

# SEVEN COUNTRIES REPRESENTED IN THREE FINALS 



A view of the Vugraph

It was a day for exciting finishes at the team championships, and several of them echoed the famous sentiment 'It's not over until the fat lady sings.' None more so than the matches involving Team Orange I. In their quarter final against Denmark the Dutchmen, with only seven deals remaining, managed to turn a deficit of 44 IMPs into a remarkable win by 12 IMPs. The boot was almost on the other foot in their semi final when they built up a huge lead against Ozdil only to see almost all of it disappear in the second half before they

## V Vugraph Today

! The Vugraph starts at 10.30 today in the Gran Canaria Salon (the far side of the swimming pool as you come out of \| the Hotel Mediterraneo).
hope to be able to show results from the Open, Senior and Women's finals.
ㄴ..•••••••••••••••••

## EBL Press Conference

A press conference will be held by the EBL President Gianarrigo Rona on Friday I July 2005, at 10.30 am, in Salon 5 Palma de Mallorca, just opposite the - Press Room.

Remembering André Boekhorst
Following the Press Conference, a short ceremony will take place in the same room, dedicated to the memory of André Boekhorst, former EBL President. Journalists, EBL \& NBO officials as well as any other interested person are welcome to attend these events.
emerged winners by 3 IMPs. In today's final they will face Miroglio, who defeated Hecht.
In the Women's event the big surprise was the way Weber put away Baker in the quarter final and they went on to reach the final by seeing off the challenge of Pasman.
D'Ovidio overcame a big deficit in their quarter final against Chantal and went on to cruise into the final by defeating USA/Russia.
The Seniors final is between Szenberg and Fornaciari.

## Victory Banquet

The Victory Banquet desk located at the 'Shop desk' in front of the 'Taberna Andaluza' will open on Thursday 30 June at I0.00.

All players are kindly requested to collect their invitations before 20.00 on Friday I July.
Tickets for wives, companions and friends are also available from the shop desk priced at Euro 50 each.
Please do not forget to take your invitation with you, otherwise you will not be able to enter the Roman Pool area.
$\qquad$


Teams Prize

## Giving Ceremony

- The prize giving ceremony of the Teams - Championships (Senior - Women - Open) will be held on Saturday 2 July together with the Pairs at 20.30 in the 'Roman Pool' - area before the Victory Banquet.

| Contents |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Open Teams 16KO: Dumbovich v Allix | 2 |
| Women Teams Round 6: D'Ovidio v Baker 4 |  |
| 2005 World Bridge Championships | 5 |
| Cuartos De Final Equipos | 6 |
| Swiss Teams Day Two | 7 |
| Women/Senior Pair Results | 7 |
| Open/Women/Senior Pair, Team Results | 8 |

## Schedule of Play

I0.30 Open/Women/Senior Teams Finals
10.30 Open/Women/Senior Pairs Qualifying Session 3
14.45 Open/Women/Senior Teams Finals Sessions 2 \& 3
16.30 Open/Women/Senior Pairs Semifinal A \& B Session I
19.30 Open/Women/Senior Teams Prize Giving Ceremony


## Carmen Mota Show

The EBL have 200 tickets (which usually cost Euro 34) for this brilliant show at the
 Mare Nostrum Resort for Euro 20 each.
You can see the show on Monday, Wednesday \& Friday at 20.30 hrs or on
Tuesday, Thursday \& Saturday at 21.30 hrs

The tickets are valid until I July.

## Open Teams 16KO: Dumbovich v Allix

by Jos Jacobs

On Monday morning, the Open teams had reached the Knock-Out phase of the tournament, in which the top 32 teams were still participating. The winners of Sunday's Swiss, the Dumbovich team from Hungary, had chosen Allix from France as their opponents for the first 28-board match of the day.
After two pushes, both teams missed the same very good opportunity on board 3 :

Board 3. Dealer South. E/WVul.

## - 93

$\checkmark$ K 3

- J432
- A 10984

| $\begin{aligned} & \bullet K 106 \\ & \vee A 972 \end{aligned}$ | N |  | ¢ A Q J 87 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 10865 |
| - Q 875 | W | E |  |
| 265 | S |  | - |
|  | Q 542 |  |  |
|  | v J 4 |  |  |
|  | -106 |  |  |
|  | \&KQJ 72 |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Dumbovich | Mauberquez | Winkler | Allix |
| Frey | Szalay | Bessis | Lakatos |
| Pass | 5\% | Dble |  |

The bidding was the same at both tables. Any suggestions on how to reach $6 \checkmark$ will be heartily welcomed. No swing.
With the score at 2-Ito Dumbovich after 8 boards, we were beginning to wonder if anything would ever happen in this match at all. When board 9 hit the table, we could see that even 7 * would be makable, but would anyone bid it?

| Board 9. Dealer North. E/W Vul. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ¢ 9 |  |  |
| $\checkmark$ A Q 5432 |  |  |
| - A Q 8 |  |  |
| \& 97 |  |  |
| ¢1074 | N | ¢K 65 |
| vJ10987 | W E | - K 6 |
| - 743 |  | - J 10 |
| \& ${ }^{\text {Q }}$ | S | \&KJ10852 |
| ¢ A Q J 822 |  |  |
| $\checkmark$ - |  |  |
| -K9652 |  |  |
| \& 64 |  |  |

Open Room:

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DumbovichMauberquez Winkler |  |  | Allix |
|  | $1 \vee$ | 20 | 24 |
| Pass | $3 \vee$ | Pass | 31 |
| Pass | 3NT | All Pass |  |
| Closed Room: |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Frey | Szalay | Bessis | Lakatos |
|  | $1 \vee$ | 2\% | 24 |
| Pass | 30 | Pass | 3s |
| Pass | 4v | All Pass |  |

At both tables, 24 was forcing over 2e. A double might have worked better here as it suggests interest in diamonds too. If you double and partner rebids his hearts, not at all unlike-
ly, you might run into trouble if next is not forcing.
Anyway, at both tables the diamonds got lost, but the final contracts were made. 3NT was easy enough after ducking two rounds of clubs, as the spade finesse was completely safe now. $4 \vee$, on a diamond lead, required a bit more luck but this is what happened. Declarer won the $\checkmark$ A and played $\vee \mathrm{A}$ and another, losing to the king (good news and bad news). He then won the club return and took an immediate spade finesse. When this held and the suit broke 3-3, the $\leqslant$ was the entry to dispose of the last club loser. Making 420 earned the Allix team an IMP as well, so they had scored the equaliser to make it 2-2 now.
On the very next board, the match finally got under way:

Board IO. Dealer East. All Vul. -A 9642
$\checkmark$ AJ 9
-A 963 9

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Q Q } 7 \\ & \vee Q 108762 \end{aligned}$ | \% 8 | Q 103 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N |  |
|  |  | $\checkmark 43$ |
| - 752 | W E | -QJI0 84 |
| \& 72 | S | 2Q 93 |
|  | - K 85 |  |
|  | - K 5 |  |
|  | -K |  |
|  | \% A KJ 10 |  |

Open Room:

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DumbovichMauberquez Winkler |  |  | Allix |
|  |  | Pass | 19 |
| Pass | 19 | Pass | 2NT |
| Pass | 3 | Pass | $3{ }^{2}$ |
| Pass | 4\% | Pass | 4* |
| Pass | $4 \checkmark$ | Pass | $4{ }^{4}$ |
| Pass | 54 | Pass | 6\% |
| Pass | 64 | All Pass |  |

