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# EGYPTIANS TOP PAIRS PYRAMID 

The qualification tournaments are over and the finals start today．Egypt＇s pairs specialists Tarek Sadek \＆Waleed el Ahmadi headed the Open qualifiers，followed by the young Italian brothers， Stelio \＆Furio di Bello．
The Women＇s filed was topped by Spain＇s Nuria \＆Marta Almirall，ahead of the holders， Austria＇s Maria Erhart \＆Jovanka Smedere－ vac．
In the Seniors，France＇s Francois Leenhardt \＆Nicolas Dechelette led the way from Italy＇s Guido Resta \＆Dano De Falco．

## We start <br> －the pairs finals

Icomplete barometer，which means that all con－ testants meat all other and play 2 boards．Play is【 in Tenerife．The sessions will contain 26， 26,26 ｜ and 24 boards respectively．
There is a carry－over with a weight of 1,5 ses－ ｜sion．Playing 102 boards in 4 sessions this means｜ that the carry－over in matchpoints will be 1.5 ＊
I $25,5 * 50$（the top in the final）multiplied by the ｜percentage coming from the semifinal A．The｜ carry－over for the pairs coming from the final teams will be based on the score of the pair ｜ranked 15 in the semi final A for the winners and of the pair ranked 23 for the runners up．The
Icarry over for the 6 pairs coming from semi final I $B$ will be based on the scores of the last 6 qual－ ified pairs from the semi final A．
The other pairs play three sessions（the whole Friday and Saturday morning）of 26 boards in Los Espejos and（if needed）Imperial．
【 There are 32 women pairs in the final also 【 ｜having a complete barometer．Each round con－｜ sists of 3 boards．They play $27,24,24$ and 18
I boards，all in Royale．Carry－overs are the same as in the Open pairs with the winners and run－ ners up in the teams receiving the same percent－ I age as in the Open pairs．
The other pairs play a Swiss pairs event either independently or joined with the seniors playing
I in their final B（depending on the number of \｜ pairs）．They play in Imperial．

In the senior pairs we have 28 pairs and they
I play three sessions of 27 boards，finishing early in｜ the afternoon on Saturday．They play in Royale．
The other conditions are as described above．
｜The final B will be played as a Swiss pairs，pos－I sibly joined with the women．

## Ton Kooijman



## Jean－Marc

 Roudinesco TrophyJean－Marc Roudinesco from France was Euro－ pean senior champion in Malta in 1999.
－He died in December 2001．The EBLdecided to give his name to the Senior Championship held here．This trophy will be presented to the winners by Jean－Marc＇s widow Aime Laniel．

## $\square$

## EBL Press Conference

A press conference will be held by the EBL President Gianarrigo Rona on Friday I July 2005，at 10.30 am，in Salon 5 －Palma de Mal－ lorca，just opposite the Press Room．

Following the Press Conference，a short cer－ emony will take place in the same room，ded－ icated to the memory of

André Boekhorst
former EBL President．
Journalists，EBL \＆NBO officials as well as any other interested person are welcome to attend these events．

## Schedule of Play

10．30 Open／Women／Senior Pairs Final A，B \＆C Session I
II．00 EBL President Press Confer ence

15．30 Open／Women／Senior Pairs Final A，B \＆C Session 2

| Contents |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| The Woman＇s Final | 2 |
| Here and There | 3 |
| Team Orange Put the Squeeze on Miroglio4 |  |
| Bridge，Zia．．．and You！（Part 2） | 5 |
| Semifinal Parejas | 6 |
| Disciplinary Hearing No． 2 | 7 |
| Open／Women／Senior Pair Results | 8 |

## P－Erize Giving Ceremony and Victory Banquet

The Prize giving and the Victory Banquet －will be held in the Roman Pool area，on Sat－ －urday July 2nd Programme
20.20 please present your invitation card
－at the entrance and take your place at the
dinner table．
20．30 Prize Giving
At the end enjoy the Victory Banquet！
－Please be punctual ！
The following will be awarded：
－The 3 best classified Teams in Senior－
【Women－Open Categories．（Titles and －medals）
－The 3 best classified Pairs in each catego－ ry（Titles and Medals）
－The 3 best classified Pairs in the Finals B\＆C
－Trophies to the 3 best classified Euro
【 pean Pairs in each Category，coming from
－Europe－same country．
－European Trophy to the Federation
Replicas to the Players．
－Overall Cash Prizes
The 3 best classified players in each cate－ gory will be called to the podium to receive their prizes．
－The players classified from 4th on，are －kindly requested to get their prizes at the Cybele Room（registration），on Saturday 2nd，from 7.30 to 8.30 pm ．

## The Women's Final

On Wednesday morning at 10.30 hrs ., the teams who had reached the Finals of their respective team events were scheduled to fight it out over 48 boards. The Women's Final would be played between the French national women's team: Cronier, Gaviard, D'Ovidio, Willard against a strong combination from Great Britain and Germany: James-Courtney, Brock, Weber and Gromann. With both teams consisting of four players only, tiredness might also affect the outcome of the match.

Looking back at the first segment of the match, however, it occured to me that reading the report below may not be recommended for people with a nervous disposition. The first three boards might very well show why:

| Board I. Dealer North. None Vul. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | - AK Q 987 |  |  |
|  | $\bullet$ J |  |  |
|  | -62 |  |  |
|  | - A 1043 |  |  |
| - 543 | N |  | Q 106 |
| $\checkmark 92$ |  |  | - Q 65 |
| - AKJ 73 |  | E | -Q 104 |
| *KJ8 | S |  | \& Q 752 |
|  | \& 2 |  |  |
|  | - AK 108743 |  |  |
|  | - 985 |  |  |
|  | 296 |  |  |

Open Room:

| West <br> Gaviard | North <br> James | East <br> D'Ovidio | South <br> Brock |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $1 \$$ | Pass | INT |
| 2 | $3 \$$ | Pass | $4 \downarrow$ |
| Pass | $4 \uparrow$ | All Pass |  |

If partner tells you, by bidding 34, that she knows best, why not trust her? Though the spades were $3-3$, there were two unavoidable losers in each minor.
D'Ovidio +50.
Closed Room:

| West <br> Weber | North <br> Cronier | East <br> Gromann | South <br> Willard |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 10 | Pass | $2 \downarrow$ |
| Pass | $2 \boldsymbol{q}$ | Pass | $3 \downarrow$ |
| Pass | $4 \dot{Q}$ | Pass | $4 \downarrow$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

Once Sylvie Willard decided her hand qualified for a 2-over-I response, the French were never in trouble and scored another +420 and their first 10 IMPs.
On the next board, the availability of a weak two in diamonds changed the outcome:

by Jos Jacobs

| Board 2. Dealer East. N/S Vul. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - 83 |  |  |
| $\checkmark$ Q Q 862 |  |  |
| - 9 |  |  |
| -832 |  |  |
| ¢ A Q 104 | N | Q 762 |
| $\checkmark 75$ |  | - K 104 |
| - Q 76 |  | - K |
| * K 109 | S | 2QJ765 |
| -K95 |  |  |
| $\checkmark 93$ |  |  |
| - A 1085432 |  |  |
| $\bigcirc 4$ |  |  |

## Open Room:

| West <br> Gaviard | North <br> James | East <br> D'Ovidio | South <br> Brock |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Pass | 2 |

All Pass
Gaviard's pass looks a matter of style, but if this is really the case, then D'Ovidio, on her passed hand non-vulnerable, might well have chanced a balancing double.As it was, a sound enough spade game was missed.
The contract made with an overtrick for +110 to Weber.
An the other table, there was no adverse opening bid, so EW were given an easier run:

\section*{Closed Room: <br> | West <br> Weber | North <br> Cronier | East <br> Gromann | South <br> Willard |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Pass | Pass |
| 10 | 20 | Dble | 3 |
| 34 | Pass | 49 | All Pass |}

This contract easily made too for another +420 and II IMPs to Weber to compensate for the loss on board I.
On the next board the German EW produced a better defence than their French counterparts:

| Board 3. Dealer South. E/WVul. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - 46 |  |  |
| $\checkmark 2$ |  |  |
| - J 763 |  |  |
| * AKJ65 |  |  |
| - J 1032 | N | - Q 95 |
| $\checkmark$ Q 1083 |  | -K 975 |
| - 85 |  | - A 1094 |
| \& 1093 | S | - Q 2 |
| , K 84 |  |  |
| - AJ 64 |  |  |
| - K Q 2 |  |  |
| ¢ 874 |  |  |

Open Room:

| West <br> Gaviard | North <br> James | East <br> D'Ovidio | South <br> Brock |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pass | 20 | Pass | 2 |
| Pass | 3 | Pass | $3 N T$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

Gaviard led a spade to declarer's king and the $\bullet K$ won the next trick. The $\downarrow Q$ was also allowed
to hold, and declarer's next move was a losing club finesse.At this point the defence had lost any chance to beat the contract: declarer had two diamonds in the bag, while four clubs, two spades and the $\vee \mathrm{A}$ were there for the taking.
Closed Room:

| West <br> Weber | North <br> Cronier | East <br> Gromann | South <br> Willard |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $1 \%$ |
| Pass | 1 | Pass | 10 |
| Pass | 2 | Pass | $3 N T$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

