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## Russia and Poland vie for Open Lead



Today's Quiz;
How many boards will be duplicated during the Championships?
In the Open Series, Russia clung on to their lead, but by only half a VP from Poland. In the big match of the day, Russia defeated the champions, Italy, by 2I-9. Italy, however, are still handily placed. The big news is the performance of Greece, who are in third place as we approach the half-way point.

In the Womens Series, the gold and silver medallists from Malta - Austria and England (it was GB in Malta) - are tied for the lead. There is a small gap, then come France, Poland and Germany. Israel's 24-6 Round 7 win over Germany was the result of the day.
France I, the reigning champions, have moved into the lead in the Senior Teams, but six teams are within 10 VPs of them. Congratulations to Malta, who moved off the bottom with a $24-6$ victory against Portugal.

## Transnational Ladies Teams Cup

The prize giving will be held in the playing room today immediately after the end of the tournament.

Please all stay until the end of the prize-giving ceremony as we have a wonderful surprise for all of you.

## LIVE VUGRAPH MATCHES

## OPEN <br> ROUND 16 <br> 10.00 Greece v Iceland

## LADIES ROUND 8 <br> 13.45 <br> Germany v Sweden

OPEN ROUND $18 \quad 17.30$ Austria v Italy
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## OPEN TEAMS RESULTS

|  |  | ROUND I 3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |


|  |  | ROUND I4 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | Home Team | Visiting Team | IMPs | VPs |
| I | RUSSIA | DENMARK | $58-41$ | $19-11$ |
| 2 | POLAND | LIECHTENSTEIN $43-32$ | $17-13$ |  |
| 3 | ITALY | bye | $0-0$ | $18-0$ |
| 4 | SWITZERLAND | LATVIA | $53-36$ | $19-11$ |
| 5 | CROATIA | LEBANON | $30-14$ | $18-12$ |
| 6 | FRANCE | TURKEY | $55-51$ | $16-14$ |
| 7 | AUSTRIA | ROMANIA | $68-16$ | $25-5$ |
| 8 | FINLAND | SCOTLAND | $42-49$ | $14-16$ |
| 9 | GERMANY | WALES | $64-35$ | $21-9$ |
| I0 | SPAIN | NETHERLANDS $27-58$ | $9-21$ |  |
| II | BELGIUM | CZECH REP | $43-28$ | $18-12$ |
| I2 | SWEDEN | HUNGARY | $25-43$ | $11-19$ |
| I3 | BULGARIA | UKRAINE | $72-32$ | $23-7$ |
| I4 | ICELAND | ISRAEL | $45-63$ | $11-19$ |
| I5 | IRELAND | LUXEMBOURG | $57-46$ | $17-13$ |
| I6 | PORTUGAL | NORWAY | $39-69$ | $9-21$ |
| I7 | ENGLAND | SAN MARINO | $54-25$ | $21-9$ |
| I8 | SLOVENIA | GREECE | $30-96$ | $2-25$ |


| ROUND I5 |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :---: |
|  | Home Team | Visiting Team | IMPs | VPs |
| I | DENMARK | LIECHTENSTEIN $84-31$ | $25--5$ |  |
| 2 | RUSSIA | ITALY | $66-36$ | $21-9$ |
| 3 | LATVIA | POLAND | $48-103$ | $4-25$ |
| 4 | bye | CROATIA | $0-0$ | $0-18$ |
| 5 | TURKEY | SWITZERLAND | $46-42$ | $16-14$ |
| 6 | LEBANON | AUSTRIA | $75-31$ | $24-6$ |
| 7 | SCOTLAND | FRANCE | $34-39$ | $14-16$ |
| 8 | ROMANIA | WALES | $49-76$ | $9-21$ |
| 9 | FINLAND | SPAIN | $64-23$ | $23-7$ |
| I0 | CZECH REP | GERMANY | $42-47$ | $14-16$ |
| II | NETHERLANDS | SWEDEN | $51-42$ | $17-13$ |
| I2 | BULGARIA | BELGIUM | $43-57$ | $12-18$ |
| I3 | ISRAEL | HUNGARY | $56-36$ | $19-11$ |
| I4 | UKRAINE | IRELAND | $71-20$ | $25-5$ |
| I5 | PORTUGAL | ICELAND | $30-55$ | $10-20$ |
| I6 SAN MARINO | LUXEMBOURG | $43-47$ | $14-16$ |  |
| I7 | NORWAY | GREECE | $38-48$ | $13-17$ |
| I8 | ENGLAND | SLOVENIA | $59-56$ | $16-14$ |