As you can see, NS at one point were in a very good slam ( $6 \%$ ), though 6NT is obviously best. You will run into trouble.only if clubs are 5-0. In 64, a 3-2 trump break would be very pleasant, though declarer would be able to cope with some of the 4-I breaks too. Looking at the auction, it seems that South was very much on the right track when he bid $6 \%$ over the 5 trump quality inquiry, but North understandably corrected once again. However, as trumps did break 3-2, the road to 1430 for Allix was easy.
At the other table, we saw a lazy bid by North:

## Closed Room:

| West <br> Frey | North <br> Szalay | East <br> Bessis | South <br> Lakatos |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Pass | 19 |
| Pass | 19 | Pass | $3 \boldsymbol{2}$ |
| Pass | $3 N T$ | All Pass |  |

As 3e can be rebid on all types of hands, what could be wrong with $3 \diamond$ ? All 13 tricks were made, but 12 IMPs went to Allix, who suddenly led 14-2.
On boards II and 12, Dumbovich picked up 2 and 3 IMPs to make the score 7-14 and then came board 13 :

| Board 13. Dealer North. All Vul. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ¢K 10973 |  |
|  | ャ K 8 |  |
|  | -K943 |  |
|  | \& K 2 |  |
| - Q 842 | N | - ${ }^{\text {A }} 5$ |
| - Q 653 | W E | $\vee A J 94$ |
| -85 | W E | - A Q J 10 |
| - A 104 | S | 2765 |
|  | 1 16 |  |
|  | $\checkmark 1072$ |  |
|  | - 762 |  |
|  | * Q 98 |  |

## Open Room:

| West <br> DumbovichMauberquezNorth <br> Winkler | South <br> Allix |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Is | INT | Pass |
| $2 \boldsymbol{2 v}$ | Pass | $2 \downarrow$ | Pass |
| $3 v$ | Pass | $4 v$ | All Pass |

INT certainly would not have been everybody's choice holding only one spade stopper, but it paved the way for the Hungarians to reach a hair-raising contract that required very good declarer play as well as a shade of luck.

South led the eq. When Dumbovich won this with his ace, the club suit was blocked. Next came a heart to the jack, the $\vee \mathrm{A}$ (thank you) and the $\vee 9$ back to hand for the diamond finesse. When this worked, declarer knew he would either get four diamond tricks (if the king was tripleton originally) or he would need an endplay, throwing North in with the $\vee$. So he crossed to his last trump in hand, using the carefully preserved $\vee 4$, and took another diamond finesse. When the king did not fall under the ace in the third round of the suit, he led the fourth diamond from dummy, North winning his king. As he was known to have started with five spades, it was a certainty that North would have only one top club to cash before being forced to open up the spades. Very well played for a deserved 620 to Dumbovich.

## Closed Room:

| West <br> Frey | North <br> Szalay | East <br> Bessis | South <br> Lakatos |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 19 | Dble | Pass |
| 20 | All Pass |  |  |

The Standard Français did not work well here. Both the double and the $2 v$ bid are straightforward actions, but as each player held a little bit in reserve, the thin but certainly playable game was missed. Declarer even made II tricks but had to accept a loss of 9 IMPs. Dumbovich had taken over the lead again at 1614 now.
Different basic approaches caused a big swing on the last board of the segment:


Board I4. Dealer East. None Vul.

## Q

- Q 873
- A 7
\&K QJ 854

| , 10853 | N | Q Q 972 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\checkmark$ A |  | -KJ1065 |
| -KQ 543 | W E | -1082 |
| 9972 | S | -10 |
|  | , AK 64 |  |
|  | $\checkmark 942$ |  |
|  | - 196 |  |
|  | 2A 63 |  |

Open Room:

| West <br> DumbovichMauberquez | Winkler | South <br> Allix |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Pass | $1 \%$ |
| 1 | IV | 2 | Pass |
| Pass | Dble | Pass | $2 \downarrow$ |
| Pass | 3 | Pass | $3 \downarrow$ |
| Pass | $5 \%$ | All Pass |  |

When you are not playing a weak NT, you have to open $1 \%$ and thus offer the opponents the chance to interfere with your bidding. This is what happened in the Open Room. NS found their precarious heart fit, but it never occurred to them that 3 NT might be the right spot. Had South decided to first show his spades over the double, before supporting the hearts, all would have been well, but how was he to know that partner was strong enough to bid again?
Declarer lost four heart tricks to go down two. Dumbovich + 100 .

## Closed Room:

| West <br> Frey | North <br> Szalay | East <br> Bessis | South <br> Lakatos |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Pass | INT |
| Pass | $2 \boldsymbol{2}$ | Pass | $2 \boldsymbol{e}$ |
| Pass | $3 N T$ | All Pass |  |

Here they would have nothing of all this. South opened a weak NT; after that EW could not interfere any more, so the proper game was easily reached. The Hungarians must have been surprised to see that they had won II IMPs on the deal to make the half score at 2613 in their favour.
The first board of the second half immediately produced a new leader:

| Board 15. Dealer North. None Vul. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | -10942 |  |
|  | - 76 |  |
|  | -874 |  |
|  | ¢984 |  |
| - A Q J 3 | N | ¢K875 |
| - 8543 | W E | $\checkmark$ K Q 10 |
| -Q653 | W E | - A 10 |
| \&K | S | * Q J 2 |
|  | $\pm 6$ |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ A 92 |  |
|  | -KJ92 |  |
|  | \&107653 |  |

Open Room:

| West <br> Szalay | North | East <br> Lakatos | South Allix |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Pass |
| Pass | Pass | $1 \%$ | Pass |
| $1 \vee$ | Pass | 2NT | Pass |
| 3\% | Pass | 3 * | Pass |
| 34 | Pass | 4\% | Pass |
| 4NT | Pass | 5\% | Pass |
| 64 | All Pass |  |  |

The slam does not look a very good proposition, even less so if North is on lead as happened at this table. When Mauberquez found the lead of the $\$ 4$, declarer ducked in dummy (probably his best practical chance) but Allix won his $\vee K$ and next cashed the $\vee A$ for a very quick down one Allix +50 .

## Closed Room:

| West <br> Frey | North Winkler | East <br> Bessis | South Dumbovich |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Pass |
| 1 * | Pass | 20 | Pass |
| 2 | Pass | 24 | Pass |
| 3s | Pass | 4 * | Pass |
| 49 | Pass | 4NT | Pass |
| 5 | Pass | 5 | Pass |
| 6\% | Pass | 64 | All Pass |

Due to the intricacies of their natural system West could open $I \diamond$. Next, he had no choice but to rebid the "suit" after partner's 2 response. This way, however, he managed to steer the slam towards the East hand, which proved a better idea. Dumbovich led the 7 to the king in dummy. Declarer's next move was a heart to the king. When South won the ace and returned the suit, the hand was over. Had South played anything else, declarer would no doubt have taken a successful finesse of the $\vee \mathrm{J}$. Allix another 980 and 14 IMPs to lead by I whole IMP now.
Allix picked up another 15 IMPs on four consecutive small swings to lead by 16 when Dumbovich struck back:

Board 22. Dealer East. E/W Vul.

|  | - 8 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | v J 92 |  |
|  | - A 1075 |  |
|  | -j9872 |  |
| , A 432 | N | \& J 10975 |
| $\checkmark$ A Q 8 | W E | - K 10753 |
| - 982 |  | - |
| \%1053 | S | \& Q 4 |
|  | , KQ 6 |  |
|  | $\checkmark 64$ |  |
|  | -KQJ643 |  |
|  | \& K 6 |  |

Open Room:

| West <br> Szalay | North <br> Mauberquez | East <br> Lakatos | South <br> Allix |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $3 *$ | 5 | 1Q | 2 |
| 5 | Pass | Pass | Pass |
| 5 |  |  |  |

