declarer needed hearts 3-2, and there was a chance the queen might fall. Suppose it had not? Declarer could eliminate
clubs and diamonds and then exit with a trump, hoping that whoever won might be endplayed.

A deserved IO IMPs for Sweden.
Board 8. Dealer West. None Vul.

- KJ 106
$\bigcirc 963$
$\diamond 876$
- 762


Open Room


North led the six of diamonds, so declarer claimed; +940.


Louk Verhees, Netherlands

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fredin | Lauria | Lindkvist | Versace |
| $1 \boldsymbol{e}^{*}$ | Pass | $1 \boldsymbol{Q}^{*}$ | Pass |
| 1 NT $^{*}$ | Pass | 2 NT $^{*}$ | Pass |
| $3 \boldsymbol{e}^{*}$ | Pass | $3 \wedge^{*}$ | Pass |
| 3NT* | Pass | $4 \checkmark$ | Pass |
| 7NT | All Pass |  |  |

19. II-I3NT, or II-16 short diamonds, or any 17+

1s 8+,4+ not balanced
INT FG Relay 17+
2NT 4
30 Relay
3 4-5-3-I
3NT Natural

The Swedish convention card is beautifully presented, but once it comes to the relays it is, at least to your reporter, difficult to follow. Over Three diamonds, Three hearts would have been a relay. 3NT was natural, waiting to see if East would make a move. When he did there was obviously some misunderstanding.

However, North was on lead, and when he selected the six of diamonds, declarer could claim a very fortunate +1520 and II IMPs.

Relay systems are not going to go away, so this type of accident is always a possibility. Defenders need some rules about the meaning of doubles, and we assume an Italian one here would not have suggested a spade lead - remember that was an artificial bid.

The theoretical argument is that if South had the ace of hearts it would be unlikely to run away, as declarer would presumably be expecting to make some tricks from dummy's main suit.

This board also caused a big swing in the other featured match, France v Netherlands. In the Closed Room, the French did not bid the E/W hands up to their full value:


Lorenzo Lauria, Italy

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sebbane | De Wijs | Thuillez | Muller |
| $1 \boldsymbol{1}$ | Pass | $1 / 8$ | Pass |
| $5 \dot{5 \%}$ | All Pass |  |  |

Thirteen tricks were made on a diamond lead; France +440 .

## Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jansma | Multon | Verhees | Palau |
| 12 | Pass | $1{ }^{1}$ | Pass |
| 20 | Pass | 2 | Pass |
| 49 | Pass | 4 | Pass |
| 49 | Pass | $5 \bigcirc$ | Pass |
| 5NT | Pass | 68 | Pass |
| 6NT | All Pass |  |  |

In the Open Room, the Orange Club got out of control. As North really did not have a natural spade lead at all, Jansma too made all the tricks for +1020 to the Netherlands, a swing of II IMPs.

One board later, a defensive error led to another big swing in favour of the Dutch:


De Wijs led the Q to declarer's ace. Sebbane now played A and another, South winning the queen. The 10 was returned and declarer won the third round of spades. Another club went to DeWijs' king.As Bauke Muller had played the $\vee 2$ to this trick, De Wijs now made the good play of first cashing his $\forall K$ before taking his two remaining spades and continuing diamonds. Down three, Netherlands +300 .

## LOST

The Swiss player Fernando Piedra lost his championship bag. Nothing valuable inside...except for him, with addresses and notes he had written. If found, thanks for bringing it back to the hospitality desk

## Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jansma | Multon | Verhees <br> Palau |  |
|  | Pass | Pass | Pass |
| 1ヵ | Pass | $1 \diamond$ | $1 \otimes$ |
| $2 \boldsymbol{2 N}$ | Pass | $2 \diamond$ | Pass |
| 3NT | All Pass |  |  |

Not for the first time, overcalling on a bad suit can be costly. North led the $\vee 8$ to declarer's ace and Jansma continued $A$ and another. Here as well, South won the 2 Q , but he continued hearts to declarer's king. Another club went to North's king, South discarding the $\$ 6$.

Not being able to read this, North passively returned a club to present declarer with his contract: two spades, two hearts and five clubs made up for another +600 or 14 IMPs to the Netherlands.