At the other table, declarer won the spade lead with dummy's ace, cashed the crossed to the $-K$ and took a losing club finesse. At this point, a heart shift would beat the contract and so it turned out when Ingrid Gromann put the $\vee 5$ on the table.
The well-timed switch earned her side another 10 IMPs to go into the lead by II IMPs.
But the French registered another big swing on board 8:

Board 8. Dealer West. None Vul.

|  | - 8 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | - QJ865 |  |
|  | + C 1054 |  |
|  |  |  |
| ¢K Q J 763 | N | - 942 |
| $\checkmark 1073$ |  | $\checkmark 9$ |
| - Q 62 |  | -109543 |
| \& 8 | S | \& A Q J 6 |
|  | ¢ A 105 |  |
|  | - AK 42 |  |
|  | - 8 |  |
|  | ¢9732 |  |

## Open Room:

| West <br> Gaviard | North <br> James | East <br> D'Ovidio | South <br> Brock |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2 \boldsymbol{2 i}$ | Dble | $4 \uparrow$ | Dble |
| Pass | 4 NT | Pass | $5 \boldsymbol{2}$ |
| Pass | Pass | Dble | All Pass |

The difference in style once again caused a swing. When Gaviard could open $2 \mathbf{1}$, NS had less room to find their best spot. D'Ovidio's double netted two undertricks for a score of +300 .
Closed Room:

| West <br> Weber | North <br> Cronier | East <br> Gromann | South <br> Willard |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2 \downarrow$ | $2 \downarrow$ | Pass | $4 \downarrow$ |

All Pass
Defending against a Multi-coloured $2 \star$ seems to be much easier. Cronier could simply overcall $2 v$ so the proper game was reached for another +420 and 12 IMPs to the French.

More IMPs were their due or them on board 13:


Board I3. Dealer North. All Vul
A A Q 1086

- A654
- K 10
\& A 10

| ¢ 9 | N | ¢ 74 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - K Q 8 | N | v• 97 |
| - A Q 984 | W E | - J 32 |
| +8743 | S | \$KQ962 |
|  | 4KJ5 32 |  |
|  | -1032 |  |
|  | - 765 |  |
|  | Q 5 |  |

Both teams reached a normal 4s played by North. When D'Ovidio led the K the contract could no longer be made.
At the other table East led a trump and this gave declarer a chance. She won in dummy and immediately ducked a heart, West winning the trick when she rose with the $\vee$. Next, she played $\forall A$ and...another diamond. Curtains. D'Ovidio 12 more IMPs to lead 57-45 at the intermission.
The first board of the second segment made clear which direction this match was developing: Board I. Dealer North. None Vul.

## $\$ 6$

- Q 87
- QJ 43
*K8763

| $\begin{aligned} & \wedge \text { AKJ } 982 \\ & \vee 5 \end{aligned}$ | N | - Q 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | - K 32 |
| - AK 1087 | W E | -9652 |
| $\cdots$ | S | - AJ 104 |
|  | -10753 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ AJ 10964 |  |
|  | - - |  |
|  | 9952 |  |

Open Room:

| West <br> Weber | North <br> D'Ovidio | East <br> Gromann | South <br> Gaviard |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pass | Pass | $2 \downarrow$ |
| $4 *$ | Pass | $5 *$ | All Pass |

Well, on the surface there's nothing wrong with the leaping Michaels, except that trumps are 4-0 behind you. One down.
Closed Room:

| West Willard | North <br> James | East Cronier | South <br> Brock |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pass | Pass | 3 V |
| 4v | Dble | Pass | Pass |
| 49 | All Pass |  |  |

James' double of $4 \checkmark$ gave East the chance to pass, after which west clarified her hand with a bid of 44 . When nobody made any further move, the French had landed safely for +420 and another 10 IMPs. They went on to win the match 139-99. Once again our congratulations to them!


## Here And There

We present a short look at some of the action from the quarter and semi finals.
Jacobs was expected to beat Hecht and took a handy lead into the second half, but the Danish players had not read the script.


3NT was made with an overtrick at the other table when South led the $\$$. Declarer had been allowed to win with dumm'y jack, had returned the suit to North's ace and then won a third club and cashed winners, +430 . By the way, East had opened I $\vee$, but North's failure to switch when in with the club ace was still slightly strange.
Here South led the seven of diamonds for the eight, ten and king and declarer played the three of clubs to the jack and ace. North found the threatening switch to the ten of hearts and when declarer covered with the queen the contract was one down. If North had held $\vee A / K I 08$ alone covering would be correct.

```
A A 4
* A 10 }
-KJ IO
<98542
```



```
, K Q 1082
v 2
- Q 7654
\(\% \mathrm{~A}\)
```

When the Jacobs team bid these North/South cards to $6 *$ a contract that failed by one trick the match was level, and the Danes produced three rock crushing results on the last three deals to clinch the match. This was one of them, a worthy candidate for the best bid hand of the year:

Board 27. Dealer South. None Vul.
© A J
-A Q 765

- 32
\& 10854
, K 863

$$
\vee 8
$$

-Q 976
\& Q Q 63 could claim. ers were biting their fingernails.
$\checkmark 10$

- J 9 tervention. for an alternative rebid. 6NT from the South side.

| N | ¢1097542 |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | vJ3 |
| W E | - 54 |
| S | 9197 |
| Q ${ }^{\text {Q }}$ |  |
| -K10942 |  |
| - AKJ108 |  |
| \& K 2 |  |


| West | North <br> Bilde | East <br> Lauria | South <br> Hansen |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $1 \downarrow$ |
| Dble | $2 N T^{*}$ | Pass | $3 v^{*}$ |
| Pass | $3 Q^{*}$ | Pass | $3 \mathrm{NT}^{*}$ |
| Pass | $4 \Phi^{*}$ | Pass | $4 \mathrm{NT}^{*}$ |
| Pass | $5 \Phi^{*}$ | Pass | $6 \downarrow$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

West led his trump and declarer won, drew a second round and ran the queen of spades. When it held he had a parking place for a losing club and

Team Orangel appeared to be cruising to a place in the final against Ozdil but in the second half their opponents came roaring back, and when they bid a slam on the penultimate board to reduce the margin to just I IMP the Dutch support-

Board 27. Dealer South. None Vul.

$$
\text { 4 A Q } 9872
$$

\&KJ 63

| \& K 106 <br> $\checkmark$ A <br> -K 10875 <br> \& 10972 |  |  | - 54 <br> $\checkmark 76432$ <br> - 6432 <br> \& 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $W^{N}$ |  |  |
|  | Q ${ }^{\circ} 3$ <br> $\checkmark K Q$ <br> - A Q <br> \& 5 | $985$ |  |
| West | North | East | t South |
| Holland | Muller | Bakshi | De Wijs |
|  |  |  | 18* |
| INT | 24 | Pass | $3 \vee$ |
| Pass | 31 | Pass | $4 \vee$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

A sound auction, easily dealing with West's in-

| West <br> Jansma | North <br> Ginossar | East <br> Verhees | South <br> Ozdil |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $1 \downarrow$ |
| Pass | 19 | Pass | $3 \downarrow$ |
| Pass | $4 \mathbf{\&}$ | Pass | $4 \downarrow$ |
| Pass | $4 \downarrow$ | Pass | $4 N T$ |
| Pass | 5 | Pass | $6 \downarrow$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

In the Women's event Sally Brock and Margaret James followed a similar sequence to the slam. Of course, 6 V is a poor contract, but as a general point notice how important it was that South's hearts were so good. With the same values but a worse suit it would probably be better to look

The 5-I trump break was awkward, but the spade finesse was right and declarer was not tested in our main match as West led a diamond.

In the Senior event this deal also played a big part and it was tough on the Rand team, who lost by their semi final by 4 IMPs as this hand cost them a bundle when their opponents played in


## Team Orange Put The Squeeze On Miroglio

As in their earlier matches Team Orange I were fast out of the blocks against Miroglio in the first segment of the Open Teams final and within the space of just five boards they led 33-0.

Board I. Dealer North. None Vul.
\& AKQ987
$\vee J$

- 62
\& 1043

-AK 108743
- 985
\&96


## Open Room

| West <br> De Wijs | North <br> Romanski | East <br> Muller | South <br> Stepinski |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1. | Pass | INT |
| 2 | $3 \$$ | All Pass |  |

With the potential for seven quick tricks North was happy to jump at his second turn, but with nothing in reserve and an unsuitable hand South was surely right to pass.
The defence was accurate, starting with two top diamonds followed by a club switch to hold declarer to nine tricks, +140 .

