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## Bulletin 5

Thursday, 21 June 2001

# Ladies Teams Underway 



Giorgio Duboin plans his next move on VuGraph
Germany are the early leaders as the ladies teams gets underway. Close behind after two matches are Italy, England and the Czech Republic. Of the fancied teams, Denmark had a bad day and Netherlands and Sweden are also below half-way, but there is plenty of time for all that to change.

Norway are still powering along at the top of the open rankings, despite a 9-2I setback at the hands of Scotland in Round 10, with Russia also having a handy edge over the chasing pack. Maastricht semi-finalists, England are still struggling to find their form, but most of the other fancied teams are moving nicely into contention. Greece are flying the flag for the less fancied nations, lying in third place after ten rounds.

## LIVE VUGRAPH MATCHES

## Round II

13.45

Poland v France
Round 12
17.30

Norway v Sweden


## EUROPEAN BRIDGE LEAGUE

 GENERAL ASSEMBLYThursday 21 June 2001 at 10.00 am Salón Gran Canarias, Sir Anthony Hotel

The second session of the General Assembly will take place at the Salón Gran Canarias of Sir Anthony Hotel, today, at 10.00 am . Elections for filling four vacant posts on the EBL Executive Committee will take place at this (second) session.
All National Delegates are invited to participate in the General Assembly.
Panos Gerontopoulos
EBL Secretary
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## OPEN TEAMS RESULTS

|  | ROUND 8 |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | Home Team | Visiting Team | IMPs | VPs |
| I | ROMANIA | DENMARK | $8-49$ | $7-23$ |
| 2 | FINLAND | FRANCE | $51-42$ | $17-13$ |
| 3 | LEBANON | GERMANY | $39-64$ | $10-20$ |
| 4 | NETHERLANDS | SWITZERLAND | $43-43$ | $15-15$ |
| 5 | bye | BELGIUM | $0-0$ | $0-18$ |
| 6 | HUNGARY | POLAND | $38-33$ | $16-14$ |
| 7 | RUSSIA | UKRAINE | $41-31$ | $17-13$ |
| 8 | ICELAND | LIECHTENSTEIN $78-26$ | $25-5$ |  |
| 9 | LUXEMBOURG | ITALY | $26-55$ | $9-21$ |
| IO | LATVIA | NORWAY | $15-87$ | $2-25$ |
| II | ENGLAND | CROATIA | $67-41$ | $20-10$ |
| I2 | TURKEY | SLOVENIA | $46-37$ | $17-13$ |
| I3 AUSTRIA | GREECE | $59-22$ | $23-7$ |  |
| 14 | SAN MARINO | SCOTLAND | $17-17$ | $15-15$ |
| 15 | WALES | PORTUGAL | $45-19$ | $20-10$ |
| 16 | SPAIN | IRELAND | $68-30$ | $23-7$ |
| 17 | ISRAEL | CZECH REP. | $47-11$ | $22-8$ |
| I8 | BULGARIA | SWEDEN | $19-35$ | $12-18$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |


| ROUND 9 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Home Team | Visiting Team | IMPs | VPs |
| I | DENMARK | FRANCE | 42-16 | 20-10 |
| 2 | ROMANIA | LEBANON | 29-43 | 12-18 |
| 3 | SWITZERLAND | FINLAND | 61-37 | 20-10 |
| 4 | GERMANY | bye | 0-0 | 18-0 |
| 5 | POLAND | NETHERLANDS | 65-44 | 19-11 |
| 6 | BELGIUM | RUSSIA | 40-55 | 12-18 |
| 7 | LIECHTENSTEIN | HUNGARY | 21-61 | 7-23 |
| 8 | UKRAINE | ITALY | 34-65 | 9-21 |
| 9 | ICELAND | LATVIA | 29-57 | 9-21 |
| 10 | CROATIA | LUXEMBOURG | 17-62 | 6-24 |
| 11 | NORWAY | TURKEY | 83-16 | 25-2 |
| 12 | AUSTRIA | ENGLAND | 37-46 | 13-17 |
| 13 | SCOTLAND | SLOVENIA | 43-47 | 14-16 |
| 14 | GREECE | WALES | 36-36 | 15-15 |
| 15 | SPAIN | SAN MARINO | 74-35 | 23-7 |
| 16 | CZECH REP. | PORTUGAL | 10-59 | 5-25 |
| 17 | IRELAND | SWEDEN | 35-35 | 15-15 |
| 18 | ISRAEL | BULGARIA | 44-33 | $17-13$ |

## OPEN TEAMS PROGRAM

| ROUND II |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Table | Home Team | Visiting Team |
| 1 | DENMARK | SWITZERLAND |
| 2 | LEBANON | bye |
| 3 | POLAND | FRANCE |
| 4 | ROMANIA | RUSSIA |
| 5 | LIECHTENSTEIN | FINLAND |
| 6 | GERMANY | ITALY |
| 7 | LATVIA | NETHERLANDS |
| 8 | BELGIUM | CROATIA |
| 9 | HUNGARY | TURKEY |
| 10 | AUSTRIA | UKRAINE |
| 11 | ICELAND | SCOTLAND |
| 12 | WALES | LUXEMBOURG |
| 13 | SPAIN | NORWAY |
| 14 | ENGLAND | CZECH REP. |
| 15 | SWEDEN | SLOVENIA |
| 16 | BULGARIA | GREECE |
| 17 | SAN MARINO | ISRAEL |
| 18 | PORTUGAL | IRELAND |


|  |  | ROUND |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | 2 |
| Table | Home Team | Visiting Team |
| 1 | bye | DENMARK |
| 2 | SWITZERLAND | POLAND |
| 3 | RUSSIA | LEBANON |
| 4 | FRANCE | LIECHTENSTEIN |
| 5 | ITALY | ROMANIA |
| 6 | FINLAND | LATVIA |
| 7 | CROATIA | GERMANY |
| 8 | NETHERLANDS | TURKEY |
| 9 | BELGIUM | AUSTRIA |
| 10 | SCOTLAND | HUNGARY |
| 11 | UKRAINE | WALES |
| 12 | SPAIN | ICELAND |
| 13 | CZECH REP. | LUXEMBOURG |
| 14 | NORWAY | SWEDEN |
| 15 | BULGARIA | ENGLAND |
| 16 | ISRAEL | SLOVENIA |
| 17 | GREECE | IRELAND |
| 18 | SAN MARINO | PORTUGAL |

## ROUND 10

|  | Home Team | Visiting Team | IMPs | VPs |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| I | LEBANON | DENMARK | $49-37$ | $17-13$ |
| 2 | FRANCE | SWITZERLAND | $28-44$ | $12-18$ |
| 3 | bye | ROMANIA | $0-0$ | $0-18$ |
| 4 | FINLAND | POLAND | $40-39$ | $15-15$ |
| 5 | RUSSIA | GERMANY | $47-16$ | $21-9$ |
| 6 | NETHERLANDS | LIECHTENSTEIN $72-18$ | $25-4$ |  |
| 7 | ITALY | BELGIUM | $29-27$ | $15-15$ |
| 8 | HUNGARY | LATVIA | $68-34$ | $22-8$ |
| 9 | UKRAINE | CROATIA | $33-66$ | $8-22$ |
| I0 | TURKEY | ICELAND | $35-27$ | $16-14$ |
| II | LUXEMBOURG | AUSTRIA | $42-88$ | $6-24$ |
| I2 | SCOTLAND | NORWAY | $65-37$ | $21-9$ |
| I3 | WALES | ENGLAND | $31-23$ | $16-14$ |
| I4 | SLOVENIA | SPAIN | $66-50$ | $18-12$ |
| 15 | CZECH REP. | GREECE | $23-67$ | $6-24$ |
| 16 | SWEDEN | SAN MARINO | $52-20$ | $22-8$ |
| 17 | PORTUGAL | BULGARIA | $5-51$ | $6-24$ |
| I8 | IRELAND | ISRAEL | $31-66$ | $8-22$ |



## TEAM PHOTOGRAPHS

Today morning is the turn of the following teams to have their photographs taken for the EBL database. Would the captains please ensure that all players of the team plus the npc are present at the LineUp desk as follows:
Thursday 21 st June

| 13.15 hrs | Portugal Open | 17.00 hrs | Scotland Open |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13.20 hrs | Romania Open | 17.05 hrs | Slovenia Open |
| 13.25 hrs | Russia Open | 17.10 hrs | Spain Open |
| 13:30 hrs | San Marino Open | 17.15 hrs | Sweden Open |

## Aggelos Mallios

EBL Photographer

## OPEN TEAMS RANKING after 10 rounds

| NORWAY |
| :--- |
| RUSSIA |
| GREECE |
| POLAND |
| ITALY |
| Belgium |
| Hungary |
| Austria |
| France |

10 Bulgaria 166.00
II Israel 165.00

| 12 | Netherlands | 165.00 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 13 | Switzerland | 162.00 |

14 Denmark 158.00
15 Scotland 157.00
16 Romania 157.00
17 Sweden 153.00
18 Wales 152.00

| 19 | Croatia | 148.00 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 20 | Lebanon | 147.00 |
| 21 | Germany | 147.00 |


| 22 | Iceland | 142.00 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 23 | Ukraine | 142.00 |
| 24 | Spain | 140.00 |
| 25 | Slovenia | 139.00 |
| 26 | Turkey | 138.00 |

27 England 137.00
28 Portugal 135.00
29 Czech Rep 133.00
30 Finland 129.00
31 Luxembourg 118.00
32 Ireland 110.00
33 Latvia 109.00
34 San Marino 95.00
35 Liechtenstein 92.00