## OPEN TEAMS PROGRAM

|  |  | ROUND |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | $\mathbf{6}$ |
| Table | Home Team | Visiting Team |
| 1 | ITALY | DENMARK |
| 2 | LIECHTENSTEIN | LATVIA |
| 3 | CROATIA | RUSSIA |
| 4 | POLAND | TURKEY |
| 5 | AUSTRIA | bye |
| 6 | SWITZERLAND | SCOTLAND |
| 7 | WALES | LEBANON |
| 8 | FRANCE | SPAIN |
| 9 | ROMANIA | CZECH REP. |
| 10 | SWEDEN | FINLAND |
| 11 | GERMANY | BULGARIA |
| 12 | ISRAEL | NETHERLANDS |
| 13 | IRELAND | BELGIUM |
| 14 | HUNGARY | PORTUGAL |
| 15 | SANMARINO | UKRAINE |
| 16 | GREECE | ICELAND |
| 17 | LUXEMBOURG | SLOVENIA |
| 18 | NORWAY | ENGLAND |


|  |  | ROUND |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | 7 |
| Table | Home Team | Visiting Team |
| 1 | DENMARK | LATVIA |
| 2 | ITALY | CROATIA |
| 3 | TURKEY | LIECHTENSTEIN |
| 4 | RUSSIA | AUSTRIA |
| 5 | SCOTLAND | POLAND |
| 6 | bye | WALES |
| 7 | SPAIN | SWITZERLAND |
| 8 | LEBANON | CZECH REP. |
| 9 | FRANCE | SWEDEN |
| 10 | BULGARIA | ROMANIA |
| 11 | FINLAND | ISRAEL |
| 12 | IRELAND | GERMANY |
| 13 | PORTUGAL | NETHERLANDS |
| 14 | BELGIUM | SAN MARINO |
| 15 | GREECE | HUNGARY |
| 16 | SLOVENIA | UKRAINE |
| 17 | ICELAND | ENGLAND |
| 18 | LUXEMBOURG | NORWAY |


|  |  | ROUND |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | 8 |
| Table | Home Team | Visiting Team |
| 1 | CROATIA | DENMARK |
| 2 | LATVIA | TURKEY |
| 3 | AUSTRIA | ITALY |
| 4 | LIECHTENSTEIN | SCOTLAND |
| 5 | WALES | RUSSIA |
| 6 | POLAND | TURKEY |
| 7 | CZECH REP. | bye |
| 8 | SWITZERLAND | SWEDEN |
| 9 | LEBANON | BULGARIA |
| 10 | ISRAEL | FRANCE |
| 11 | ROMANIA | IRELAND |
| 12 | PORTUGAL | FINLAND |
| 13 | SAN MARINO | GERMANY |
| 14 | NETHERLANDS | GREECE |
| 15 | SLOVENIA | BELGIUM |
| 16 | ENGLAND | HUNGARY |
| 17 | UKRAINE | NORWAY |
| 18 | ICELAND | LUXEMBOURG |


| OPEN TEAMS RANKING |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| after 15 rounds |  |  |
| 1 | RUSSIA | 282.50 |
| 2 | POLAND | 282.00 |
| 3 | GREECE | 273.00 |
| 4 | ITALY | 271.00 |
| 5 | NORWAY | 267.00 |
| 6 | Austria | 266.00 |
| 7 | Belgium | 258.50 |
| 8 | France | 258.00 |
| 9 | Israel | 252.00 |
| 10 | Bulgaria | 248.00 |
| 11 | Denmark | 242.00 |
| 12 | Hungary | 241.00 |
| 13 | Netherlands | 238.00 |
| 14 | Turkey | 228.00 |
| 15 | England | 228.00 |
| 16 | Switzerland | 227.00 |
| 17 | Scotland | 226.00 |
| 18 | Wales | 225.00 |
| 19 | Germany | 224.00 |
| 20 | Sweden | 224.00 |
| 21 | Iceland | 221.00 |
| 22 | Lebanon | 220.00 |
| 23 | Czech Rep | 218.00 |
| 24 | Croatia | 210.00 |
| 25 | Spain | 207.00 |
| 26 | Finland | 207.00 |
| 27 | Romania | 206.00 |
| 28 | Ukraine | 203.00 |
| 29 | Slovenia | 202.00 |
| 30 | Portugal | 198.00 |
| 31 | Luxembourg | 176.00 |
| 32 | Ireland | 168.00 |
| 33 | Latvia | 162.00 |
| 34 | San Marino | 158.00 |
| 35 | Liechtenstein | 149.00 |


|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| an official European Bridge League competition |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Brighton, England 13th-16th August 2001 |  |  |  |
| Seniors Congress <br> second year of the official European Bridge League competition |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Monday 13th 20.00 Pairs Qualifier |
|  |  |  | Tuesday 14th 14.00 Pairs Semi-final |
|  |  |  | 20.00 Pairs and Consolation Pairs Final |
|  |  |  | Wednesday 15th $13.00 \begin{aligned} & \text { Swiss Teams Session 1 } \\ & \text { (4×8 board matches) }\end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  | $\begin{array}{lll}\text { Thursday 16th } & 13.00 & \begin{array}{l}\text { Swiss Teams Session } 2 \\ (4 \times 8 \text { board matches) }\end{array}\end{array}$ |