After the $\leqslant$ lead, declarer drew trumps playing South for both missing honours and thus easily made his contract. Dumbovich +850 .
Closed Room:

| West <br> Frey | North <br> Winkler | East <br> Bessis | South <br> Dumbovich |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $3 \&$ | 5 | 1 Pa | 2 |
| Dble | All Pass |  | Pass |

The French apparently could not bid 3 * over $2 \star$, showing invitational or better, so Frey had to content himself with 34 at his first turn. Though he too knew from partner's pass over 5 that East would hold a promising hand, he hardly could hardly make a further move, so he doubled. On the lead of the $\mathbf{A}$, followed by the
$\checkmark$ A and a spade (?) one undertrick disappeared, so Dumbovich lost only 100 at this table for a swing of 13 IMPs. They were back to within 3 IMPs now.
They returned the IMPs just won on the next board:

Board 23. Dealer South. All Vul.
」 」 1073
$\vee 876$
-AJ 8
\& 86

| - K 965 |  | Q 842 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - 32 | N | -104 |
| -K962 | W E | Q 105 |
| ¢ A 97 | S | - Q J 102 |
|  | , A |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ AKQJ 9 |  |
|  | - 743 |  |
|  | \&K 543 |  |

## Open Room:

| West <br> Szalay | North <br> Nauberquez | East <br> Lakatos | South <br> Allix |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $1 \downarrow$ |
| Dble | $2 \downarrow$ | $2 \downarrow$ | $4 \downarrow$ |

## All Pass

In view of Szalay's very light double, declarer played the hand carefully and well. A low spade was ducked by East and won by declarer's ace. Next came a diamond to the jack. Finding the -KQ onside probably was declarer's best chance, as the A figured to be behind the king anyway. Now what should East do upon winning his $\vee \mathrm{Q}$ ? From the count signal he knows that West has four diamonds, so a diamond return cannot cost. If he returns a diamond, he would have set up a second trick for the defence before declarer gets a chance to establish a club for a possible diamond discard by ruffing out the A . Understandably, he shifted to the 0 which was allowed to hold. At this point, a return to diamonds should be even more obvious, as it still cannot cost, but when he continued with the $\boldsymbol{j}$ he presented declarer with his contract. Trumps were drawn, a club ruffed, the second losing diamond went on the 2 K and the last diamond could be ruffed in dummy too. Allix +620 .
Closed Room:

| West <br> Frey | North <br> Winkler | East <br> Bessis | South <br> Dumbovich |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | IV |
| Pass | 30 | Pass | $4 \vee$ |

West led a trump, declarer drew two rounds, cashed the A and led a diamond to the jack and queen as well. At this table too, East shifted to the e , but as there had been no takeout double by West, ducking the Q was just a trifle less automatic. When Dumbovich in fact covered with the K , he had given away his only chance to make the contract. So 12 IMPs went back to Allix who thus regained the lead which they were never to lose again. The final score: 60-42 to Allix.


## Women Teams Round 6: D'Ovidio v Baker

When this match was scheduled on Sunday afternoon, D'Ovidio were leading the field with 109 V.P., II ahead of Baker and 12 ahead of the young Dutch team Verbeek. With Verbeek due to have their bye in this round, there might well be a change in the order of the leading teams after this match. Apart from that, it looked a good match too on paper, and so it really proved.
On the first board a different auction and better defence led to a substantial swing:

Board I5. Dealer North. None Vul.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { © K } 986 \\
& \text { v K } 7 \\
& \bullet 32
\end{aligned}
$$

\& K Q 7

```
. 754
* Q 10 }
* A 9 8 }7
&A3
```



```
\& A Q 103
\(\checkmark 653\)
-K
ej 10842
© 2
vJ 842
-QJIO 54
996
```

Closed Room:

| West | North |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Willard | East | South <br> Auken | Cronier |
|  |  |  | Pon Arnim |

This went just one down, 100 to D'Ovidio. Open Room:

| West | North <br> Baker | East <br> D'Ovidio | South <br> McCallum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Paviard |
| I | Dble | Is | INT |
| Pass | Pass | Dble | Pass |
| Pass | Redble | Pass | 2 |
| Dble | All Pass |  |  |

West led a low trump to East's king, and a heart came back. A trump went to the queen and ace, and a spade went to East's 10. Another heart was won in dummy, and from there declarer was in trouble. As she could not get back to hand she eventually went three down for a loss of 800 and 12 IMPs to Baker.
Two boards later, Sabine Auken took an optimistic view, also because of a systemic problem:

Board I7. Dealer North. None Vul.

## © K Q 1054 <br> $\checkmark$ Q 1098 <br> -A 85

\& K

| - 3 | N | @ 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -K732 |  | $\checkmark$ J |
| -KQJ94 |  | -10632 |
| \& 103 | S | \& Q 97642 |
|  | - A 9862 |  |
|  | - 664 |  |
|  | - 7 |  |
|  | \%) 85 |  |

Closed Room:

| West Willard | North Auken | East Cronier | South <br> Von Arnim |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 19 | Pass | $3 \vee$ |
| Pass | 4NT | Pass | 5 |
| Pass | 64 | All Pass |  |

## by Jos Jacobs

$3 \vee$ was explained by Von Arnim as invitational with a singleton somewhere. Auken however thought it was a clear-cut heart splinter and a game-forcing hand, so she launched Blackwood without further ado. On the lead of the $\checkmark J$ declarer won the ace and played the queen from hand. Still, there were two inescapable losers when Willard took little time to cover the ef with her ace and cashed the $\vee \mathrm{K}$ next. One down.
At the other table both the auction and the play were "not worth mentioning" for a score of 450 to D'Ovidio and II IMPs back to them.
On the next board, Auken and Von Arnim were again faced with a slam decision, and this time they got it right:

| Board 18. Dealer East. N/S Vul. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \& AKJ 97 |  |  |
| $\checkmark$ - |  |  |
| - Q 872 |  |  |
| \%AK 75 |  |  |
| ¢ 532 | N | ¢ 10 |
| $\bullet$ Q J 105 |  | $\checkmark$ AK 9876 |
| -1093 |  | -KJ 64 |
| 2963 | S | ¢ 84 |
|  | ¢Q 864 |  |
|  | $\checkmark 432$ |  |
|  | - A 5 |  |
|  | \& Q J 102 |  |

Closed Room:

| West Willard | North Auken | East Cronier | South Von Arnim |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $1 \vee$ | Pass |
| $3 \vee$ | Dble | 4v | 49 |
| Pass | 5 | Pass | 6\% |
| Pass | 64 | All Pass |  |

When Auken showed her void by bidding $5 \vee$ over 4@, Von Arnim had no trouble in going to slam holding the $\forall A$. In fact, on a heart lead you should even make 7e but this contract is not really recommended. 64 was easy on a heart lead, it turned out, as there were no bad breaks there to possibly lead declarer astray. Baker +1430 .