## LADIES TEAMS RANKING after 2 rounds

| I | GERMANY | 44.00 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 2 | ITALY | 43.00 |
| 3 | ENGLAND | 39.00 |
| 4 | CZECH REP | 38.00 |
| 5 | GREECE | 36.00 |
| 6 | Poland | 35.00 |
| 7 | Israel | 34.00 |
| 8 | Austria | 32.00 |
| 9 | France | 30.00 |
| I0 | Hungary | 30.00 |
| II | Russia | 29.00 |
| 12 | Croatia | 29.00 |
| 13 | Netherlands | 29.00 |
| 14 | Spain | 26.00 |
| 15 | Scotland | 25.00 |
| 16 | Sweden | 22.00 |
| I7 | Wales | 22.00 |
| 18 | Finland | 20.00 |
| 19 | Norway | 18.00 |
| 20 | Denmark |  |
| 21 | San Marino |  |

## LADIES TEAMS RESULTS

| ROUND I |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Home Team | Visiting Team | IMPs | VPs |
| 21 | GERMANY | DENMARK | 73-20 | 25-5 |
| 22 | SPAIN | FRANCE | 48-67 | 11-19 |
| 23 | SAN MARINO | HUNGARY | 28-59 | 9-21 |
| 24 | CZECH REP. | bye | 0-0 | 18-0 |
| 25 | RUSSIA | SCOTLAND | 40-23 | 19-11 |
| 26 | WALES | AUSTRIA | 33-73 | 7-23 |
| 27 | CROATIA | POLAND | 19-23 | 14-16 |
| 28 | ISRAEL | NORWAY | 48-28 | 19-11 |
| 29 | ITALY | NETHERLANDS | 48-13 | 22-8 |
| 30 | GREECE | SWEDEN | 62-33 | 21-9 |
| 31 | ENGLAND | FINLAND | 78-44 | 22-8 |


|  |  | ROUND 2 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Home Team | Visiting Team | IMPs | VPs |
| 21 | NORWAY | GERMANY | $25-43$ | $11-19$ |
| 22 | AUSTRIA | CZECH REP. | $23-46$ | $10-20$ |
| 23 | GREECE | WALES | $41-40$ | $15-15$ |
| 24 | DENMARK | ISRAEL | $31-29$ | $15-15$ |
| 25 | ITALY | HUNGARY | $59-29$ | $21-9$ |
| 26 | FRANCE | ENGLAND | $37-47$ | $13-17$ |
| 27 | SCOTLAND | CROATIA | $49-49$ | $15-15$ |
| 28 | SWEDEN | FINLAND | $30-24$ | $16-14$ |
| 29 | POLAND | RUSSIA | $45-28$ | $19-11$ |
| 30 | bye | SPAIN | $0-0$ | $0-18$ |
| 31 | NETHERLANDS | SAN MARINO | $63-36$ | $21-9$ |

## LADIES TEAMS PROGRAM

| ROUND $\mathbf{3}$ |  |  |  | I 3.45 |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Table | Home Team | Visiting Team |  |  |
| 21 | GERMANY | FINLAND |  |  |
| 22 | ENGLAND | DENMARK |  |  |
| 23 | bye | FRANCE |  |  |
| 24 | NORWAY | SWEDEN |  |  |
| 25 | POLAND | WALES |  |  |
| 26 | CZECH REP. | NETHERLANDS |  |  |
| 27 | HUNGARY | SCOTLAND |  |  |
| 28 | SPAIN | SAN MARINO |  |  |
| 29 | CROATIA | ITALY |  |  |
| 30 | ISRAEL | AUSTRIA |  |  |
| 31 | RUSSIA | GREECE |  |  |


| ROUND 4 |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Table | Home Team | Visiting Team |
| 21 | SAN MARINO | GERMANY |
| 22 | NETHERLANDS | NORWAY |
| 23 | ISRAEL | CZECH REP. |
| 24 | FINLAND | SPAIN |
| 25 | CROATIA | FRANCE |
| 26 | DENMARK | RUSSIA |
| 27 | WALES | HUNGARY |
| 28 | AUSTRIA | GREECE |
| 29 | SCOTLAND | POLAND |
| 30 | SWEDEN | ENGLAND |
| 31 | ITALY | bye |

Follow the 45th European Championships on Internet through the EBL official web site:

## www.eurobridge.org

## TRASNATIONAL LADIES TEAMS

| ROUND I |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | Home Team | Visiting Team | IMPs | VPs |
| I | Eng_Bel | Eng_Ire | $14-37$ | $8-22$ |
| 2 | Israel | Netherlands | $8-34$ | $8-22$ |
| 3 | Spain_I | Spain_2 | $16-44$ | $7-23$ |
| 4 | Italy_1 | Italy_2 | $31-21$ | $18-12$ |
| 5 | Italy_3 | Italy_I | $2-50$ | $2-25$ |
| 6 | Spain_3 | Spa_Ita | $24-4 \mid$ | $10-20$ |
| 7 | Isr_Sco | Spa_Sco_Ger | $37-7$ | $24-6$ |

## ROUND 2

|  | Home Team | Visiting Team | IMPs | VPs |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| I | Spa_Ita | Eng_Bel | $24-14$ | $18-12$ |
| 2 | Italy_4 | Spa_Sco_Ger | $55-9$ | $25-2$ |
| 3 | Italy_2 | Isr_Sco | $24-27$ | $14-16$ |
| 4 | Spain_2 | Spain_3 | $40-6$ | $25-5$ |
| 5 | Netherlands | Italy_3 | $1-43$ | $3-25$ |
| 6 | Eng_Ire | Italy_I | $16-33$ | $10-20$ |
| 7 | Israel | Spain_I | $47-11$ | $25-5$ |

SENIOR TEAMS PROGRAM

| ROUND I |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Table | Home Team | Visiting Team |  |
| 41 | CROATIA | SWEDEN |  |
| 42 | GERMANY I | GERMANY 2 |  |
| 43 | MALTA | IRELAND |  |
| 44 | SCOTLAND | NETHERLANDS |  |
| 45 | ISRAEL I | ISRAEL 2 |  |
| 46 | ENGLAND 2 | ENGLAND I |  |
| 47 | FRANCE 2 | FRANCE I |  |
| 48 | WALES 1 | WALES 2 |  |
| 49 | NORWAY | SWITZERLAND |  |
| 50 | PORTUGAL | LEBANON |  |
| 51 | POLAND 1 | POLAND 2 |  |
| 52 | CZECH REP. | BELGIUM |  |
| 53 | ITALY 2 | ITALY I |  |
| 54 | SPAIN 2 | SPAIN I |  |


| ROUND 2 |  |  |  | I 3.45 |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Table | Home Team | Visiting Team |  |  |
| 41 | CZECH REP. | CROATIA |  |  |
| 42 | PORTUGAL | ENGLAND 2 |  |  |
| 43 | IRELAND | WALES I |  |  |
| 44 | ISRAEL 2 | SWEDEN |  |  |
| 45 | ITALY I | SPAIN 2 |  |  |
| 46 | POLAND 2 | GERMANY I |  |  |
| 47 | LEBANON | SCOTLAND |  |  |
| 48 | NETHERLANDS | NORWAY |  |  |
| 49 | GERMANY 2 | SPAIN I |  |  |
| 50 | SWITZERLAND | ITALY 2 |  |  |
| 51 | WALES 2 | BELGIUM |  |  |
| 52 | ENGLAND I | FRANCE 2 |  |  |
| 53 | FRANCE I | MALTA |  |  |
| 54 | ISRAEL I | POLAND I |  |  |


| ROUND 3 |  |  |  | V.30 |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Table | Home Team | Visiting Team |  |  |
| 41 | CROATIA | ENGLAND 1 |  |  |
| 42 | SWITZERLAND | POLAND 2 |  |  |
| 43 | WALES 1 | NETHERLANDS |  |  |
| 44 | SPAIN 2 | CZECH REP. |  |  |
| 45 | MALTA | ISRAEL 1 |  |  |
| 46 | BELGIUM | PORTUGAL |  |  |
| 47 | ITALY 2 | ISRAEL 2 |  |  |
| 48 | SWEDEN | GERMANY 2 |  |  |
| 49 | ENGLAND 2 | POLAND I |  |  |
| 50 | SPAIN 1 | FRANCE 1 |  |  |
| 51 | NORWAY | FRANCE 2 |  |  |
| 52 | GERMANY I | LEBANON |  |  |
| 53 | SCOTLAND | IRELAND |  |  |
| 54 | ITALY I | WALES 2 |  |  |

## VIDEO NEWS

The Championships are being video-taped and a souvenir edition can be purchased.

To order a copy please go to the Information Desk located in front of the Bridge Rama room armed with just 2900 Ptas or 17.5 Euro.