## Closed Room

| West <br> Kowalski | North <br> Bertens | East <br> Tuszynski | South <br> Bakkeren |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 19 | Pass | $1 \mathrm{NT}^{*}$ |
| Pass | $3 \uparrow$ | Pass | $4 \downarrow$ |
| Pass | $4 \uparrow$ | All Pass |  |

North's 49 meant he moved from a contract that was unbeatable to one that was not. I have already polled a number of experts and taking into account certain systemic differences they are inclined towards a pass with the North hand. East led the jack of spades and declarer won, drew trumps and took an extra round of spades. That proved to be an astute move as East discarded the $\$ 2$ and suddenly the hand was over, declarer discarding a losing diamond on a top heart and then playing on clubs. West had followed with the five, three and four of spades (would the five, four then three be better to suggest something in dia-


Bauke Muller, The Netherlands
monds?) So, +420 and a somewhat fortuitous 7 IMPs for the Orangeman.

| Board 2. Dealer East. N/S Vul. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ¢ 83 |  |  |
| $\checkmark$ A Q J 862 |  |  |
| - 9 |  |  |
| 2832 |  |  |
| , A Q 104 | N | Q ${ }^{\text {J }} 62$ |
| $\checkmark 75$ | W | $\checkmark$ K 104 |
| - Q 76 | W | -K |
| * K 109 | S | \& Q J 765 |
| ¢K 95 |  |  |
| $\checkmark 93$ |  |  |
| - A 1085432 |  |  |
| \% 4 |  |  |

Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| De Wijs | Romanski | Muller | Stepinski |
|  |  | Pass | Pass |
| INT | $2 \star^{*}$ | Pass | $2 \downarrow$ |
| Dble* | Pass | $3 \downarrow^{*}$ | Pass |
| $3 \boldsymbol{2}$ | Pass | $4 \boldsymbol{~}$ | All Pass |

When North led a trump declarer had an easy ride and was soon claiming one overtrick, +450 .

## Closed Room

| West <br> Kowalski | North <br> Bertens | East <br> Tuszynski | South <br> Bakkeren |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Pass | 2e |
| 2NT | Pass | $3 \mathbf{e n}^{*}$ | Pass |
| 3人 | Pass | $4 \boldsymbol{~ A l l ~ P a s s ~}$ |  |

North led the jack of diamonds and South won with the ace and switched to the four of clubs. Declarer knew South had a weak hand with diamonds and the club switch was surely a singleton. Should declarer win in dummy and take the spade finesse, or simply play ace of spades, spade?
When declarer went for the latter, he was a speedy one down, South winning and putting North in with a heart to get a club ruff. +50 and another II Orange IMPs.

| Board 5. Dealer North. N/S Vul. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ¢K 85 |  |  |
| $\checkmark$ K Q 1064 |  |  |
| - 543 |  |  |
| 95 |  |  |
| ¢Q9743 | N | ¢ 10 |
| $\checkmark$ J |  | $\checkmark 73$ |
| -1082 |  | -KQJ96 |
| \& A 82 | S | \& Q 10764 |
| ¢ AJ 62 |  |  |
| - 9852 |  |  |
| - A 7 |  |  |
| ¢K 3 |  |  |

Open Room

| West <br> De Wijs | North <br> Romanski | East <br> Muller | South <br> Stepinski |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pass | 2 NT* $^{*}$ | $3 \mathbf{e n}^{*}$ |
| 50 | 50 | All Pass |  |

North was left with a nasty decision once South had shown a liking for hearts over the weak opening. Five Hearts was too high on this layout and in due course declarer lost three tricks, saving one by endplaying West to lead away from the queen of spades or give a ruff and discard. Still, +100 was a decent result for East/West.

Closed Room

| West <br> Kowalski | North <br> Bertens | East <br> Tuszynski | South <br> Bakkeren |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $5 \%$ | Pass | 2NT* | 3 Na* $^{*}$ |
| 50 | Dble | All Pass |  |

This time North-South went for the money and he was proved right. North led the $\$ 5$ and South won and switched to ace of hearts and a heart. Declarer ruffed and tried the ace of clubs. When the king did not appear he was two down, -200 and 9 IMPs to continue the one way traffic.
There were a few minor swings in both directions but then the Dutchmen in the Closed Room finished the set as they had started it, with a slice of luck.

Board I5. Dealer South. N/S Vul.

$$
10
$$

$\checkmark$ A 10

- A Q 532
\& K 632


Seven Spades is clearly a poor contract, needing the diamond finesse plus a reasonable break in the suit (with slight additional squeeze chances in the event of a bad break.)
Declarer won the trump lead in hand, closed his eyes and played a diamond to the queen. When he opened them it had held and after a diamond ruff, spade to dummy and a diamond ruff he could claim +2210 .
As you will already have guessed the Polish players did not duplicate this result.
At the end of the set Team Orange I held a commanding lead, 54-I I IMPs. When you are playing well - and in luck - you are going to be hard to beat.

## Monte-Carlo

4-5 \& 6 November 2005

International Bridge Tournament Teams of Four
at Sporting-Club d'Hiver
(Venue of the 2003 Bermuda Bowl)
Monaco Bridge Federation
64 Bd du Jardin Exotique
Tel: (377) 9330 IO 06
jacques_balleret@monaco377.com

## Bridge, Zia...and You! (Part 2)

## by Ace Ventura

## Round of 32: Panahpour vs Auken

Some of you must have read the book, 'Bridge, Zia... and Me!'. We could not afford a follow-up by the author Michael Rosenberg, so here is the Tenerife-version - Bridge, Zia... and You!
You will be given some of Zia's hands to see if you can do any better than him. All hands are from the Round of 32 match between Panahpour, including the bridge star Zia Mahmood, and Jens Auken's Danish squad. See part one in yesterday's bulletin. At the halfway point of this match, Jens Auken's team was leading 50-17.
Session two. 14 more boards to go. Zia is now seated South in the Closed Room.
The first board was flat since both EW-pairs reached and made 64. That happened only in one other match. Well done! Auken gained another 5 IMPs on board 16 .

$$
\text { © A J } 98
$$

$\checkmark 8542$

- 42
*652

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 * | $1{ }^{1}$ | Pass |
| $2 \vee$ | 3\% | 34 | Pass |
| 3NT | Pass | Pass | ? |

Sitting South, do you pass or double?
Board I7. Dealer North. None Vul. ©
マKJIO
-K Q 9763
\& 197

| ¢1073 | N | ¢K Q 6542 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\checkmark$ A Q 7 |  | $\checkmark 963$ |
| - AJ 5 | W E | -108 |
| \& Q 1083 | S | \&K4 |
|  | - AJ 98 |  |
|  | $\checkmark 8542$ |  |
|  | -42 |  |

Open Room:

| West <br> Mcintosh | North <br> Kampmann | East <br> King | South <br> Auken |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | I | 14 | Pass |
| 2 | Dble | $2 \boldsymbol{4}$ | Pass |
| 2NT | Pass | 3NT | All Pass |

## Closed Room:

| West <br> Schaltz | North Robson | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $1 *$ | 19 | Pass |
| $2 \vee$ | 3\% | 31 | Pass |
| 3NT | Dble | All Pass |  |

You may have noticed that the closed room action does not exactly match that of the quiz. But Zia had promissed at the table that he would double, even if Robson had not. Double was therefore the answer. Kampmann and Auken

passed in cowardly fashion.
Robson led the king of diamonds and Schaltz won the ace. Now declarer wanted to establish spades, but he soon discovered to his dismay how the suit was divided. Zia won the trick and returned a diamond, Robson let dummy's ten win the first spade. Declarer tried the club king but Robson won with the ace and cashed four diamond tricks. The defence had one more trick coming for 500 and 10 IMPs to Panahpour, when the defence in the Open Room slipped slightly and only won five tricks.
All vulnerable, do you take action with Zia's hand?

```
4KQ 106
\bullet75
-KJ32
& A 107
```

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $4 v$ | Pass | Pass | $?$ |

Board 20. Dealer West. All Vul. - 9854 $\checkmark K 9$

- 10976 96

| - 7 | N | - AJ 32 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\checkmark$ AQJ 10862 |  | $\checkmark 43$ |
| - 4 | W E | - A Q 85 |
| Q ${ }_{\text {c }} 54$ | S | ¢K 32 |
|  | ¢KQ 106 |  |
|  | $\checkmark 75$ |  |
|  | -KJ32 |  |
|  | * A 107 |  |

Open Room:

| West <br> Mcintosh | North <br> Kampmann | East <br> King | South <br> Auken |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $4 \cup$ | Pass | Pass | Dble |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

Closed Room:

| West <br> Schaltz | North <br> Robson | East <br> Christiansen | South <br> Zia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $4 \stackrel{\text { Pass }}{ }$ | Pass | Pass | Dble |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

Did someone say that pass is the best call in bridge? Well, here it was, even though no one can blame you if you reluctantly picked an X from your bidding box. No defence could prevent declarer from making an overtrick in $4 \vee$, which happened at both tables for +990 and a remarkable push.