## LADIES TEAMS RANKING after 7 rounds

| I | AUSTRIA | 135.00 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 2 | ENGLAND | 135.00 |
| 3 | POLAND | 125.00 |
| 4 | FRANCE | 124.00 |
| 5 | GERMANY | 122.00 |
| 6 | Spain | 122.00 |
| 7 | Italy | 120.00 |
| 8 | Sweden | 119.00 |
| 9 | Israel | 115.00 |
| IO | Netherlands | 114.00 |
| II | Croatia | 106.00 |
| 12 | Hungary | 106.00 |
| 13 | Russia | 103.00 |
| I4 | Czech Rep | 98.00 |
| I5 | Wales | 86.00 |
| 16 | Finland | 82.00 |
| 17 | Denmark | 78.00 |
| I8 | Norway | 77.00 |
| I9 | San Marino | 76.00 |
| 20 | Greece | 69.00 |
| 21 | Scotland |  |

## LADIES TEAMS PROGRAM

| ROUND $\mathbf{8}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Table | Home Team | Visiting Team |
| 21 | GERMANY | SWEDEN |
| 22 | CROATIA | bye |
| 23 | ENGLAND | SAN MARINO |
| 24 | ISRAEL | RUSSIA |
| 25 | FRANCE | FINLAND |
| 26 | GREECE | SCOTLAND |
| 27 | NORWAY | DENMARK |
| 28 | ITALY | SPAIN |
| 29 | CZECH REP. | WALES |
| 30 | NETHERLANDS | POLAND |
| 31 | HUNGARY | AUSTRIA |


| ROUND 9 |  |  |  | $\mathbf{9 . 3 0}$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Table | Home Team | Visiting Team |  |  |
| 21 | GERMANY | SPAIN |  |  |
| 22 | SCOTLAND | ISRAEL |  |  |
| 23 | NETHERLANDS | GREECE |  |  |
| 24 | SWEDEN | ITALY |  |  |
| 25 | CZECH REP. | SAN MARINO |  |  |
| 26 | bye | HUNGARY |  |  |
| 27 | FINLAND | NORWAY |  |  |
| 28 | POLAND | AUSTRIA |  |  |
| 29 | DENMARK | FRANCE |  |  |
| 30 | RUSSIA | CROATIA |  |  |
| 31 | WALES | ENGLAND |  |  |

## LADIES TEAMS RESULTS

|  |  | ROUND 5 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | Home Team | Visiting Team | IMPs | VPs |
| 21 | GREECE | GERMANY | $13-75$ | $3-25$ |
| 22 | RUSSIA | FINLAND | $36-23$ | $18-12$ |
| 23 | SWEDEN | DENMARK | $42-22$ | $19-11$ |
| 24 | SAN MARINO | AUSTRIA | $19-55$ | $8-22$ |
| 25 | SCOTLAND | CZECH REP. | $14-48$ | $8-22$ |
| 26 | NORWAY | ITALY | $55-29$ | $20-10$ |
| 27 | FRANCE | WALES | $46-31$ | $18-12$ |
| 28 | ENGLAND | bye | $0-0$ | $18-0$ |
| 29 | HUNGARY | CROATIA | $31-57$ | $10-20$ |
| 30 | SPAIN | NETHERLANDS $37-42$ | $14-16$ |  |
| 31 | POLAND | ISRAEL | $61-10$ | $25-5$ |


| ROUND 6 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Home Team | Visiting Team | IMPs | VPs |
| 21 | GERMANY | bye | 0-0 | 18-0 |
| 22 | ITALY | SAN MARINO | 74-29 | 24-6 |
| 23 | SPAIN | NORWAY | 54-14 | 23-7 |
| 24 | GREECE | ENGLAND | 34-92 | 4-25 |
| 25 | HUNGARY | DENMARK | 39-61 | 10-20 |
| 26 | FINLAND | POLAND | 65-49 | 18-12 |
| 27 | CZECH REP. | FRANCE | 40-68 | 9-21 |
| 28 | NETHERLANDS | ISRAEL | 43-70 | 9-21 |
| 29 | WALES | SCOTLAND | 65-34 | 21-9 |
| 30 | AUSTRIA | RUSSIA | 52-35 | 19-11 |
| 31 | CROATIA | SWEDEN | 46-48 | 15-15 |


| ROUND 7 |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :---: |
|  | Home Team | Visiting Team | IMPs | VPs |
| 21 | ISRAEL | GERMANY | $81-34$ | $24-6$ |
| 22 | POLAND | GREECE | $62-32$ | $21-9$ |
| 23 | AUSTRIA | FINLAND | $71-14$ | $25-4$ |
| 24 | bye | NETHERLANDS | $0-0$ | $0-18$ |
| 25 | WALES | NORWAY | $68-58$ | $17-13$ |
| 26 | SAN MARINO | CROATIA | $75-37$ | $23-7$ |
| 27 | DENMARK | CZECH REP. | $40-43$ | $14-16$ |
| 28 | RUSSIA | SWEDEN | $48-47$ | $15-15$ |
| 29 | FRANCE | HUNGARY | $58-17$ | $23-7$ |
| 30 | ENGLAND | ITALY | $35-21$ | $18-12$ |
| 31 | SCOTLAND | SPAIN | $20-30$ | $13-17$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |


| ONLINE MATCHES |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OPEN | ROUND 16 Greece v Iceland | 10.00 |
| LADIES | ROUND 8 <br> Germany v Sweden | 13.45 |
| OPEN | ROUND 18 Austria v Italy | 17.30 |


| SENIOR TEAMS RESUETS |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ROUND 4 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Home Team | Visiting Team | IMPs | VPs |
| 41 | GERMANY I | CROATIA | 43-35 | 17-13 |
| 42 | SPAIN I | BELGIUM | 8-17 | 13-17 |
| 43 | NETHERLANDS | SWEDEN | 37-24 | 18-12 |
| 44 | ISRAEL I | ENGLAND I | 21-63 | 5-25 |
| 45 | IRELAND | ITALY I | 22-4 | 19-11 |
| 46 | FRANCE 2 | SWITZERLAND | 43-5 | 24-6 |
| 47 | FRANCE I | bye | 0-0 | 18-0 |
| 48 | CZECH REP. | ENGLAND 2 | 20-36 | 11-19 |
| 49 | POLAND 2 | WALES 2 | 38-24 | 18-12 |
| 50 | POLAND I | SCOTLAND | $17-12$ | 16-14 |
| 51 | GERMANY 2 | LEBANON | 49-29 | 20-10 |
| 52 | PORTUGAL | ITALY 2 | 19-37 | 11-19 |
| 53 | ISRAEL 2 | WALES I | 40-26 | 18-12 |
| 54 | MALTA | NORWAY | 20-35 | 11-19 |

SENIOR TEAMS PROGRAM

| ROUND 7 |  |  |  | V0.00 |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Table | Home Team | Visiting Team |  |  |
| 41 | SPAIN I | CROATIA |  |  |
| 42 | NORWAY | ITALY 2 |  |  |
| 43 | ENGLAND I | GERMANY I |  |  |
| 44 | IRELAND | SWITZERLAND |  |  |
| 45 | SWEDEN | WALES 1 |  |  |
| 46 | FRANCE I | WALES 2 |  |  |
| 47 | bye | MALTA |  |  |
| 48 | PORTUGAL | FRANCE 2 |  |  |
| 49 | LEBANON | ENGLAND 2 |  |  |
| 50 | GERMANY 2 | ISRAEL I |  |  |
| 51 | BELGIUM | SCOTLAND |  |  |
| 52 | POLAND I | ISRAEL 2 |  |  |
| 53 | ITALY I | CZECH REP. |  |  |
| 54 | NETHERLANDS | POLAND 2 |  |  |


| ROUND 5 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Home Team | Visiting Team | IMPs | VPs |
| 41 | PORTUGAL | CROATIA | 28-28 | 15-15 |
| 42 | POLAND I | FRANCE 2 | 17-4 | $18-12$ |
| 43 | SWEDEN | CZECH REP. | 46-19 | 22-8 |
| 44 | ITALY I | GERMANY I | 38-14 | 21-9 |
| 45 | WALES I | MALTA | 54-30 | 21-9 |
| 46 | LEBANON | SPAIN I | 32-21 | 18-12 |
| 47 | SCOTLAND | ISRAEL I | 30-9 | 20-10 |
| 48 | ENGLAND I | POLAND 2 | 26-41 | 11-19 |
| 49 | BELGIUM | NORWAY | 30-13 | 19-11 |
| 50 | WALES 2 | ISRAEL 2 | 7-9 | 15-15 |
| 51 | ENGLAND 2 | ITALY 2 | 20-29 | 13-17 |
| 52 | SWITZERLAND | FRANCE I | 20-26 | 14-16 |
| 53 | bye | NETHERLANDS | 0-0 | 0-18 |
| 54 | IRELAND | GERMANY 2 | 38-41 | 14-16 |


| ROUND 6 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Home Team | Visiting Team | IMPs | VPs |
| 41 | CROATIA | SWITZERLAND | 14-35 | 10-20 |
| 42 | WALES 2 | LEBANON | 40-40 | 15-15 |
| 43 | CZECH REP. | ENGLAND I | 34-21 | 18-12 |
| 44 | MALTA | PORTUGAL | 59-21 | 24-6 |
| 45 | NETHERLANDS | IRELAND | 21-41 | 10-20 |
| 46 | ITALY 2 | POLAND I | 27-38 | 12-18 |
| 47 | ISRAEL 2 | ITALY I | 63-35 | 22-8 |
| 48 | GERMANY I | BELGIUM | 47-13 | 23-7 |
| 49 | FRANCE 2 | GERMANY 2 | 20-20 | 15-15 |
| 50 | NORWAY | bye | 0-0 | 18-0 |
| 51 | POLAND 2 | FRANCE I | 8-39 | 7-23 |
| 52 | SPAIN I | SCOTLAND | 13-44 | 7-23 |
| 53 | ISRAEL I | SWEDEN | 43-23 | 20-10 |
| 54 | WALES I | ENGLAND 2 | 32-6 | 21-9 |