# Revised <br> FINAL RANKING 

# Good Irish Slam 

by Seamus Dowling

| AUKEN SABINE | VON ARNIM DANIE | GER | 56.97 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ROSITIZIANA | BACOCCOLI ANTON | ITA | 54.12 |
| BIRMAN DANIELA | LIBERMAN RUTH | ISR | 54.03 |
| ERDEOVA' JANA | HNA'TOVA DANIEL | CZE | 53.92 |
| BACKSTROM S | KOISTINEN R | FIN | 53.21 |
| POPLILOU MATILD | NOSACKS MICHAL | ISR | 52.91 |
| CIVIDIN SARA DE | COLONNA ORNELLA | ITA | 52.74 |
| HOOGWEG FEMKE | VAN ZWOL WIETSK | NET | 52.66 |
| BURATTI MONICA | FORTI DARINKA | ITA | 52.31 |
| TOMASZEWSKA W | WOJTYRA ANNA | POL | 52.25 |
| STAWOWY BARBARA | FARWIG KATRIN | GER | 52.10 |
| ROMANOVSKA MARI | VOLINA VICTORIA | RUS | 51.83 |
| CAPODANNO LUCIA | BONORI MARISA | ITA | 51.82 |
| NEHMERT P | RAUSCHEID A | GER | 51.82 |
| GROMOVA VICTORI | KONICHEVA ELENA | RUS | 51.82 |
| SENDACKA | NERONOWICZ | POL | 51.73 |
| PISCITELLI FRAN | PAOLUZI SIMONET | ITA | 51.06 |
| SVER NIKICA | PILIPOVIC MARIN | CRO | 50.42 |
| PASMAN J | SIMONS A | NET | 50.09 |
| DUMON SYLVIE | CLEMENT MURIEL | FRA | 50.05 |
| SARNIAK ANNA | GRUNT ANNA | POL | 49.78 |
| DUBUS MONIQUE | BESSISVERONIQU | FRA | 49.19 |
| CROCI GIOVANNA | FERE' MARIALUIS | ITA | 49.14 |
| MORETTI RENATA | SAUTAUX MONIKA | SWI | 48.90 |
| LACROIX F | POULAIN C | FRA | 48.60 |
| HELLEMANN ANNE- | MALINOWSKI ANNA | NOR | 48.32 |
| VARENNE MYRIAM | HUGON ELISABETH | FRA | 47.45 |
| RAIM BAULT SIMO | MOUTTET MANUELA | FRA | 47.02 |

## Championship Diary

The Editor reports on the daily events at and around the Championship venue.

## Wednesday 20 June 2001

The victory of Sabine Auken \& Daniela von Arnim in the Generali EuropeanWomen's Pairs Championship had an almost surreal air of certainty about it. They completed a hat trick having won in Vilamoura in 1995 and Montecatini in 1997. (They gave everyone else a chance by not competing two years ago!)

One of my colleagues at breakfast today mentioned that waiters have to go on a two-year training course. The first year they practice removing items from the table, the second bringing things to the table. It appears a surprisingly large number only bother with year one.

For those who are in any doubt, we are assured that in Wales the wearing of the National Flag is regarded as an expression of pride.

The Bulletin must be popular as we are besieged by people requesting copies of every issue that has appeared. More can be printed but one of the two machines dedicated to the task has broken down. Murphy's law suggests that the other one will not be far behind.

The impending start of the Senior event means we have bumped into several 'old' friends.
n their sixth round match against Turkey, Tom Hanlon and Hugh McGann had a nice auction to reach the minor slam.

Board 16. Dealer West. E/W Vul.
© A 93
$\checkmark$ AK 1054
$\diamond 6$
\& AJ 108

| - K Q 1074 | N | - J 52 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Q J 83 |  | 86 |
| $\checkmark$ KQ 74 | W E | $\checkmark$ AJ 10952 |
| $\pm 2$ | S | \& 764 |
|  | - 86 |  |
|  | ¢Q972 |  |
|  | $\checkmark 83$ |  |
|  | ¢ KQ95 |  |


| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Hanlon |  | McGann |
| 14 | 2 | 21 | 320 |
| Pass | 34 | Pass | 48 |
| Pass | 6\% | All Pass |  |

Three Clubs was forcing for one round. McGann, with the choice of slams, made the right decision. The spade loser goes on the fifth heart. Played in hearts you must lose a spade as well as the diamond.

Slovenia's Matija Senk and Gregor Kranjc also got there via the following sequence:

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Kranjc |  | Senk |
| 14 | $2 \bigcirc$ | 24 | 3\% |
| Pass | 4\% | Pass | $4 \bigcirc$ |
| Pass | 4NT* | Pass | 5** |
| Pass | 6\% | All Pass |  |

Three Clubs promised a heart fit, Four Clubs was forcing and Five Clubs showed one of six.

[^0]
## SESSION 6 OPEN TEAMS

## Norway $v$ Poland

n Tuesday afternoon, the first match on Rama was the big clash between two of the strongest teams present here, so a good and aggressive match was odds-on. Would it live up to the expectations of the Rama audience? Well, it certainly did at the start, as on the first four boards 16 undoubled undertricks were registered against only one contract made, a humble 2e.

At that stage, Norway were 10-6 up when Board 5 hit the screen:

Board 5. Dealer North. N/S Vul.

- K 42

○K84
$\diamond$ Q 108
\& K U 5


Open Room

## West

Kwiecien

## North <br> Helness 18 <br> East Pszczola Pass

South
Helgemo
INT

## All Pass

This was a very safe contract, certainly when the spade lead brought the seventh trick immediately. Declarer went after the diamonds, but correct defence restricted him to one overtrick only. Norway; +l20.

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Groetheim | Lesniewski | Aa | Martens |
|  | $1 \&$ | Dble | Rdbl |
| Pass | Pass | $I \diamond$ | Pass |
| Pass | $3 N T$ | All Pass |  |

Much more action in the Closed Room. Terje Aa found a takeout double, but the hand belonged to the opposition. One Diamond might have gone three off, but the Poles would have nothing of it. As Marcin Lesniewski did not hold a minimum hand he boldly jumped to 3NT when $\ \diamond$ came round to him. All would have been well for Norway had the right defence been found but this was not easy at all.Aa did well to lead a low diamond to partner's king, and Groetheim did well to find the heart switch. Now all Aa has to do is to play $\forall A$ and another, but how can he possibly guess that declarer has jumped to 3NT holding only three diamonds? So Lesniewski's aggression paid off; Poland +600 and 10 IMPs back for them to go into the lead; 16-I0.

It stood at 22-17 to Poland five boards later, when it was Norway's turn to steal a game.


Adam Zmudzinski, Poland
Board II. Dealer South. None Vul.

- A 7

○ 8762
$\diamond$ KJ 9762
4
, K 86
$\bigcirc$ Q 103
$\diamond \mathrm{A} Q 4$
\& K 1092

| N | 4 J 109 |
| :---: | :---: |
| W E | $\bigcirc 19$ |
| W E | $\diamond 1085$ |
| S | \& A Q J 64 |
| , Q 5432 |  |
| $\bigcirc$ AK 54 |  |
| $\diamond 3$ |  |

## Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kwiecien | Helness | Pszczola | Helgemo <br> Pass |
| $1 \%$ | $1 \diamond$ | Pass | 19 |
| Pass | Pass | $2 \boldsymbol{2}$ | All Pass |

With all those jacks, there is little potential in the East hand. So Pszczola quietly bided his time when Helness overcalled $1 \diamond$ after the Polish Club. When is came round to him, he settled for 2 as the final contract. Well judged, as game is really far away; nine tricks, Poland +IIO.

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Groetheim | Lesniewski | Aa | Martens <br> Pass |
| INT | Pass | 3NT | All Pass |

The Norwegians had other ideas. Their 14-I6 NT in combination with the good five-card suit in responder's hand made their auction understandably automatic. So was North's lead: a simple fourth-best from his best suit. When dummy's $\vee 8$ won the first trick and the spade finesse of the queen came off, Groetheim had nine tricks in the bag with time to come to an overtrick when the defence tried to defeat the contract by switching to hearts; Norway +430 or 8 IMPs and in the lead again, 25-22.

Board 13. Dealer North. All Vul.

- Q 1083

ค983
$\diamond$ A Q 65
9 84

- AKJ65
$\bigcirc K$
々K873
- K 95


Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kwiecien | Helness | Pszczola | Helgemo |
|  | Pass | Pass | Pass |
| 14 | Pass | INT | Pass |
| $2 \diamond$ | Pass | 24. | Pass |
| 30 | All Pass |  |  |

Natural bidding by the Poles on this misfit hand; Kwiecien gave it one more try with his maximum, but the message was not lost on Helness when Pszczola passed 32. A merciless trump came out in a split second and a second trump followed when he got the lead in diamonds. The eventual penalty was a mere 400 on this indifferent partscore hand.

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Groetheim | Lesniewski | Aa | Martens |
|  | Pass | $2 \triangleleft$ | Pass |
| $2 ゅ$ | All Pass |  |  |

The Norwegians avoided any problems when Aa elected to open a Multi (?) in second seat.

The standards required for this opening bid are subject to inflation very much, and here we see the effect of it. Groetheim could bid 24 to show a strong hand, but as 24 was not forcing Aa was happy to pass it. Eight tricks were duly made when the defence led trumps into declarer's tenace in an attempt to stop the diamond ruffs; Norway another +110 or II IMPs out of the blue.

The board below is included as a pretty example of the advantage of the tempo, the right to strike the first blow at no trumps. Whoever is entitled to play the first card can make seven tricks.

Board I5. Dealer South. N/S Vul.

- 10953
$\checkmark$ AK 5
$\diamond A 9842$
\& 7

| - A 64 | N | ¢ K Q 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\checkmark$ Q J 104 |  | $\bigcirc 982$ |
| $\diamond$ J 63 | W E | $\diamond$ K 75 |
| \% Q 96 | S | \& A 1043 |
|  | ¢ 187 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 763$ |  |
|  | $\diamond$ Q 10 |  |
|  | \% K J 852 |  |

Open Room

| West | North | East <br> Kwiecien | South <br> Heszs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Paszola | Helgemo <br> Pass |  |  |
| Pass | $1 \diamond$ | Dble | INT |
| Dble | All Pass |  |  |

This old adage did certainly apply when South had to try for his seven tricks, but that was virtually impossible to see. The defence led hearts, won in dummy. South then did well (not too problematic after East's double) to play a diamond to the queen, a diamond to the ace and another diamond, clearing the suit. The heart return then established seven tricks for the defence before declarer could come to his possible club trick; Poland +200.