Open Room:

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baker | D'Ovidio | McCallum | Gaviard |
|  |  | $1 v$ | Pass |
| $2 \downarrow$ | $2 \downarrow$ | $3 \downarrow$ | $4 \uparrow$ |
| Pass | Pass | $5 \downarrow$ | Dble |

Though the French got much more bidding space when Baker only raised to $2 v$, they did not fully exploit it but sold out rather cheaply to $5 \vee$. Down two and a I5-IMP swing to Baker.
Two boards later, both teams missed a chance:


| Board 20. Dealer West. All Vul. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A A J 65 |  |  |
| vJ943 |  |  |
| - A 3 |  |  |
| \% A |  |  |
| ¢K 108 | N | - |
| $\checkmark$ A Q 5 | W E | $\checkmark 872$ |
| -Q8642 |  | -KJ107 |
| -76 | S | -j109843 |
| ¢ 97432 |  |  |
| $\checkmark$ K 106 |  |  |
| -95 |  |  |
| \% Q 52 |  |  |

## Closed Room:

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Willard | Auken | Cronier | Von Arnim |
| Pass | 10 | Pass | 1 |
| Pass | 10 | Pass | 4 |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

After West's initial pass and the Strong Club auction, East cannot possibly be blamed for not leading a diamond. Thus, she missed the only way to beat the contract.
Open Room:

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baker | D'Ovidio | McCallum | Gaviard |
| I | Dble | $3 \boldsymbol{e}$ | $3 \boldsymbol{2}$ |
| Pass | $4 \boldsymbol{e}$ | Pass | $4 \boldsymbol{}$ |

All Pass
When West opened I showing a suit and East made a fit bid West might well have found the diamond lead. Even in the post-mortem she was kicking herself for leading a club...
No swing.
After a push in a spade game, on board 22 Auken-Von Arnim overbid to 3NT which luckily for them went only one down, due to a blockage in hearts. D'Ovidio +6 IMPs.
The next board was a more substantial swing for D'Ovidio. On a partscore hand (they were in INT in fact, making two overtricks) AukenVon Arnim had a more serious misunderstanding and ended up in a hopeless slam, down four undoubled! Yet), another II IMPs for D'Ovidio.
A missed game by their opponents gave the French 6 more IMPs and then came:

Board 27. Dealer South. None Vul.

|  | -1086543 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | - AJ 3 |  |
|  | - A |  |
|  | 20. 109 |  |
| Q ${ }^{2}$ | N | - A Q 97 |
| $\checkmark 1042$ |  | $\checkmark$ KQ 985 |
| - 963 |  | - J 102 |
| * AK Q 86 | S | \$ 7 |
|  | - K |  |
|  | $\checkmark 76$ |  |
|  | -KQ8754 |  |
|  | 95432 |  |

Closed Room:

| West <br> Willard | North <br> Auken | East <br> Cronier | South <br> Von Arnim |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 3 |
| Pass | Pass | 4 | Pass |
| $4 \vee$ | All Pass |  |  |

Sylvie Willard played this hand very well until the final stages.Auken led the $\diamond A$ and shifted to
a spade. Willard correctly went up with dummy's ace as first the diamond losers have to be discarded on the clubs. The idea is to lose a spade, a heart and just one diamond. She got an unexpected but well-deserved reward when South followed suit with the $\Phi \mathrm{K}$ ! Then came three rounds of clubs (please note the fall of the 109 !) and a trump to the king. When this held, declarer simply continued with another trump to Auken, who cashed her last trump before exiting with a spade. Back in her hand, the only thing left was to remember that her clubs were good. Alas, she had forgotten, ruffed a club in dummy and had to lose to the 10 in the end. Very disappointing to see a beautiful sequence of plays brutally interrupted just before the final hurdle.
Not that it mattered very much, as North at the other table fell from grace, as they say.
Open Room:

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baker | D'Ovidio | McCallum | Gaviard |
|  |  |  | Pass |
| Pass | Pass | Iv | 2 |
| $3 \leqslant$ | $3 Q$ | Dble | All Pass |

The contract here went down five for -ll00 and 15 IMPs to Baker in a match that stood level at the time.
Worse things were to come for the French on the last board:

Board 28. Dealer West. N/S Vul.

## - 87

-A632

- A 73
\& 10754

```
AJ 105
\(\checkmark 54\)
- J 854
*K Q 9
```



Closed Room:

| West <br> Willard | North <br> Auken | East <br> Cronier | South <br> Von Arnim |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pass | Pass | 14 | Pass |
| 24 | Pass | $2 \vee$ | Pass |
| 44 | All Pass |  |  |

After a Drury sequence South made the natural enough lead of the $\star$. Once she continued with another diamond, the contract could no longer be made. Baker +50 .
Open Room:

| West <br> Baker | North <br> D'Ovidio | East <br> McCallum | South <br> Gaviard |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Pass | IS | Pass |
| $2 \boldsymbol{~}$ | Pass | 4 | All Pass |

Once again in this set, the diamond opening did the trick for EW. On board 20, they missed the chance thus created for them, as we saw. This time, however, the 1 opening induced South not to lead the suit. On a club lead, declarer could draw trumps, dispose of one diamond loser and, by playing on hearts, claim ten tricks for +420 and another 10 IMPs.
So this remarkable match, tied with two boards to go, ended with a victory for Baker by 58-33 or $21-9$ in V.P. They had taken the lead in their group as well as a consequence of this big win.


## THE CHAMPIONSHIPS

(Provisional Programme)
The Opening Ceremony will be on Saturday 22 - October 2005 at $6.30 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$. at the Estoril Congress Centre.
The Bermuda Bowl, Venice cup $\&$ Seniors Bowl : Round robin will start on Sunday $23^{\prime \prime}$ and finish on Saturday $29^{\circ}$, with a knock-out stage starting on Sunday $30^{\circ}$ with Quarterfinals.
Semi-finals and Finals the following week.

In these three events the Quarterfinals will end before the start of the Transnationat Open Teams on Monday $31^{\circ}$ October, allowing people who want to play this event to be free in time.

The Closing Ceremony, which will indude the prize giving. a victory banquet and a first class musical show, will take place on Saturday $5^{+}$November at the Estoril Casino.


## SYSTEMS

For Bermuda Bowl and Venice Cup only, The conditions of contest will give full detaiks of systems regulations and registration, but players should note that it will be a requirement that all
systems are registered in advance via email to Anna Gudge: systems are registered in advance via email to Anna Gudge:
anna@pecats,co.uk (see page18).

## the venue

An internationally known resort, Estori, "the land of kings and fishermen,', will be host to the 2005 Bermuda Bow. The Estorii Coast strikes a harmonious blend between the delights It is close to the capital, Lisbon (international airport), it is Portuguese snd it is fontostic!
Its climate is one of Nature's greatest blessings. It is never too hot or too cold, the humidity is always at a comfortable level and there is enough variation between the beacher in the

In accordance with the provisional schedule, the play will star Oct 31' and Now 3 - when play will be extended to $11.00 / 11.30 \mathrm{p}$.m

## THE WORLD TRANSNATIONAL OPEN TEAMS

The 5- World Transnational Open Teams Championships is prestigious and most enjoyable event. Being Transnational, it countries nominated by their National Bridge Organisation and approved by the WBF Credentials Cornmittee.
It is a great opportunity for players to participate in a wonderful bridge tournament while at the same time having an unique opportunity to experience all the atmosphere and excitement of the final stages of the Bermuda Bowl and Venice Cup and watch some of the greatest players in the world on the first class vugraph presentation. Player wishing to compete in this championship should contact their NBO and request nomination by the end of July 2005. There in no quota, and NBOS may nominate as many teams as they wish to compete in this great tournament. Players eliminated from the Round Robin of the Bermuda bole con the World Tranmetiol Open Tear be able to enter the Wor
Championship free of charge.

The World Transnational Open Teams will take place during the second week, starting in the evening at 8.00 pm . on Monday 31*October. The format will be Swiss Teams. it is normally played as 10 -board matches ( 3,4 or 5 per day) for 15 qualifying rounds ( 150 boards).
The Quarterfinals will start on Thursday late afternoon, the Semi-finals will be played on Friday 4* November and the Fina will end on Saturday $5^{\prime \prime}$ November.
 atmosphere that is
sophisticated combined with inexpensive, modern ample and well equipped facilities serviced by highly trained staff. The international Lisbon Airport is just a half-hour drive away there is a good road network, efficient telecommunication, with a wide variety of hotels of different categories at a comfortable walking distance from the playing area; there are restaurants ranging from the simplest to the mos sophisticated, a variety of sporting activities from swimming, sailing, surfing to golf and tennis, as well as the largest Casino is Europe, and a new top class marina in cascais. The heart of train joumey away train journey away.
bridge players of the world to enjoy the most prestigious bridge event in 2005.