| ROUND 9 |  |  |  | Visiting Team |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Table | Home Team | W.30 |  |  |
| 41 | CROATIA | WALES 2 |  |  |
| 42 | ENGLAND 2 | SCOTLAND |  |  |
| 43 | PORTUGAL | SWITZERLAND |  |  |
| 44 | NETHERLANDS | POLAND 1 |  |  |
| 45 | ENGLAND 1 | SWEDEN |  |  |
| 46 | ISRAEL 2 | GERMANY 2 |  |  |
| 47 | ITALY I | WALES I |  |  |
| 48 | SPAIN 1 | ITALY 2 |  |  |
| 49 | FRANCE I | BELGIUM |  |  |
| 50 | POLAND 2 | MALTA |  |  |
| 51 | LEBANON | bye |  |  |
| 52 | NORWAY | ISRAEL I |  |  |
| 53 | IRELAND | GERMANY I |  |  |
| 54 | CZECH REP. | FRANCE 2 |  |  |

Follow the 45th European Championships
on Internet through the EBL official web site:
www.eurobridge.org

| ROUND 8 |  |  |  | I $\mathbf{3 . 4 5}$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Table | Home Team | Visiting Team |  |  |
| 41 | CROATIA | POLAND I |  |  |
| 42 | GERMANY 2 | FRANCE I |  |  |
| 43 | GERMANY I | PORTUGAL |  |  |
| 44 | WALES I | SPAIN I |  |  |
| 45 | CZECH REP. | NETHERLANDS |  |  |
| 46 | SCOTLAND | NORWAY |  |  |
| 47 | ISRAEL I | IRELAND |  |  |
| 48 | SWITZERLAND | LEBANON |  |  |
| 49 | ITALY 2 | POLAND 2 |  |  |
| 50 | ENGLAND 2 | ITALY I |  |  |
| 51 | FRANCE 2 | ISRAEL 2 |  |  |
| 52 | WALES 2 | bye |  |  |
| 53 | MALTA | ENGLAND I |  |  |
| 54 | SWEDEN | BELGIUM |  |  |

## VDDD NENS

The Championships are being video-taped and a souvenir edition can be purchased.

To order a copy please go to the Information Desk located in front of the Bridge Rama room armed with just 2900 Ptas or 17.5 Euro.

SENIOR TEAMS RANKING after 6 rounds

| I | FRANCE I | 115.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | GERMANY 2 | 112.00 |
| 3 | FRANCE 2 | 110.00 |
| 4 | Italy 2 | 108.00 |
| 5 | Poland I | 106.75 |
| 6 | England I | 105.00 |
| 7 | Ireland | 105.00 |
| 8 | Sweden | 100.00 |
| 9 | Germany I | 100.00 |
| 10 | Wales I | 100.00 |
| 11 | Netherlands | 98.00 |
| 12 | Czech Rep. | 92.00 |
| 13 | Italy I | 91.00 |
| 14 | Israel 2 | 89.00 |
| 15 | Norway | 88.00 |
| 16 | Switzerland | 87.00 |
| 17 | Belgium | 86.00 |
| 18 | Scotland | 86.00 |
| 19 | England 2 | 86.00 |
| 20 | Poland 2 | 81.00 |
| 21 | Israel I | 79.75 |
| 22 | Wales 2 | 77.00 |
| 23 | Lebanon | 71.00 |
| 24 | Portugal | 70.00 |
| 25 | Malta | 66.00 |
| 26 | Croatia | 59.00 |
| 27 | Spain I | 59.00 |

## 

## CALLING ALL <br> JOURNALISTS

There will be a General Meeting on Monday morning, 25th June, at 09.30 in the Salon Gran Canarias in the Hotel Sir Anthony, adjacent to the swimming pool. It will include the Clippings Draw and an open discussion on points of particular interest to Journalists.

An outing to the volcano will follow the meeting. This will include lunch. Note that the start time of the outing has been brought back from II.00, the time in the official Programme, to 10.30 . This is to ensure that all journalists will be back by 17.00 in good time for the 17.30 match. The bus will leave from the Cleopatra hotel, outside reception on the Ist floor.

Will all those who intend to go put their names on the list in the Press room.

Also remember to register in the Press Room for the Journalist Pairs. This is going to be played on Tuesday evening at 20.30. in the Tenerife room, the Cleopatra hotel. This is where the Senior Championship is being played.


## TEAM PHOTOGRAPHS

Today and tomorrow morning is the turn of the following teams to have their photographs taken for the EBL database. Would the captains please ensure that all players of the team plus the npc are present at the LineUp desk as follows:

## Saturday 23rd June

13.15 hrs Austria Ladies $\quad 17.00 \mathrm{hrs}$ Finland Ladies 13.20 hrs Czech Rep. Ladies 17.05 hrs France Ladies 13.25 hrs Denmark Ladies 17.10 hrs Germany Ladies 13:30 hrs England Ladies 17.15 hrs Greece Ladies
Sunday 24th June
9.40 hrs Wales Open 9.45 hrs Switzerland Open 9.50 hrs Turkey Open

Aggelos Mallios
EBL Photographer

## Hand of the Day

By Svend Novrup, e-bridge correspondent

When this hand was shown on VuGraph there were two typical results. East/West had bid and won 3NT, usually on a heart lead, or East/West had gone down in 3NT on a diamond lead. The VuGraph results' table which quotes the contracts, leads, and scores seemed to imply that it was impossible to make the contract on a diamond lead. Yet that was just what Vladimir Mihov of Bulgaria did in the Open Series match against Israel. On yesterday's hand of the day Mihor was on the receiving end when Yoram Aviram shone against Bulgaria. This was his revenge in the same match.