On Board II, the French gained a game swing when their style of opening bids paid off in the Open Room.

Board II. Dealer South. None VuI.


## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sebbane | De Wijs | Thuillez | Muller |
|  |  |  | Pass |
| Pass | 18 | $1 \diamond$ | 14 |
| $2 \checkmark$ | Pass | 3 | All Pass |

The light diamond overcall from East and the aggressive raise by West made it difficult for South to compete any further. When he did not even produce a double to reactivate partner, the French had escaped for -I50 when the contract went down three.

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jansma | Multon | Verhees | Palau |
|  |  |  | 19 |
| Pass | 20 | Pass | 320 |
| Pass | 3 | Pass | 34 |
| Pass | 480 | Pass | 4 |
| Pass | 48 | Pass | 5\% |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

After Palau's light opening bid, the French always remained a tempo ahead in the auction. In fact, their opponents kept silent throughout the bidding and gave them a free road to a good game contract that made when the club finesse was right; France +400 and 6 IMPs back.

Board 17. Dealer North. None Vul.

- 62

8 -
$\triangleleft$ AK 106532

* A963



## Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Duboin | Bertheau <br> Bocchi <br> Nystrom |  |  |
| $3 \diamond *$ | $5 \diamond$ | Dble | $3 \diamond$ |
| Pass | $5 \diamond$ | $5 \diamond$ | Pass |
| Pbll Pass |  |  |  |

If North had known South held four clubs he would probably have been even more convinced that bidding Six Diamonds was a good idea, but this time he was horribly wrong. The defenders collected two spades, two clubs and a diamond for four down; -800.

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fredin | Lauria | Lindkvist | Versace |
|  | $1 \diamond$ | Dble | Pass |
| 18 | $3 \diamond$ | $4 \diamond$ | Pass |
| $5 \otimes$ | Pass | $6 \diamond$ | All Pass |

A slam on a finesse through the opening bidder is generally a sound proposition, but not this time - maybe the gods were taking revenge for Board 8? I3 IMPs for Italy, just getting their noses in front.

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sebbane | DeWijs | Thuillez | Muller |
|  | $1 \diamond$ | Dble | Pass |
| INT | $3 \diamond$ | 3 | $4 \diamond$ |
| $4 \diamond$ | 5 | 68 | All Pass |

Slam is a good proposition once North has opening the bidding, but this time it did not come off; Netherlands +50 . It probably never occurred to East to double $5 \triangleleft$, though this already will go down enough.

Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jansma | Multon | Verhees <br> Palau |  |
| $1 \diamond$ | $1 \diamond$ | Dble | Pass |
| $1 \diamond$ | $3 \diamond$ | $4 \diamond$ | Pass |
| $4 \diamond$ | All Pass |  |  |

With hearts bid first by West and East suggesting a powerhouse later on, the French probably did not consider a save in $5 \diamond$, as their opponents might well have been missing a slam. In a way, they were right as even $5 \diamond$ is too expensive already, but the Netherlands scored +450 more here for yet another swing of II IMPs. They led 42-I9 now.

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Board I8. De } \\ \text { \& } 5 \\ \& \mathrm{~J} 10 \\ \& \mathrm{Q} 1 \mathrm{C} \\ \& \mathrm{~J} 76 \end{gathered}$ | er East. N/ $63$ <br> 4 | N/S Vul. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & 10984 \\ & <4 \end{aligned}$ | N |  | - AKQJ 72 |
|  |  |  | $\bigcirc 82$ |
| $\checkmark$ AJ754 W E $\quad \checkmark 982$ |  |  |
| -983 |  |  | S |  | -102 |
|  | 4. 63 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ AKQ95 |  |  |
|  | $\triangleleft$ K 63 |  |  |
|  | * AKQ |  |  |
| Open Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | t South |
| Duboin | Bertheau | Bocchi | i Nystrom |
|  |  | IS | Dble |
| $4{ }^{4}$ | Pass | Pass | Dble |
| Pass | 4NT | Pass | 5NT |
| Pass | 6\% | All Pass |  |



Peter Bertheau, Sweden

West put the pressure on and it paid dividends in a big way as the Swedish pair overreached to a no play slam; -I00.

