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## BATTLE FOR BRONZE



The guard of honour at Wednesday's reception given by the Town of Malmö
With Italy virtually certain of gold and Sweden silver, attention focuses on the fight for third place. It promises to be a terrific fight between, Russia, Netherlands, Poland, and England.

## Terrific Finish in Prospect

As the Women's event reaches its conclusion, two separate issues will be decided; the destination of the title and medals, which lies between Netherlands, Sweden, France and Austria, and the struggle for the final Venice Cup spot, which involves Germany \& Israel.

## Pace Setters

Germany and Denmark lead the race for the Senior Championship, and are followed by a resurgent Polish team who won all three of their matches yesterday. Israel are dominating the $B$ final, having amassed 68/75 VP yesterday.

## Today's VuGraph Matches

## OPEN TEAMS - Round 30

England v Israel
10.30

## SENIOR TEAMS FINAL A - Round 5

Denmark y France
14.15

OPEN TEAMS - Round 32
Poland v Turkey
17.40

## PRIZE-GIVING CEREMONY

The Prize-Giving Ceremony will be held in the vugraph room at 7.30 p.m. on Saturday, 3rd July.

IMPORTANT INFORMATION FOR PHOTOGRAPHERS
As the space between the stage and the first row of the stalls is very narrow, we are obliged to ask photographers to please note the following:

- The right and left hand sides of the first two rows are reserved for them.
- Photographers may approach the stage to take photographs ONLY after the winners' anthem has been played.
- Photographers must return to their seats immediately after players leave the podium.
- This procedure will be repeated for all series.

Please follow this procedure to help our organisation of the Ceremony.
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BAIDGE

OPEN TEAMS RESULTS

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | ROUND 27 |  |  |
|  | Home Team | Visiting Team | IMPs | VPs |
| I | RUSSIA | IRELAND | $35-44$ | $13-17$ |
| 2 | BYE | PORTUGAL |  | $0-18$ |
| 3 | ITALY | SAN MARINO | $78-32$ | $24-6$ |
| 4 | FAROE ISL. | CZECH REP. | $54-37$ | $19-11$ |
| 5 | ISRAEL | DENMARK | $24-63$ | $7-23$ |
| 6 | NORWAY | FRANCE | $40-44$ | $14-16$ |
| 7 | TURKEY | ICELAND | $50-54$ | $14-16$ |
| 8 | SPAIN | ENGLAND | $47-61$ | $12-18$ |
| 9 | SCOTLAND | GERMANY | $66-26$ | $23-7$ |
| IO | SWITZERLAND | HUNGARY | $66-42$ | $20-10$ |
| II | SWEDEN | GREECE | $71-34$ | $23-7$ |
| I2 | CROATIA | POLAND | $62-35$ | $21-9$ |
| I3 | FINLAND | AUSTRIA | $35-35$ | $15-15$ |
| I4 | LITHUANIA | BULGARIA | $47-57$ | $13-17$ |
| I5 | NETHERLANDS | LATVIA | $46-22$ | $20-10$ |
| I6 | BELGIUM | ROMANIA | $46-29$ | $19-11$ |
| I7 | WALES | SERBIA/MONT. | $52-26$ | $20-10$ |


| ROUND 28 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Home Team | Visiting Team | IMPs | VPs |
| 1 | PORTUGAL | RUSSIA | 48-60 | 13-17 |
| 2 | SAN MARINO | BYE |  | 18-0 |
| 3 | CZECH REP. | ITALY | 31-54 | 10-20 |
| 4 | SERBIA/MONT. | FAROE ISL. | 70-56 | 18-12 |
| 5 | DENMARK | IRELAND | 86-30 | 25-4 |
| 6 | FRANCE | ISRAEL | 57-43 | 18-12 |
| 7 | ICELAND | NORWAY | 26-38 | 13-17 |
| 8 | ENGLAND | TURKEY | 51-42 | 17-13 |
| 9 | GERMANY | SPAIN | 81-28 | 25-5 |
| 10 | HUNGARY | SCOTLAND | 44-51 | 14-16 |
| 11 | GREECE | SWITZERLAND | 39-62 | 10-20 |
| 12 | POLAND | SWEDEN | 37-59 | 10-20 |
| 13 | AUSTRIA | CROATIA | 41-68 | 9-21 |
| 14 | BULGARIA | FINLAND | 49-42 | 16-14 |
| 15 | LATVIA | LITHUANIA | 53-60 | 14-16 |
| 16 | ROMANIA | NETHERLANDS | 27-64 | 7-23 |
| 17 | WALES | BELGIUM | 24-105 | 0-25 |


| ROUND 29 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Home Team | Visiting Team | IMPs | VPs |
| 1 | RUSSIA | SAN MARINO | 53-33 | 19-11 |
| 2 | BYE | CZECH REP. |  | 0-18 |
| 3 | ITALY | FAROE ISL. | 55-44 | 17-13 |
| 4 | PORTUGAL | DENMARK | 51-47 | 16-14 |
| 5 | IRELAND | FRANCE | 15-35 | 11-19 |
| 6 | ISRAEL | ICELAND | 23-36 | 12-18 |
| 7 | NORWAY | ENGLAND | 17-46 | 9-21 |
| 8 | TURKEY | GERMANY | 61-41 | 19-11 |
| 9 | SPAIN | HUNGARY | 28-52 | 10-20 |
| 10 | SCOTLAND | GREECE | 52-16 | 22-8 |
| 11 | SWITZERLAND | POLAND | 17-41 | 10-20 |
| 12 | SWEDEN | AUSTRIA | 56-47 | 17-13 |
| 13 | CROATIA | BULGARIA | 40-66 | 10-20 |
| 14 | FINLAND | LATVIA | 25-14 | 17-13 |
| 15 | LITHUANIA | ROMANIA | 26-46 | 11-19 |
| 16 | NETHERLANDS | WALES | 33-19 | 18-12 |
| 17 | BELGIUM | SERBIA/MONT. | 45-62 | 11-17 |

## OPEN TEAMS PROGRAM

| ROUND 30 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Table |  | Home Team | Visiting Team |
| 1 | CZECH REP. | RUSSIA |  |
| 2 | FAROE ISL. | BYE |  |
| 3 | SERBIA/MONTENEGRO | ITALY |  |
| 4 | DENMARK | SAN MARINO |  |
| 5 | FRANCE | PORTUGAL |  |
| 6 | ICELAND | IRELAND |  |
| 7 | ENGLAND | ISRAEL |  |
| 8 | GERMANY | NORWAY |  |
| 9 | HUNGARY | TURKEY |  |
| 10 | GREECE | SPAIN |  |
| 11 | POLAND | SCOTLAND |  |
| 12 | AUSTRIA | SWITZERLAND |  |
| 13 | BULGARIA | SWEDEN |  |
| 14 | LATVIA | CROATIA |  |
| 15 | ROMANIA | FINLAND |  |
| 16 | WALES | LITHUANIA |  |
| 17 | BELGIUM | NETHERLANDS |  |


| ROUND 3 I |  |  |  | I4.15 |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Table | Home Team | Visiting Team |  |  |
| 1 | RUSSIA | FAROE ISL. |  |  |
| 2 | BYE | ITALY |  |  |
| 3 | CZECH REP. | DENMARK |  |  |
| 4 | SAN MARINO | FRANCE |  |  |
| 5 | PORTUGAL | ICELAND |  |  |
| 6 | IRELAND | ENGLAND |  |  |
| 7 | ISRAEL | GERMANY |  |  |
| 8 | NORWAY | HUNGARY |  |  |
| 9 | TURKEY | GREECE |  |  |
| 10 | SPAIN | POLAND |  |  |
| 11 | SCOTLAND | AUSTRIA |  |  |
| 12 | SWITZERLAND | BULGARIA |  |  |
| 13 | SWEDEN | LATVIA |  |  |
| 14 | CROATIA | ROMANIA |  |  |
| 15 | FINLAND | WALES |  |  |
| 16 | LITHUANIA | BELGIUM |  |  |
| 17 | NETHERLANDS | SERBIAMONTENEGRO |  |  |


| ROUND 32 |  |  |  | 17.40 |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Table | Home Team | Visiting Team |  |  |
| 1 | ITALY | RUSSIA |  |  |
| 2 | SERBIA/MONTENEGRO | BYE |  |  |
| 3 | DENMARK | FAROE ISL. |  |  |
| 4 | FRANCE | CZECH REP. |  |  |
| 5 | ICELAND | SAN MARINO |  |  |
| 6 | ENGLAND | PORTUGAL |  |  |
| 7 | GERMANY | IRELAND |  |  |
| 8 | HUNGARY | ISRAEL |  |  |
| 9 | GREECE | NORWAY |  |  |
| 10 | POLAND | TURKEY |  |  |
| 11 | AUSTRIA | SPAIN |  |  |
| 12 | BULGARIA | SCOTLAND |  |  |
| 13 | LATVIA | SWITZERLAND |  |  |
| 14 | ROMANIA | SWEDEN |  |  |
| 15 | WALES | CROATIA |  |  |
| 16 | BELGIUM | FINLAND |  |  |
| 17 | NETHERLANDS | LITHUANIA |  |  |