## Closed Room

$\underset{\text { Groetheim }}{\text { West }}$
$\underset{\text { Lesniewski }}{\text { North }}$
East
$A a$

South
Martens
Pass

## INT All Pass

Here, Lesniewski led a diamond to Martens' queen, after which the suit was cleared. A heart went to the king and the diamonds were cashed. North exited with a club. It is normal to run this to the queen, and that's of course what West did. When South produced the king, the contract was one down. Please note that the double-dummy play of rising with the ace works: North has to win the next top heart and is out of clubs; Poland +50 and 6 IMPs back.

Board 16. Dealer West. E/WVul.

$\checkmark$ AK IO 54
$\diamond 6$
\& AJIO 8
4 K Q 1074

- J 83
$\diamond$ K Q 74
\& 2

| N | - J 52 |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc 6$ |
| W | $\checkmark$ AJ 10952 |
| S | \& 764 |

- 86

คQ972
$\triangleleft 83$
K K Q 953

## Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kwiecien | Helness | Pszczola | Helgemo |
| 19 | 2 | 24 | $4 \bigcirc$ |
| Pass | 49 | Pass | 5\% |
| Pass | 68 | All Pass |  |

As 4 was a cuebid, 5 was the cuebid Helness was waiting for, and not a suggestion to play in the suit. The fate of the heart slam thus hinged on the lead. As you can see, only a diamond lead beats it. On any other lead, declarer draws trumps, pitches his diamond on the clubs and ruffs a spade in the end. When Pszczola led his trump, the play thus was quickly over; Norway $\mathbf{+ 9 8 0}$.

| Closed Room |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West | North | East | South |
| Groetheim | Lesniewski | $A a$ | Martens |
| $1 \stackrel{1}{2}$ | Dble | $2 \uparrow$ | Dble |
| Pass | $4 \triangleright$ | All Pass |  |

When Lesniewski doubled at his first turn, the Poles simply were not given enough room to explore any further, so the slam was missed and Norway had gained II IMPs more.

Critical readers may think at this moment: "What's the problem with this hand? You can always make 12 tricks with clubs as trumps." True it is, but very few pairs managed to do so. Elsewhere in this issue we will come back to this aspect of the hand.

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Groetheim | Lesniewski | Aa | Martens |
| 14 | Dble | 2 | Dble |
| Pass | $4 》$ | All Pass |  |

Back to our featured match. On Board I8, the inflatory Norwegian Multi scored again heavily when it suggested a lead to partner:

|  | Board 18. Dealer East. | N/S Vul. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | - Q 4 |  |
|  | PK109 |  |
|  | $\diamond 1943$ |  |
|  | -KJ76 |  |
| - 962 | N | - 73 |
| $\bigcirc$ Q 82 | W E | © AJ765 |
| $\checkmark$ A Q 107 | W E | $\checkmark 652$ |
| -1084 | S | -953 |
|  | - AKJIO85 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 43$ |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ K 8 |  |
|  | - A Q 2 |  |


| Open Room <br> West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kwiecien | Helness | Pszczola <br> Helgemo | 14 |
| Pass | INT | Pass | $2 N T$ |
| Pass | $3 Q$ | Pass | $4 \uparrow$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

With nothing to guide him, Kwiecien elected to put a club on
the table, so Helgemo could claim ten black tricks very shortly afterwards; Norway +620 .

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Groetheim | Lesniewski | Aa | Martens |
|  |  | $2 \diamond$ | $3 \uparrow$ |
| Pass | $4 \uparrow$ | All Pass |  |

In the Closed Room, Aa struck again with his Multi. This time the suit was of good quality, so when Groetheim led a heart he hit the jackpot straight away; one down, Norway + 100 and 12 IMPs.

Board I9. Dealer South. E/W Vul.

- 106
- 10432
$\diamond$ A 1074
-965


## Open Room <br> $\underset{\text { Kwiestien }}{\text { West }}$

| North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Helness | Pszczola | $\begin{gathered} \text { Helgemo } \\ \text { \|\$ } \end{gathered}$ |
| Pass | 14 | Dble |
| 38 | 31 | 4 |

All Pass
Helness' $3 \triangleleft$ almost committed his side to game, so the spotlight turned on the play. On a diamond lead, Helness won the ace and immediately turned his attention to clubs, leading low to the ten and queen. Kwiecien returned a diamond for dummy to ruff. Locked there, Helness could do little better than draw two rounds of trumps, noting the fall of the jack (good news) and play \& A and another. When Kwiecien was able to ruff the A the contract thus was one down in spite of an attractive line of play. The alternative, playing for the $\$ \mathrm{~A}$ to be right, may not be the best chance, but it would probably have worked here...Poland +50 .

| Closed Room <br> West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Groetheim | Lesniewski <br> Martens |  |  |
|  |  |  | $1 \&$ <br> $1 \diamond$ |
| Pass | $1 \&$ | Dble |  |
| 2 | Dble | Pass | 3 |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

Martens followed the same line as Helness did (a strong indication that Helness' line was the best available!) and thus came to the same nine tricks, this time scoring 140 more for Poland or 5 IMPs.

The final result of the match was a good win for Norway: $59-34$ or $20-10 \mathrm{VPs}$.

## The Beauty of Bridge

by Radoslaw Kielbasinski

The match with Norway in Round 6 was not successful for the Polish team. Although the following deal did not influence the final result it showed the real beauty of our sport.

Board 6. Dealer East. E/W Vul.

|  | - K 83 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc 105$ |  |  |
|  | $\diamond 43$ |  |  |
|  | \& K Q 9763 |  |  |
| - A Q 4 | N |  | ¢ 9762 |
| $\bigcirc \mathrm{KJ} 6$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\bigcirc$ Q 93 |
| $\diamond 10852$ |  | $\diamond$ | $\diamond$ K J 7 |
| \% AJ 10 | S |  | \& 852 |
|  | ¢ J 105 |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ A 8742 |  |  |
|  | $\diamond$ A Q 96 |  |  |
|  | \% 4 |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Brogeland | Lesniewski | Aa | Martens |
|  |  | Pass | 18 |
| INT | 20 | All Pass |  |

East led the six of spades, covered in turn by the jack, queen and king. Declarer played a diamond to the queen, a club to the queen and exited with a club. West won with the jack and played back a diamond. Declarer took the ace, ruffed a diamond and played a third club. West won and played the master diamond, forcing declarer to ruff. These cards remained:


When Lesniewski played the king of clubs East could not discard a spade as then North would play a low spade to the ten, setting up the eight as a ninth trick. So East discarded the three of hearts. After the seven of hearts had gone from dummy it was West's turn to feel the pressure. Parting with his small spade would allow declarer to duck a spade and set up the ten, so he had to release the six of hearts.

Now Marcin played a heart to the ace and a heart. It did not matter who won this trick, as the defender on lead had to present declarer with an extra trick in spades, the ninth in all.

The hand was not important for the final score. Moreover, if Marcin plays low from dummy at trick one orWest cashes the ace of clubs before exiting with a diamond nobody would pay any attention to this deal. This shows that Bridge is a never-ending story.

## Bridge Magazine IMP presents Herman's Bridge Team Ratings

Now that the Ladies' series is on the way, we can also present the Ladies' ratings

The first number is the rating, in VP, the second is the number of (16-board) matches that are taken into account for the rating.

| I | United States | 21.87 | 86 | 37 | Chile | 12.87 | 16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Germany | 21.48 | 79 | 38 | Iceland | 12.84 | 45 |
| 3 | Canada | 21.08 | 65 | 39 | Morocco | 12.69 | 21 |
| 4 | France | 20.87 | 72 | 40 | Croatia | 12.59 | 47 |
| 5 | Netherlands | 20.37 | 81 | 41 | Hungary | 12.54 | 31 |
| 6 | Austria | 20.31 | 71 | 42 | Monaco | 12.45 | 11 |
| 7 | China | 19.04 | 102 | 43 | Colombia | 12.40 | 43 |
| 8 | England | 18.64 | 51 | 44 | Portugal | 12.34 | 7 |
| 9 | Denmark | 18.31 | 88 | 45 | San Marino | 12.15 | 4 |
| 10 | Poland | 18.28 | 50 | 46 | Singapore | 11.86 | 24 |
| 11 | Israel | 17.79 | 51 | 47 | China Hong Kong | 11.80 | 74 |
| 12 | Norway | 16.96 | 70 | 48 | Ireland | 11.52 | 55 |
| 13 | Italy | 16.65 | 52 | 49 | Venezuela | 11.21 | 41 |
| 14 | Sweden | 16.42 | 71 | 50 | Peru | 11.19 | 12 |
| 15 | Scotland | 16.08 | 51 | 51 | Bolivia | 11.08 | 16 |
| 16 | Australia | 16.07 | 84 | 52 | Bermuda | 10.77 | 12 |
| 17 | Czech Republic | 16.06 | 43 | 53 | Pakistan | 10.73 | 57 |
| 18 | South Africa | 15.68 | 61 | 54 | Uruguay | 10.55 | 12 |
| 19 | Finland | 15.49 | 65 | 55 | Thailand | 10.54 | 38 |
| 20 | Belgium | 15.48 | 31 | 56 | Tunisia | 10.16 | 19 |
| 21 | Chinese Taipei | 15.17 | 82 | 57 | Trinidad \& Tobago | 9.83 | 6 |
| 22 | Argentina | 15.1] | 59 | 58 | Sri Lanka | 9.73 | 38 |
| 23 | Egypt | 15.03 | 63 | 59 | Zimbabwe | 9.73 | 3 |
| 24 | Switzerland | 15.01 | 7 | 60 | Jordan | 9.69 | 33 |
| 25 | Mexico | 14.92 | 29 | 61 | Malaysia | 9.57 | 4 |
| 26 | Indonesia | 14.48 | 59 | 62 | Reunion | 9.39 | 26 |
| 27 | Brazil | 14.19 | 59 | 63 | Bahrain | 9.33 | 18 |
| 28 | Wales | 13.98 | 48 | 64 | Far Oer | 8.28 | 14 |
| 29 | India | 13.81 | 81 | 65 | Philippines | 7.47 | 29 |
| 30 | New Zealand | 13.78 | 84 | 66 | Paraguay | 7.19 | 12 |
| 31 | Spain | 13.76 | 48 | 67 | Barbados | 6.84 | 8 |
| 32 | Northern Ireland | 13.60 | 25 | 68 | South Korea | 6.78 | 38 |
| 33 | Japan | 13.51 | 69 | 69 | Jamaica | 6.50 | 38 |
| 34 | Russia | 13.42 | 48 | 70 | Guadeloupe | 4.82 | 13 |
| 35 | Turkey | 13.34 | 47 | 71 | Mauritius | 3.98 | 3 |
| 36 | Greece | 12.91 | 48 | 72 | Martinique | -0.27 | 5 |