Okay, have another go at it.

| ¢ ${ }_{\text {A }}$ |
| :---: |
| $\checkmark$ AKQJ9 |
| - 743 |
| \&K 543 |
| N |
| W E |
| S |
| 4. 1073 |
| $\checkmark 8765$ |
| - A J 8 |
| \& 86 |

Neither defender interfered and you are playing in $4 \vee$. You win the trump lead in dummy to play a diamond to the jack and queen. West switches to the queen of clubs. What is your plan?
You can count to seven easy tricks. Ruffing two
clubs in hand would produce two more. But where to find the tenth trick? The hands were rotated for your convenience. This was the actual layout:

Board 23. Dealer South. All Vul.
\& 1073

- 8765
-AJ 8
46
4K 965
$\checkmark 32$
-K 962
\& 97

, A
-AKQ19
- 743
*K 543
Open Room:

| West <br> Mcintosh | North <br> Kampmann | East <br> King | South <br> Auken |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $1 \downarrow$ |
| Pass | 30 | Pass | $4 \vee$ |

Closed Room:

| West <br> Schaltz | North <br> Robson | East <br> Christiansen | South <br> Zia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $1 \downarrow$ |
| Pass | $2 \vee$ | Pass | $4 \downarrow$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

Panahpour closed the gap here thanks to Zia, who found the winning line. He let the club queen hold the trick, then again played low on the club continuation. East sifted to a trump, which was won in dummy. Zia ruffed a club felling the ace. Now the king of clubs was a winner, so a diamond loser could be pitched and a diamond ruff was the tenth trick.
At the other table 3\% showed four card trump support, 6-9 HCP, and the same contract was reached. Jens Auken put up the kingin the same situation and went one down; Panahpour gained 12 IMPs.
Panahpour came close, but not close enough. This board was the last big swing of the match and the final score was 69-47. Panahpour was knocked out, but Team Auken didn't survive the Round of 16 .


Andrew Robson, England

## SEMIFINAL PAREJAS

Los torneos de parejas Open, Damas y Seniors siguen avanzando, habiéndose disputado ya las 2 primeras (de 3) sesiones de la semifinal.
A falta de la última sesión, que se está disputando en estos momentos, en el torneo Open la pareja G.Goded-F.Goded está situada en la posición 19 (de 104) con un $54.29 \%$ de media, lo que les daría derecho a disputar la gran final. En Damas las noticias aún son mejores, ya que de momento hay 3 parejas en puestos de pase a la final: N.Almirall-M.Almirall (puesto I con un $57.58 \%$ ), Hernández-Mestres (puesto 10 con un $52.26 \%$ ) y L.Castells-M.Castells (puesto 13 con un 52.01\%).
En estas 2 sesiones, jugadas a alto nivel, destaco las siguientes manos. En primer lugar, un peculiar contrato jugado por G. Goded:


La subasta merece un comentario. Gonzalo abrió de IST (15-I7) y después del doblo negativo de su compañero propuso su palo $5^{\circ}$ a trébol. No es el mejor contrato que se le ocurría a Fede, así que él propuso a su vez su palo $5^{\circ}$ a diamante. Finalmente, Gonzalo escogió la parcial a $\downarrow$ con el fit 4-3.

La salida fue del para la $\uparrow \mathrm{Q}, \mathrm{K}$ y el $\uparrow \mathrm{A}$. al $\bullet 10$ y el $\star$ A fue seguido de y otro fallado en el muerto con el $\vee 9$ y refallado con el $\vee 10$. Este volvió a trébol para el \& y Gonzalo jugó $\vee$ para el $\vee K$ de Oeste. La 2 Q fue ganada por el $\mathrm{c} \mathrm{A} y$ el remate fue espectacular: $\stackrel{\text { para la } \diamond Q \text { y el } \diamond K \text {, }}{\text {, }}$ - fallado con el $\vee \mathrm{A}$ y $\vee$ hacia el muerto, firme cuando Oeste sirve el $\vee \mathrm{J}$.
Subastar $3 v$ y apuntar +140 era un $89 \%$ de la mano.
Muy entretenida fue también la siguiente mano:
Dador Oeste. Nadie vulnerable.
\& Q 109
マK 10752

- AK
\& 742


En la mayoría de las mesas, Sur jugaba el contrato de $5 \star$, después de la apertura de 3 de Oeste, y recibía la salida del ${ }^{\boldsymbol{f}}$ (claro semifallo). ¿Cómo hubiera carteado usted?
Es muy difícil que Oeste tenga dos semifallos, por lo que no podemos utilizar las 2 entradas de
diamante en el muerto para impasar el trébol, ya que nos fallaría. Por otro lado, el hecho de que no haya salido de nos convence de que Este tiene un honor seco. Las distribuciones posibles de Oeste, por tanto, pueden ser la 7-3-2-I o bien la 7-2-3-1.
Hay una línea que gana siempre con la segunda de ellas. Jugamos $\uparrow$ A, fallado, $\leqslant$ (ahora ya sabemos la distribución en función de si Este ha asistido o no), $\downarrow$ fallado y la $\downarrow$ Q si es necesaria. Jugamos ahora y el contrato está asegurado. Este debe ganar la baza con su honor seco y jugar \& (que le impasamos) o bajo el $\vee A$ (descartamos \&) ○ del $\vee \mathrm{A}$ (que fallamos). En cualquier caso, otra vuelta a nos permite hacer la $₫ Q$ del muerto.
La mano completa:

|  | Q Q 109 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\checkmark$ K 10752 |  |
|  | - AK |  |
|  | ¢ 742 |  |
| ¢KJ87643 | N | , A |
| $\checkmark$ A 6 | W E | - Q J 9843 |
| - 632 |  | -10 |
| \& | S | \&K 8653 |
|  | ¢ 52 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ - |  |
|  | - Q J 98754 |  |
|  | \& A O 109 |  |

Con esta línea, caía el $\vee A$ segundo y el contrato se cumplía sin dificultad. Sin embargo, hubiera sido indeferente que el segundo $\vee$ de Oeste hubiera sido cualquiera.

En la mesa que estaba observando, el declarante no acertó con esta línea y subió al muerto con el - A para impasar el trébol (Este sirviendo el 88 ). Oeste falló y siguiendo la señal de su compañero volvió de para cobrar otro fallo y el $\$ \mathrm{~K} .2$ multas en lugar de contrato cumplido. Apuntar 400 era un $85 \%$ de la mano para Norte-Sur, mientras que multar de I tan sólo reportaba un $22 \%$.
Por último, 2 manos donde había que tener engrasada la subasta de slam.

Dador Sur. Todos vulnerables.

| ¢ AK 9 | N | Q Q |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\checkmark$ - |  | - Q J 1073 |
| -AKJ543 | W E | - 2 |
| \& A Q 83 | S | \%K 109762 |

En algunas mesas Sur abrió de $2 \vee$, mostrando la cada vez más popular convención que describe una mano débil con 5 corazones y 5 a un menor. Aunque en muchos casos entorpece la subasta de los contrarios, en este caso ayudó enormemente, como demostraron Wasik-Vincent:

| Sur | Wasik | Norte | Vincent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2 \boldsymbol{( 1 )}$ | Doblo | Paso | $3 \boldsymbol{2}(2)$ |
| Paso | 5ST (3) | Paso | 6 (4) |
| Paso | $7 \boldsymbol{T}$ | Todos pasan |  |

(I) 5 corazones y 5 a un menor, débil
(2) Natural, voz positiva (2ST sería débil)
(3) Pregunta de honores mayores a trébol
(4) I honor mayor a trébol

Sin embargo, en las mesas en las que se dejó subastar más libremente, no siempre se llegó al contrato correcto, ya que Este mostraba su palo a $\vee$ y su compañero devaluaba la mano al tener allí su fallo. De hecho, en II mesas se subastaron 6\%, en 23 se subastaron 7e (algunos doblados) y el resto jugaron a ST. En el primer caso, EsteOeste cosechaban un $42 \%$ y en el segundo un $78 \%$ de la mano.
La otra mano, también de gran slam, fue la sigu-
iente:
Dador Este. Todos vulnerables.

| Q A 54 | N | ¢KQ 1062 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - AK 53 |  | $\checkmark 106$ |
| - A 73 | W E | -K 108 |
| \&KQ | S | \% 108 |

Después de la apertura de le de Este, todas nuestras queridas abuelas llegarían a $7 \boldsymbol{1}$ sin problemas después de constatar que tienen todos los ases, todos los reyes y muchísimos puntos. Pero veamos lo que pasa si nos tomamos el juego más seriamente:

| Sur | Oeste | Norte | Este |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1s |
| Paso | 2ST (I) | Paso | $4 \uparrow(2)$ |
| Paso | 4ST | Paso | $5 \$(3)$ |
| Paso | 5ST | Paso | $6 \uparrow(4)$ |
| Paso | i? |  |  |

(I) Jacoby, apoyo a y forcing a manga
(2) $12-13 \mathrm{H}$ equilibrados
(3) 2 Ases de $5+$ Q
(4) El - K

Si estamos en Oeste, sólo podemos estar seguros de que haya 7s si Este tiene una 5-2-3-3, mientras que si tiene una 5-3-2-3 o una 5-3-3-2 debemos quedarnos en 6s ya que nos ha mostrado 12 puntos y no puede tener ninguna Dama más. La voz correcta en este caso es $6 \downarrow$ que, en esta secuencia, pide precisamente a Este que cante 7s si tiene control de tercera (la Dama ó doubletón) en el palo. Este acepta la invitación y subasta el gran slam.