## WOMEN'S TEAMS RESULTS

| ROUND I8 |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Home Team | Visiting Team | IMPs | VPs |
| 21 | SWEDEN | GERMANY | $30-45$ | $12-18$ |
| 22 | TURKEY | ENGLAND | $48-42$ | $16-14$ |
| 23 | FAROE ISL. | DENMARK | $36-122$ | $0-25$ |
| 24 | NETHERLANDS | FRANCE | $65-33$ | $22-8$ |
| 25 | CZECH REP. | LEBANON | $56-46$ | $17-13$ |
| 26 | ITALY | SCOTLAND | $60-57$ | $16-14$ |
| 27 | FINLAND | IRELAND | $68-59$ | $17-13$ |
| 28 | SPAIN | ISRAEL | $14-86$ | $2-25$ |
| 29 | CROATIA | NORWAY | $19-37$ | $11-19$ |
| 30 | POLAND | ICELAND | $39-7$ | $22-8$ |
| 31 | AUSTRIA | HUNGARY | $78-42$ | $22-8$ |


|  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | ---: |
|  | ROUND I9 |  |  |  |
|  | Home Team | Visiting Team | IMPs | VPs |
| 21 | GERMANY | TURKEY | $51-37$ | $18-12$ |
| 22 | ENGLAND | DENMARK | $48-1$ | $24-6$ |
| 23 | SWEDEN | NETHERLANDS | $47-47$ | $15-15$ |
| 24 | FRANCE | CZECH REP. | $48-23$ | $20-10$ |
| 25 | LEBANON | ITALY | $31-97$ | $2-25$ |
| 26 | SCOTLAND | FINLAND | $22-34$ | $13-17$ |
| 27 | IRELAND | SPAIN | $20-42$ | $10-20$ |
| 28 | ISRAEL | CROATIA | $58-52$ | $16-14$ |
| 29 | NORWAY | POLAND | $35-47$ | $13-17$ |
| 30 | ICELAND | AUSTRIA | $24-55$ | $9-21$ |
| 31 | HUNGARY | FAROE ISL. | $82-39$ | $24-6$ |

## WOMEN'S TEAMS PROGRAM

| ROUND 20 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Table | Home Team | Visiting Team |
| 21 | DENMARK | GERMANY |
| 22 | FAROE ISL. | ENGLAND |
| 23 | NETHERLANDS | TURKEY |
| 24 | CZECH REP. | SWEDEN |
| 25 | ITALY | FRANCE |
| 26 | FINLAND | LEBANON |
| 27 | SPAIN | SCOTLAND |
| 28 | CROATIA | IRELAND |
| 29 | POLAND | ISRAEL |
| 30 | AUSTRIA | NORWAY |
| 31 | HUNGARY | ICELAND |


| ROUND 2 I |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Table | Home Team | Visiting Team |
| 21 | GERMANY | TURKEY |
| 22 | ENGLAND | DENMARK |
| 23 | SWEDEN | NETHERLANDS |
| 24 | FRANCE | CZECH REP. |
| 25 | LEBANON | ITALY |
| 26 | SCOTLAND | FINLAND |
| 27 | IRELAND | SPAIN |
| 28 | ISRAEL | CROATIA |
| 29 | NORWAY | POLAND |
| 30 | ICELAND | AUSTRIA |
| 31 | HUNGARY | FAROE ISL. |

## Victory Banquet

May we remind you that the Victory Banquet (wine, water, coffee included) will be held in Hall B at 8.30 p.m. on Saturday 3rd July immediately after the PrizeGiving Ceremony.
There will be a show during the Banquet.
A bar will also be open in the Banquet room, serving drinks from 40 Skr .

## Press Conference

Gianarrigo Rona, President of the European Bridge League, José Damiani, President of the World Bridge Federation, Panos Gerontopoulos, Liaison Officer of the European Bridge League and Patrick Jourdain, President of the International Bridge Press Association, will hold a press conference today at 10.45 in the VIP Lounge on the first floor.

## Estoril 2005



Note on your agenda the

## WORLD TRANSNATIONAL OPEN TEAMS

31 October - 5 November 2005

## 15 Rounds of 10 boards followed by

 quarter-finals, semi-finals and finalFor more details go to the World Bridge Federation website at www.worldbridge.org

## SENIOR TEAMS RESULTS FINAL A

|  | ROUND I |  | 10.30 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Home Team | Visiting Team | IMPs | VPs |
| 41 | GERMANY | NETHERLANDS | 39-43 | 14-16 |
| 42 | DENMARK | SWEDEN | 15-42 | 9-21 |
| 43 | FRANCE | ENGLAND | 45-26 | 19-11 |
| 44 | ITALY | POLAND | 23-46 | 10-20 |
|  | ROUND 2 |  | 14.15 |  |
|  | Home Team | Visiting Team | IMPs | VPs |
| 41 | SWEDEN | GERMANY | 42-39 | 16-14 |
| 42 | NETHERLANDS | DENMARK | 13-57 | 5-25 |
| 43 | POLAND | FRANCE | 74-10 | 25-2 |
| 44 | ENGLAND | ITALY | 40-33 | 16-14 |


|  |  | ROUND 3 |  | 17.40 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Home Team | Visiting Team | IMPs | VPs |
| 41 | GERMANY | ENGLAND | $34-19$ | $18-12$ |
| 42 | DENMARK | POLAND | $34-29$ | $16-14$ |
| 43 | FRANCE | NETHERLANDS | $52-6$ | $25-5$ |
| 44 | ITALY | SWEDEN | $33-28$ | $16-14$ |

## SENIOR TEAMS PROGRAM FINAL A

| ROUND 4 |  | 10.30 |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Table | Home Team | Visiting Team |
| 41 | POLAND | GERMANY |
| 42 | ENGLAND | DENMARK |
| 43 | SWEDEN | FRANCE |
| 44 | NETHERLANDS | ITALY |


| ROUND 5 |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Table | Home Team | Visiting Team |
| 41 | GERMANY | ITALY |
| 42 | DENMARK | FRANCE |
| 43 | POLAND | NETHERLANDS |
| 44 | ENGLAND | SWEDEN |


| ROUND 6 |  | I7.40 |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Table | Home Team | Visiting Team |
| 41 | FRANCE | GERMANY |
| 42 | ITALY | DENMARK |
| 43 | SWEDEN | POLAND |
| 44 | NETHERLANDS | ENGLAND |

## SENIOR TEAMS RESULTS FINAL B

|  |  | ROUND I |  | I0.30 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Home Team | Visiting Team | IMPs | VPs |
| 45 | ISRAEL | IRELAND | $70-27$ | $25-5$ |
| 46 | NORWAY | WALES | $37-38$ | $15-15$ |
| 47 | CZECH REP. | SWITZERLAND | $39-41$ | $15-15$ |
| 48 | SCOTLAND | FINLAND | $32-44$ | $12-18$ |


|  |  | ROUND 2 |  | I4.I5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Home Team | Visiting Team | IMPs | VPs |  |
| 45 | WALES | ISRAEL | $18-76$ | $3-25$ |
| 46 | IRELAND | NORWAY | $10-90$ | $0-25$ |
| 47 | FINLAND | CZECH REP. | $34-59$ | $9-21$ |
| 48 | SWITZERLAND | SCOTLAND | $39-28$ | $17-13$ |


|  |  | ROUND 3 |  | 17.40 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | Home Team | Visiting Team | IMPs | VPs |
| 45 | ISRAEL | SWITZERLAND | $32-20$ | $18-12$ |
| 46 | NORWAY | FINLAND | $23-42$ | $9-19$ |
| 47 | CZECH REP. | IRELAND | $51-22$ | $22-8$ |
| 48 | SCOTLAND | WALES | $55-16$ | $24-6$ |

## SENIOR TEAMS PROGRAM FINAL B

| ROUND 4 |  | 10.30 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Table | Home Team | Visiting Team |
| 45 | FINLAND | ISRAEL |
| 46 | SWITZERLAND | NORWAY |
| 47 | WALES | CZECH REP. |
| 48 | IRELAND | SCOTLAND |


| ROUND 5 |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Table | Home Team | Visiting Team |
| 45 | ISRAEL | SCOTLAND |
| 46 | NORWAY | CZECH REP. |
| 47 | FINLAND | IRELAND |
| 48 | SWITZERLAND | WALES |


| ROUND 6 |  | I7.40 |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Table | Home Team | Visiting Team |
| 45 | CZECH REP. | ISRAEL |
| 46 | SCOTLAND | NORWAY |
| 47 | WALES | FINLAND |
| 48 | IRELAND | SWITZERLAND |


| OPEN TEAMS RANKING after 29 rounds |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | ITALY | 603.00 |
| 2 | SWEDEN | 539.50 |
| 3 | RUSSIA | 504.50 |
| 4 | NETHERLANDS | 504.00 |
| 5 | POLAND | 503.50 |
| 6 | ENGLAND | 502.00 |
| 7 | TURKEY | 488.00 |
| 8 | BELGIUM | 472.50 |
| 9 | GERMANY | 472.00 |
| 10 | FRANCE | 468.00 |
| 11 | ISRAEL | 460.00 |
| 12 | GREECE | 457.50 |
| 13 | FINLAND | 455.50 |
| 14 | ICELAND | 454.50 |
| 15 | DENMARK | 447.00 |
| 16 | BULGARIA | 438.50 |
| 17 | NORWAY | 437.00 |
| 18 | HUNGARY | 428.00 |
| 19 | AUSTRIA | 415.00 |
| 20 | SCOTLAND | 411.00 |
| 21 | CROATIA | 403.50 |
| 22 | WALES | 394.50 |
| 23 | SPAIN | 393.50 |
| 24 | LATVIA | 390.00 |
| 25 | SERBIA/MONTENEGRO | 389.00 |
| 26 | PORTUGAL | 388.50 |
| 27 | IRELAND | 387.50 |
| 28 | SWITZERLAND | 383.00 |
| 29 | ROMANIA | 370.00 |
| 30 | CZECH REP. | 347.00 |
| 31 | FAROE ISLANDS | 340.00 |
| 32 | LITUANIA | 339.50 |
| 33 | SAN MARINO | 270.50 |

WOMEN'S TEAMS RANKING after 19 rounds

| I | NETHERLANDS | 359.00 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | SWEDEN | 352.00 |
| 3 | FRANCE | 351.00 |
| 4 | AUSTRIA | 344.00 |
| 5 | ISRAEL | 326.00 |
| 6 | GERMANY | 323.00 |
| 7 | POLAND | 314.00 |
| 8 | ENGLAND | 310.00 |
| 9 | ITALY | 301.00 |
| 10 | FINLAND | 298.00 |
| II | NORWAY | 294.00 |
| 12 | TURKEY | 290.00 |
| 13 | SPAIN | 287.00 |
| 14 | DENMARK | 275.00 |
| 15 | CZECH REP. | 269.50 |
| 16 | IRELAND | 261.00 |
| 17 | SCOTLAND | 254.00 |
| 18 | HUNGARY | 221.00 |
| 19 | CROATIA | 187.00 |
| 20 | ICELAND | 175.00 |
| 21 | LEBANON | 159.00 |
| 22 | FAROE ISLANDS |  |

## SENIOR TEAMS RANKING FINAL A after 3 rounds

| I | DENMARK | 324.00 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | GERMANY | 324.00 |
| 3 | POLAND | 319.10 |
| 4 | FRANCE | 319.00 |
| 5 | SWEDEN | 309.00 |
| 6 | ITALY | 301.00 |
| 7 | ENGLAND | 299.00 |
| 8 | NETHERLANDS | 262.50 |

## SENIOR TEAMS RANKING FINAL B after 3 rounds

| I | ISRAEL | 300.00 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 2 | CZECH REP. | 265.50 |
| 3 | NORWAY | 265.00 |
| 4 | SCOTLAND | 246.40 |
| 5 | FINLAND | 227.00 |
| 6 | SWITZERLAND | 213.00 |
| 7 | WALES | 178.00 |
| 8 | IRELAND | 85.00 |

## OPEN TEAMS

## Round 26

## Bulgaria v The Netherlands by Jos Jacobs

The evening match on Wednesday's vugraph screen was the Bulgaria v Netherlands encounter. Bulgaria's new team here in Malmö have not done as well as they might have been hoping for, taking into account the reputation their national squad has built up for themselves over the last decade or so. On the other hand, the Netherlands badly needed every single VP they could get, as they are fighting it out with England and Turkey for the fifth ticket to Estoril.