Sitting West, he received the lead of $\diamond 9$, showing zero or two higher diamonds. He ducked it but had to win the diamond continuation with the king. A heart to the queen lost to the king, (another way to make it is to play the queen of spades from hand at this point. Editor) and a third diamond went to the ace. Mihov now cashed 8 A , finessed in clubs, cashed A and $\vee \mathrm{J}$ before playing a third club, end-playing North to lead away from the $\$ \mathrm{~K}$. With two spades and the established club he had his nine tricks. Very well played.

## Wham Slam, Thank You Ma'am

Back home in England, we have had a long running joke about Irish slams. If this one bid by the English ladies is anything to go by, the Irish will soon be in a position to make jokes about English slam bidding. Of course, it makes it easier to laugh at a slam that goes down. Sally Brock, on her return to international bridge after several years of retirement, managed to bring this one home in England's match against Greece, despite being off a cashing ace and KQIO to four trumps.

Board I6. Dealer West. E/W Vul.

- AK 7
- K 9
$\diamond 10843$
- 10764

```
& 8543
\J7543
K 5
* A 5
```

| N |  | 1- |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | PA862 |
|  | E | $\checkmark$ QJ 96 |
| S |  | \& K Q 32 |

- QJ 10962

Q Q 10
A 72
\& 98

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pass | Pass | 18 | 24. |
| Pass | Pass | Dble | Pass |
| 38 | 34 | 48 | Pass |
| Pass | $4{ }^{1}$ | Pass | Pass |
| 68 | All Pas |  |  |

The Is opening was either natural or II-I3 balanced and 24 was weak. The Greek North did not make an immediate preemptive raise, making it easy for Sally and Margaret Courtney to get together and find the heart fit. However, North did compete with 34 over $3 \checkmark$ and then came again with 4s over 4 . Sally decided that North's bidding marked her partner with a spade void and she took a shot at the slam - perhaps $5 \%$ over 44 would have been safer, giving East an opportunity to cuebid the ace of diamonds if she had it.

Anyway, the play's the thing. North led a top spade and Sally, of course, ruffed in dummy. She led a low heart off the table and North won the jack with her king. It does look as though North needs to find an ace in her partner's hand and that the club is much less likely to go away. Hence, a diamond switch is marked. However, North switched to a club. Sally won in hand, cashed the ace of trumps and ran the clubs, throwing both her diamonds away. Now it only needed the ruffing diamond finesse to provide the twelfth trick and she was home with +1430 . For some reason, they didn't bid it in the other room.

Declarer went for a line that relied on a misdefence - and who can argue with success. There is an alternative line. Having ruffed the opening lead, play on clubs immediately. When the third club is played, it is essential that South ruff in with the queen. She now wins the trick and can cash the ace of diamonds. If South makes the mistake of ruffing with the ten, declarer can over-ruff, cross to the ace of hearts, and play two more rounds of clubs, on which her diamonds go away. Though North can ruff the fifth club, it is too late and declarer has the rest.

# One Slip is Enough against the Senior Fox 

by Alex Montwill, Ireland

I oe MacHale played in his first Europeans for Ireland in Helsinki in 1953. Almost half a century later in the second round Seniors' match against Wales he showed he had lost none of his sharpness.

Board 2. Dealer East. N/S Vul.

- 864
$\bigcirc 106$
$\diamond 9874$
\& K Q 102
52
๑K 8742
$\diamond A K 52$
964


| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Luck | Montwill | Hirst | MacHale |
|  |  | IS | $2 \Omega$ |
| Pass | Pass | Dble | All Pass |

West led the ace of diamonds and continued with another diamond, which MacHale ruffed. He played a club to the king and a small spade from dummy. East rose with the ace and switched to the nine of hearts. Thinking he could keep his king of trumps for later,West ducked this to dummy's ten. But there was no later, at least no opportunity to do something useful later.

Joe played a club to the queen, ruffed another diamond and kept exiting with spades. West had to ruff his partner's winners, while Joe waited patiently with AQ of trumps. Eventually he made all five of his trumps plus two clubs and one spade for a useful score of +670 .

As it happened, the board was flat and this Celtic encounter ended in an honourable draw.
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## SESSION II

## Bulgaria v Greece Poland $v$ France

On Thursday early afternoon, the Rama match of course had to be Poland v France. Somewhere else on the premises, however, another important match was in progress: Bulgaria $v$ Greece. Below, we are presenting highlights from both matches. For the sake of convenience, we will go through the boards in numerical order while reporting the things of any interest at either table.