No se crea que todo el mundo subasta también como usted y yo hemos hecho. 13 parejas se contentaron con $6 \vee, 12$ subastaron 6ST (que en principio multa si el doubletón de Este está en el palo de trébol !!), 23 cantaron $7 \vee$ y 4 osados llegaron hasta 7ST.
Como ve, sólo hay 12 bazas de cabez a ST, pero la divina providencia quiso que los de ST ganaran más puntos que los de palo, ya que existía un squeeze - - que todos encontraban y ejecuta-


Federico Goded, Spain

## Statistics on board 23 from the Open Teams <br> Qualification Session 3 <br> Disciplinary Hearing No2

The Scoring department has provided the Appeal Committee with the following information concerning the board that was the subject of the Disciplinary Hearing No. 2
This board was played in 41 matches, at 82 tables.
2 tables played unconventional contracts ( $62-4 ; 2 \varphi \mathrm{X}-5$ by West).
49 tables played No-Trump contracts (43 in $3 N T, \operatorname{lin} 4 N T, 4$ in 6NT).
31 tables played in Diamonds (4 in $5 \star$, 27 in 6*).
Of these $80(49+3 \mathrm{I})$ contracts, 2 declarers made only 10 tricks, 73 made II tricks, and 5 made 12 tricks.
Those five declarers were:
-The South players for teams:

- Tor, $6 \mathrm{NT}=$ on the lead of the $\downarrow 7$ -Goded, $6 \mathrm{NT}=$ on the lead of the 3 (incidentally these two teams played each other) -Sazonov, $6 \star=$ on the lead of the $\$ 8$ -Queran, $6 \star=$ on the lead of the $\downarrow 7$ -and Mr Buratti, $6 \star=$ on the lead of the $\vee \mathrm{A}$


## Brilliancy Uncovered

The International Bridge Press Association has many awards for excellence but perhaps the one for defence is too all encompassing and should be subdivided with a separate one for opening leads - certainly one of the hardest skills to master.
The following deal would be a worthy contender but it almost passed into oblivion when it was reported in an earlier edition of the Bulletin.

Dealer West, E/WVul.
. 107
v-
-K 986 4A Q 98743

| ¢ 9842 | N | Q Q 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\checkmark 1084$ |  | - Q 752 |
| - J1072 | W E | -Q543 |
| ¢K 6 | S | -10 5 |
|  | Q AK 65 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ AKJ963 |  |
|  | - A |  |
|  | 中) 2 |  |


| West <br> Passarinho | North <br> L. Goldberg | East <br> Panadero | South <br> U-B. Goldberg |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pass | $2 \boldsymbol{2}$ | Pass | $3 v$ |
| Pass | $3 N T$ | Pass | 4 |
| Pass | $4 v$ | Pass | $4 N T$ |
| Pass | 5 | Pass | $5 \uparrow$ |
| Pass | $6 v$ | All Pass |  |

North/South reached a poor contract, but one that had some chances should declarer take a winning view in the trump suit. However, West, Joao Passarinho found the only lead to ensure that the contract was bound to fail when he placed the six of clubs on the table.Declarer ran the opening lead to the jack and cashed the top trumps. Our reporter suggested that the winning line now is to play the jack of hearts, under which West's ten will drop. However, East wins and plays a second club, killing dummy and ensuring the defeat of the contract.
If West leads any other suit declarer has only to guess the trumps suit to make the contract.

## Women Semifinal B

RankName
I Paola RONCHI - Patrizia CECCONI 58.15
2 Aase LANGELAND - T. SVENDSEN 56.28
3 Adriana SALINAS - Miriam ROSENBERG 55.43
4 Gilda PENDER - Noreen PENDER 55.05
5 Anila BAHAL - Marietta ANDREE 54.14
6 Judi RADIN - Virginia CAVE
7 Anna GRUNT - M. JELENIEWSKA
8 Paola SCALAMOGNA - E. FUSARI 52.16
9 Mine BABAC - Merih TOKCAN
10 Anna Maria TROTTA - R.a LANZILLI
52.04

10 Anna Maria TROTTA - R.a LANZILLI 52.03
Il Emma RICCIO - Maria De GOETZEN 52.01
12 Paula SPIL - Maria PEL
13 Kath NELSON - Rhona GOLDENFIELD 51.24
14 Roz WOLFARTH - Anni KOVACHEVA 50.96
15 Ann FITZGERALD - Lucy PHELAN
16 Joan KENNY - Emer JOYCE 48.42

17 Stine HOLMOY - Maja Rom ANJER 47.69
18 Pernille LINDAAS - Inger HJELLEMARKEN47.62
19 M.C. PERLINI - Lea M STACCHINI 47.03
20 Camilla PAOLINI - Caterina CERCIELLO 46.94
21 Aoife MACHALE - Antoinette MCGEE 46.33
22 Francesca MARANI - Patrizia LOMBARDI 46.31
23 Carmelina, De La FE - Eva SARMIENTO 44.95
24 Enrica DIAMANTI - Carla COMPARINI 41.10
25 Irene BARONI - Mariella PAPARO 40.69

## The Jourdain Principle

If my memory serves Patrick Jourdian proposed that sometimes the secret of making a difficult $3 N T$ is to play off the long suit. I wonder if Tarek Nadim knew that when he played this deal in the Open Teams Consolation Swiss.

| Board 19. Dealer South. E/WVul. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Q K 1093 |  |  |  |
| $\checkmark 10$ |  |  |  |
| - 732 |  |  |  |
| \&AK Q 83 |  |  |  |
| J 865 | $N \quad \triangle A Q$ |  |  |
| 1653 | W E VKQ972 |  |  |
| 16 | W E K 85 |  |  |
| 76 | S | \& 1094 |  |
| , 742 |  |  |  |
| $\checkmark$ A 84 |  |  |  |
| - A Q 1094 |  |  |  |
| * 52 |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
|  | Heshmat |  | Nadim |
|  |  |  | I* |
| Pass | 20 | Pass | 2 * |
| Pass | 24 | Pass | 2NT |
| Pass | 3NT | All Pass |  |

When West led the three of hearts prospects were poor. Declarer ducked the first two rounds of the suit, won the third and then started on the clubs. When they proved to be 3-3 he was able to play two more rounds of the suit, discarding a diamond on the queen and a spade on the eight. When the last club hit the table East was down to $\triangle A Q, \vee K, \star$ K85. What could he discard?

A heart would allow declarer to play a diamond to the queen, cash the ace and exit with a diamond to force East to give the last trick to the king of spades.
A diamond would give declarer four tricks in the suit and a spade would allow declarer to duck a spade. East would win, cash a heart but then have to play a diamond allowing declarer two tricks in that suit and a spade.

## Senior Semifinal B

RankName
I Mike HIRST - Patrick JOURDAIN ..... 59.56
2 Gerrit KAMERBEEK - Piet BORST ..... 56.19
3 Z. LASZCZAK - Jan KANDYBOWICZ ..... 56.07
4 Roy BENNETT - David LIGGAT ..... 55.79
5 Kazimierz PUCZYNSKI - H. KOSIANKO ..... 54.48
6 Marie-France RENOUX - A. DELCOURT ..... 53.58
7 Lewis KAPLAN - Danielle AVON ..... 53.25
8 Eduardo MOLERO - J. MANGLANO ..... 52.70
9 Mario MAGGIO - Antonio LATESSA ..... 52.42
10 Sean O'LUBAIGH - Michael MCDONAGH 51.24
II Erik LUND - Niels Joergen TOBIASEN ..... 50.40
12 Sergio ARNABOLDI-G.TURLETTI ..... 48.97
13 Rita SEAMON - Ruth LEVKOFF ..... 48.77
14 Nicole SCHULMANN - J. GONFREVILLE ..... 46.95
15 A. FLEISCHMANN - U. FLEISCHMANN ..... 46.91
I6 W. MALACZYNSKI -A. GORZYNSKI ..... 46.69
17 Koos VRIEZE - Harry Van De PEPPEL ..... 45.88
18 Joseph FREI - Hugo IMHOF ..... 44.21
19 Maria FLEISCHMANN - Herbert FINZ ..... 39.11
20 Renata CROCOLI - Antonio De SANDRO 38.5221 Christo DRUMEV - Torkom MECHIKIAN 0.00

## Championship Diary

Dessy Popova came in to the office and asked 'When can I register for the Senior Pairs?' (She was intending to do it for a Bulgarian pair) 'In about forty years time.'