From the technical point of view, a good and spectacular match was in sight, as both sides are feared for the unexpected things, either good or bad, they are able to conjure up at the table.

The match started exactly as the audience had hoped:
Board I. Dealer North. None Vul.

- KJIO
-Q1086
$\diamond \mathrm{K}$ Q J
\& 1042
- A Q 7642
- K 952
$\diamond$ A 6
- 7

- 853
$\bigcirc 4$
$\checkmark 98754$
KJ3


## Closed Room

| West | North <br> Zahariev | East <br> De Wijs | Karakolev |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | | South |
| :---: |
| Muller |

In the Closed Room, DeWijs' 4s sounded convincing enough to induce Karakolev to show his second suit by bidding 4NT.This time, the Bulgarian super-aggressive style did not come off, as 44 would have had no play at all. When $5 \&$ went two off, the Netherlands had conjured up +300 from almost nothing. Well done, just the start they wanted most.

Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jansma | Aronov | Verhees | Stefanov |
|  | 19 | 29 | 29 |
| 2NT | $4 \Phi$ | All Pass |  |

Jansma's 2NT worked out very well, as it suggested defensive values. Verhees wisely did not stick his neck out any further over 4. and the Dutch collected +50 here for 8 IMPs to open their account.

On the next board, both teams missed a chance:

Board 2. Dealer East. N/S Vul.

$\bigcirc$ Q 10832
$\diamond 87$
\& 7
$\pm 93$
คAKJ976
$\diamond$ Q J 2
95
$\bigcirc 54$
$\diamond 103$
\& A J 63
Closed Room


| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Zahariev | De Wijs | Karakolev <br> $I \diamond$ | Muller |
| Dble | $4 \triangleq$ | $5 \$$ | Dble |
| $5 \diamond$ | All Pass |  |  |

Open Room

| West <br> Jansma | North <br> Aronov |
| :---: | :---: |
| $2 \diamond$ | $4 乌$ |
| $5 \diamond$ | All Pass |

East
Verhees
$1 \diamond$
54
South
Stefanov
Is
Dble

The same contract was played in both rooms, South leading a top spade on which North played the queen. What now?

As trumps are 2-2, the contract will be made easily on a ruffing finesse against the J . Only the continuation of the and another for North to ruff beats the contract. We leave it to you to work out if South can find this line of defence. What card should North play at trick one to steer his partner in the right direction?

On vugraph, both Souths continued spades at trick two for no swing.

The Dutch picked up three more IMPs on overtricks on the next six boards to lead II-0 when we saw this:

Board 9. Dealer North. E/W Vul.
\& KJIO 862
$\checkmark$ A Q 8
$\diamond \mathrm{Q}$
\& 72
$\wedge A Q 543$
$\diamond K$
$\diamond 10762$
$\& A K 8$


VJ9765
$\diamond$ AK 43
\& Q 1096

- 97
- 10432
$\diamond$ J 985
\% J 54


## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Zahariev | De Wijs | Karakolev | Muller |
|  | IS | Dble | Pass |
| 24 | Dble | 34 | Pass |
| 3NT | Pass | $4 \bigcirc$ | Pass |
| 4NT | Pass | 5\% | Pass |

East made a light take-out double here, which should have seen them into the safe haven of 3NT very quickly. When West bid 24, rather than stressing his solid spade stopper straight away, the Bulgarians ran into trouble, as East's 34 was a good description of his distribution, but maybe not of the strength of his hand. As it happens, $5 \diamond$ can be made if declarer plays very carefully.

Simon de Wijs made the fine lead of the $\vee \mathrm{A}$ and switched to the $\diamond$ Q. Declarer won and ruffed a heart in dummy, ruffed a spade in hand and ruffed another heart with dummy's $\diamond 10$. As this gave South two trump tricks, the contract had to go one down now.

In fact, declarer was playing for a 3-2 break in trumps, which would have seen him through easily enough on this line.

But what about this variation? $\vee \mathrm{A}, \stackrel{\mathrm{A}}{\mathrm{A}}$, heart ruff, $\uparrow \mathrm{A}$, spade ruff, heart ruff, three rounds of clubs. This is the position:


With eight tricks already in the bag, declarer cashes the $\S \mathrm{J}$, South having to follow suit, and then will lead the thirteenth club, scoring the $\diamond I O$ en passant for his tenth trick. The $\diamond A$ will then be the game-going trick. Contract made, but not on vugraph this time; The Netherlands a lucky +100 .

## Open Room

| West | North | East | South <br> Jansma |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aronov | Verhees | Stefanov <br> Pass |  |
| 3NT | All Pass | Dble |  |

As Barry Rigal, our vugraph commentator, put it, 'Jansma made the agricultural bid of 3NT in response to his partner's take-out double'. Of course, this expression usually has a slightly negative connotation, but certainly not this time, as Jansma had nine easy enough tricks on the lead of the when the clubs split 3-3. Netherlands had another +600 and 12 more IMPs to lead 23-0.

On the next board, Bulgaria struck back to finally get off the mark:

Board IO. Dealer East. All Vul.

- 764

P1098
$\triangleleft K$ Q 3
\& J 543

$$
A 2
$$

$\otimes K 752$
$\diamond 195$
$\otimes 9862$


4NT showed a two-suiter, but West was happy to revert to clubs. South led the $\$ K$. Dummy won and, with nothing to guide him, declarer ruffed a spade in hand and then cashed the eq, getting the bad news. A heart was won by dummy's king and the club finesse taken. As declarer could not avoid the loss of two diamonds he had to go one down; Netherlands +100 .

## Open Room

| West <br> Jansma | North <br> Aronov | East <br> Verhees <br> $1 \diamond$ | South <br> Stefanov |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pass | Pass | $5 \boldsymbol{4}$ | Pass |
| Pass | Dble | All Pass |  |



Zahari Zahariev, Bulgaria

Verhees also showed his two-suiter, but in natural style. North found a double that might have given Verhees a clue to the winning line. South led the $\vee \mathrm{A}$ and switched to the $\Phi \mathrm{K}$. If you win and play a trump to the ten, you are home. You draw trumps and play a diamond to the nine. Later, you can use dummy's only entry, the $\vee \mathrm{K}$, to play the $\diamond$ J, picking up the suit; eleven tricks.

Once Verhees played a trump to the ace at trick three, there was no way to recover. He even went two down when he used dummy's only entry to lead the $\diamond$ J (hoping for something to happen in the suit) instead of taking the trump finesse.
So that was a sweet +500 to Bulgaria and 9 IMPs.
Board II was another push, but then we saw a triplet of lively boards:

Board I 2. Dealer West. N/S Vul.


## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Zahariev | De Wijs | Karakolev | Muller |
| I $\diamond$ | Pass | 19 | Pass |
| INT | Pass | 2\% | Pass |
| 24 | Pass | 38 | Pass |
| 4\% | Pass | $4 \diamond$ | Pass |
| $4 \bigcirc$ | Pass | 68 | Pass |
| 69 | All Pass |  |  |

This contract looked as if it needed a 3-2 break in trumps, a 3-3 break in hearts, plus the right view in clubs. As all three conditions were fulfilled here, the Dutch in the vugraph theatre had their anxious moments while play was in progress (they were transmitting the Closed Room at that moment, as the Open Room was playing much faster).

South led the $\diamond 3$, ruffed by declarer. Two top trumps and three rounds of hearts left declarer in dummy. Double dummy, it was easy to see the impending show-up squeeze in the minors against North, certainly if declarer would have postponed the second round of trumps till this moment, to have an easy access back to his hand.As Karakolev had forgotten this, he was now in an awkward position as he would still have to give up a trump trick to rectify the count. So the best thing declarer could do now was to try and guess the Q immediately. A sigh of relief came from the many Dutchmen in the vugraph theatre when Karakolev played a club to his king...one down; Netherlands +50 .

Had he been able to draw the second top trump after setting


Julian Stefanov, Bulgaria
up the hearts, declarer could have given South his trump trick, ruffed the diamond return with the last trump and cashed the hearts. North will have to fold his cards in the resulting threecard ending.

Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jansma | Aronov | Verhees | Stefanov |
| 18 | $1 \diamond$ | 18 | $3\rangle$ |
| Pass | Pass | $4 \diamond$ | Pass |
| 4. | All Pass |  |  |

$1 \checkmark$ showed spades but the Dutch were never tempted. +480 here made it another II IMPs for them.