Board 2 produced a big swing in the Rama match when the popular contract of $2 \triangleleft$ doubled was let through:

Board 2. Dealer East. N/S Vul.

- 864
$\bigcirc 106$
$\diamond 9874$
2K Q 102


Loukas Zotos, Greece

In both our matches, as well as at many other tables, East opened is and South overcalled $2 \nabla$. When this came round to East again, he doubled and West sat it. This contract should go down one, but it needs careful defence. West can either continue diamonds or spades after leading a top diamond, but if he plays spades East should play the ten to keep communications intact. In the Closed Room of the Rama match, Lesniewski took the © A trick two and returned the queen, but now Quantin, after
winning the $\Phi \mathrm{K}$, could cross to dummy twice in clubs and ruff two diamonds in hand before playing a third club which Martens ruffed. Now what should he do? If he returns a fourth diamond, declarer can ruff with the jack and exit with another club. If West ruffs this, he will have only trumps left; if East ruffs it he can cash spades, butWest will have to ruff his partner's winner and return a trump into the AQ after all. Neither did it help West to return a trump, as he did at the table; the nine was taken by the jack and the AQ would certainly score as well with East no longer able to lead a trump through.

Please note the difference if East plays the ten to the first spade trick. Now West can ruff the third club and still reach his partner to cash a spade and lead a trump through. There are variations, but they are bound to lead to one down as well.

So France scored 670 and 200 to lead 13-0.
A board of general interest was Board 6:

## Board 6. Dealer East. E/WVul.

- 54

○K76432
$\diamond$ Q J 9

* A 9


Bulgaria v Greece Open Room

| West <br> Skoularikis | North <br> Karaivanov | East <br> Zotos <br> Pass | South <br> Trendafilov |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 |  |  |  |
| Dble | $4 \diamond$ | $4 \uparrow$ | 5 |
| Pass | Pass | Dble | All Pass |

A cheap save by the Bulgarians for only 300 when their opponents did not go any further, though 5 can be made.

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mihov | Kapayannidis | Nanev | Liarakos |
|  |  | 14 | 3 |
| 4\% | 5 | Dble | Pass |
| 5 | Pass | Pass | 6 |
| Pass | Pass | Dble | All Pass |

Here, the Bulgarians did go on to 5 , so the Greeks had to save at the six level. They correctly did so, but the extra undertrick was still worth 200 or 5 IMPs to Bulgaria.

On Rama, we saw a pretty auction:

## Poland v France

Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Palau | Pszczola | Allegrini | Kwiecien |
|  |  | Pass | 24 |
| 3\% | $3 \diamond$ | 34 | $4 \bigcirc(!)$ |
| 49 | Pass | Pass | 5 - |
| Pass | Pass | 54 | All Pass |

Kwiecien's 24 showed a pre-empt in either minor or a weak major two-suiter. When Palau overcalled in clubs, Pszczola could show a diamond fit with some confidence. When Allegrini showed his spades, Kwiecien found the wonderful bid of 4 『. He explained it as a cuebid to Palau (it cannot possibly be a suit, of course), and at the other side of the screen Pszczola told his opponents that they had no agreements, but that he expected the bid to have some lead-directing values.

The French then went on to 54, but Allegrini's line of play made it clear that he had not for a second thought of the possibility of $4 \bigcirc$ showing a heart void. He ruffed the diamond lead high, played the $\$ \mathrm{Q}$ and then finessed the 10 through North. So Kwiecien won a surprise trick but, when he returned a low club to partner's ace, Pszczola was quick to play back a heart for his partner to ruff, one down, Poland +100 .
Closed Room

| West | North |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Martens | Multon | East <br> Lesniewski <br> Pass | South <br> Quantin <br> $4 \diamond$ |
| Pass | $5 \diamond$ | All Pass |  |

Here, Quantin completely shut out the opponents when he decided to open 4$\rangle$. Multon raised to game, with his good trump support, and there it rested. One down for -50 looked a fine result for France indeed, but it only served to keep the adverse swing to 4 IMPs.

Board 8 looked like a little partscore, but it brought a wide range of scores:

Board 8. Dealer West. None Vul.
© Q J

- K 653
$\diamond 42$
\& K Q ! 076

| 4 A 9732 | N | ¢ K |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc$ Q 10 |  | $\bigcirc 972$ |
| $\diamond$ AK 10 | W E | $\diamond$ QJ8653 |
| \& 853 | S | \% 942 |
|  | ¢ 108654 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ AJ 84 |  |
|  | $\diamond 97$ |  |
|  | \& AJ |  |

Bulgaria v Greece
Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Skoularikis | Karaivanov | Zotos | Trendafilov |
| 19 | Pass | 2\% | Pass |
| $2 \checkmark$ | Pass | Pass | 2 |
| 3 | 38 | All Pass |  |

Against this contract, West led the A.This brought down the king, so Skoularikis first cashed the $\diamond$ K before continuing with a spade for partner to ruff. Back came a diamond, and the next spade was ruffed in dummy with the king. Declarer then took the heart finesse, losing to the queen, and on the next spade East was able to ruff with the nine, thus promoting partner's 810 into the second undertrick; Greece +100 .