On Bridgebase a Polish player, Lukasz, enquired which matches were to be shown... 'as I'm considering not going to school.' He clearly has his priorities right.
Patrick Jourdain reported that he American Senior Team led by Bob Hollman that won their qualifying pool sent Bruce Ferguson to the captains' meeting to make the choice of opponent for the quarterfinals. So when, by chance, Tony Priday, from the selected choice, met Hollman at the swimming pool the American could not tell whether the Englishman was kidding when he said "Ah! At the captains meeting your man said 'I hear there's a team with two women and an 83-year old man.' We want to play them."
In a similar vein at the Women's meeting one of the teams, having realised that it was the kiss of death to choose an opponent, resorted to the refused to do so and resorted to the time honoured method of tossing a coin!
Simon De Wijs and Bauke Muller of Team Orange call their system Tarzan. Can you guess why? Okay, perhaps the most famous actor to play the role of the ape-man in the movies was Johnny Weismuller, the Olympic swimming champion. Now take a close look at their surnames!

## Medal Presentations

## I

- If you are leaving before the ceremony on || - Saturday evening you must tell Silvia Valentini at the Bridge Shop no later than this af-


## I

- ternoon so that your medal can be formally awarded at 10.00 on Friday before the Press Conference in Salon 5, Palma de Mallorca, opposite the Press Room.