But then:

Board 13. Dealer North. All Vul.
¢ 9763
®K 96
$\diamond K$ Q 86
2 Q 7

| ¢ 108 | N | ¢ Q 542 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc$ AJ 3 |  | $\bigcirc 84$ |
| $\checkmark$ AJ 432 | W E | $\diamond 107$ |
| ¢ J 63 | S | ¢ AK 984 |
|  | $\triangle$ AKJ |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ Q 10752 |  |
|  | $\diamond 95$ |  |
|  | 91052 |  |


| Closed Room <br> West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Zahariev | DeWijs | Karakolev | Muller |
|  | Pass | Pass | 18 |
| Pass | $1 Q$ | Pass | Pass |
| INT | Dble | $2 \&$ | Pass |
| $2 \diamond$ | Dble | All Pass |  |

A matchpoint double maybe, by De $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{ijs}}$, but it would have been a great success had they defended the hand correctly. De Wijs led a spade to Muller's jack and a trump came back, which looked like an error. North won the queen and led a low club which ran to declarer's jack. A low diamond was won by North's king (ducking it would have been better) and declarer next cashed the eA. When the queen dropped he could cross to the $8 \mathbf{A}$, draw trumps and enjoy the clubs for an overtrick; Bulgaria +380 .

Both continuing spades, shortening declarer's trumps, and attacking the clubs, would have been better for the defence. After a second round of clubs North will obtain a ruff in the suit, killing dummy in the process, and declarer will not be able to escape going down at least one.

| Open Room <br> West | North |
| :---: | :---: |
| Jansma | Aronor |
|  | Pass |
| Pass | $2 \boldsymbol{2}$ |
| $3 \boldsymbol{2}$ | All Pass |


| East | South |
| :---: | :---: |
| Verhees | Stefanov |
| Pass | 18 |
| Dble | $\mathbf{2} \varnothing$ |

As this contract could not be made either, the Netherlands lost another 200 here, giving Bulgaria a swing of II IMPs. Bulgaria trailed by 20-34 now. And more:


On a heart lead, North won the ace and returned the two. Declarer's jack went to South's queen and South, a little surprisingly, shifted to the $\triangleleft 8$. North won the ace and played yet another heart, which declarer took with his king. He next played a club to the queen which held, followed by a diamond to the jack and queen. South cashed his heart and exited with a club, but declarer rose with the ace and cashed out in diamonds, the A being his seventh trick; Bulgaria +90 .

Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jansma | Aronov | Verhees | Stefanov |
|  |  | $1 \diamond$ | Pass |
| 1. | Dble | 2 | Pass |
| 320 | Pass | 48 | All Pass |

For once, but not for the last time on Wednesday evening (as it turned out later), Orange ran out of fuel. Verhees managed to collect six tricks for a further loss of 200 to his team, or 7 more IMPs to Bulgaria.

The score was 34-27 now, and on the Board I8, Bulgaria finally scored the equalizer. With two boards to go the score stood at 35 all but this was the penultimate board:

Board 19. Dealer South. E/W Vul.

- 18764
© A 2
$\diamond$ J 9
\& K 752

| - Q 5 | N | - AK 102 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ¢ K 43 |  | QQJ10987 |
| $\checkmark$ Q 2 | W E | $\diamond$ A 87 |
| 2 A Q 10863 | S | 9 - |
|  | - 93 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 65$ |  |
|  | $\diamond$ K 106543 |  |
|  | 2 ${ }^{\text {a }} 9$ |  |

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Zahariev | De Wijs | Karakolev | Muller |
| Pass | 2 - | 28 | Pass |
| 4 | Pass | $4{ }^{4}$ | Pass |
| 5\% | Pass | 5 | Pass |
| $5 \bigcirc$ | All Pass |  |  |

2\% showed, among other possibilities, a weak two in diamonds, but it also keptWest out of the bidding for one round. It is difficult to understand West's way of bidding the hand. First of all, $4 \oslash$ looks like an underbid, but when East nevertheless went on to cuebid in both spades and diamonds, what more did West need?

Anyway, that was Bulgaria +680 .
Open Room

| West <br> Jansma | North <br> Aronov | East <br> Verhees | South <br> Stefanov <br> 2NT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $3 \mathbf{3 5}$ | Pass | 38 | Pass |
| $4 \triangleright$ | Pass | 68 | All Pass |

2NT was a minor-suit pre-empt, but once Jansma overcalled 30 reaching slam had become inevitable for the Dutch. Right they were, as there was little in the play once diamonds could not be successfully attacked at trick one; Netherlands +1430 for a swing of 13 IMPs.

A further overtrick on the last board made it 49-35 or 18-12 VPs to the Netherlands.

# Bridge Festival - Vienna 2004 Vienna City Hall 21 st - 25th July 2004 <br> <br> 20.000 Euro, prize-money guaranteed 

 <br> <br> 20.000 Euro, prize-money guaranteed}

## 75 years ÖBV

Austria can look back on a long bridge tradition. In 1932 the ÖBV was one of the founder members of the "EBL", the pre-organization of the nowadays WBF (World Bridge Federation) to which all national and regional associations belong. Due to the 75th anniversary the ÖBV arranges a bridge-festival in the Vienna City Hall which will take place from 2 Ist to 25 th July 2004. We would be pleased if players from all over Europe and especially Austrian bridge clubs would take part in this outstanding event. We are able to offer very favorable accommodation possibilities for our foreign guests.

## Organizer:

Martin Schifko
martin.schifko@bridgefestival.at
+43/676/735 4736

## Program

| 21. July | Mixed Pairs |
| :--- | :--- |
| 22. July | Main Event - Team of four |
| 23. July | Main Event - Team of four |
| 24. July | Open Pairs |
| 25. July | Open Pairs |

## Patrick's Point

The following possibility was spotted by Patrick Jourdain.

Board I8. Dealer East. N/S Vul.


- 7654
- KJ764
$\diamond 2$
A 53

On this deal from Round 21 of the Open series, (RI5 for the Women) the contract at several tables was Six Diamonds, but it failed far more times than it was made, probably because declarer took a ruffing finesse in spades.

At one table West cashed the ace of clubs and then switched to a heart, and declarer got home on a cross-
ruff. It was suggested that a trump switch would defeat the contract, but that is not necessarily true. Declarer wins in hand, ruffs a spade, comes to hand with the ace of hearts, ruffs a spade, comes to hand with a club, ruffs a spade, ruffs a heart, ruffs a spade, and cashes the king of clubs to reach this position:


Now declarer needs to guess the distribution, but if he plays the jack of clubs and discards the nine of spades when East does not ruff he will make the contract.

Did anyone play this way?

## OPEN TEAMS Poland $v$ Sweden

by Jos Jacobs
Round 28
France v Netherlands Round 18

On Thursday afternoon, in either series a top match had been scheduled. In the Open Series, Poland, having just been defeated heavily (9-2I) by Croatia, would have to face Sweden, whose tails were up after their 23-7 win over Greece in the morning. This meant that Sweden by now are pretty certain to qualify, whereas the Poles would have some work to do as their safety margin had sunk to only IOVP.

In the Women's Series, this was to be the big day for the reigning champions from the Netherlands. Though their qualification looked a certainty before the match started, they would have to play for the medals today, facing first France and then Sweden, who were lying second and first at the start of the day.

Below, we present a simultaneous report of both matches. This has been made possible courtesy of BBO, who brought us live reports from all four tables in play.

Board I looked like a routine 3 NT down one, but in the Women's match something went wrong.

Board I. Dealer North. None Vul.

$$
\text { . } 74
$$

-A876
$\diamond 7$
-KJ853


## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Simons | Cronier | Pasman <br> Willard |  |
| 14 | Pass | 16 | Pass |
| 3NT | Pass | INT | Pass |

It looks as if declarer has an easy ride after the diamond lead. She can easily establish a third winner in spades giving her an eighth trick, and once the defence lead hearts the ninth trick is there. Alernatively, she can develop an extra club trick by playing low to the queen.

At the table, however, Jet Pasman could not believe her luck, apparently. She won the diamond lead cheaply in hand and, instead of playing spades first, went straight after the clubs. This way, she established a second trick in the suit for herself, but three club winners for North who simply covered the 99 from dummy with the jack. As the $\vee$ AK were still out, she had to go one down now.

## Open Room

| West | North <br> Gaviard <br> Hoogweg <br> Pass | East <br> d'Ovidio <br> $1 \diamond$ | South <br> Van Zwol <br> Pass |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1\& | Dble | Pass | $2 \diamond$ |
| 3NT | All Pass |  |  |

The board was saved for the Dutch, however, when much more aggressive bidding by Femke Hoogweg enabled Wietske van Zwol to find the more effective heart lead. This gave the defence three tricks in the suit right from the start. As declarer needs to establish both black suits, she had to go one down now for a flat board after all.

In the Poland-Sweden match, Balicki showed how to tackle these cards in 3NT:

The $\diamond 4$ lead as well, won by Balicki (East), who went on to cash the $\diamond \mathbf{Q}$ and $\diamond K$ as well, North pitching two clubs! Next came the A and another, Bertheau winning his king and switching to a heart. Nyström won this and returned the suit, Bertheau ducking dummy's jack. As neither defender bothered to keep his spade guard on the run of the clubs, Balicki suddenly had the rest. Poland scored +460 and a swing of 2 IMPs as Lindkvist for Sweden just made his contract on a diamond lead by first establishing his spades and then his clubs.

Board 2 was a routine grand slam missed by the French women to give the Netherlands the II-0 lead they should have taken already on the first board. Board 4 was a good slam, down on a club ruff but, in the Open match, something happened:

Board 4. Dealer West. All Vul.

- 1063
- K Q 10972
$\diamond 1092$
* 2



## Closed Room

| West <br> Zmudzinski | North <br> Bertheau | East <br> Balicki | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nyström |  |  |  |

When North led the 2 , the play was quickly over; Sweden +100 .

Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fredin | Tuszynski | Lindkvist | Kowalski |
| $2 \boldsymbol{1}$ | Pass | 2NT | Pass |
| $3 \mathbf{3}$ | $3 \otimes$ | Dble | All Pass |

The Swedish defence was far from ideal. Lindkvist led the $\diamond A$ and continued a low trump to declarer's 87 . Tuszynski next played a club to the ace and led a spade, won by East's queen. Lindkvist tried a club, but declarer ruffed this, ruffed a spade, ruffed a club and exited in spades. In the end, East was forced to lead into declarer's trump tenace for down only two; +500 to Sweden, but still I2 IMPs to them.