[^1]
## Final - Third Session

Early in the last round of the finals, the clash between the pairs Eranked 2nd and 3rd was scheduled. Maybe this was the chance to find out if any of these two would be a serious challenge to the leading Germans. We all know the final outcome now, but from the boards below, you may still judge for yourself.


Wietske van Zwol, Netherlands

Board 4. Dealer West. All Vul.
¢ 965
Q 975
$\diamond A 972$
\& 75
4. 10873

คKJ63
86
A 42

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Van Zwol | Rosi | Hoogweg | Bacoccoli |
| Pass | Pass | 18 | Pass |
| $1 \otimes$ | Pass | $2 \diamond$ | Pass |
| $3 \&$ | Pass | $3 N T$ | All Pass |

3e was explained as the forcing variety of a club raise; 2NT would have been for a possible sign-off. Now look at the South hand in isolation and try to find the best opening lead.

Declarer has promised nine cards in the minors and a solid enough stopper in spades, so it looks as if the heart lead stands out. What is more, to cater for a possible singleton honour in declarer's hand, you may well try the ace. This would have been an instant success, even more so when your methods include reverse signals. Partner can encourage with the five and you can continue the eight, picking up the whole suit. As declarer has a club and a diamond to lose, that's one down.

At the table, South found the disappointing lead of a low spade which ran to the jack. East conceded a club trick to South who returned a low heart. When North, in spite of declarer's slight hesitation before playing low from dummy, had put up the queen, all would still have been reasonably well for the defence as they would have held the contract to nine tricks. When North inserted the nine and later on discarded a couple of diamonds as well, Femke Hoogweg had no less than II tricks and looked much closer to a medal than before.

But the Italians were set to get their revenge quickly thanks to their very, very natural bidding methods.

Board 5. Dealer North. N/S Vul.

|  | ¢ AJ5 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc$ AJ 74 |  |  |
|  | $\diamond$ Q 96542 |  |  |
|  | \% - |  |  |
| $\wedge$ Q 10743$\vee$ Q 8 | N |  |  |
|  |  | E | 652 |
| $\diamond 3$ |  | E $\diamond$ |  |
| \& AKQ 52 | S |  | 0873 |
|  | ¢ K 98 |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 1093$ |  |  |
|  | $\diamond$ AK 87 |  |  |
|  | 9964 |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Van Zwol | Rosi | Hoogweg | Bacoccoli |
|  | $1 \diamond$ | Pass | $3 \diamond$ |
| 34 | $5 \diamond$ | All Pass |  |

After the natural opening bid and the natural, invitational raise, North completed the good work by settling for the natural final contract of $5 \diamond$. It is true that this is not a popular spot in a Pairs'contest, but why argue with success? +620 was worth 23 out of 26 MP Taking the save for 500 would have given the Dutch a mere 3 MP more.

And the last board of the round, just to rub it in:
Board 6. Dealer East. E/W Vul.
$\wedge A Q$
$\checkmark$ AK 84
$\diamond 963$

* A Q 94
- J 1053
$\bigcirc 9753$
$\diamond \mathrm{K}$
\& K 872


4 K 964

- Q 106
$\diamond$ A 754
- J 3
¢ 872
$\vee$ J 2
$\diamond$ QJ 1082
2 1065

| West | North <br> Van Zwol | East <br> Hoogweg <br> Pass | South <br> Bacoccoli <br> Pass |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pass | INT | All Pass |  |

INT was duly alerted and explained as $16-19 \mathrm{hcp}$.All of them were needed in the play, and a few of them proved extra useful when East led a spade into the tenace. A diamond went to the king and the spades were cleared. Another diamond was won by dummy's ten, West, feeling a little squeezed already, discarding a heart. Next came the $\boldsymbol{\rho} 10$, overtaken in hand with the queen after a long huddle, which won the trick. A low heart now was scooped up by East with her queen. If East cashes the $\diamond A$ and two spades now, that will be the end of the defence, so she took only one spade before exiting with the j . At this point, declarer was forced to win the A and cash hearts from the top, but with West's fourth heart gone, there were eight tricks, declarer losing only a club and a spade in the end. It looks as if throwing a club instead of a heart by West, earlier on, might have saved a trick for the defence. Making an overtrick was worth another 24 MP however, whereas scoring +90 would have been only average, so after this table the Italians were closer to their medal than ever before, and they did hold on to their silver position. Congratulations to them as well.

## SPORTS NEWS

## Cricket



Pakistan beat Australia by 36 runs in the NatWest Triangular day/night match at Trent Bridge. Pakistan: 290-9 (50 overs); Australia: 254 all out ( 46.3 overs). Waqar Younis was again the Pakistani star with 6 for 59.

## Tennis

Sweden's Thomas Johansson continued his march towards
 back-to-back titles with a 7-5 6-0 win over Russian Andrei Stoliarov in the second round of the Nottingham Samsung Open. Britain's Greg Rusedski is also into the quarter-finals after defeating Rainer Schuettler 7-6 (7-0) 4-6 7-6 (7-3).
Patrick Rafter's attempt to win a fourth straight Heineken Trophy title at Hertogenbosch was ended by Dutchman Peter Wessels on Tuesday. The Australian number two seed was knocked out in the first round 7-5 6-4 by his unseeded opponent.

## Cycling

French cycling star Richard Virenque agreed to pay for a stage win in the 1997 Tour de France, the trainer of Virenque's former team alleges in a book due to go on sale on Wednesday.
In an extract published in Tuesday's Le Monde newspaper, Bruno Roussel claims ex-Festina rider Virenque agreed to pay eventual 1997 Tour winner Jan Ullrich to let him take the 14th stage of the three-week race.

## Football

Monaco's $£ 4 m$-rated midfielder John Arne Riise has signed for Liverpool on a five year deal.
The news will disappoint Fulham, who had been leading the chase for the 20 -year-old but have lost out to the Anfield side.

## Top of the House

by Patrick Jourdain (Wales)

AIthough some believe Four Spades is a candidate, Three No trumps has my vote as the most popular contract. It must be for Sweden, as on this deal from their Round 5 match against Austria, they tried it at both tables.

Their judgment was not far out, as one side has nine top winners, and the other eight. The snag is that the Laws give the defence the right to make the first lead:

## Open Round 5. <br> Board 17. Dealer North. None Vul.

© 2

- Q 1065432
$\checkmark 52$
- A 97


| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schifko | Andersson | Gloyer | Gullberg |
|  | $3{ }^{2}$ | Pass | 34 |
| Pass | 3NT | All Pass |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Nystrom | Simon | Bertheau | Terraneo |
|  | 38 | 3NT | Dble |

## All Pass

At both tables North opened Three Hearts. When Sweden sat North-South, Andreas Gloyer, East for Austria, went quietly. Tommy Gullberg bid his spades, and Lars Andersson, having a singleton in his partner's suit, bid an almost-compulsory Three No trumps. Gullberg took something of a gamble in Passing, but was rewarded when it turned out that East had been dealt a natural club lead.

Andersson quickly claimed one club and eight spades for his game. The Swedes noted that Four Spades also had only nine tricks, so felt quite satisfied with +400 .

At the other table, East, in common with some others, overcalled North's pre-empt with a risky Three No trumps. This gave South a familiar choice. Do you go for the jugular with a double, or avoid the risk of driving them elsewhere?

Tino Terraneo, another member of the well-known family, clearly belonged to the bolder group. He doubled, and when that ended the auction, led the appropriate card from his spade holding. North later signalled for a club, and the Austrians took the same nine tricks that the Swedish North-South had made at the first table. On this occasion, however, the tricks were worth IIO0, and 12 IMPS to Austria. This was enough to swing the match to Austria 47-43 or 16-14 in VPs.


#### Abstract

SESSION 8

\section*{OPEN TEAMS} he Rama match in Round 8 was the encounter between The Netherlands and Switzerland.The Swiss had a good start, and it's nice to have them back among the leading competitors after so many years of a certain anonymity. They struck a good blow on the very first board when Levy found the killing lead against a doubled game, but declarer also missed his chances here.