| Closed Room <br> West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fredin | Lauria | Lindkvist <br> Versace |  |
|  |  | $2 \boldsymbol{e}$ | Dble |
| $4 \Phi$ | Pass | Pass | Dble |
| Pass | $4 N T$ | Pass | $5 \%$ |
| $5 \$$ | Pass | Pass | Dble |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

South cashed two clubs and the ace of hearts and played a third club. Declarer ruffed, drew one round of trumps, ruffed a heart, came to hand with a trump and played a diamond. He must have been reasonably sure of the distribution in view of the 4NT bid, but he still had a decision to make. When he elected to finesse the seven North won with the ten and played back the queen, so declarer was three down; -500 and another 12 IMPs for Italy.

Board 20. Dealer West. All Vul.
$\pm 92$
-KJ 1097
$\diamond 42$
9 A 832

- J 108764

Q 3
A 7
\& K 74


- Q

A 8642
KJ965
J 10

- AK 53
$\bigcirc 5$
$\diamond$ Q 1083
- Q 965

Open Room
West
Duboin
North
Bertheau
Pass
$2 \boldsymbol{2}$
Dble

East
Bocchi
South
Nystrom
Dble
Pass
$2 \checkmark$
I hope Giorgio will forgive me, but this deal reminds me of the story of the lady who once asked Terence Reese, 'How would you have bid that hand Mr. Reese?' 'Differently' was his reply. Two Spades is makeable, but West never bid the suit!

Two Hearts doubled was not pretty.
South cashed the ace of spades and switched to a heart for the three, nine and ace. Declarer played three rounds of diamonds, ruffing with dummy's queen and North discarded a club. Declarer ruffed a spade and exited with a diamond. He eventually made a club trick, but was two down; -500.

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fredin | Lauria | Lindkvist | Versace |
| Pass | Pass | $1 / 8$ | Dble |
| Rdbl | Pass | $2 \diamond$ | Pass |
| $2 \checkmark$ | Dble | Pass | Pass |
| 24 | $3 \&$ | All Pass |  |

The same ugly redouble, but this time West ran. East led the queen of spades and declarer won and played a heart for the jack
and ace. East switched to a low diamond and West won the king and played the queen of hearts. Declarer won, pitching a spade, and could now have made the contract by playing the ace of clubs and a club. However, he played a diamond and East won and played the eight of hearts, ruffed by the nine and over-ruffed by the king. West returned the jack of spades for East to ruff. Back came the four of hearts and declarer discarded a diamond from dummy, West ruffing. The contract was two down for -200. A very useful 12 IMPs for Sweden, restricting Italy to a 16 -I4VP victory. The race for first place would continue!

On the last board, great things happened in the Sweden-Italy match you have read, but in the other featured match there also was a swing:
Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sebbane | De Wijs | Thuillez | Muller |
| Pass | Pass | $1 \otimes$ | Dble |
| $1 \otimes$ | $2 \dot{2}$ | $2 \diamond$ | Pass |
| $2 \nabla$ | Pass | Pass | $3 \dot{2}$ |

## All Pass

Lead: Q . Declarer, Simon de Wijs, won and played a heart to the jack and ace. East continued the $\boldsymbol{j}$, covered by the queen. That won the trick when West withheld his king. A low club went to dummy's eight and East's ten, and East now played a diamond to partner's ace and got a diamond back to his king. When the next heart return produced the $\wp \mathbf{Q}$ from West, the hand was over. Declarer simply drew the last trump and played on hearts to get rid of his remaining losers. Just made, Netherlands +IIO.

## Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jansma | Multon | Verhees | Palau |
| 2. | All Pass |  |  |

Once again, the timing of the opening pre-empt proved decisive. Left alone in 24, Jansma had no trouble in coming to his required eight tricks for another +110 to the Netherlands.

The 6 IMPs from these last few deals added on to the 42 already in the kitty to make the final score a convincing win, 48-19 in IMPs or 21-9 in VP.

## PRIZE-GIVING CEREMONY

The Prize-Giving Ceremony will be held in the vugraph room at 7.30 p.m. on Saturday, 3rd July.