Best defence probably is to lead a club at trick two. Dummy wins and plays a spade, but West hops up to play a trump. Now, the defence must come to three spades, three diamonds and two trumps for +1 IO0, which would have brought just 3 IMPs more this time.

On the next board, it was bingo again:
Board 5. Dealer North. N/S Vul.


Sweden v Poland in the Rama

In the Open Series, justice was done:

## Closed Room

| West | North <br> Zmudzinski | East <br> Bertheau <br> Bass | 18 <br> Balicki |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | | South |
| :---: |
| Nyström |
| Dble |
| Pas |

Though they should have been warned by Bertheau's double of $4 \diamond$, the Poles still ended up in the wrong slam. For the second time running, North successfully led his singleton to get a ruff and beat a slam. Sweden +50 .

At the other table, the Swedes were in efficient form:

## Open Room

| West | North <br> Fredin <br> Tuszynski | East <br> Lindkvist <br> INT | South <br> Kowalski <br> Dble |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rdbl | Pass | INT | Pass |
| Pass | $2 \triangleleft$ | Pass | 2NT |
| 6NT | All Pass |  | Pass |

Fredin was not to be disturbed by Kowalski's two-suiter and simply bid what he thought his partner could make.As there was no ruffing chance, 12 tricks were sure from the beginning; Sweden +990 and 14 IMPs more to lead 26-4 now.

In the Women's Series, it was a different story with basically the same effect:

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Simons | Cronier | Easman <br> Willard |  |
|  | Pass | $1 \boldsymbol{2}$ | Pass |
| 1 | Pass | INT | Pass |
| 2\& | Pass | $2 \diamond$ | Pass |
| 2\& | Pass | $2 N T$ | Pass |
| 3NT | All Pass |  |  |

One might still be wondering at which moment in this auction West showed her useful club support. Not that it made much difference +490 gave the Dutch a lucky II IMPs more to lead 22-I at this stage as this is what happened in the Open Room:

## Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gaviard | Hoogweg | d'Ovidio | Van Zwol |
|  | Pass | $1 \diamond$ | Pass |
| 2* | Pass | 2NT | Pass |
| 3 | Pass | 3NT | Pass |
| 4\% | Pass | $4 \bigcirc$ | Pass |
| 4NT | Pass | 5 | Pass |
| 6\% | All Pass |  |  |

Femke Hoogweg too enjoyed the privilege of successfully leading her singleton against a slam twice in a row; Netherlands +50 .

On Board 6, the French women recouped 8 IMPs on a defensive misjudgement in the Open Room to trail by 9-22.

Halfway through the match, the Poland-Sweden scorers were troubled again after some rest boards:

Board IO. Dealer East. All Vul.


Anneke Simons, Netherlands

Open Room

| West | North | East | South <br> Fredin |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tuszynski | Lindkvist <br> Kowalski |  |  |
| INT | Pass | Pass | 24 |
| All Pass |  |  | 2 |

As you see, this is a case of a team playing in the same denomination at both tables. As we all know, this is seldom a success. This case proved no exception as Kowalski managed only six tricks for down two and +200 more to Sweden, a gain of 14 IMPs to go up 42-4.

A few boards later, there was plenty of action again.
Board I2. Dealer West. N/S Vul.

- AJ

Q Q 102
$\diamond$ J 8

* A QJ 1082
- K 32
©A743
642
\& K 64


Q Q 10984

- J 5
$\diamond$ K Q 9
- 975
- 765
-K 986
$\diamond$ A 10753
- 3


## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Simons | Cronier | Pasman | Willard |
| Pass | INT | Pass | $2 \boldsymbol{2}$ |
| Pass | $2 \diamond$ | Pass | $2 N T$ |
| Pass | $3 N T$ | All Pass |  |

When Pasman led the 9 , the contract had no chance whatsoever and went down three; Netherlands +300 .

Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gaviard | Hoogweg <br> d'Ovidio | Van Zwol |  |
| Pass | 18 | Pass | $1 \%$ |
| Pass | 26 | All Pass |  |

Note the different choice of opening bid. Bidding what you really hold in your hands has been the road to success ever since this game was invented; The Netherlands +IIO for a useful swing of 9 IMPs.

In the Open Series match, we finally saw a big swing to Poland.

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Zmudzinski | Bertheau | Balicki | Nyström |
| Pass | 18 | Pass | $1{ }^{1}$ |
| Pass | INT | Pass | 20 |
| Pass | 2 | Pass | 30 |
| Pass | 3NT | All Pass |  |

South showed his suits in transfer mode, but the contract was hopeless from the start; down three, Poland +300 .

Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fredin | Tuszynski | Lindkvist | Kowalski |
| Pass | 18 | 1s | Dble |
| INT | 2\% | Pass | 24 |
| Pass | 2NT | Pass | 3 |
| Pass | 38 | All Pass |  |

After a lot of bidding, the Poles too came to rest too late, but then the defence erred. When East did not lead a spade but chose the $\diamond \mathrm{K}$ instead, Kowalski could win the ace and return the suit. East won and played a spade, but Kowalski won in dummy with the ace, cashed the A, ruffed a club, pitched the spade loser on the $\diamond I 0$, ruffed a spade, ruffed a club and ruffed the last spade. A fourth club was ruffed by East with the jack, but Kowalski could over-ruff this with the king. West won the trick with the $\bigcirc A$ and thus the $\vee Q$ in dummy stood firm as declarer's ninth trick; Poland +140 more and 10 IMPs back.

On the next board, more IMPs changed hands:
Board 13. Dealer North. All Vul.
$\wedge 964$
$\vee$ A 5
$\diamond A J 98$
) 72

| - A Q 5 | N | @ K 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ¢Q 832 |  | -KJ1094 |
| $\diamond$ K 107 | W E | $\checkmark 642$ |
| - $\mathrm{AQ}^{4}$ | S | -1063 |
|  | - J 1073 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 76$ |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ Q 53 |  |
|  | \& K 985 |  |

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Simons | Cronier | Pasman | Willard |
| INT | Pass | $2 \diamond$ | Pass |
| 2NT | Pass | $3 \diamond$ | Pass |
| $3 \diamond$ | Pass | $4 \diamond$ | All Pass |

North led the $\$ 8$ which was taken in dummy with the king. Two more rounds of the suit followed, Simons discarding a diamond on the third spade, a fatal mistake as we shall see. Next came two rounds of trumps, North winning her ace at the second attempt and exiting with a club. Simons could do no better now than cash the eQA, and play her last club. Sylvie Willard carefully overtook her partner's jack to push a diamond through declarer, thus beating the contract by one trick; France +100 .

| Open Room |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West | North | East | South |
| Gaviard | Hoogweg | d'Ovidio | Van Zwol |
| INT | Pass | $2 \boldsymbol{2 N}$ | Pass |
| $2 \boxtimes$ | Pass | $4 \checkmark$ | All Pass |

Same contract, same lead, but Gaviard won her Q at trick one, led a heart to dummy, unblocked the $\$ \mathrm{~K}$ and put North on play with the second heart. When a club came back, the rest was routine. The 2 Q won, a club went on the last spade, the A was cashed and the last club ruffed. As Gaviard held the $\diamond 10$ herself she now could concede a diamond to North whatever South might do and get a favourable return. Well played for a deserved score of +620 and 12 IMPs to France. The score now was 38-22 to the Netherlands.

In the Poland v Sweden match we also saw a swing here.

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Zmudzinski | Bertheau | Balicki | Nyström |
|  | Pass | Pass | Pass |
| INT | Pass | $2 \diamond$ | Pass |
| $3 \diamond$ | Pass | $4 \diamond$ | All Pass |

Here too, declarer threw a diamond on the third spade instead of a club. So Zmudzinski went down too, like Anneke Simons did in the women's match, and Sweden had scored +100 .

## Open Room

| West | North <br> Fredin <br> Tuszynski | East <br> Lindkvist | South <br> Kowalski |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pass | Pass | Pass |  |
| INT | Pass | $1 \diamond$ | Pass |
| $2 \diamond$ | Pass | $2 \diamond$ | Pass |
| $2 \diamond$ | Pass | 3NT | All Pass |

In the Open Room, the Swedes made clear why they fully deserved their game swing. They managed to end up in an almost unbeatable contract and duly made it. Very well done; Sweden +600 and 12 IMPs straight back. Sweden led 54-I4 by now.

Board I5. Dealer South. N/S Vul.
Q 1083

- K 42
$\diamond 1093$
- A 54

| - AJ | N | - 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PAJ10863 |  | -Q975 |
| $\diamond$ J 4 | W E | $\diamond$ KQ 65 |
| ¢ J 107 | S | - Q 983 |
|  | - K 76542 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ - |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ A 872 |  |
|  | - K 62 |  |

## Closed Room

| West <br> Simons | North <br> Cronier | East <br> Pasman | South <br> Willard |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2 \otimes$ | $2 \Phi$ | $3 \Phi$ | $4 \Phi$ |
| Pass | Pass | $5 \$$ | Pass |
| Pass | Dble | All Pass |  |

Holding some long-range defensive values Jet Pasman had a difficult problem when 4s came round to her. No doubt, Anneke

Simons would have found the same $\mathbf{j}$ lead when defending 4s, but Jet went for the insurance policy by going on to $5 \mathrm{\nabla}$. When this went two off, France had scored +300 here.

| Open Room <br> West <br> Gaviard | North <br> Hoogweg | East <br> d'Ovidio | South <br> Van Zwol <br> I |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2 \vee$ | $2 N T$ | $4 \vee$ | 4 4 |
| Pass | Pass | Dble | All Pass |

When Gaviard made the fine lead of the , the contract was doomed. Though declarer can establish a winning diamond, this will not help declarer any more as the defenders will earlier have come to the four tricks needed to set the contract. They will make a spade, two diamonds and a club trick before declarer has the balance; France +200 on a pretty defence and II IMPs to trail 38-33 now.