Netherlands v Switzerland

Board I. Dealer North. None Vul.
$\pm 53$
$\bigcirc 1043$
$\diamond$ J 87
\& K Q J 32


After this highly competitive auction (in fact, at a number of tables E/W were allowed to play 4 §, which was often doubled and always made) East had the good sense not to lead his side's bid suit, but to try a spade first, taking partner's initial take-out double into account. So out came the $\mathbf{~ J}$, covered by queen and king. At this moment, declarer has no chance, as he has to lose two diamonds at some moment. West returned the $\$ 6$ to the ten and ace. A low diamond from dummy now went to West's queen. At this point, any return by West will do, except a spade. Not being fully aware of the position, however, at this early stage of play, west returned the $\$ 7$, offering declarer a sporting chance. Anton Maas only has to discard a diamond to make his contract, of course, but after a long, long huddle he finally decided to ruff in hand. One down.

His next move was to call the director to complain about possible misinformation, given by his opponents, as to their agreements on leading from a sequence and returning in the suit partner has led. Elsewhere in this or tomorrow's issue you can read the verdict of the Appeals Committee when the Dutch team decided to appeal the ruling, given against them by the director at the table. The Rama audience was roaring with laughter at the sight of what happened at the table (they could of course not hear the actual conversation between the players and the director involved).

Switzerland +100 provisionally.
It was decided by the AC that the score should be 4 IMPs to The Netherlands instead of the original 6-IMP loss.

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kirchhoff | Stoekli | Paulissen | Dousse |
|  | Pass | Pass | $1 \diamond$ |
| I $\varangle$ | Dble | $2 \vee$ | Dble |
| Pass | $3 \&$ | Pass | $4 e$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

The quiet approach worked well here for the Swiss. When Kirchhoff decided in favour of overcalling, it was difficult for his side to assess the full trick-taking possibilities of the E/W cards, so they sold out to $4 \%$. Well, the Swiss were ready to save in $5 \%$, but +130 made it even better for them: 6 IMPs won.

On the next board, the Swiss were overboard in the Open Room:

Board 2. Dealer East. N/S Vul.
© K J 3
$\triangleright 92$
$\diamond 764$
\& 16532

| ¢ 74 | N | - A Q 862 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc \mathrm{K} 5$ | W E | ¢ AJ8764 |
| $\diamond$ A Q 9532 | W E | $\diamond \mathrm{K}$ |
| ¢ K 107 | S | \& Q |
|  | -1095 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ Q 103 |  |
|  | $\checkmark \mathrm{J} 108$ |  |
|  | \% A 984 |  |

## Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Teyluoni | Maas | Levy | Ramondt |
|  |  | 18 | Pass |
| $2 \diamond$ | Pass | 24 | Pass |
| 2NT | Pass | 34 | Pass |
| 4\% | Pass | $4 \bigcirc$ | Pass |
| 4NT | Pass | 5 | Pass |
| $6 \bigcirc$ | All Pass |  |  |

It looks as if West did too much here: he knew that an ace and the trump queen were missing. One down when Ramondt quite rightly led the A . If he does not, the contract will be made...

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kirchhoff | Stoekli | Paulissen | Dousse |
| $2 \diamond$ |  | 18 | Pass |
| 2 NT | Pass | 24 | Pass |
| $4 \boxtimes$ | Pass | 34 | Pass |

No nonsense, the correct way to the correct final contract. II tricks, The Netherlands +450 and II IMPs more.

Board 6. Dealer East. E/W Vul.

|  | $\pm$ A | 54 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc 42$ |  |  |
|  | $\diamond 4$ |  |  |
|  | 9 Q |  |  |
| ¢ K 3 | N |  | -10987 |
| $\bigcirc$ KQ 10 | 765 |  | $\bigcirc$ A 3 |
| $\diamond$ A 7 | W | $\diamond$ | $\diamond$ K Q 5 |
| ¢ 8 | S |  | \& 10642 |
|  | - 12 |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 1$ |  |  |
|  | $\diamond$ J 10 | 86 |  |
|  | \& A |  |  |
| Open Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Teyluoni | Maas | Levy | Ramondt |
| 4 |  |  | I $\diamond$ |
| 4 | All Pass |  |  |

No guts, no glory for the Dutch North. Switzerland +650 on a club lead and a heart return..

\section*{Closed Room <br> | West | North |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kirchhoff | East | South |  |
| Stoekli | Saulissen <br> Pass | Dousse <br> $1 \diamond$ |  |
| $4 \diamond$ | 49 | All Pass |  |}

No guts, no glory for the Dutch East. Switzerland -I50 when declarer had to lose a heart, three diamonds and two trumps. Net gain: II IMPs to Helvetia.

Board II. Dealer South. None Vul.


Ramondt opened a slightly shaded INT which silenced everyone. He won the club lead when East inserted the jack and went after the spades. In with the $\mathbf{4}$, West continued clubs and the defence cashed out for ten tricks, holding declarer to only three tricks and a loss of 200. With 3 NT not on for E/W (South leads
a spade and North wins the queen to switch to diamonds) this might be not as good a result as it looked. But:

Closed Room

| West <br> Kirchhoff | North <br> Stoekli | East <br> Paulissen | South <br> Dousse <br> $1 \diamond$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pass | Pass | Dble | Pass |
| 1 | Pass | INT | Pass |
| 3NT | All Pass |  |  |

Well, South not only opened $\stackrel{\diamond \text {, but also made the essential }}{ }$ lead of the low spade. Dummy inserted the jack, North won the queen and... returned the suit. We lost clubs as a suit some 40 or 50 years ago, but diamonds are lost forever, too, as this hands proves.

The Netherlands +400 less 200 thus gained 5 IMPs here, leading 22-2 at this point.

Board 12. Dealer West. N/S Vul.

- 173
$\checkmark 4$
$\diamond$ Q 982
- K Q 1086


| N |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| W | E |
| S |  |
| - 84 |  |
| $\bigcirc 10965$ |  |
| $\diamond 10653$ |  |
| - J 74 |  |

Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Teyluoni | Maas | Levy | Ramondt |
| Pass | Pass | 2 | Pass |
| 32 | Pass | 34 | Pass |
| $4{ }^{4}$ | Pass | 4NT | Pass |
| 52 | Dble | 64 | All Pass |

This auction will not qualify for full marks in a bidding contest, but it was worth 980 to Switzerland. Elsewhere we will no doubt come back to this hand and show a few auctions which led to $7 \S$, the top spot. If the spades do not break $3-2$, you can rely on the diamond finesse; if the hearts are 4-I (as is the case here), the spades have to break.

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kirchhoff | Stoekli | Paulissen | Dousse |
| Pass | Pass | $2 \boldsymbol{Q}$ | Pass |
| $2 \diamond$ | Pass | $2 \downarrow$ | Pass |
| $3 \triangleq$ | Pass | $3 N T$ | All Pass |

Well, Paulissen clearly intended 3NT as descriptive but for-ward-going, not expecting it to become the final contract after spades were bid and supported. Kirchhoff had other ideas however, so an easy slam was missed. The Netherlands +490 and 10 IMPs lost.


Francois Stoeckli, Switzerland
Board 13. Dealer North. All Vul.

|  | ¢ 83 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc$ AJ 65 |  |
|  | $\diamond 3$ |  |
|  | \% K Q 10863 |  |
| ¢ K Q 10652 | N | ¢ 97 |
| $\bigcirc 4$ |  | $\bigcirc 9732$ |
| $\diamond$ K 109742 |  | $\diamond$ A Q 5 |
| ¢ - | S | \% J 952 |
|  | - AJ 4 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ K Q 108 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ J 86 |  |
|  | \% A 74 |  |

Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Teyluoni | Maas | Levy | Ramondt |
|  | Pass | Pass | INT |
| $2 \&$ | Dble | Pass | 2NT |
| Pass | $3 \uparrow$ | Pass | 3NT |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

Of course, the double of 2 showed cards, so West's pass of 2NT looks a little passive. Though the defence did not lead diamonds, declarer still only had eight tricks on a spade lead when the clubs failed to break. In an attempt to make the contract, Ramondt went down two, 200 to Switzerland but still a good-looking score for the Dutch.

## Seniors Teams

The Captains meeting takes place in the Salon Gran Canarias and starts at 08.45.

Play starts at 10.00 in the Tenerife Room. You will play 14 boards in a round, which takes 2 hours.
Ton Kooijman

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kirchhoff | Stoekli | Paulissen | Dousse |
|  | 18 | Pass | 18 |
| 4 4s | Pass | Pass | Dble |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

This time, their team-mates did not let them down. After the natural opening bid and response, Kirchhoff did not hesitate for a second and took the bull by the horns. Question: should North pull the double and go to Five Hearts? The bold intervention made it impossible for North to find the proper answer, so the Dutch could register a very well-deserved 790 and recoup II IMPs on this one to lead 33-31.

At 37-34 to Switzerland, this was the last board to be shown on Rama:

Board I8. Dealer East. N/S Vul.

$$
\text { \& K } 976
$$

89632
$\diamond 5$

- A Q J 2


Open Room

| West <br> Teyluoni | North <br> Maas | East <br> Levy | South <br> Ramondt <br> Pass |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pass | 18 | Pass | $3 \uparrow$ |
| Pass | $4 〉$ | All Pass |  |

Conventional auction, normal contract, nothing to report, The Netherlands +650 . 3 was a splinter in an unspecified suit, but also implying a minimum hand.

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kirchhoff | Stoekli | Paulissen | Dousse |
|  |  | Pass | $1 \diamond$ |
| Pass | 18 | 19 | $4 \checkmark$ |
| Pass | 4NT | Pass | 54 |
| Pass | $6 \bigcirc$ | All Pass |  |

Here it looks that both South and North were stretching a little. They would still have landed safely on their feet had South not been obliged to respond 5s to 4 NT...As it was, the contract lost its last legitimate chance when West inserted the nine on his partner's lead of the 5 . So I3 IMPs back to the Netherlands.