## IMPORTANT INFORMATION FOR PHOTOGRAPHERS

As the space between the stage and the first row of the stalls is very narrow, we are obliged to ask photographers to please note the following:

- The right and left hand sides of the first two rows are reserved for them.
- Photographers may approach the stage to take photographs ONLY after the winners' anthem has been played. - Photographers must return to their seats immediately after players leave the podium.
- This procedure will be repeated for all series.

Please follow this procedure to help our organisation of the Ceremony.

## Nobody Rings a Bell

Just imagine how easy bridge would be for all concerned if a bell were to sound every time a critical moment was reached. West would certainly have been grateful on this deal from the Round 6 match between Germany \& Russia.

Board 6. Dealer East. E/W Vul.


| West | North <br> Petrunin <br> Wladow | East <br> Gromov <br> Pass | South <br> Elinescu <br> $2 \Upsilon^{*}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pass | Pass | Dble | All Pass |

West was more than happy to pass his partner's reopening double, but it requires a very careful defence to defeat Two Hearts. The first move was easy, as West led the ace of clubs.The appearance of the dummy made it clear that West must prevent diamond ruffs, even at the cost of some potential trump tricks, so West switched to the ace followed by the nine of hearts. Declarer won in hand and played three rounds of spades, first discarding his losing club and then ruffing the third round.

When declarer advanced the ace of diamonds another critical point had been reached. It is essential for West to retain the three of diamonds in order to retain communications with East. When he failed to do so declarer was home. After cashing his other diamond winner he exited with a diamond and in due course West was forced to ruff one of his partner's winners and lead into the trump tenace.

## Don't miss Deauville 2004

 DEAUVILLE|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Open Pairs |  |  |  |
| 15-18 July | 4 Sessions |  |  |
| Teams |  |  |  |
| 19-21 July | 3 Sessions |  |  |
| Mixed Pairs | 23-25 July | 3 Sessions |  |

Contact: 0033 | 45209806

## Better to Know the Right-Hand Opponent's Shape <br> by Tommy Gullberg

On the below hand Belgium had an uncontested auction that ended in 5 .
"A great triumph for relay bidding methods" noted Daniel Auby, who takes great pleasure from relay auctions. "South will learn that North's distribution is 2-4-2-5 with neither the queen of spades nor the ace of clubs but will anyway be able to bid the small slam with full confidence."
(Hands rotated)
Board 2. Dealer East. E/W Vul.

- 14
$\triangleright 8753$
$\diamond 43$
- 108762

. AK 6
$\bigcirc$ A
$\diamond A K Q J 10872$
\& K
"But how do you propose to make the contract?" I asked him.
"A spade ruff in dummy will provide the twelfth trick" claimed Auby.

Not entirely correct as the spades broke six-two. Sture Ekberg, on the Swedish Senior team, was aware of this after the following auction:

| West | North <br> B $\AA$ Jansson | East |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2 \wedge^{*}$ | Pass | $2 \diamond^{*}$ |
| All Pass |  |  |

$2 \diamond$ was Multi and 2 pass or correct.


Sture Ekberg, Sweden

Declarer received a trump lead and realised that he could not ruff a spade in dummy. Sture found an alternative line and cashed all his red-suit winners, hoping that East would have six to the queen of spades and ace, queen, jack of clubs. The end position became, East's discards including the queen and jack of clubs:


East is thrown in on the ace of clubs and must give declarer a spade trick. Best defence is to block the spade suit by returning the queen of spades but this does not help as the ten of clubs is a master.

Sture Ekberg's line of play should, however, have failed on the actual lay of the cards


East did, luckily for declarer, run into problems over what to discard. He failed to realise that South had three spades and had not attempted to ruff a spade in dummy. Consequently, he discarded all his spades and kept his clubs and hearts.

## Menu 29 June 2004

Lunch, served between II. 30 and 15.00
Chicken leg with cream sauce and cucumber $\quad 70 \mathrm{Skr}$
Vegetarian: Nudelwok with veggiebit 70 Skr
Dinner, served between 16.30 and $20.00 \quad 60$ Skr
A selection of herring
A selection of herring
Pearl fish with shell fish filling in white wine sauce
and potato wedges
125 Skr
Minute steak with feta cheese stuffing, olive sauce
and potato wedges
125 Skr
Pasta Frutti di Mari 85 Skr
Swedish meatballs with cream sauce and
lingon berries
80 Skr
Cold dishes
60 Skr

## The Swedish Pairs Championship <br> by Tjolpe Flodqvist

The Swedish Pairs Championship final got under way on Monday morning. The winners of the qualification, Thomas Magnusson and Frederic Wrang, had a good start and led the field after sixteen boards - well ahead of another favourite pair Håkan Nilsson and Ulf Nilsson.