In the other match, there also was a swing when the defence against 4s was not so good:

| West <br> Zmudzinski | North <br> Bertheau | East <br> Balicki | South <br> Nyström <br> 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | $2 N T$ | $3 \&$ | 4 |
| 5 | 2NT | Dble Pass |  |

This went a normal two down; Sweden +300 .
Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fredin | Tuszynski | Lindkvist | Kowalski |
|  |  |  | 14 |
| $2 \bigcirc$ | 2NT | $4 \bigcirc$ | 4 |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

When West led the $\vee A$ the contact could not be defeated any more; Poland +620 and 8 IMPs back.

Board I7. Dealer North. None Vul.
© K 7

- 842

K Q 2
KJ1063


## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Simons | Cronier | Pasman <br>  <br> Willard |  |
| 4s | Pass | $1 \&$ | 20 |
| All Pass |  |  | 62 |

Two inescapable losers (a heart and the $\diamond A$ ) meant one down; Netherlands +50 .

## Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gaviard | Hoogweg | d'Ovidio | Van Zwol |
|  | 180 | Pass | 18 |
| 14 | Dble | $4{ }^{\circ}$ | 62\% |
| Pass | Pass | 69 | Pass |
| Pass | Dble | All Pass |  |

A phantom save by France this time for the loss of another 300; Netherlands +8 IMPs.

A swing to Sweden again in the other match, as this is what happened there:

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Zmudzinski | Bertheau | Balicki | Nyström |
|  | $1 \diamond$ | Pass | $1 /$ |
| 1 $\downarrow$ | Dble | $4 \uparrow$ | $4 N T$ |
| Pass | $5 \&$ | All Pass |  |

Just made; Sweden +400 .
Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fredin | Tuszynski | Lindkvist | Kowalski |
|  | 18 | $1{ }^{1}$ | Dble |
| 32 | Dble | $3{ }^{1}$ | $4{ }^{4}$ |
| Pass | 5\% | Pass | 5 |
| Pass | 6\% | Pass | Pass |
| $6{ }^{1}$ | Dble | All Pass |  |



Sylvie Willard, France

Had Fredin passed 6\%, the Swedes would have gained 10 IMPs but, as they paid 300 now after a fully convincing Polish auction, their bonus went down to only 3 IMPs on the deal.

Board 18. Dealer East. N/S Vul.
© Q J 8
$\checkmark$ AKQ 3
$\diamond$ K 98
\& 985

\& A 97
$\bigcirc 1064$
$\triangleleft$ Q 1053

- J 62
¢ K 632
ค 872
$\diamond$ J 7
\& A Q 103
Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Simons | Cronier | Pasman <br> Pass | Willard |
| Pass | INT | Pass | $2 \&$ |
| Pass | $2 \Omega$ | Pass | $3 N T$ |

## All Pass

On a diamond lead from East, West won the ace and returned the suit, declarer ducking. She won the third round and drove out the $\uparrow$. East won the second spade, cashed the last diamond and played a club. Faced with a premature option of having to play for the club finesse or the hearts breaking 3-3, declarer followed the percentages and called for dummy's $\boldsymbol{2} \mathrm{Q}$. When this lost to the king, she was one down; Netherlands +100 .

Open Room

| West | North <br> Gaviard | East <br> Hoogweg <br> d'Ovidio <br> Pass | South <br> Van Zwol <br> Pass |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pass | INT | Pass | $2 \boldsymbol{2 0}$ |
| Pass | $2 \checkmark$ | Pass | $3 N T$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

East at this table, too, led a diamond to partner's ace. A diamond came back, won by Hoogweg, d'Ovidio contributing the $\diamond 10$. Hoogweg went on to drive out the $\mathbf{A}$, d'Ovidio winning the second round and cashing her diamonds. As her last diamond was the $\diamond 5$, it was West who got the lead on the fourth round of diamonds with her $\diamond 6$. This way, the chance of giving declarer a losing option had disappeared so when the hearts broke, declarer was home; Netherlands+600 and 12 IMPs more.

In the Poland-Sweden match we saw the same contract and the same two defences performed. So the Swedish declarer went down one when he was given the losing option, whereas his Polish counterpart made his contract; I2 IMPs more to Poland.

With a partscore swing of 7 IMPs going the Netherlands' way on the last board, the final score in their match became 65-33 or $22-8 \mathrm{VPs}$ to the Netherlands. They were back at the top of the table, with Sweden on the menu for the evening match.

In the Poland-Sweden match the final score became 37-59 or $10-20$ VPs to Sweden's advantage. Poland had dropped to fifth place temporarily.

## A Finnish Lady Kills The Contract

by Ismo Koponen

Sue Backstrom is the first lady to play on the Finnish Open team for years. In their match against Faeroe Islands she found an excellent lead, which saved some important points for her team.