The play will take place in the Estoril conference Centre, an ideal, very modern complex designed specifically to host conferences, exhibitions and indoor events with class and the welcoming atmosphere that is the trademark and the image of quality characteristic of Estoril.

## ACCOMMODATION

Top Atlantico (operated by Top Tours) is the Official Agency of the event. All the hotel bookings must be done through: Joboa, congressetopatlantico.pt
Tel +351218925405

A limited number of rooms have been reserved for the event, please fax or email preferably before the $30^{-}$of June 2005 or as soon as possible "first come, first served"


## CUARTOS DE FINAL EQUIPOS

Aunque ya no podamos contar con la presencia de ningún equipo español, la competeción por equipos sigue atrayendo la máxima expectación en el Campeonato. Esta mañana se han disputado los cuartos de final, jugados a 28 manos y que ha deparado algunas sorpresas, como la eliminación del potente equipo americano Jacobs, donde entre otros juega la pareja italiana Lauria-Versace.
El match empezó bien para la pareja italiana, que jugaba contra el equipo danés Hetch. Fíjense por ejemplo en la mano 6:

| Dador Este. Este-Oeste vulnerables. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lauria | Sur | Versace | Norte |
| Paso | IST (I) | Paso | $2 \boldsymbol{2 0}$ |
| Paso | 20 | Paso | 3ST |

Todos pasan
(I) Puede ser con palo mayor $5^{\circ}$.

Versace salió del 9 (terceras y quintas) y tiene usted que jugar con estas cartas: , AK 76
$\vee 97$
-1063
\&) 93

, Q 93
-AJ852

- QJ 8

Q 6
La primera baza es 24,43 , 2 y 2 . El declarante intentó desarrollar el palo de $\vee$ y para ello subió al muerto y jugó el $\vee 9$ con la intención de correrlo hasta Oeste. Sin embargo, Lauria cubrió dicha carta con la $\vee \mathrm{Q}$. El declarante, creyendo que tenía $\vee K Q$ y viendo sus problemas de comunicación, dejó ganar la baza y las cosas empezaron a empeorar cuando le volvieron a
El declarante ganó la baza en el muerto y jugó $\downarrow$ para el $\downarrow$ J, pero cuando perdió la baza bajo el $\vee \mathrm{K}$ el contrato ya no puede cumplirse. La mano completa:

- AK 76
$\checkmark 97$
- 1063
\& A 9

| ¢ 105 | N | Q 1842 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - K 3 | W E | $\checkmark$ Q 1064 |
| - AK 5 | W E | -9742 |
| \&K 107542 | S | ¢ 8 |
|  | - Q 93 |  |
|  | - AJ852 |  |
|  | - Q J 8 |  |
|  | Q ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |

Esta tenencia en corazón es muy conocida en la teoría: la mejor manera de jugarla es correr el $\vee 9$ (esperando $\vee$ KIO ó $\vee$ QIO en Este). Sin embargo, la defensa, que también lo sabe, juega en ese caso el honor para inducir al declarante a creer que tiene $\vee K$. A su vez, el declarante puede deducir entonces que tiene マKIO ó QIO, y así indefinidamente.
La mano acabó mal para Sur. Este volvió del \%5, para el l del muerto, diamante hacia el - K, hacia el y y diamante para un total de 3 multas (tres tréboles, 2 diamantes y 2 corazones). En mi opinión, lo mejor que puede
hacer el declarante es bajear el corazón 2 veces sin usar ninguna entrada en el muerto. Si la defensa no logra hacerse el $4^{\circ}$ diamante, tenemos 8 bazas con el impasse a trébol y la novena puede venir por el corazón o el pic 3-3 o un squeeze final. +150 y +400 significaron II IMPS para Jacobs.
Sin embargo, en la mano 17 y en otro contrato de 3ST el swing fue a favor de los daneses (girado para conveniencia del lector):

Dador Este. Nadie vulnerable.

| Lauria | Oeste | Versace | Este |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1 \mathbf{~ 1 ~}$ | Paso | $1 \mathbf{Q}$ | Paso |
| 2ST | Paso | 3ST | Todos pasan |

Lauria recibe la salida del $\downarrow 7$ (carta alta indica desinterés en el palo):

```
& KJIO4
\vee }
-A986
*)}7
```



```
    A Q 9
    vQ }76
    -KQ 3
    *KQ 3
```

La primera baza es $\downarrow 7, \star 8, \star 10$ y el $\downarrow$ K.Tenemos 7 bazas seguras ( 8 si cae el $\downarrow$ ), por lo que hay que pasar por el \&A. Lauria jugó, por tanto, hacia el pero Este entró con el \& jugó el $\vee 10$. ¿Y bien?
Hay 2 posiciones peligrosas: en la primera, el palo está, por ejemplo, $\vee$ AJ43 a su izquierda y ๒KI08 a su derecha (o similar); en la segunda, sin embargo, está $\vee A J 4$ a su izquierda y $\checkmark$ KI083 a su derecha (o similar). En el primer caso hay que montar para bloquear el palo, mientras que en el segundo hay que permitir al $\vee I 0$ hacer la baza.
No ha habido subasta de los contrarios y parece que la salida no es decisiva (Oeste no tiene por qué salir bajo un palo $4^{\circ}$ de As, como sería el primer caso), así que hay que acertar. Lauria cubrió con la $\vee \mathrm{Q}$ y multó el contrato, al ser las cartas:

```
& KJ104
\checkmark }
* A986
*)}7
```



```
463 \(\checkmark\) K 1083
- J 104
- A 94
- A Q 9
- Q 762
- KQ 3
*K Q 3
```

```
* }7
* A }
-752
&10865
```

La vuelta del $\vee 10$ es la única que pone en un aprieto al declarante. En la otra mesa el contrato fue cumplido y Hetch ganó 10 IMPS en la mano. Finalmente, Hetch pasó a las semifiales con cierta holgura, después de una segunda sesión gloriosa.
Por otro lado, han empezado las sesiones clasificatorias del campeonato de parejas (Open, Damas y Seniors). En la competición Open hay un total de 181 parejas y de la primera sesión proviene la siguiente mano (gi-
rada para comodidad del lector):
Dador Este. Norte-Sur vulnerables.

| Este | G. Goded | Oeste | F. Goded |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IST | Paso | Paso | $2 \boldsymbol{2}(1)$ |
| Paso | 20 | Todos pasan |  |

(I) 5-4 en mayores

Después de la apertura de IST de los contrarios (15-I7H), Fede Goded reabrió mostrando sus mayores y Gonzalo Goded prefirió jugar a $\vee$. La salida fue del $\leqslant 3$ (terceras y quintas):

> Q Q 984
> - K Q 62
> - 6
> 1063
> , K 3
> - A 83
> - Q 1042
> - A 874

Después de ganar la primera baza con el $\bullet A$, Este volvió del ${ }_{2}$, que cedemos, y el K que ganamos con el N . Jugamos el $\mathbf{~} \mathrm{K}$, cedido, y hacia la dama y el As (Oeste sirviendo el $\mathbf{~} 5$ y el $\underline{2}$ ). Este vuelve ahora trébol para la 2 Q de su compañero y viene un a continuación. La posición ahora es la siguiente:

```
4 | }9
\veeKQ62
* -
-
W N
*
* A }
-Q 104
&
```

Si nos creemos su salida del $\forall 3$ y la cuenta que ha dado Oeste a $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$, parece que ha empezado con una 2-3-5-3. Pero en ese caso, ¿por qué no ha jugado Este un tercer pic para fallo y refallo? La respuesta puede ser que no sabe los tréboles que tenemos y teme que descartemos uno perdedor mientras el compañero está obligado a fallar. Si hubiera retenido el \$K hasta ahora, no le habría pasado esto.
Bien, si esta es la situación, ¿cómo ganar 5 bazas más? Gonzalo dedujo la línea correcta: falló un con el $\vee 2$, arrastró 3 veces acabando en su mano, adelantó el \$8 firme y jugó el - IO, obligando a Este a entregar la última baza al
La mano completa:

- QJ 984
- K Q 62
- 6
-1063

| - 52 | N |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | - A 1076 |
| vJ10 4 |  | $\checkmark 975$ |
| - J 9873 | W E | - AK 5 |
| - $\mathrm{Q}^{5} 2$ | S | * K 9 |
|  | - K 3 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ A 83 |  |
|  | -Q 1042 |  |
|  | \& A 87 |  |

## SWISS TEAMS DAY TWO

The last deal of the second Swiss match caused problems around the room. By and large, the field found themselves unable to cash out successfully on this hand whatever contract they were defending.