I 542 67,8 Fred.Wrang - Th. Magnusson
2496 62,0 Gunnar Elmroth - Leif Trapp
3483 60,4 Håkan Nilsson - Ulf Nilsson
4480 60,0 Fredrik Björnlund - T. Karlsson Anderstorps BK-BK Centrum
5449 56, I Leif Näslund - Leif Sundqvist Sallyhills BK
6447 55,9 Kjell Ericsson - Daniel Sivelind Granärde BS
447 55,9 B-O Johansson - A. Blomqvist Anderstorps BK
447 55,9 Kjell Jansson - Mattias Jonsson Bro-Bålsta Bridgeklubb
9446 55,8 Anna Zack - Henrik Einarsson Malungs BK
446 55,8 Bo Roos - Magnus Göransson Skara BS
Board 4 was a nasty one for anyone that got to declare a trump contract.

The deal has been rotated for convenience Board 4. Dealer East. All Vul.

- 10962

Q 1095
$\diamond$ KJ2
\& K 2

| 1- | N | - J7543 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc$ AKJ 8 |  | $\bigcirc 7642$ |
| $\checkmark$ A 9854 | W E | $\checkmark 10$ |
| - QJ 84 | S | -963 |
|  | - AKQ 8 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 3$ |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ Q 763 |  |
|  | * A 1075 |  |

Bengt-Erik Efraimsson in the North seat bid a tad too ambitiously.

| West | North <br> Anders <br> Morath | East | South <br> Bengt-Erik <br> Efraimsson |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pass* | 18 | Pass | $1 \diamond$ |
| Dble | $2 \&$ | Pass | $1 \$$ |
| Pass | $4 \infty$ | Pass | $3 \&$ |

West waited for a long time over $ا \diamond$ for the, in these modern days, almost compulsory alert, but $\mathrm{I} \diamond$ happened to be an entirely natural opening bid. When West subsequently doubled 14. for take-out and North was satisfied with 24, South really should pass, since there are two strong indications of bad breaks.

West led the ace of hearts against four spades, then continued with the ace of diamonds and another diamond for East to ruff. The heart return made South lose two more tricks for -200 and $6 / 50 \mathrm{MPs}$, whilst I 10 would have been worth 29 .

Board 6. Dealer East. E/W Vul.

$$
\pm A 6
$$

® J 3
$\diamond$ AK 732
\& 10543
$\Leftrightarrow 1842$
$\diamond Q$
$\diamond Q 10864$
$\Leftrightarrow Q 87$

-K 97
K 107542
$\diamond$ J 95

* 9
\& Q 1053
คA 986
$\diamond-$ \& AKJ62
West
Mårten
Gustawsson
North
Bengt-Erik
Efraimsson

| Pass | 2 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Pass | $4 \dot{2}$ |
| Pass | Pass |


| East | South |
| :---: | :---: |
| Tommy | Anders |
| Strindfors | Morath |
| $1 \nabla^{*}$ | $2 \%$ |
| Pass | $2 \mu$ |
| Pass | $5 \%$ |
| Pass |  |

East's I $\downarrow$ was a systematic and natural but weak opening. West led the queen of hearts to the ace and two rounds of trumps were followed by a low heart towards the jack. West did not want to weaken his spade holding, so he discarded a diamond. East won the king but was endplayed. Either a heart or a spade return would help declarer to avoid a spade loser, so East had to return a diamond.

But after West's diamond pitch it was an easy matter for declarer to establish dummy's fifth diamond and his eleventh trick. There were a couple of 430 s as well as some other 400 s , most likely in three no trumps, worth $34 / 50$ MPs.

Board IO. Dealer East. All Vul.