Board I3. Dealer North. All Vul.

```
AQ
>Q93
\DeltaK842
KJ8
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline N & @ K 7632 \\
\hline W E & -AJ74 \\
\hline & \(\checkmark 3\) \\
\hline S & 2 Q 95 \\
\hline - 108 & \\
\hline \(\bigcirc 10\) & \\
\hline \(\diamond\) QJ 1096 & \\
\hline * A 7643 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

- J 954
- K 8652
75
- 102

| West <br> Salomaa | North | East <br> Backstrom | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pass | $1 \diamond$ | Pass | $2 \diamond$ |
| Pass | $4 N$ | Pass | 4 |
| All Pass |  | Pass | 5 |

You may wonder why Sue didn't overcall the opening bid but later she explained that, when she is playing with her husband Jari, she should have a very good suit for overcalls and now she is continuing on the same way with her other partners.

Anyway, their opponents bid first 3NT, but converted it after a cuebid to $5 \diamond$ and she had to find an opening lead. Because North had shown strength in spades and no one seemed to have a heart control, she decided to start with a heart from $\mathrm{A} J x x$.

Well, she played the $\vee 7$, which was won by the king. Mika Salomaa returned the $\$ 5$ and declarer finessed the queen. The $\$ \mathrm{~K}$ won the trick and Sue continued the suit.After winning the A , declarer played two rounds of trumps and lost the e after an unlucky finesse.

Maybe declarer could have played his contract better. After a heart lead it seems that West is holding the $\triangle A K$ and, if he had also the $\Phi \mathrm{K}$, he might have bid. Now North will bring his contract home if he wins the first spade with the ace, ruffs a heart, comes back to his hand with a trump and ruffs another heart. After the second round of trumps he plays the Q to the king. Now East is forced to give a ruff and discard or play a club into the king-jack.

## Revenge is Sweet, is Sweet

Bragging rights bounced back and forth in the Round 23 Open match between Austria and Wales. Martin Schifko had an embarrassment on Board 2:

|  | Board 2. Dealer East. N/S Vul.$94$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc 8$ |  |  |
|  | $\diamond 1098752$ |  |  |
|  | Q Q 1076 |  |  |
| - A62 | N | - KJ75 |  |
| -AKJ532 | W E |  | $\bigcirc 1076$ |
| $\diamond$ Q$\bullet 5$ | S |  | $\diamond$ J 63 |
|  |  | Q1083 KJ4 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | PQ94 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ AK 4 |  |  |
| West |  | East |  |
|  |  |  | South |
| Wernle | Ratcliff | Schifko | - Jourdain |
|  |  | Pass | $1{ }^{1}$ |
| $2 \checkmark$ | Pass | 2NT | Pass |

Against Schifko's 3NT, Patrick Jourdain led a top diamond and continued the suit when partner encouraged. On these Schifko made the small error of throwing one club and one spade, when it would have been better to throw two clubs.

Knowing North held good diamonds, Schifko could not risk the heart finesse, and played the suit from the top. When Jourdain was in with the heart queen, as dummy was down to two spades he could exit with a low spade without conceding a trick.

Planning a strip squeeze, Schifko rose with the ace and cashed his remaining hearts. Jourdain began by throwing two spades, baring his queen. On the last heart Schifko came down to the bare king of clubs and king-jack of spades. South now threw a club. So Schifko triumphantly exited with a club only to find that there was no endplay and the contract was defeated.

At the other table Wales had stopped in a heart partscore; 7 IMPs to Wales.

Schifko's revenge came four boards later:
Board 6. Dealer East. E/W Vul.

- KJ86
- K Q 62
$\diamond$ Q 3
2 A 54


INT was 14-16, and 2 was a game try without a major, accepted by North.

Against North's 3NT, Schifko led a diamond to the ten and queen. Ratcliff finessed and cleared the suit, West throwing an encouraging club, a spade and a heart. Schifko switched to his singleton club, declarer holding up one round. West switched to a heart to the king and ace, and Schifko cleared the suit by returning the jack.

Declarer won this, crossed to the ace of spades, cashed the last diamond, and returned to the ace of clubs. Schifko smoothly bared his queen of spades (what symmetry with Board 2 !) and, when Ratcliff tried to throw him in with a heart, claimed two tricks in the suit for one off.

At the other table Austria had failed in $4 \diamond$, so the board was flat, but Schifko had re-established bragging rights.

This time it was Ratcliff who needed revenge, and the chance came on Board 16:

Board I6. Dealer West. E/W Vul.
Q QJ 7
-1082
764
\& K 75
© 65
© KQJ9654
$\diamond$ Q 2

- 104

- 984
$\bigcirc 7$
$\diamond$ KJ 105
\& Q 986
- AK 102

คA3
$\diamond$ A9 83

- A 32

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wernle | Ratcliff | Schifko | Jourdain |
| $3 \diamond$ | Pass | $3 \vee$ | Dble |
| Pass | $3 @$ | Pass | $4 \uparrow$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

$3 \checkmark$ was a transfer pre-empt.Jourdain made a take-out double and raised the response of 3 to game.

Schifko led his singleton heart, taken by dummy's ace. Ratcliff at once led a low diamond off the table. Wernle played low, so the ten won. Schifko switched to a trump, won by dummy's ace.

Playing for diamonds to break, Ratcliff tried ace and another diamond. Schifko won and missed one chance to extinguish dummy's fourth diamond by playing a second trump. Ratcliff won in dummy and played a heart.

When West won this, the defence's last chance had gone. If West plays a club declarer can win in hand, ruff a heart, and cash two trumps to squeeze East in the minors. West actually played a third heart. Dummy ruffed high and two more trumps squeezed East.

East had a second chance to extinguish the diamond menace by ruffing his partner's winning heart and playing the fourth diamond.

Had declarer known the diamonds were not breaking he would have played a heart off dummy at trick four and the defence cannot stop a squeeze. Declarer can use his club entry to take the heart ruff, and return with a trump to catch East in a squeeze without the count.

The important thing for Wales was they had 10 IMPs (Four Spades failed at the other table) and had regained bragging rights over Schifko. Wales won the match by 33-28 IMPs or 16-14 in VPs.


## The Swedish Ladies Pairs Championship

Today the Ladies Pairs Championship starts. Last year Pia Andersson/Jessica Larsson were leading the field with four more rounds to be played, one MP ahead of Jeanite Johansson/Gun Nilsson and 25 MP ahead of Margareta Ehlin/Christina Pettersson, who made an impressing challenge, mainly built on a couple of disappearing club aces.


Margareta opened $I \oslash$, East bid $3 \diamond$, and Christina's 4s ended the auction. South ruffed the diamond lead and drew trumps. Five rounds of hearts allowed South to discard three of his clubs and, when East then failed to rise with the ace when clubs were played from dummy, N/S scored 44/50 MPs.

Dealer East. None Vul.


- K 85

๑K 65
$\diamond$ Q 73
\% K 1084

After INT-3NT Christina got the four of spades lead to the ten and her ace. West ducked the queen of clubs and the nine as well. Christina now played on diamonds by leading to the queen and ace, and East returned the jack of spades. Declarer liked that and cleared the spades.

West returned a heart to the king, South played two rounds of diamonds and East played a heart, so that West also got an ace of clubs with her from the round.

Ten tricks were worth 45 MP as opposed to 24 for the standard nine. This meant that the girls from Gävle took the lead with 2343 ahead of Johansson/Nilsson on 2338 and Andersson/Larsson on 2337.

Round the final bend, Margareta and Christina left their competitors behind for good.

Dealer North. E/W Vul.

- 10
-108654
$\triangleleft 95432$
- 108

$W^{N} \quad \mathrm{E}$

8543
Q 92
$\diamond 7$
*AK965

- AK 962
© K 73
$\diamond$ AJ 8
- 72


## West

Dble
North
Christina Pass

24 hardly was a crazy bid and it should not go two down for 200 and a top for the leaders. 2NT would have been more successful, since it scores 120 on a spade lead.

On the other board of the round Christina got the chance to shine as declarer in one no trump.

Dealer West. All Vul.

- 194
- Q 102
$\diamond 973$
- KJ 105
- A 73
$\checkmark 9853$
KJ 10
A 82

- Q 10852
Q 764
Q 5
2 Q 64
. K 6
$\bigcirc$ AKJ
$\checkmark$ A 8642
- 973

After INT in fourth position she got a heart lead to the ace, and played the nine of clubs to the queen. East continued hearts to the king and the clubs were established. After a third heart to the queen, declarer collected her club tricks as she threw a diamond.

She then found the winning line, playing a diamond instead of a spade to the king. East tried the queen, but declarer just won and exited with another diamond to West, who had to give away the seventh trick in spades. Nicely played!

It's rather normal that the opponents at the table get a little bit nervous when they meet the pairs at the top. There is no other explanation for what occured on this board.

Dealer South. None Vul.

- K Q 2

○KQ10765
$\diamond 2$
\& 972

- 754
- J 92
$\diamond 754$
2 1863
- J6

Q 843
$\diamond$ K Q 83

* K Q 10

4 A 10983
$\checkmark$ E
$\diamond$ A 1096

* A 54

Most declarers in Four Spades made thirteen tricks, when both the spades and the hearts behaved very friendly. You can do it by drawing trumps and cashing the hearts as well as by crossruffing.

Nevertheless, two declarers went down in the game, after they had ruffed a diamond and forgotten to unblock the ace of hearts before they played king and queen of trumps. This happened against the eventual winners and runners-up.


## Menu 2 July 2004

| Lunch, served between II.30 and I5.00 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Bratwurst with stewed dill potatoes | 70 kr |
| Vegetarian: Skewer with vegetables and potatoes | 70 kr |
| Dinner, served between 16.30 and 20.00 |  |
| A selection of herring | 60 Skr |
| A selection of salmon | 60 Skr |
| Grilled salmon with herb sauce, grilled vegetables and boiled potatoes | 125 Skr |
| Bacon wrapped chicken filet with red wine sauce and potato wedges | 125 Skr |
| Pasta Frutti di Mari | 85 Skr |
| Swedish meatballs with cream sauce and lingon berries | 80 Skr |
| Strawberry pie with whipped cream | 25 Skr |
| Banana and chocolate pie with cream | 25 Skr |

## Welcome to the Strange World of Rubber Bridge

While you were all enjoying the midsummer festivities, the editor, trying to shake off the disappointment of England's exit from Euro 2004, was in the office searching for material.

Looking for a black badge, I encountered one of Sweden's finest bridge playing exports, Gunnar Hallberg, who, wearing England's colours, reached the semi-final of the Maastricht Olympiad.

Gunnar is a formidable opponent, especially at the rubber bridge table, where it is money, not masterpoints that is at stake.

Take a look at this deal:
Dealer South. East/West Vul.

- J5
- J 108742
$\triangleleft 853$
e 14

| - 82 | N | - K 74 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc 9$ |  | $\checkmark$ AQ 6 |
| $\diamond 19642$ | W E | $\diamond A K Q$ |
| -107652 | S | 2 AKQ 8 |
|  | - AQ 10963 |  |
|  | ¢K53 |  |
|  | $\diamond 107$ |  |
|  | ¢ 93 |  |


| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Zia |  |  | Hallberg |
|  |  |  | 34! |
| Pass | Pass | 3NT | Dble! |
| 4\% | Pass | 5\% | All Pass |

It was Terence Reese who wrote that 'the pre-empt that is always weak is a blunt sword', and this is especially true at the rubber bridge table. Imagining you to have a weak hand your opponents frequently overbid and concede a penalty.

Gunnar is a master of this art, and for him both Three Spades and the subsequent Double were a matter of routine (although he confided that this type of bidding might incur the wrath of teammates!).

The mighty Zia Mahmood (who will be trying once more for that elusive first World title in the Istanbul Olympiad) could hardly stand the double and ran to what he hoped would be a safer spot. East should have bid 4NT, but no doubt delighted to have his partner at the helm raised him to game. North led the jack of spades, and three rounds of the suit enabled North to score the jack of clubs for one down.

If East had been allowed to play 3NT doubled, both he and his bank manager would have been much happier, as it is easy to make 12 tricks! Declarer wins the opening lead and cashes his minor-suit winners. South will be forced down to QA and 8 K 5 and can be thrown in to lead into the heart tenace.


## Marita Tengå and Thomas Magnusson Spurted Free in the Mixed Pairs Championship by Martin Nygren

Fifty kilometres cross-country skiing is the classical distance and a classical way to win it is to keep pace with your opponents for forty-five kilometres and then gear up to leave them all well behind you. The Mixed Pairs Championship did for most of the time look like a race between three pairs, but Marita Tengå/Thomas Magnusson broke free in the last set. Thomas Magnusson is probably better known under his nickname, "skidlöparn". The reason might be unclear to young people and foreigners, who might not remember the days when the Swedish cross-country skier Thomas Magnusson brought home honours. This year's Mixed Championship Pairs was the first Swedish Championship win for both Marita and Thomas.

Overnight leaders Anna and Johan Lundvall started well early on Thursday morning. Anna and Johan and collected some good scores from their conservative bidding style. Most players would have fancied a third in hand opening bid holding 58 AK 854 $\diamond 86543 \mathrm{~K} 2$, but Anna passed and collected +200 when Hans Andersson became declarer in $1>$.

Board 66. Dealer East. N/S Vul.


| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A. Lundvall | H. Andersson | J. Lundvall | U. Brenning |
| Pass | $\mathrm{I} \vee$ |  | Pass |

Thursday's second round saw a clash between two title contenders when Anna and Johan Lundvall faced Jenny Rudenstål/Arvid Wikner. The encounter ended in a draw, both sides declaring a 3 NT contract that should have been played in diamonds. Johan should have been in six and Jenny in two. After the first rounds of the morning set it looked like it was going to be a race between three pairs, Anna and Johan Lundvall, Jenny Rudenstål - ArvidWikner and Marita Tengå/Thomas Magnusson.

Partscores often decide the outcome of pairs events, and one must not be afraid to bid spades whenever spades are called for to be bid. On Board 75 Thomas Magnusson and Marita Tengå found their spade fit on the rebound.
Board 75. Dealer South. None Vul.
4K 93
$\checkmark$ Q 9
$\diamond$ J 1097
9863
© A 842
$\bigcirc$ K J 2
$\diamond \mathrm{K} 3$
$\%$ AJ 104

Q J 107
$\bigcirc 105$
$\checkmark 842$
\& Q 752
4 65
คA87643
$\diamond A$ Q 65
\% K
West North East South
Marita Tengå
Thomas Magnusson

| INT | Pass | Pass | $2 \diamond$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Pass | Pass | $2 \boldsymbol{i}$ |  |

South led the ace and another heart and Thomas made ten tricks after finessing both black suits. 170 earned him 46 out 50 MPs; this time $2 \triangleleft$ would have been average but you will normally not get a good score if you allow the opponents to play in a partscore non-vulnerable.

On Board 8I I witnessed one of the most heroic bidding sequences that I have ever seen.

Board 81. Dealer North. None Vul.

- A 432
$\bigcirc 106$
$\diamond 763$
20 1643

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { \& } 7 \\ & \vee \mathrm{KQ} 7432 \\ & \diamond \text { QJ } 8 \\ & 1095 \end{aligned}$ | N |  | - KQJ108 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \vee J 95 \\ & \diamond \text { K } 10952 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | E - K |  |
|  | S |  |  |
| - 965 |  |  |  |
| $\bigcirc$ A 8 |  |  |  |
| $\checkmark$ A 4 |  |  |  |
| * AKQ 872 |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| M. Tengå G | G. Bratell | T. Magnusson | K. Rödén |
|  | Pass | 24* | 3NT |
| Pass | Pass | 4 | Dble |
| Pass | 4NT |  |  |

The opening bid showed five spades, at least four cards in another suit, and less than an opening hand. Thomas's decision to bid $4 \diamond$ looked like it was going to strike gold as $4 \diamond$ is cold, but North's timely sacrifice earned him 33 MPs when it turned out that $\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{W}$ made game in the unbid heart suit.

Marita Tengå opened a natural 2 fourth in hand with J 10

62 , $\vee \mathrm{A} 108, \diamond 5$, A Q J 103 and saw partner bid 24 , nonforcing with five spades. The field did obviously take the view that this twelve count was a minimum hand, but I approve of Marita's 4s bid. If partner holds K Q 543 in spades and a doubleton heart game is on a club finesse. The club finesse did, however, not work which was good luck for Arvid Wikner and Jenny Rudenstål who had reached a partscore in spades

| Board 85. Dealer North. N/S Vul. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - A |  |  |
| $\bigcirc$ Q 62 |  |  |
| $\diamond 10972$ |  |  |
| K 9742 |  |  |
| - J 1062 | N | - KQ985 |
| $\bigcirc$ A 108 |  | QJ75 |
| $\diamond 5$ | W E | $\checkmark$ QJ 4 |
| - A QJ 103 | S | +86 |
| - 743 |  |  |
| $\bigcirc \mathrm{K} 943$ |  |  |
| $\checkmark$ AK 863 |  |  |
| - 5 |  |  |

As Marita and Thomas only scored 3 MPs for 44 down two, there was an opportunity for Jenny and Arvid to open up a gap on Marita and Thomas. Their campaign did, however, suffer a dent on the next deal. Jenny held $\leqslant 5$ J, $\vee$ Q J $2, \diamond$ T 52 , J 85 and was on lead after the auction:

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| J. Rudenstål | S. Larsson | A. Wikner <br> Pass | Jo Andersson <br> I |
|  |  | Pass | $2 N T$ |
| Pass | $2 \diamond$ | Pass | $4 \upharpoonright^{*}$ |
| Pass | $4 \diamond$ | Pass | $6 \diamond$ |

One Diamond meant that South had an opening hand but no better opening bid.The 2NT rebid showed II-I3 HCP and a balanced hand. My experience is that slams do not tend to wander


Thomas the cross-country skier Magnusson, Sweden
off by themselves but have to be defeated. The heart lead looks like the obvious choice to my eye, but there is a case for a small spade. Jenny led a small trump, which in my opinion is far too passive and declarer Jan-Olov Andersson made his contract by simply playing a small spade towards the queen.

Board 86. Dealer East. E/W Vul.
, Q 4
$\bigcirc 106$
$\diamond$ AKQ 8763
\& K 2


At the coffee break Jenny Rudenstål/Arvid Wikner were top of the table, with Marita Tengå/Thomas Magnusson in second place 29 MPs behind and Anna and Johan Lundvall another 22 MPs behind in third place. With twelve boards to go it did still look like a three horse race.

Who it was that would to collect the gold medals was probably decided on Boards 91 and 92. Marita Tengå and Thomas Magnusson played East/West against Anna and Johan Lundvall. On the first board Marita misguessed the trump suit but did still make her heart game. Somewhat surprisingly, that gave her 40 out 50 MPs.

Board 91. Dealer South. None Vul.

- AQJIO 86
$\checkmark K 7$
$\diamond$ J 2
20 52


3 promised some values so there was no difficulty for Thomas to bid game. On the second board of the round Thomas demonstrated his skills in doubling the opponents in such a fashion that they did not run away to a better spot. Johan was first and opened a strong no trump with $87, \vee \mathrm{KQ} \mathrm{5}, \vee 73$, \& K Q J 2, his partner Anna asked for majors with 2 and leaped into 3NT when he denied any four-card major with $2 \diamond$. Thomas, on lead against 3NT, doubled and I do believe that Johan should not have stayed put in 3NT doubled. East will no doubt have a running spade or dia-
mond suit. The safe bet would be to bid $4 \%$, but maybe he should have attempted a more daring $4 \checkmark$. It might be that South has spades, but she will surely not pass $4 \oslash$ unless she has four of them, so if the worst comes to the worst South will be declaring $5 \%$.

Board 92. Dealer West. N/S Vul.

|  | - 87 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc$ K Q 5 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark 973$ |  |  |
|  | * AKQJ2 |  |  |
| -1065 | N |  | - AKQJ94 |
| QJ 84 |  |  | $\bigcirc 972$ |
| $\checkmark$ K 1086 |  | E $\langle$ | $\diamond$ Q 5 |
| - 974 | S |  | * 103 |
|  | - 32 |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ A 1063 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ AJ 42 |  |  |
|  | \& 865 |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
|  | INT | Pass | 2* |
| Pass | 2 | Pass | 3NT |
| Pass | Pass | Pass |  |

Two off and 500 to E/W meant that Marita Tengà and Thomas Magnusson had taken the lead with 30 MPs , a position that they were going to remain in. Meanwhile, Gunilla Sundberg and Krister Ahlesved had done well to march up into contention for the silver medals. With four boards to go, Krister picked up A Q $10932,896, \diamond \mathrm{~K}$, AK 97 and opened a naturalls. Left hand opponent overcalled $2 \triangleleft$ and Krister reopened with a double.This might look simple enough and turned out to be a far better idea than the popular choice of 2 . Gunilla bid $3 \boldsymbol{2}$ which became the final contract and was made with an overtrick.

Board 99. Dealer South. E/W Vul.
Q -
$\vee 1073$
$\diamond 8762$
\& 1086532

| West |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ulla |  |  |  |
| von Schlanbusch | North <br> Gunilla <br> Sundberg | East <br> Johan <br> Arvidsson | South <br> Krister <br> Ahlesved <br> IQ |
| $2 \diamond$ | Pass | Pass | Dble |
| Pass | $3 \&$ | Pass | Pass |

Pass
On the next hand E/W had no more ambitions than 3 NT which meant that Gunilla and Krister scored 81 out of 100 MPs. Their competitors for the silver medals, Jenny Rudenstål and Arvid Wikner, sat E/W and kept even better pace by scoring
eleven tricks in $4 \checkmark$ and bidding the excellent grand slam. Going into the last round Krister and Gunilla were I MP ahead of Jenny and Arvid. On the first board Jenny and Arvid bid a thin slam that Jenny played on the odds rather than the actual hand.Anyone will play the queen of spades from hand having seen all 52 cards, but almost everyone will cross to the ace and play a small towards the queen-ten at the table.

Board IOI. Dealer North. N/S Vul.

- Q 10764
$\triangle$ AKJ
$\diamond 5$
- K 987