The final result (including the appeal) thus was 47-37 or 17-I3 VPs to The Netherlands.

## Hand of the Day

By Svend Novrup, e-bridge correspondent

Romania has been a pleasant surprise during the first days of the championship. In round 5 they defeated the leading Belgiums |9-II to take up nineth position, and they are one of the reasons that the English team is not very well placed at present.

In the well played match against Belgium Viorel Micescu - Iulian Rotaru were sitting North/South on Board 6.

Round 6. Dealer East. E/W Vul.

|  | - K 83 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc 105$ |  |
|  | $\diamond 43$ |  |
|  | ¢ KQ9763 |  |
| - A Q 4 | N | - 9762 |
| Q KJ6 |  | Q Q 93 |
| $\diamond 10852$ |  | $\diamond$ KJ7 |
| - AJ 10 | S | \& 852 |
|  | - J 105 |  |
|  | ¢A8742 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ A Q 96 |  |
|  | - 4 |  |

Against the contract of 2 spades, South led his singleton club to $\$ \mathrm{~K}$ and North switched to 10 . Declarer put up his queen which was allowed to hold the trick. A spade to the queen lost to the king and now started a ping-pong which East did not like at all: Club ruff, 4 A, $\mathbf{4}$ (!) ruffed, and now North did extremely well not to play another club. He obeyed his partner's Lavinthal signal and switched to a diamond. South won $\diamond$ Q and $\diamond A$ followed by a diamond ruff and now the club ruff.

If North had played a club instead of a diamond, declarer would have escaped with two down, now the result was down four and 400 to Romania.

At the other table the Belgium North-South pair bid 2\& and was left to play there as neither East, Cornel Matei, nor West, Daniel Savin, felt any urge to balance. Even making nine tricks Belgium lost 7 IMPs on the board.

## Ladies Transnational

The Transnational Ladies is played in a round robin with 14 teams. Thursday and Friday four rounds will be played, Saturday three rounds. A round consists of ten boards.

The starting times are 10.00 for two rounds and 17.30 for the other two rounds. Saturday afternoon the last round will be played and we then finish at 19.00.

The prize giving will be held soon thereafter.

## Ton Kooijmann



Special Discounts are available to Bridge players registering here in Tenerife up to 30 June 2001.

Those interested are asked to contact the following:

## Groups of four or more

Mrs Judy Rand, Extension 8419
Individuals (up to three)
Mrs Daniella Birman, Extension 8253
Thank you
7th Red Sea Festival


The first round of the Ladies teams featured a match up between two countries, Israel and Norway, either of whom might well take one of the qualifying places for Bali. My personal bet was that with several other strong countries represented here there would not be room for both to make it to the World Championships.


Ruth Levit-Porat, Israel
Norway took a small lead on the first deal of the match.
Board I. Dealer North. None Vul.

| \& K 2 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc$ A 1063 |  |  |  |
| $\diamond 972$ |  |  |  |
| \& Q 1082 |  |  |  |
| - AJ 109 | 754 N | ¢ Q 63 |  |
| $\bigcirc$ K J 5 | W | - $\quad 9974$ |  |
| $\diamond-$ |  | $\checkmark$ J 65 |  |
| \% K 95 | S | * 7643 |  |
| ¢ 8 |  |  |  |
| $\bigcirc$ Q 82 |  |  |  |
| $\checkmark$ AKQ 10843 |  |  |  |
| \& AJ |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Wennevold | Zur-Campanila | Steenlybaek | Levit-Porat |
|  | Pass | Pass | $1 \diamond$ |
| 14 | Dble | Pass | 3s |
| 49 | Dble | All Pass |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Naveh | Heitmann | Melech | Langeland |
|  | Pass | Pass | $1 \diamond$ |
| 14 | Dble | Pass | $3 \diamond$ |
| 34 | $4 \diamond$ | Pass | 49 |
| Pass | $5 \diamond$ | All Pass |  |

Ruth Levit-Porat's jump to 3 showed shortage, but not specifically long running diamonds - though presumably most other hand types could just cuebid 24. Whatever her partner was showing, Migri Zur-Campanila had very limited values with a probably wasted king of spades and doubled Ida Wennevold's 49. Levit-Porat still thought for some time before trusting her partner's judgement and passing. Wennevold ruffed the diamond lead and cashed the ace of trumps before leading a low club from hand. Levit-Porat won the jack and played a diamond which declarer ruffed. She exited with a spade to the king and back came a club to the ace followed by a low heart switch. Wennevold put in the jack so had just to lose the ace and a later heart trick; down two for -300.

In the other room, Aase Langeland preferred to rebid $3 \triangleleft$ and, when Eva Lund Heitmann raised in competition, made a slam try of $4 \Phi$ on the way to game. Two rounds of spades gave Langeland a discard from hand and she simply drew trumps then gave up a club; +400 and 3 IMPs to Norway.

Board 2. Dealer East. N/S Vul.

- 985
- A 98
$\diamond 107$
\& A 10742
© K 32
D J 7643
$\diamond$ Q 9643
\& -


West
Wennevold Zur-Campanila Steenlybaek 14

South
Levit-Porat
Dble
All Pass
(1) Good/Bad 2NT

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Naveh | Heitmann | Melech | Langeland |
|  |  | $1 \infty$ | Dble |
| $2 \boldsymbol{3 s}$ | Dble | All Pass | Pass |
| Pass | Dble |  |  |

The same contract was reached at both tables but Heitmann raised the stakes a little with a final double. Langeland led a low club and Hanita Melech ran this to North's ace. A diamond switch was won with the ace and Melech led a second diamond to the king. Langeland switched to the king of hearts now and finally found the trump switch - but far too late, of course. Melech had the communications to take two club ruffs in dummy and had ten tricks in all for +590 .

Levit-Porat led the king of hearts and switched to a trump at trick two. Tulla Steenlybaek won that in hand and played ace and another diamond. Levit-Porat won the $\diamond$ K and led a low heart to


Aase Langeland, Norway
the eight and ruff. Declarer ruffed a club then tried the queen of diamonds. When that got ruffed she could over-ruff but had only two club ruffs in dummy and eventually had to concede two club tricks and her contract; down one for -50 and I2 IMPs to Israel.

Board 7. Dealer South. All Vul.

- QJIO 98

Q Q 6
$\diamond 864$
2543

- K 64
$\checkmark 108743$
$\diamond 103$
\& 1092

$\perp 72$
-K2
$\diamond$ Q 9752
2 AJ 87

| West <br> Wennevold | North <br> Zur-Campanila | East <br> Steenlybaek | South <br> Levit-Porat |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pass | Pass | $2 \boldsymbol{9}$ | Pass |
| $2 \diamond$ | Pass | $2 N T$ | Pass |
| $3 \diamond$ | Pass | $3 \oslash$ | Pass |
| $3 N T$ | Pass | $4 \checkmark$ | All Pass |


| West <br> Naveh | North <br> Heitmann | East <br> Melech | South <br> Langeland <br> Pass |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pass | Pass | $2 \triangleleft$ | Pass |
| $2 \triangleleft$ | Pass | $2 N T$ | Pass |
| $3 \diamond$ | Pass | $4 \checkmark$ | All Pass |

It is easy for South to give the contract by leading a diamond into the tenace but Levit-Porat avoided that, leading instead her doubleton spade. Steenlybaek took dummy's king and played the ten of hearts for the queen and ace, then a second heart to the king. Levit-Porat continued spades (her partner had dropped the queen at trick one). Declarer won the ace of spades and played three rounds of diamonds, ruffing, then exited with the spade loser. Zur-Campanila won and played a club on which Steenlybaek put up the king. But Levit-Porat had a complete count of the hand and ducked to avoid the endplay. Declarer crossed to dummy to lead a second club up but had to lose two tricks in the suit for down one; - 100.

At the other table West was declarer so Heitmann had an easy spade lead. Nurit Naveh won in hand and took the diamond finesse. That lost and back came a spade but she now had a discard for the spade loser. Naveh won the second spade, cashed the ace of hearts, then played the diamond winners, throwing her spade away. When she now exited with a second trump, Langeland had to win the king but was then endplayed; +620 and 12 IMPs to Israel.

Board I2. Dealer West. N/S Vul.

- K Q
- K Q 106432
$\checkmark 86$
- 73


| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wennevold | Zur-Campanila | Steenlybaek | Levit-Porat |
| Pass | $1 /$ | Pass | 19 |
| Pass | $2 \rrbracket$ | Pass | 30 |
| Pass | $4 \searrow$ | Pass | 68 |

All Pass

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Naveh | Heitmann | Melech | Langeland |
| Pass | 18 | Pass | 14 |
| 3 | Pass | Pass | 4* |
| Pass | $4{ }^{\circ}$ | Pass | 4NT |
| Pass | 5 | Pass | 68 |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

Levit-Porat had a pretty good match but I thought she made a lazy bid on this hand. Having heard her partner bid hearts three times, including a jump, would it have cost to bid 5NT rather than 68 ? On the actual deal, Zur-Campanila would have seen the value of her spade holding and been well placed to bid seven.

In the other room, Naveh's delayed diamond pre-empt made life more difficult for her opponents and they too stopped off in six. A pair of +1460 s for a push board.