## - AKQ 8752

$\checkmark A$
$\diamond$ —
\& K J 1042

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { - } 9 \\
& \bigcirc \text { K J } 109 \\
& \diamond \text { QJIO } 975 \\
& \text { \& } \mathrm{A} 8
\end{aligned}
$$

| West | North |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anders | East <br> Thomas <br> Morath | South <br> Magnusson | Sfraimsson <br> Frederic |
| Wrang |  |  |  |

North's hand is by no means easy to bid, but Thomas and Frederic cooperated nicely. $4 \diamond$ was a transfer to spades and 54 invitational with no worries about first-round controls. Frederic would probably have found the raise even without the ace of diamonds, which gave some sort of moral support though.

Twelve pairs bid this slam for 39/50 MPs and the rest, but one 170 , scored 680 and I4MPs.

After 32 boards last year's champions, Fredrik Björnlund and Tomas Karlsson, had moved up on the leader board.

| I | 990 | 61,9 | Frederic Wrang - T. Magnusson | Näsby BS |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | 980 | 61,3 | Fredrik Biörnlund - T.Karlsson | Anderstorps BK |
| 3 | 963 | 60,2 | Anna Zack - Henrik Einarsson | Malungs BK |
| 4 | 949 | 59,3 | Håkan Nilsson - Ulf Nilsson | Anderstorps BK |
| 5 | 928 | 58,0 | Bo Roos - Magnus G?ansson | Skara BS |
| 6 | 915 | 57,2 | Gunnar Elmroth - Leeif Trapp | Ostersunds BS |
| 7 | 905 | 56,6 | Leif Näslund - Leif Sundqvist | Sallyhills BK |
| 8 | 903 | 56,4 | Dick Martinsson - P. Nuoristo | Salamandern/Wasa |
| 9 | 89 | 55,7 | B-E Efraimsson - A. Morath | BK S:t Erik |
| 10 | 885 | 55,3 | Mart Altmäe - Mikael Lindblom | BK S:t Erik |

The deal has been rotated for convenience
Board 37. Dealer East. E/W Vul.
43
ค 1085432
$\diamond 10642$
\& Q 7

| - Q 8652 | N | - A 1074 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc$ - |  | $\bigcirc$ - |
| $\diamond$ KJ 7 | W E | $\diamond 9853$ |
| - KJ65 | S | - A 10842 |
|  | - KJ 9 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ AKQJ976 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ A Q |  |
|  | -9 |  |


| West | North <br> Ulf Nilsson | East | South <br> Håkan Nilsson |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1 \Phi$ | Pass | Pass | $1 \mathbf{Q N}^{*}$ |
| Pass | Pass | Pass | 58 |

E/W quickly bid to Four Spades after the strong le opening. Håkan decided to bid his hearts and since E/W can make five spades that was certainly a correct view. After a spade to the ace and a muddy diamond return to the queen and king, West didn't consider a club switch, so he returned a spade when a diamond would have been equally disastrous. East should have cashed the ace of clubs at trick two.

450 was worth $48 / 50$ MPs compared with 23 for one down. Only one pair bid on to five spades for 750 and all the matchpoints.

On the next board it was Ulf who got some help to make his game contract.

The deal has been rotated for convenience
Board 38. Dealer North. N/S Vul.

|  | - J 96 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 8 K 2 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ K 104 |  |  |
|  | \& Q 10865 |  |  |
| 4 3 | N |  | - K 2 |
| $\bigcirc$ Q 10765 |  |  | -AJ983 |
| $\diamond$ A 8 |  | E | $\diamond$ J976 |
| *KJ972 | $S \quad \div 43$ |  |  |
|  | - A Q 108754 |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 4$ |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ Q 532 |  |  |
|  | \& A |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
|  | Håkan |  | Ulf |
|  | Pass | Pass | $4{ }^{1}$ |
| Pass | Pass | Pass |  |

West led a heart to the two and a slow jack and East tried the ace as well. Ulf ruffed and unblocked the ace of clubs before he sensibly enough played the ace of spades and another spade to East. For some unknown reason, East now returned a diamond and declarer could show his cards.

He could of course have led a diamond to the king and taken the spade finesse, but that looks very much to put all the eggs in the same basket, including a lucky foot work in diamonds and finding the spade king guarded on side.

Making was worth 42/50 MPs whilst one down would have given 17.