| Open Pairs Semifinal A |  |  | Open Pairs Semifinal B |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rank Name |  | \% | Rank Name |  | \% |
| । | Tarek SADEK - Waleed EIAHMADI | 56.69 | 1 | Jan-olov ANDERSSON - Goeran PETERSON | 59,00 |
| 2 | Stelio DI BELLO - Furio DI BELLO | 56.56 | 2 | Tadeusz RALKO - Roman GRZELAK | 48 |
| 3 | Victor ARONOV - Ahu ZOBU | 56.38 | 3 | Marcin KRUPOWICZ - Piotr LUTOSTANSKI | 6 |
| 4 | Tom TOWNSEND - David GOLD | 56.37 | 4 | Thomas BESSIS - Michel BESSIS | 2 |
| 5 | Tor HELNESS - Rune HAUGE | 55.76 | 5 | Boguslaw PAZUR - Rafal JAGNIEWSKI | 4 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 6 \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ | Desislava Borissova POPOVA - R.G. GUNEV Maurizio PATTACINI - Stefano CAITI | 55.44 | 6 | Jeff ALLERTON - Frances HINDEN | 5,56 |
| 8 | Steve GARNER - Howard WEINSTEIN | 55 | 7 | Slawomir OLECH - Krzysztof KUJAWA | 7 |
| 9 | Thomas VANG-LARSEN - Lars BLAKSET | 55.26 | 8 | Laszlo HONTI - Gyula BODIS | 55,62 |
| 10 | Gabor WINKLER - Miklos DUMBOVICH | 54.80 | 9 | O. ROD.-BIELEWICZ - M. NOWOSADZKI | 5,38 |
| 11 | Philippe TOFFIER - Jean-Paul BALIAN | 54.70 | 10 | Edouard BEAUVILLAIN - Marlene DUGUET | 28 |
| 12 | Michal KWIECIEN - Piotr BIZON | 54.70 | 11 | Jean-Michel VOLDOIRE - Marcin LESNIEWSKI | 55,18 |
| 13 | Jakub Slemr - David Vozabal | 54.46 | 12 | Jim KREKORIAN - Drew CASEN | 5,07 |
| 14 | Jon-Egil FURUNES - Jan Petter SVENDSEN | 54. | 13 | Andris SMILGAJS - Maris MATISONS | 0 |
| 15 | Wojiech OLANSKI - Wlodzimierz STARKOWSKI | 54.21 | 14 | Luisa VENINI - Daniele MEREGAGLIA | 4 |
| 16 | Tom HANLON - Hugh MCGANN | 54.14 | 15 | Wolfgang BIEDER - Andreas BABSCH | 5 |
| 17 | Alain LEVY - Herve MOUIEL | 53.97 | 16 | Maciej WRECZYCKI - Stanislaw GOLEBIOWSKI | 1 |
| 18 | Thierry De SAINTE MARIE - Marc BOMPIS | 53.80 | 17 | Anna MATWIJOW - Bernard JADCZAK | 4,08 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 19 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | Michael BAREL - Yaniv ZACK | 53.63 | 18 | Ron TOR - Yeshayahu LEVIT | 53,63 |
| $20$ | Christian TERRANEO - Franz TERRANEO Tony WATERLOW - John ARMSTRONG | 53.61 <br> 53.58 | 19 | Pierre ZIMMERMANN - Pierre SAPORTA | , 14 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 21 \\ & 22 \end{aligned}$ | Tony WATERLOW - John ARMSTRONG Alan NELSON - John HOLLAND | $\begin{aligned} & 53.58 \\ & 53.42 \end{aligned}$ | 20 | Janis NEIMANIS - Bruno RUBENIS | 53,01 |
| 23 | Valentin Ivan KOVACHEV - Vladis Nikolov ISPORSKI | 53.32 | 21 | Revaz BERIASHVILI - Giorgi ABZIANID | 00 |
| 24 | Federico GODED - Gonzalo GODED MERINO | 53.28 | 22 | Enrico CASTELLANI - Carlo MAGNA | 96 |
| 25 | Vladimir GONCA - Elena ALFEJEVA | 53.11 | 23 | Patrick GRENTHE - Michel DUGUE | , 88 |
| 26 | Bartosz CHMURSKI - Mariusz PUCZ | 52.9 | 24 | Hans MELBY - Roger OLAFSEN | , |
| 27 | Eric COLINET - Jacques STAS | 52.86 | 25 | Pierre ZUKER - Denis SERGENT | 52,65 |
| 28 | Jan Van CLEEFF -Vincent KROES | 52.68 | 26 | Mohamed Samy Ahmed HESHMAT - Tarek NADIM | , 3 |
| 29 | Jeff MECKSTROTH - Eric RODWELL | 52.54 | 27 | Antonio CACCIAPUOTI - Manlio TOMASSINI | 52,59 |
| 30 | Michael ROSENBERG - Debbie ROSENBERG | 52.52 | 28 | Vladimir NULICEK - Zbynek LAUER | 7 |
| 31 | Alexander DUBININ - Andrei GROMOV | 52.39 | 29 | Igor CURLIN - Dmitri PROKHOROV | 2,23 |
| $32$ | Nils Kare KVANGRAVEN - Tom HOILAND | 52.37 | 30 | Paul MARTIN - Nick BOSS | 52,16 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 33 \\ & 34 \end{aligned}$ | Bruno SAPUTI - Verino CALDARELLI Valerie CARCASSONNE-LABAERE - Alain LABAERE | 52.29 51.97 | 31 | Enzo DITANO - Alessandro PIANA | 6 |
| 35 | Bjorn FALLENIUS - Geoff HAMPSON | 51.90 | 32 | Gyorgy MARJAI - Ferenc ZOLD | 51,94 |
| 36 | Sven Olai HOYLAND - Sam Inge HOYLAND | 51.88 | 33 | Erik RYNNING - Geir BREKKA | 51,91 |
| 37 | Kauko KOISTINEN - Sue BACKSTROM | 51.85 | 34 | Gojko ZIVKOVIC - David MOSSOP | 51,87 |
| 38 | Simon GILLIS - Boye BROGELAND | 51.83 | 35 | Tony CUENCA - Michel HIRCHENAU | 51,65 |
| 39 | Janusz MAKARUK - Pawel NIEDZIELSK | 51.80 | 36 | Dominique PILON - Gerard IZISEL | 51,56 |
| 40 | Ricco Van PROOIJEN - Sjoert BRINK | 51.70 | 37 | Zia MAHMOOD - Lila PANAHPOUR | 51,55 |
| 41 | Josef ROLL - Ilan BAREKET | 51.52 | 38 | Jan CHODOROWSKI - Irena CHODOROWSKA | 51,33 |
| 42 | Cezary BALICKI - Tomasz SIELICKI | 51.35 | 39 | Henrik NORMAN HANSEN - Georg NORRIS | 0 |
| 43 | Konrad ARASZKIEWICZ - Andrzej JASZCZAK | 51.11 | 40 | Aydin UYSAL - Naci DEMIRBAS | 26 |
| 44 | Tommy GARVEY - John CARROLL | 51.05 | 41 | Lars Kirkegard NIELSEN - Jonas HOUMOLLER | 25 |
| 45 | Alfredo VERSACE - George JACOBS | 51.02 | 42 | Luc DE MESMAECKER - Eric Debus | 51,18 |
| 46 | Julian STEFANOV -Vladimir MIHOV | 50.94 | 43 | Temel AKSOY - Mehmet EKSIOGLU | 51,06 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 47 \\ & 48 \end{aligned}$ | Alain NAHMIAS - Dominique BEAUMIER Asbiorn KINDSBEKKEN - Ole BERSET | 50.83 50.67 | $44$ | Balazs SZEGEDI - Mate MRAZ | 51,01 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 48 \\ & 49 \end{aligned}$ | Asbjorn KINDSBEKKEN - Ole BERSET Ildeniz OZAN UCAN - Ata AYDIN | $\begin{aligned} & 50.67 \\ & 50.63 \end{aligned}$ | 45 | Lilo POPLILOV - Serjio KOVALIU | 50,80 |
| 50 | Doron YADLIN - Is | 50.56 | 46 | Gay KEAVENEY - Cian HOLLAND | 50,77 |
| 51 | Johan UPMARK - Tobias TORNQVIST | 50.46 | 47 | Andrew THOMPSON - Anthony CLARK | ,74 |
| 52 | Andrzej DUDZIK - Marek NOWOWIEJSK | 50.42 | 48 | Herve VINCIGUERRA - Christian MARI | 50,68 |
| 53 | David BAKHSHI - Andrew MCINTOSH | 39 | 49 | Gaetano MASSA - Dario MARMONTI | 50, |
| 54 | Beng-erik EFRAIMSSON - Anders MORATH | 50.22 | 50 | Livio TRETA - Giuseppe ROCCHI | 0 |
| 55 | David BIRMAN - Amir LEVIN | 50.22 | 51 | Cornel TEODORESCU - Pascal | 50,48 |
| 56 | Arno LINDERMANN - Martin SCHIFKO | . 7 | 52 | Philippe COENRAETS - Guy Van middelem | 0 |
| 57 | Arne LARSSON - Pia ANDERSSON | 49.95 | 53 | Tormod ROREN - Odin SVENDSEN | 50,34 |
| 58 | Lars Lund MADSEN - Morten Lund MADSEN | 49.87 |  | Peter LAKATOS - Gyorgy SZALAY | 50,33 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 59 \\ & 60 \end{aligned}$ | Entscho WLADOW - Michael ELINESCU Larysa PANINA - Michael ROSENBLUM | 49.83 | $55$ | Fred Arne MOEN - Ingvar ERGA | 50,32 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 60 \\ & 61 \end{aligned}$ | Larysa PANINA - Michael ROSENBLUM Arthur MALINOWSKI - Nicklas SANDQVIST | 49.81 49.80 | 56 | Bogdan MARINA - Gilles-Ren QUERAN | 50,17 |
|  | Tomasz GOTARD - Josef PIEKAREK | 76 | 57 | Kenneth SKOV - Haldor SUNDE | ,02 |
| 63 | Jack MIZEL - Tony FORRESTER | 49.58 | 58 | Peter FEHER - Csaba CZIMER | 63 |
| 64 | Leonardo CIMA - Lorenzo STOPPINI | 49.31 | 59 | Hani DAGHER - Magy NADIM | 16 |
| 65 | Paul CHEMLA - Philippe CRONIER | 49.28 | 60 | Jacques HENRI - Jean-pierre LAFOURCADE | 48,49 |
| 66 | Krrysztof JASSEM - Krzysztof MARTENS | 49.09 | 61 | Emil JEPSEN - Dennis BILDE | 48,48 |
| 67 | Mike ALEXANDER - Justin HACKETT | 49.02 | 62 | Maria Pia TOTARO - Carlo Totaro | 48,03 |
| 68 | Moza PANAHPOUR - Andrew ROBSON | 49.00 | 63 | Calin STIRBU - Bogdan NEAGU | 47,98 |
| 69 | Carlo CARPENTIERI - Mario GUARINO | 48.95 |  | Prit HALLER - Vassili Levenko | 47,61 |
| $70$ | Vladimir REKUNOV - Eugene SOLNTSEV | 48.87 | 65 | Matteo MONTANARI - Marco VILLANI | 47,49 |
| $71$ | Avi KALISH - Leonid PODGUR | 48.86 | 66 | Juha SAARIKOSKI - Jari BACKSTROM | 47,07 |
| $72$ | Tomasz PILCH - Leszek SZTYRAK | 48.80 | 67 | Mario BACCETTI - Domenico CHIARO | 47,02 |
| $73$ | Melih OZDIL - Eldad GINOSSAR | 48.60 | 68 | Vincenzo BURGIO - Salvatore GATTO | 46,97 |
| 75 | Janet DE BOTTON - Gunnar HALL | 51 | 69 | Vytatas VAINIKONIS - jacek PSZCZOLA | 46,80 |
| 76 | Jim SEXTON - Terry WALSH | 48.28 | 70 | Flip BOER - Edwin De RUITER | 46,78 |
| 77 | Andreas KIRMSE - Michael GROMOELLER | 48.15 | 71 | Mario D'AGOSTINO - Matilde LONGHI | 46,72 |
| 78 | Gunnar NORDBY - Anders FODSTAD | 47.90 | 72 | Antonio PALMA - Paulo SARMENTO | 46,52 |
| 79 | Poul CLEMMENSEN - Hans Christian GRAVERSEN | 47.86 | 73 | Pavel Vorobel - Yurii ANDREEV | 46,39 |
| 80 | Krzysztof BURAS - Grzegorz NARKIEWICZ | 47.62 | 74 | Brian SENIOR - Geoffrey WOLFARTH | 46,37 |
| 81 | Ivars RUBENIS - Ugis JANSONS | 47.30 | 75 | Ozcan PEHLIVAN - Ugur ER | 46,25 |
| 82 | Herve VINCENT - Arturo Herve WASIK | 47.27 | 76 | Jean Francois ALLIX - Erick MAUBERQUEZ | 45,84 |
| 83 | Albert BITRAN - Jean-pierre ROCAFORT | 47.11 | 77 | Alex ADAMSON - Harry SMITH | 45,81 |
| 84 | Jean-Louis STOPPA - Pierre-jean LOUCHART | 47.01 | 78 | Carlos LUIS - Nuno PAZ | 45,77 |
| $85$ | Maija ROMANOVSKA - Karlis RUBINS | 46.19 | 79 | Manuel NEGRIN - Lucas CONTRERAS | 45,59 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 86 \\ & 87 \end{aligned}$ | Darren WOLPERT - Jurek CZYZOWICZ Jens AUKEN - Sabine AUKEN | $\begin{aligned} & 46.02 \\ & 45.87 \end{aligned}$ | 80 | Robin FELLUS - Giuseppe DELLE CAVE | 45,55 |
| 88 | Woiciech ROZWADOWSKI - Piotr WALCZAK | 45.79 | 81 | Gabriel BALITA - Dan MANEA | 45,30 |
| 89 | Marco ARRIGONI - Sergio BEVILACQUA | 45.44 | 82 | David KENDRICK -Victor MILMAN | 45,21 |
| 90 | Tomasz KRYSZTOFIAK - Grzegorz LEWACIAK | 44.90 | 83 | Didier MASSE - Philippe MARILL | 44,96 |
| 91 | Gary Gottlieb - Peter FREDIN | 44.78 | 84 | Gaetano LEONETTI -Vincenzo ROBERTI | 44,83 |
| 92 | Per JANSSON - Lars-Goran EHLIN | 44.74 | 85 | Rudy MASCARUCCI - Giacinto PARRELLA | 44,75 |
| 93 | Giovanni MACI - Ruggero PULGA | 44.69 | 86 | Rita D'APICE - Nicola RIZZUTI | 44,52 |
| 94 | Peter HECHT-JOHANSEN - Knut BLAKSET | 44.27 | 87 | Stein Atle JUVIK - Ann-mari MIRKOVIC | 44,24 |
| 95 | Bas DRIJVER - Maarten SCHOLLAARDT | 44.19 | 88 | Francesco SCRIATTOLI - Alberto GULLOTTA | 43,38 |
| 96 | Leif BREMARK - Ulf NILSSON | 43.88 | 89 | Arturo ERRA - Clara LAUS | 43,06 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 97 \\ & 98 \end{aligned}$ | Ivan NANEV - Ilia VASILEV Claude DELMOULY - lain SIME | 43.80 4379 | 90 | Philippe BUSQUIN - Georges JAUNIAUX | 43,04 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 98 \\ & 99 \end{aligned}$ | Claude DELMOULY - lain SIME Doris FISCHER - Bernd SAURER | 43.79 43.57 | 91 | Santino CASADIO - Patrizia JEREB | 42,01 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 99 \\ & 100 \end{aligned}$ | Doris FISCHER - Bernd SAURER | 43.57 | 92 | Giuseppe PAGANO - Umberto RAIOLA | 41,52 |
| 101 | Renzo MIETI - Fiorenzo FIORINI | 41.71 | 93 | Gadi LEIBOVITZ - Josef ZWILLINGER | 41,40 |
| 102 | Jerzy KOZYCZKOWSKI - Laszlo GOTTHARD | 41.70 | 94 | Maurice PANIS - Lea HESS | 41,06 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 103 \\ & 104 \end{aligned}$ | Sascha WERNLE - Joe BRAININ Christophe MARRO - Stephane S | 40.85 | 95 | Angelo GAIONI - Aureliano YANES | 40,75 |

Jan-olov ANDERSSON - Goeran PETERSON Tadeusz RALKO - Roman GRZELAK

4 Thomas BESSIS - Michel BESSIS
Boguslaw PAZUR - Rafal JAGNIEWS
Jeffaller
Laszlo HONTI - Gyula BODIS
O. ROD.-BIELEWICZ - M. NOWOSADZKI