Board 16. Dealer West. E/W Vul.
\& K 107
v 4

- K 103
\&K QJ 74


Not every West felt obligated to open at unfavorable vulnerability. When Geoff Wolfarth and Brian Senior held the E/W cards Wolfarth passed as West and Senior did not come in over a weak no-trump. Wolfarth doubled an artificial spade call, eventually allowing Senior to have the pleasure of doubling $4 \boldsymbol{v}$, and subsequently 4 NT . He led the Q and Wolfarth carefully overtook to shift to a heart, allowing Senior to cash out for down one.
In the match where John Holland was North he heard the auction start 34-Pass - 4s - Double. He elected to bid $5 \%$ and the defenders cashed two hearts but did not find the diamond ruff. Neither did his teammates against a club contract - but they were defending 6e on the same auction, where North had found an ebullient leap to slam.
Philippe Cronier as North passed 4abled on the same auction, the winning action since when the defence cash their three minor suit winners they can simply establish a second trump trick by leading a club, or could survive playing a diamond now. Declarer can ruff and pitch his club on the top hearts, but when he finesses in trumps, North wins and returns a diamond. West ruffs and can unblock trumps, but then cannot get off dummy without promoting a trump for the defence!
Even +500 would not have been enough for Cronier though. In the other room after a transfer preempt opening of $3 v x$ by West, Phil King as North played 3NT and East thought he had enough to double.After leading a top heart East shifted to a spade - and that was -750 !
Paul Hackett and Ross Harper bid the N/S cards as follows:

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pass | $1 \$$ | $1 \downarrow$ | 2 |
| $2 \$$ | 3 | Pass | 5 |
| All pass |  |  |  |

As you can see, they had at least managed to reach the minor suit game with ease. West led his singleton heart and East won and cashed a second heart. Declarer could now ruff the spade shift and trump a heart in dummy for his IIth trick. Afterwards West claimed that his partner could have set up a force by shifting to a spade at trick two. However, Hackett had his answer ready; there are two ways to make the contract on a spade shift. He could throw a heart away and later arrange to discard his three remaining hearts on dummy's black-suit winners. Or, more artistically, he could ruff, draw trumps, then run clubs and come down to a two-card ending in which he could pin the , ${ }^{\text {J }}$ by leading the K from dummy and force West to concede trick 13 to dummy's $\$ 10$ !

## The Set Up For The Pairs Events

## Open pairs

- There are 181 pairs playing in the qualification of which approximately 78 will go to the semifinal A. They are joined by some 10 pairs with a wild card and 15 or 16 pairs from the K.O. teams forming 104 pairs. The other 100 pairs play the semi final $B$.
Semi-final A is played in Los Espejos, semi-final B is played in Tenerife and Minerva.


## For the Final A 4 to 6 pairs come from the K.O. final, 6 pairs from the semi-final B and

 joined with appr. 40 pairs from the semi final A they play a Barometer with 52 pairs ( 2 boards per round). The remaining pairs from both semi finals play the final $B$.
## Women pairs

| 53 Pairs play in the qualification of which approximately 23 go to the semi-final A. With I5 orl6 pairs from the KO-teams and I pair with a wild card that makes 40 pairs.
The other 30 pairs form the semi-final $B$.
For the final 5,6 pairs come from the teams final and 6 from semi final B.Approximate-
Iy 20 pairs from the semi final A will join them, making 32 pairs. They play sessions of 8 ,

- 8,8 and 7 rounds of 3 boards.

The remaining pairs (40?) form the final B and play a Swiss pairs.

## Senior pairs

- We find 43 pairs in the qualification. Some 18 go to the semi-final A together with 15 orl6 pairs from the KO-teams ( 34 pairs together). The other pairs play the semi-final $B$. The final $A$ is composed of 28 pairs ( 5 or 6 from the $K O$ final, 6 from semi-final $B$ and approximately 16 from the semi final $A$. They play sessions of $7,7,7$ and 6 rounds of 3 boards. The other pairs form the final $B$, which will be played as a Swiss pairs.


## Women Pairs Qualifier

## Rank

I Nuria ALMIRALL - Marta ALMIRALL 6129 Gianna ARRIGONI - Gabriella OLIVIERI 59.75
3 Ilaria SACCAVINI - Simonetta PAOLUZI 59.38
4 Ann Karin FUGLESTAD - M. HARDING 57.92
5 Margaretha EHLIN - Christina AHL 56.93
6 M. CASTELLS - Laura CASTELLS-CONRADO 56.72
7 Lone BILDE - Marianne RASMUSSEN 56.27
8 Rita FEDERICO - Marisa CATTANEO BUFFA 56.25
9 Ewa MISZEWSKA - Jolanta KROGULSKA 55.48
10 Maryse LEENHARDT - Fabienne PIGEAUD 55.46
II M.E. HERNANDEZ - Montserrat MESTRES
12 Angela De BIASIO - Donatella GIGLIOTTI
13 Sandra PENFOLD - Nevena SENIOR
14 Monica BURATTI - Darinka FORTI
I5 Maddalena SEVERGNINI - Luigina GENTILI
16 Christine LUSTIN - Minnie TANANBAUM
17 Giuseppina VALENZA - Pietra COSTANZO
18 Pilar LEON - Pilar G HONTORIA
19 Daniela BIRMAN - Ruth LEVIT-PORAT
20 Gabriella MANARA - Caterina FERLAZZO
21 Patsy MEEHAN - Rose O'FARRELL
22 Cristina GOLIN - Emanuela CAPRIATA 23 Kath NELSON - Rhona GOLDENFIELD 24 Katalin MEZEI - Eva CSIBY
25 Elisabeth HUGON - Martine ROSSARD
26 Heather DHONDY - Nicola SMITH
55.12
54.85
54.85
54.82
54.43
54.39
54.01
53.88
53.20
52.51
52.51
52.00
51.66
51.37
0.73
0.73
50.49
49.74
49.09