```
& 9 3
\veeQ 9 5
\diamond1098732
& J 2
```



```
KJ5
- 86432
KJ 4
\& 43
- A 82
ค 107
\(\diamond \mathrm{A}^{2} 6\)
- AQ 1065
```

Krister had the chance to win the silver medals on the last board, but that would have taken some heroics. He held $\$ 4$, ४JIO $9872, \diamond 9$, Q J 105 and did not, vulnerable against not, compete over 24 . That seems to be a sound decision, but the fact is that he would have been second instead of third if he had competed at the three-level.

## Final ranking

| I 2962,8 | Marita Tengå - Thomas Magnusson | BK S:t Erik - Näsby BS |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | 2878,3 | Jenny Rudenstål - Arvid Wikner | BK S:t Erik - ABB BK |
| 3 | 2864,8 | Gunilla Sundberg - Krister Ahlesved | BK Svanslös |
| 4 | 2803,2 | Anna Lundvall - Johan Lundvall | Filbyter Bridge |
| 5 | 2796,9 | Margareta Ehlin - Lars-Göran Ehlin | Gävle BK |

Marita Tengå and Jenny Rudenstål are regular partners and I am pretty sure that Jenny cannot wait to get her revenge. They would no doubt have been favourites in the Women's Pairs, but Jenny is going to attend a wedding.


The Winners of the Mixed Pairs Championship

## Revenge for Hvalba I 544

## by Svend Novrup

In 1544 Portuguese pirates attacked the small harbour of Hvalba in the Faroe Islands and kidnapped several of its beautiful women. On Wednesday the islanders finally had their revenge when they won 25-0 (96-8) in the Open series of these championships.

Well, nothing personal, and congratulations to Portugal's berth in the final of the European soccer championships, but it is a big event for the Faroe Islands to be able to report these results home in consecutive rounds: Portugal 25-0, San Marino 25-I, Czech Republic I9-II - and of course we hope to continue our record streak (the team never before won three matches in a row) against Serbia, Italy, and bye - but the last match is, unfortunately, the only one to guarantee I8VPs!

The match against Portugal was played by the two 21 year old youngsters, Högni and Gunnar, together with Per and Balle. The latter pair fielding the round's best Butler-scoring. One very good hand was this:

Board II. Dealer South. None Vul.


4NT was quantitative with $4-4$ in the majors.


West opened $\diamond 9$ denying an honour, and the $\diamond 10$ was looking very friendly! Declarer cashed KQ and led a heart to the queen and ace (it is better to duck but not so easy to do so). Another diamond to king and ace, and four red tricks followed, the situation before the last being:


East/West were caught in a non-simultaneous double squeeze when East had to let go a spade on 810 while West had a similar dilemma two tricks later when the was cashed from the South hand; 12 tricks and II IMPs as the contract was 3NT with II tricks in the other room.

Of course you need some luck to win by 96-8. Like on this board:

Board 4. Dealer West. All Vul.

- 86

PQ6
$\diamond$ AKQ 76532

* 10

| West | North | East <br> Per | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $3 \downarrow$ | Pass! | Pass | Dble |
| Pass | $5 \diamond$ | Dble | All Pass |

Down two, 500 to Portugal. In the other room, Högni in the West chair succeeded in suppressing his spade suit twice with an amazing result. North opened 3NT which was passed out. Gunnar cashed five heart tricks and switched to spades. That was down 8 for 800 to Faroe Islands. Not bad at all.

## 2nd EUROPEAN OPEN BRIDGE CHAMPIONSHIP

ARONA - TENERIFE - CANARY ISLANDS 18 th June - 2nd July 2005