I Jean-Michel VOLDOIRE - Marcin LESNIEWSK
Jim KREKORIAN - Drew CASEN
3 Andris SMILGAJS - Maris MATISONS
Luisa VENINI - Daniele MEREGAGLIA
6 Maciej WRECZYCKI - Stanislaw GOLEBIOWSKI

Pierre ZIMMERMANN - Pierre SAPORTA Janis NEIMANIS - Bruno RUBENIS
Revaz BERIASHVILI - Giorgi ABZIANIDZE
23 Patrick GRENTHE - Michel DUGUET
Hans MELBY - Roger OLAFSEN
Pierre ZUKER - Denis SERGENT Mohamed Samy Ahmed HESHMAT - Tarek NADIM
Vladimir NULICEK - Zbynek LAUER Paul MARTIN - Nick BOSS
Enzo DITANO - Alessandro PIANA Gyorgy MARJAI - Ferenc ZOLD Erik RYNNING - Geir BREKKA
34 Gojko ZIVKOVIC - David MOSSOP
Dominique PILON - Gerard IZISEL
Zia MAHMOOD - Lila PANAHPOUR Jan CHODOROWSKI - Irena CHODOROWSKA

Aydin UYSAL - Naci DEMIRBAS
Lars Kirkegard NIELSEN - Jonas HOUMOLLER
Luc DE MESMAECKER - Eric DEBUS
Temel AKSOY - Mehmet EKSIOGLU
Lilo POPLILOV - Serjio KOVALIU
Gay KEAVENEY - Cian HOLLAND
Andrew THOMPSON - Anthony CLARK
Gaetano MASSA - Dario MARMONTI Livio TRETA - Giuseppe ROCCHI
Philip The
53 Tormod ROREN - Odin SVENDSEN
Peter LAKATOS - Gyorgy SZALAY
Fred Arne MOEN - Ingvar ERGA
Bogdan MARINA - Gilles-Ren QUERAN
Peter FEHER - Csaba CZIMER
59 Hani DAGHER - Magy NADIM
61 Emil JEPSEN - Dennis BILDE
62 Maria Pia TOTARO - Carlo TOTARO
64 Prit HALLER - Vassili LEVENKO
65 Matteo MONTANARI - Marco VILLANI
Juha SAARKOSKI - Jari BACKSTROM
Mario BACCETTI - Domenico CHIARO
69 Vytattas VAINIKONIS - Jacek PSZCZOLA
70 Flip BOER - Edwin De RUITER
7 Mario D'AGOSTINO - Matilde LONGH
Antonio PALMA - Paulo SARMEN
Brian SENIOR - Geoffrey WOLFARTH
Ozcan PEHLIVAN - Ugur ER
Alent
Carlos LUIS - Nuno PAZ
Manuel NEGRIN - Lucas CONTRERAS
81 Gabriel BALITA - Dan MANEA
83 Didier MASSE - Philippe MARIL
84 Gaetano LEONETTI -Vincenzo ROBERTI
85 Rudy MASCARUCCI-Giacinto PARRELLA
86 Rita D'APICE - Nicola RIZZUT
87 Stanc
89 Arturo ERRA Cla
90 Philippe BUSQUIN - Georges JAUNIAUX
Santino CASADIO - Patrizia JEREB
Giuseppe PAGANO - Umberto RAIOLA
Maurice PANIS - Lea HESS
George HRISTOV - Kamen TCHERVENIAKOV

Women Semifinal A

|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Marta ALMIRALL - Nuria ALMIRALL | . 73 |
|  | Jovanka SMEDEREVAC - Maria ERHART | 14 |
| 3 | Gabriella OLIVIERI - Gianna ARRIGONI | 78 |
|  | Nevena SENIOR - Sandra PENFOLD |  |
|  | Malgorzata PASTERNAK - Ewa HARASIMO |  |
| 6 | Elisabeth HUGON - Martine ROSSARD | 53.91 |
| 7 | Victoria GROMOVA - Tatiana PONOMAR | 9 |
| 8 | Wietske Van ZWOL - Femke HOOGWEG | 53.41 |
| 9 | Ilaria SACCAVINI - Simonetta PAOLUZI | 53.28 |
| 10 | Anna SZCZEPANSKA - Ewa SOBOLEWSKA | 52.69 |
| 11 | Laura CASTELLS-CONRADO - Marina CASTEL |  |
| 12 | Martine VERBEEK - Marion MICHIELS | 52.37 |
| 13 | Montserrat MESTRES - Maria Eugenia HER |  |
| 14 | Susanne KRIFTNER - Chantal HAMMERLI | 52.03 |
| 15 | Ann Karin FUGLESTAD - Marianne HARDIN | 51.92 |
| 16 | Nicola SMITH - Heather DHONDY | 3 |
| 17 | Rosa CORCHIA - Rita PASQUARE | 1-2 |
| 18 | Christina AHL - Margaretha EHLIN | 51.19 |
| 19 | Lynn BAKER - Karen (kate) MCCALLUM | 51.01 |
| $20$ | Ruth LEVIT-PORAT - Daniela BIRMAN | 50.51 |
| 21 | Monique VAN DE SANDE - Marleen VAN GELDER |  |
| 22 | Maryse LEENHARDT - Fabienne PIGEAUD | 0 |
| 23 | Giuseppina VALENZA - Pietra COSTANZO | 49.49 |
| 24 | Caterina FERLAZZO - Gabriella MANARA | 4 |
| 25 | Donatella GIGLIOTTI - Angela De BIASIO | 48.81 |
| 26 | Emanuela CAPRIATA - Cristina GOLIN | 48.67 |
| 27 | Grazyna BREWIAK - Anna SARNIAK | 5 |
| 28 | Marisa CATTANEO BUFFA - Rita FEDERICO | 48.28 |
| $29$ | Christine LUSTIN - Minnie TANANBAUM | 8.10 |
| 30 | Ewa MISZEWSKA - Jolanta KROGULSKA | 47.77 |
| 31 | Pilar LEON - Pilar G HONTORIA | 60 |
| 32 | Marianne RASMUSSEN - Lone BILDE | 47.28 |
| 33 | Terry WEIGKRICHT - Sylvia TERRANEO | 47.28 |
| 34 | Patsy MEEHAN - Rose O'FARRELL | 46.53 |
| 35 | Anneke SIMONS - Jet PASMAN | 46.18 |
| 36 | Annaig JACQUEMARD - Petra Von MALCHUS | 46.1 |
| 37 | Luigina GENTILI - Maddalena SEVERGNINI | 43.82 |
| 38 | Eva CSIBY - Katalin MEZEI | 43.18 |
| 39 | Ana PANELLA - Marta FRANCO | 41.66 |
| 40 | Monica BURATTI - Darinka FORTI |  |

## Senior Semifinal A

ank Name
Nicolas DECHELETTE - Francois LEENHARDT Krzysztof SIKORSKI - Roman KIERZNOWSKI Stefan KOWALCZYK - Jan SUCHARKIEWICZ Pierre ADAD - Gerard SALLIERE Kazimierz OMERNIK - Jozef POCHRON Roger JACKSON - Anthony N GORDON Marianne SERF - Jean- Claude FOUASSIER Dano De FALCO - Guido RESTA
Victor MELMAN - Shalom ZELIGMAN Nissan RAND - Goran MATTSSON Loek VERHEES SR - Hans WOENSEL VAN Bill HIRST - John HASSETT
Bill HIRST - John HASSETT
John ONSTOTT - Jim ROBISON
John ONSTOTT - Jim ROBISON
Massimo IANNETTI - Eugenio METE
Massimo IANNETTI - Eugenio METE
Nico DOREMANS - Jaap TROUWBORST
Hanspeter BOESIGER -Walter SPENGLER
Roman BUDZIK - Walter HOEGER
Elizabeth McGOWAN - Ken BAXTER
Maureen HIRON - Irving GORDON Harald NORDBY - Kaare KNUTSEN Onno JANSSENS - Willem BOEGEM Wlodek BUZE - Zdzislaw KOWALEWSKI Elly SCHIPPERS-BOSKLOPPER - Erik de JONGH Victor MARKOWICZ - Julian KLUKOWSKI Tony PRIDAY - Vivian PRIDAY
Vincenzo DI GIROLAMO - Franco SANTOLINI
Enrico LONGINOTTI - Lino VANINI
Luciano CARONI - Gerry LINK
Grant BAZE - Robert HOLLMAN
Avi ARVATZ - Menachem RAVID
Avi ARVATZ - Menachem RAVID
Ross HARPER - Paul D HACKETT
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Riers D' OVIDIO } & 44.422\end{array}$
Pierre D' OVIDIO - Marie Louize DAS FR 42.49
Victory Banquet
The Victory Banquet desk located at the 'Shop desk' in front of the 'Taberna Andaluza' will open on Thursday 30 June at 10.00.

All players are kindly requested to collect their invitations before 20.00 on Friday July presenting their badges

Tickets for wives, companions and friends are also available from the shop desk priced at Euro 50 each.
Please do not forget to take your invitation with you, otherwise you will not be able to enter the Roman Pool area.