27 Lea M STACCHINI - Maria Cristina PERLINI 48.87
28 Petra Von MALCHUS - Annaig JACQUEMARD 48.64
29 Pernille LINDAAS - Inger HJELLEMARKEN 48.6I
30 Emer JOYCE - Joan KENNY
31 A. LANGELAND - T.-Torkelsen SVENDSEN
32 Maria De GOETZEN - Emma RICCIO
33 Anni KOVACHEVA - Roz WOLFARTH 34 Marietta ANDREE - Anila BAHAL
35 Eva SARMIENTO - Carmelina, De La FE
36 Joyce BENSON - Michele ALEXANDER
37 Mariella PAPARO - Irene BARONI 38 Marta FRANCO - Ana PANELLA 39 Patrizia CECCONI - Paola RONCHI 40 Paola SCALAMOGNA - Emanuela FUSARI 4I Malgorzata JELENIEWSKA - Anna GRUNT 42 Merih TOKCAN - Mine BABAC
43 Paula SPIL - Maria PEL
44 Francesca MARANI - Patrizia LOMBARDI 45 Noreen PENDER - Gilda PENDER 46 Ann FITZGERALD - Lucy PHELAN 47 Caterina CERCIELLO - Camilla PAOLINI 48 Rosa CORCHIA - Rita PASQUARE 49 Stine HOLMOY - Maja Rom ANJER 50 Aoife MACHALE - Antoinette MCGEE 51 Carla COMPARINI - Enrica DIAMANTI 52 Adriana SALINAS - Miriam ROSENBERG 53 Roberta LANZILLI - Anna Maria TROTTA 54 Judi RADIN - Virginia CAVE

Senior Pairs Qualifier


Rank
5.10

I Enrico LONGINOTTI - Lino VANINI 57.10
2 Jim ROBISON - John ONSTOTT 56.32
3 Kaare KNUTSEN - Harald NORDBY 56.10
4 Massimo IANNETTI - Eugenio METE 55.99
5 Ken BAXTER - Elizabeth McGOWAN 55.61
6 John HASSETT - Bill HIRST 55.34
7 Hans WOENSEL VAN - Loek VERHEES SR 55.34
8 Pierre D'OVIDIO - Marie Louize DAS 54.57
9 Nico DOREMANS - Jaap TROUWBORST 53.60
0 Walter SPENGLER - Hanspeter BOESIGER 53.57
II Roman BUDZIK - Walter HOEGER 53.41
2 Stefan KOWALCZYK - Jan SUCHARKIEWICZ 53.09
3 Willem BOEGEM - Onno JANSSENS 53.07
4 Antoine DELCOURT - M.F. RENOUX 52.85
Zdzislaw LASZCZAK - Jan KANDYBOWICZ 52.50
6 Ross HARPER - Paul D HACKETT 51.99
7 Roman KIERZNOWSKI - Krzysztof SIKORSKI 51.64
8 Jozef POCHRON - Kazimierz OMERNIK 50.91
9 Wlodek BUZE - Zdzislaw KOWALEWSKI 50.29
0 Erik de JONGH - Elly SCHIPPERS 50.19
21 David A JACKSON - Greer McKENZIE 49.94
2 Kazimierz PUCZYNSKI - Henryk KOSIANKO 49.91
Franco SANTOLINI -Vincenzo DI GIROLAMO 49.64
24 Marianne SERF - Jean- Claude FOUASSIER 49.45
5 Anthony N GORDON - Roger JACKSON 49.4I
6 Gerrit KAMERBEEK - Piet BORST 49.33
7 Mario MAGGIO - Antonio LATESSA 49.16
8 Erik LUND - Niels Joergen TOBIASEN 48.98
9 Nicole SCHULMANN - J. GONFREVILLE 48.79
Antonio De SANDRO - Renata CROCOLI 48.76
I W. MALACZYNSKI - Andrzej GORZYNSKI 48.52
Luciano CARONI - Gerry LINK 47.91
Koos VRIEZE - Harry PEPPEL 46.78
Giovanni TURLETTI TOLA - S.ARNABOLDI 45.80
Michael MCDONAGH - Sean O'LUBAIGH 45.64
David LIGGAT - Roy BENNETT 45.36
Lewis KAPLAN - Danielle AVON 45.18
Alfred FLEISCHMANN - U. FLEISCHMANN 45.02
Ruth LEVKOFF - Rita SEAMON 44.65
Hugo IMHOF - Joseph FREI 44.37
I Patrick JOURDAIN - Mike HIRST 44.25
Eduardo MOLERO - Josefina MANGLANO 43.39
Herbert FINZ - Maria FLEISCHMANN 37.97

## RESULTS

| Open Quartefinals |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
|  | Team | (I-I4) | (I5-28) | Total |
| I | IRELAND | 28 | 49 | 77 |
|  | MIROGLIO | 32 | 46 | $\mathbf{7 8}$ |
| 2 | JACOBS | 34 | 4 | 38 |
|  | HECHT | 10 | 45 | $\mathbf{5 5}$ |
| 3 | OZDIL | 34 | 24 | $\mathbf{5 8}$ |
|  | DE BOTTON | 13 | 37 | 50 |
| 4 | TEAM ORANGE I | 13 | 63 | $\mathbf{7 6}$ |
|  | DENMARK | 37 | 27 | 64 |


|  | Women Quartefinals |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Team | (I-14) | (15-28) | Total |
| 1 | BAKER | 32 | 6 | 38 |
|  | WEBER | 21 | 71 | 92 |
| 2 | USAIRUSSIA | 43 | 29 | 72 |
|  | verbeek | 47 | 24 | 71 |
| 3 | D'OVIDIO | 18 | 61 | 79 |
|  | CHANTAL | 39 | 27 | 66 |
| 4 | PASMAN | 43 | 27 | 70 |
|  | POLAND | 21 | 8 | 29 |


|  | Senior Quartefinals |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
|  | Team | $(1-14)$ | $(15-28)$ | Total |
| 1 | RESTA | 20 | 18 | 38 |
|  | SZENBERG | 34 | 45 | 79 |
| 2 | HOLLMAN | 34 | 32 | 66 |
|  | PRIDAY | 11 | 37 | 48 |
| 3 | KLUKOWSKI | 46 | 26 | 72 |
|  | FORNACIARI | 14 | 73 | 87 |
| 4 | AUBRY | 18 | 25 | 43 |
|  | RAND | 19 | 43 | 62 |


| Open Semifinals |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Team | (I-14) | (15-28) | Total |
| 1 | Hecht | 36 | 21 | 57 |
|  | Miroglio | 37 | 28 | 65 |
| 2 | Ozdil | 11 | 45 | 56 |
|  | Team Orange I | 42 | 17 | 59 |


| Women Semifinals |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  | Team | $(I-14)$ | $(15-28)$ | Total |
| 3 | Weber | 50 | 41 | 91 |
|  | Pasman | 36 | 31 | 67 |
| 4 | USA/Russia | 9 | 34 | 43 |
|  | D'Ovidio | 49 | 18 | 67 |


| Senior Semifinals |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  | Team | $(1-14)$ | $(15-28)$ | Total |
| 5 | Szenberg | 47 | 27 | 74 |
|  | Rand | 26 | 44 | 70 |
| 6 | Hollman | 12 | 34 | 46 |
|  | Fornaciari | 51 | 18 | 69 |


| Open Final |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| TableHome Away <br> I Miroglio Team Orange I |  |  |


| Women Final |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Table | Home <br> I <br> Weber | Away |
|  | D’Ovidio |  |


| Senior Final |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| TableHome <br> I <br> Szenberg | Away <br> Fornaciari |