Ulf had another tough decision to make in the next round.
The deal has been rotated for convenience
Board 40. Dealer East. None Vul.

- QJ 63
©K965
$\diamond$ J643
$+\mathrm{A}$

| - 4 |  |  | - A 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\bigcirc$ Q 842 |
| $\checkmark 72$ W |  |  | $\checkmark$ Q 85 |
| * KQJ | 2 |  | -9876 |
|  | - K 109875 |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc{ }^{\text {J }} 7$ |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ AK 109 |  |  |
|  | \& 5 |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Per | Ulf | Gunnar | Håkan |
| Bretz | Nilsson | Sjökvist | Nilsson |
|  |  | Pass | $1{ }^{1}$ |
| 4* | 4. | 5* | Pass |
| Pass | 5 | All pass |  |

[^0]tion", Håkan said afterwards, but not to worry. Ulf thought that with hopefully no club values in partner's hand they could attempt five spades with nine trumps.

With the ace of hearts and the queen of diamonds onside Håkan soon enough wrapped up eleven tricks after the lead of the king of clubs, for 26 MPs when 300 in five clubs would have given them a mere 2.

The art of drawing inferences from a psychological line of reasoning may be very rewarding - or very costly if you fail to read the situation correctly.

The deal has been rotated for convenience Board 4I. Dealer South. E/W Vul.

|  | ¢ K 82 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc$ Q 7 |  |  |
|  | $\diamond$ AK J 875 |  |  |
|  | Q Q 4 |  |  |
| ¢ J 43 | N | -109 |  |
| ¢J9863 |  | $\bigcirc$ A 10 |  |
| $\diamond$ Q 32 |  | $\checkmark 1064$ |  |
| 976 | S |  | \& AK 10985 |
| , AQ 765 |  |  |  |
| ¢ K 542 |  |  |  |
| $\diamond 9$ |  |  |  |
| \% J 32 |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Håkan | Mats | UIf | Jan |
| Nilsson | Pettersson | Nilsson | Becklén |
|  |  |  | 14 |
| Pass | $2 \diamond *$ | Pass | $2 \checkmark$ |
| Pass | 29 | Pass | 3NT |
| All Pass |  |  |  |



Ulf Nillson, Sweden
$2 \triangleleft$ was game forcing and South tried to express a minimum opening with some sort of club stopper with his jump to 3NT. He probably expected North to correct to 4s with three-card support, but that did not happen.

Håkan led the seven of clubs and when Ulf played the king then ace and another club, one might think it was a better chance to find him with the ace of hearts than the queen of diamonds. A diamond finesse would have given him the rest of the tricks for 48 MPs whilst a heart to the ace and two down meant a complete zero.

Aggressiveness may cost a fortune, but must still be the guideline in a pairs competition.

The deal has been rotated for convenience
Board 42. Dealer South. All Vul.
495
$\bigcirc 5$
$\diamond$ Q J
2KJ98732

$\pm A J$


A 843
$\diamond$ A 1073
\& Q 64

- K Q 642
$Q$ Q 962
$\diamond 64$
2 A 5

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mats | Ulf | Jan | Håkan <br> 14 |
| Pass | $2 Q$ | Pass | Pass |
| Dble | Pass | $3 \checkmark$ | Pass |
| Pass | $3 Q$ | Dble | All pass |

The ten of clubs went to the jack and a heart to the ace. A club ruff was followed by a diamond to the ace and a diamond back, rather than the king and a diamond to the ace, for a heart return through declarer. Anyway, West should have played another diamond rather than the ten of hearts.

South should really have run the heart to his queen, but he ruffed and played the king of clubs, pitching a heart. West ruffed and could now have played his last spade for 800 and 49MPs, but he returned the king of hearts for 500 and a mere 41 .

The negative doubles and the art of reopening nowadays don't seem to have any limits.

Board 44. Dealer West. N/S Vul.

- AQ9 3

ค J 9853
$\diamond 2$
\& K Q 8
$\stackrel{\wedge}{ } K 10872$
$\diamond Q$
$\diamond K 7$
$\& A J 976$


Q 4
VK 107642
$\diamond$ A 53
\& 542

| West | North | East <br> Håkan | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ulf | $2 \triangleleft$ | Pass | Pass |
| 1s | $2 \vee$ | Pass | 3 |
| Dble | Pass | Pass |  |
| Pass | Pass |  |  |

One would think that if you make overcalls on such suits as North's, you should be able to retreat to diamonds with the South hand, either with a natural $3 \triangleleft$ or even more clever with a transfer to diamonds by bidding 3e.