Not everyone had a free run on this deal. In the match between Poland and Croatia in the Ladies series, Marina Pilipovic


Ida Wennevold, Norway
heard the auction: $3 \diamond-3 \triangleleft-5 \diamond$ to her. Looking at that South hand, she simply jumped to 7 ) ! Bridge is such an easy game sometimes, don't you think?

|  | Board 16. Deal | er West. E/W |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ¢ K 10 |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 986$ |  |  |
|  | $\diamond$ Q 10 | 92 |  |
|  | \% J 93 |  |  |
| - A Q | N |  |  |
| $\bigcirc J 742$ |  |  |  |
| $\checkmark$ AK 3 | W |  | 65 |
| c A Q 65 | S |  | 742 |
|  | ¢ 843 |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ K 10 | 53 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ J 74 |  |  |
|  | \& K 10 |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Wennevold | Zur-Campanila | Steenlybaek | Levit-Porat |
| $2 \diamond$ | Pass | $2 \bigcirc$ | Pass |
| 2NT | Pass | $3{ }^{\circ}$ | Pass |
| $3 \diamond$ | Pass | 38 | Pass |
| 3NT | All Pass |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Naveh | Heitmann | Melech | Langeland |
| $2 \diamond$ | Pass | $2 \checkmark$ | Pass |
| 2NT | Pass | 3NT | All Pass |

Heitmann led a heart against Naveh. That went to the queen and king and back came a second heart to the ace. Naveh took the club finesse and, when that held, played two more rounds of the suit. Heitmann won the third club and played another
heart to the jack. Naveh continued with the ace and queen of spades and came to eleven tricks when the defence lost its way; +660 .

That was only an overtrick or two and it didn't appear that much damage had been done. However, at the other table ZurCampanila led the ten of diamonds to the jack, ducked. Wennevold won the next diamond and took the heart finesse. That lost and back came a third diamond. Declarer won and finally got around to the spades, playing ace then queen as she might have done at tricks three and four. Zur-Campanila won the second spade and cashed her diamond winner before exiting with a club to the king and ace. Now Wennevold had one of those unfortunate lapses to which we are all prone on occasion, miscounting her losers and thinking that she could afford to duck a club in case the ten of spades was not coming down. That meant an embarrassing one down;-100 and 13 IMPs to Israel.

Board I8. Dealer East. N/S Vul.


Method had some bearing on the result here, Langeland's four-card major opening making life much easier for her side. Four Clubs was a cuebid and Langeland was happy to cooperate, taking control when Heitmann was able to make a second cuebid above game; +1430.

Levit-Porat had to start with is and Zur-Campanila bid $3 \diamond$ over the weak jump overcall. What was levit-Porat to do? She fancied 3NT with her sure spade stopper and useful diamond holding but if she didn't bid it now she might not get another chance. There was no problem in making ten tricks for +630 but that was 13 IMPs to Norway, who needed them.

I hate that $\mathbf{3} \triangleleft$ bid when a negative double was presumably available. Of course, double does not necessarily get them to the slam, but at least they play in hearts and have a chance. Israel won the match by 48-28 IMPs, I9-II VPs.


## Russia v Italy

## Appeals Committee:

Steen Møller (Chairman, Denmark), Herman De Wael (Scribe, Belgium), Grattan Endicott (England).

Ladies Pairs Qualifying 2nd session<br>Board 20. Dealer West. All Vul.



## Comments:

$2 \triangleleft$ showed five Hearts and four or more of a minor.

## Contract:

Four Hearts, played by West.

## Lead:

King of Diamonds.

## Result:

II tricks, N/S +650.

## The Facts:

East intended her bid of Two Spades as being an asking relay, and she explained the "response" of Three Hearts as showing four clubs and minimum two spades. West had forgotten that part of the system and had simply intended Three Hearts as natural, and afraid of the void in spades. East/West could not produce evidence of this, and so the Director ruled misinformation. After the session, some sort of evidence was found but by now it was to late to change the ruling and so an appeal was necessary.

## The Director:

Considered that there had been misinformation and applied Law 12C3.

## Ruling:

North/South receive:
$60 \%$ of the available Matchpoints

## East/West receive:

40\% of the available Matchpoints

## Relevant Laws:

Law 75A, 40C
Law I2C3, Code of Practice enabling Tournament Director to award Adjusted Scores under Law I2C3.

## East/West appealed.

## Present:

All players.

## The Players:

East produced a piece of paper, with a hand-written explanation of the bidding after the opening of $2 \nabla$. According to this, 2s is indeed an asking bid, and $3 \triangle$ and 3 indicate clubs, resp. diamonds as the minor suit, and two cards in spades. With three spades, the responses are $3 *$ and $3 \diamond$, and with void or singleton, 2NT. East explained that she had last played with her current partner two years ago in Malta, and this system had been agreed upon there. East/West had not discussed the sequence this year. When asked why she used the asking bid of 24, rather than bidding $4 \checkmark$ straight away, she responded that she would have liked to hear 3 card support. With only 2 spades, she preferred partner to play the hand.

East/West had not lodged their system, as had been asked by the organization.

North stated that she would have led a heart with a different explanation.

## The Committee:

Considered that the Organization had asked the players to lodge their systems, but that only a minority had done so. Nevertheless, the Committee decided not to disallow the evidence and consider it on its merits. It was found that the paper was genuine and that this system was indeed played in Malta. However, without any intermediate discussion, it cannot be said that there was partnership understanding that this system still applied.

The Committee therefor decided that North had indeed been misinformed.

With a more correct explanation it is not clear what lead would be chosen, although it would quite unlikely be a high diamond. The Committee decided to weigh the scores, according to Law I2C3. With a heart lead, the contract may well go down, while with any other lead (excluding high diamonds) and a heart return, 10 tricks are the normal result.

## The Committee's decision:

Score adjusted to
Both sides receive:
$50 \%$ of $4 \checkmark$ making (N/S -620) plus
$50 \%$ of $4 \bigcirc-1(\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{S}+100)$

## Deposit:

Returned.

## Committee's Note:

The Committee wants to point out that the chosen weightings represent "true" expectations.


The Lavazza Girls - Coffee with a Smile

## Notice

Notice for the attention of the Captains of those teams which received notices in their pigeonholes about monetary penalties for late submission of convention cards

At a meeting on 20th June 2001 the Tournament Appeals Committee decided that additional penalties shall apply in the case of any team that has failed to pay to Mr Endicott the amount of the monetary penalty by 12 o'clock noon on Friday 22nd June. These additional penalties will be ONE Victory Point for late payment before noon the following day and 2 additional Victory Points for each 24 hours or part thereof subsequent to that.

Mr. Endicott is frequently at the Convention Card Desk each day, and on Friday, 22nd June, he will be there in particular from 11.30 a.m. until 12 noon.

## 2001 Zonal Championships Book

Brian Senior is producing a book with news, results and action from all of this year's Zonal Championships, including a full list of the qualifiers for Bali.

Available in early August, the book will be approximately 120 A4 pages.

See Brian in the Daily Bulletin Office to order your copy. The price here in Tenerife is Ten Pounds Sterling or the equivalent in any major currency, post free.

## Walker's Biscuit

by Patrick Jourdain (Wales)

The Celtic matches are friendly affairs, but with honour at stake. In Round 4 Scotland had beaten Wales, and in Round 7, overcame Ireland 22-8 to take the lead of those countries that compete in the Camrose. (In the Camrose, both the Republic of Ireland, and Northern Ireland have teams, but in EBL events the team is all-Ireland.). This well-played deal by David Walker contributed to the Scottish win.

Board 2. Dealer East. N/S Vul.


| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O'Brien | Walker | MacDonagh | Murdoch |
|  |  | Pass | Pass |
| $1 \diamond$ | 18 | 2\% | $2 \diamond$ |
| Pass | $4 \checkmark$ | All Pass |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Whittaker | Hanlon | Steel | McGann |
|  |  | Pass | 1\% |
| 14 | Dble | Pass | INT |
| Pass | $2 \bigcirc$ | Pass | 38 |
| Pass | $4 \bigcirc$ | All Pass |  |

Hanlon and McGann use a One Club opening that shows a balanced hand of 9-12 points or a Strong Club. It did not affect the outcome here, as both tables reached Four Hearts by North, Scotland after South's Two Diamonds showed a good raise in hearts.

Hanlon had no real chance on a spade lead. He does best to put in the queen. West can win and exit passively, say with a trump. Declarer is in danger of losing two spades, a diamond and two clubs. The club suit is frozen, meaning neither defender can play it without conceding a trick, so declarer has the chance to set up a spade trick for a club discard to hold the contract to one off. Hanlon actually chose to play a low spade from dummy at trick one, and West put in the nine. East, on lead later with the ace of diamonds, pushed through another spade, to end all hope. At Walker's table, East led ace of diamonds, giving declarer a favourable start. Seeing the danger that declarer ditches a losing club on the diamond queen, East switched at trick two to a club. Walker played low from dummy, and was pleased to seeWest's ace. The contract is still not home, for declarer has to avoid losing two spades, but Walker, recalling the spade overcall, could see the way.

He won West's diamond continuation, drew trumps in two rounds, cashed the diamond queen, throwing a spade from hand, ruffed a diamond to eliminate the suit, cashed his winning club, and then played the queen of spades. West had to win and was endplayed, having to concede either a ruff and discard, or lead away from the jack of spades.


[^0]:    - Quote of the rear

    A few weeks ago at the Million Dollar Cavendish - Pairs in Las Vegas, Zia Mahmoud told his partner "You'll - have to learn to hold your hand up properly as there are some guys here who will take advantage of you".

    Who was he advising?
    None other than Billy Eisenberg, five times Bermuda

    - Bowl winner with four different partners.
    - I heard it - but I still don't believe it.
    - Sam Leckie
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