## Open Pairs Qualifier after 2 Sessions

## Rank

## I Tony FORRESTER - Jack MIZEL

Carlo CARPENTIERI - Mario GUARINO Johan UPMARK - Tobias TORNQVIST osef ROLL - Ilan BAREKET
David GOLD - Tom TOWNSEND Tomasz PILCH - Leszek SZTYRAK Arno LINDERMANN - Martin SCHIFKO Darren WOLPERT - Jurek CZYZOWICZ Simon GILLIS - Boye BROGELAND Ilia VASILEV - Ivan NANEV Krzysztof BURAS - Grzegorz NARKIEWICZ Sam Inge HOYLAND - Sven Olai HOYLAND Vladimir REKUNOV - Sergei SOLNTSEV Joe BRAININ - Sascha WERNLE Andrew ROBSON - Moza PANAHPOUR Jean-Paul BALIAN - Philippe TOFFIER Michael BAREL - Yaniv ZACK
Andrei GROMOV - Alexander DUBININ Stephane SANT - Christophe MARRO Jan Van CLEEFF - Vincent KROES Sjoert BRINK - Ricco Van PROOIJEN Roger OLAFSEN - Hans MELBY Janusz MAKARUK - Pawel NIEDZIELSK Janusz MAKARUK - Pawel NIEDZIELSK
Vladimir MIHOV - Julian STEFANOV Vladimir MIHOV - Julian STEFANOV Piotr BIZON - Michal KWIECIEN
Franz TERRANEO - Christian TERRAN Franz TERRANEO - Christian TERRANEO
Joao Maria LARA - Manuel D'orey CAPUCH Bartosz CHMURSKI - Mariusz PUCZYNSKI Entscho WLADOW - Michael ELINESCU Ruggero PULGA - Gianpaolo RINALDI Leonid PODGUR - Avi KALISH Alex ADAMSON - Harry SMITH Thierry De SAINTE MARIE - Marc BOMPIS Pierre ZUKER - Denis SERGENT Anders MORATH - Bengt-erik EFRAIMSSON Gabor WINKLER - Miklos DUMBOVICH Gabor WINKLER - Miklos DUMBOVIC
Herve VINCENT - Arturo Herve WASIK Herve VINCENT - Arturo
Carlos LUIS - Nuno PAZ
Sue BACKSTROM - Kauko KOISTINEN Tomasz GOTARD - Josef PIEKAREK Pia ANDERSSON - Arne LARSSON Gunnar NORDBY - Anders FODSTAD Tarek SADEK - Waleed EI AHMADI Wolfgang BIEDER - Andreas BABSCH Jan Petter SVENDSEN - Jon-Egil FURUNES Grzegorz LEWACIAK - Tomasz KRYSZTOFIAK Jacques STAS - Eric COLINET
Ildeniz OZAN UCAN - Ata AYDIN
Karlis RUBINS - Maiia ROMANOVSKA Elena ALFEJEVA - Vladimir GONCA Elena ALFEJEVA - Vladimir GONCA Tor HELNESS - Rune HAUGE Tor HELNESS - Rune HAUGE
Konrad ARASZKIEWICZ - Andrzej JASZCZAK Sergio BEVILACQUA - Marco ARRIGONI Piotr WALCZAK - Wojciech ROZWADOWSKI Maurizio PATTACINI - Stefano CAITI Georg NORRIS - Henrik NORMAN HANSEN Verino CALDARELLI - Bruno SAPUTI Pierre-jean LOUCHART - Jean-Louis STOPPA 60 Andrzej DUDZIK - Marek NOWOWIEJSKI 61 Barbara CESARI - Francesco NATALE 62 Anthony J.VALK - Eva BOUWMAN

63 Victor ARONOV - Ahu ZOBU
126 Krzysztof KUJAWA - Slawomir OLECH
64 Wlodzimierz STARKOWSKI - Wojciech OLANSKI 65 Ugur ER - Ozcan PEHLIVAN
66 David VOZABAL - Jakub SLEMR
67 Bas DRIJVER - Maarten SCHOLLAARDT29 Gyorgy SZALAY - Peter LAKATOS

30 UIf NILSSON - Leif BREMARK
I31 Gilles-Ren QUERAN - Bogdan MARINA
32 Mehmet EKSIOGLU - Temel AKSOY
133 George COLTER - Helen COLTER
134 Enzo DITANO - Alessandro PIANA
135 Marcin LESNIEWSKI - Jean-Michel VOLDOIRE
36 Mario D'AGOSTINO - Matilde LONGHI
37 Epko STEINMETZ - Anton van UITERT
38 Ann-mari MIRKOVIC - Stein Atle JUVIK
39 Luc DE MESMAECKER - Eric DEBUS
40 Serjio KOVALIU - Lilo POPLILOV
41 Robin FELLUS - Giuseppe DELLE CAVE
42 Bogdan NEAGU - Calin STIRBU
143 Alberto GULLOTTA - Francesco SCRIATTOLI
144 David MOSSOP - Gojko ZIVKOVIC
45 Giacinto PARRELLA - Rudy MASCARUCCI
46 Gaetano LEONETTI - Vincenzo ROBERTI
47 Giuseppe ROCCHI - Livio TRETA
Claude DELMOULY - lain SIME

148 Gerard IZISEL - Dominique PILON
149 Ingvar ERGA - Fred Arne MOEN
50 Victor MILMAN - David KENDRICK
151 Jean Francois ALLIX - Erick MAUBERQUEZ
152 Dmitri PROKHOROV - Igor CURLIN
53 Manuel NEGRIN - Lucas CONTRERAS
54 Maciej WRECZYCKI - Stanislaw GOLEBIOWSKI
55 Zia MAHMOOD - Lila PANAHPOUR
156 Cezary BALICKI - Tomasz SIELICKI
157 Cian HOLLAND - Gay KEAVENEY
58 Anna MATWIJOW - Bernard JADCZAK
59 Gabriel BALITA - Dan MANEA
60 Nicola RIZZUTI - Rita D'APICE
61 Odin SVENDSEN - Tormod ROREN
162 Didier MASSE - Philippe MARILL
63 Andris SMILGAJS - Maris MATISONS
64 Aureliano YANES - Angelo GAIONI
65 Andrew THOMPSON - Anthony CLARK
66 Luisa VENINI - Daniele MEREGAGLIA
67 Jonas HOUMOLLER - Lars Kirkegaard NIELSEN
68 Erik RYNNING - Geir BREKKA
169 Jari BACKSTROM - Juha SAARIKOSKI
170 Josef ZWILLINGER - Gadi LEIBOVITZ
171 Dennis BILDE - Emil JEPSEN
172 Vincenzo BURGIO - Salvatore GATTO
47.84
47.81
47.81
47.78
47.55
47.43
47.39
47.35
47.31
47.31
47.25
47.02
47.02
46.96
46.88
46.73
46.51
46.47
46.42
46.32
46.20
46.09
45.94
45.76
45.62
45.60
45.48
45.42
45.37
44.90 44.87
44.73
44.47
44.44

### 44.42

44.40
44.18
43.71
43.63
43.47
42.91
42.83
42.83
42.83
42.53
42.53
42.40
42.34
42.09 115 Boguslaw PAZUR - Rafal JAGNIEWSKI
117 Per JANSSON - Lars-Goran EHLIN
II8 Philippe BUSQUIN - Georges JAUNIAUX
119 Vladimir NULICEK - Zbynek LAUER
120 Jim KREKORIAN - Drew CASEN 12 Geoffrey WOLFARTH - Brian SENIOR 122 Jan-olov ANDERSSON - Goeran PETERSON 12 Manlio TOMASSINI - Antonio CACCIAPUO
125 Jacek PSZCZOLA -Vytattas VAINIKONIS

173 Dario MARMONTI - Gaetano MASSA
74 George HRISTOV - Kamen TCHERVENIAKOV
175 Csaba CZIMER - Peter FEHER
176 Carlo TOTARO - Maria Pia TOTARO
77 O. RODZIEWICZ-BIELEWICZ - M. NOWOSADZKI 40.88
78 Giuseppe PAGANO - Umberto RAIOLA
41.81
41.69
41.13
47.94
47.89

79 Giorgi ABZIANIDZE - Revaz BERIASHVILI
180 Santino CASADIO - Patrizia JEREB
I8I Arturo ERRA - Clara LAUS
79 Giorgi ABZIANIDZE - Revaz BERIASHVILI 40.10