As it happens it's correct that two hearts would not have made, but three diamonds made in comfort with an overtrick, when the defence missed the spade ruff. One down in Two Hearts would have netted E/W 44 MPs, but Three Diamonds with an overtrick still gave them 21!

Ulf found a rewarding line of play here.
Board 47. Dealer South. N/S Vul.
4. K 643

- 632
$\triangleleft$ Q 1085
A 6

| \& Q 107 | N |  | ¢ J 982 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc$ Q 9 |  |  | $\bigcirc$ AJ 105 |
| $\diamond$ K 76 |  |  | $\diamond$ - |
| \& K 9 | S |  | 9107542 |
|  | ¢ A 5 |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc \mathrm{K} 8$ |  |  |
|  | $\diamond$ AJ |  |  |
|  | \& Q J |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
|  | Håkan |  | UIf |
|  |  |  | INT |
| Pass | 2\% | Pass | $2 \bigcirc$ |
| Pass | 2NT | Pass | 3NT |
| Pass | Pass | Pass |  |

West led a diamond to the ten and when East threw a small club it induced Ulf to play the ace of clubs and a club to the eight and king. East's club might have asked for a heart return, since West tried the queen of hearts. This was ducked and after the ace of hearts and another, Ulf simply gave up a diamond to the king and claimed nine tricks.

Obviously it would have been better for the defence to attack spades after the king of clubs. Making three no trumps gave N/S 46/50 MPs instead of 14 for one down.

After three times 16 boards the leader board looked like this:

[^1]
## Anna Järup, NPC Swedish women

Is it windy on the top?
It is, but it is great fun to be there. The team is playing well; they are skilled and support each other. Those things are necessary if you want to stay on the top.
Did you believe that the team would do this well in the first half of the tournament? Already two years ago I said that we
 were going to collect a medal in Malmö. The difficult thing about this tournament is the schedule, with only two matches per day two out of three pairs will not play more than twenty boards. It makes it more difficult to get into the rhythm and focus on playing winning bridge. But it is the same for all teams and our girls do really know how to prepare themselves.
How is the mood in the team?
Excellent, we do make sure that we have a fun time together. Everyone is very supportive to each other and no one does give up until the last card has been played. This team does really know the importance of being fully focused on all twenty boards and not relax when eighteen or nineteen boards have been played. I believe that one should prepare oneself for playing twenty-two boards and be a bit surprised when the match was only twenty boards.

Is there something that you are especially pleased with?
The comeback in the match against France, losing 9-2I and coming back to 15 -15 on the last boards shows the spirit of this team. They never give up. We had one bad day when we collected 9 and 10 VPs , but we just said "That was our bad day, let us not have another."
Will you stay top until the end?
We do intend to. What we will definitely do is our utmost to remain there. It might be that we by the end of the day are unlucky, but we are definitely not going to leave Malmö with a feeling that we did not do all that we could do.



[^0]:    "Maybe I should have bid one more time with a 6-4 distribu-

[^1]:    I 1480 6I,7 Frederic Wrang - T. Magnusson Näsby BS
    21446 60,3 Håkan Nilsson - Ulf Nilsson Anderstorps BK
    31412 58,8 Anna Zack - Henrik Einarsson Malungs BK
    4 I405 58,5 Dick Martinsson - P. Nuoristo Salamandern/Wasa
    5 I394 58,I Gunnar Elmroth - Leif Trapp Östersunds BS
    6 I392 58,0 F. Björnlund -T. Karlsson Anderstorps BK-BK Centrum
    7 I333 55,5 Håkan Strääf - J-E Thomasson Filbyter Bridge
    8 I329 55,4 Petter Fryklund - Mats Sjöberg Filbyter Bridge
    9 I326 55,3 Bo Roos - Magnus Göransson Skara BS
    IO 1280 53,3 Kjell Ericsson - Daniel Sivelind Grangärde BS