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mihov | Kapayannidis | Naner | Liarakos |
| I: | All Pass |  |  |

Nobody had anything to add to Mihov`s 1s opening, but the Greeks once again had the last laugh when this contract also went two down; Greece +100 and 5 IMPs to them.

On Rama, the auctions in both rooms were identical, but the play made a difference of four tricks.

## Poland v France

## Open Room

West
Palau
1.
North
Pszczola
Pass
East
Allegrini
INT

South
Kwiecien
All Pass
Lead: 6. Tricks: 8, France +I20.

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Martens | Multon | Lesniewski | Quantin <br> IS |
| Pass | INT | All Pass |  |

Lead: 84 . Tricks: 4 , France +150 and 7 IMPs to them.

The next board was a cold slam, but apparently difficult to reach, as you can see from the four auctions below:

Board 9. Dealer North. E/WVul.


Bulgaria v Greece Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Skoularikis | Karaivanov | Zotos | Trendafilov |
|  | $1 \diamond$ | Pass | 18 |
| Pass | 3 | Pass | 3 |
| Pass | 34 | Pass | 4\% |
| Pass | $4 \diamond$ | Pass | $5 \diamond$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |



Vladimir Mihov, Bulgaria
The relays did not work very well here, in spite of the sec-ond-round jump in diamonds; Bulgaria +420 .

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mihov | Kapayannidis | Nanev | Liarakos |
|  | $1 \diamond$ | Pass | $1 \searrow$ |
| Pass | $3 \diamond$ | Pass | $3 N T$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

For their Greek counterparts, slam was very much out of the question, even after the jump rebid. 3NT looks more like a bid of a pairs specialist...Greece +400; I IMP to Bulgaria.

## Poland v France

Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Palau | Pszczola | Allegrini | Kwiecien |
|  | $1 \diamond$ | Pass | 18 |
| Pass | 2 | Pass | 320 |
| Pass | 3 | Pass | 34 |
| Pass | $4{ }^{4}$ | Pass | 52 |
| Pass | 6\% | Pass | 6 |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

Well, from the auction one might get the impression that North was not fully aware of what was happening at the other side of the screen but, in the end, the top spot was reached; Poland +920.

## Closed Room

| West | North <br> Multon | East <br> Lesniewski | South <br> Quantin |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $1 \diamond$ | Pass | $1 \searrow$ |
| Pass | $3 \diamond$ | Pass | $4 \diamond$ |
| Pass | $4 \diamond$ | Pass | $5 \curvearrowright$ |
| Pass | $5 \diamond$ | All Pass |  |

Maybe, Quantin could have made another move here... France +420 , but II IMPs to Poland.

On Board I0, 44 was played at many tables, but not all declarers managed 10 tricks. Kapayannidis for Greece even went two down, completely misguessing the layout of the hand. This is what happened:

Board IO. Dealer East. All Vul.


## Bulgaria v Greece

 Closed Room| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mihov | Kapayannidis | Nanev | Liarakos |
|  |  | Pass | $1 \checkmark$ |
| 20 | 24 | 30 | Pass |
| 38 | 34 | $4 \checkmark$ | Pass |
| Pass | 4 | Dble | All Pass |

A heart was led and ruffed by declarer. A club went to East's ace and the $\diamond 4$ was returned, won in dummy. Next came a heart ruff, a club ruff and a heart ruff again. Instead of ruffing his last club in dummy, declarer now went on to cash the $\$ \mathrm{~A}$ and next played another diamond, on which East contributed the two.Apparently not believing East really held a doubleton in the suit, he took the finesse, and when it lost the defenders had a club and two trumps more to come.

Down two, 500 to add to the 620 from the other table and 15 IMPs to Bulgaria.

Board 12 saw a costly misdefence in one match, and a big swing from the auction in the other:

Board I2. Dealer West. N/S Vul.
© AKJ542
$\bigcirc 8$
$\diamond$ Q J 753
\& 4

| Q - | N | ¢ Q 1063 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc$ AQ 107632 |  | $\bigcirc 4$ |
| $\diamond$ K 108 | W E | $\diamond 96$ |
| \% K J 2 | S | ¢ A 108653 |
|  | ¢ 987 |  |

® K J 95
$\diamond A 42$

* Q 97


Athanasios Kapayannides, Greece

## Bulgaria v Greece

Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Skoularikis | Karaivanov | Zotos | Trendafilov |
| 18 | 14 | Pass | INT |
| $2 \bigcirc$ | 3 - | Pass | 3NT |
| Pass | Pass | Dble | Pass |
| Pass | 49 | Dble | All Pass |

The double of 3NT was explained as: "Probably something in spades..."

East led the $\$ 4$ which was won by West's ten. Though a club continuation is best, West returned a diamond away from his king. Declarer won the $\diamond 8$ with his queen, cashed the $₫ \mathrm{~A}$ getting the bad news and crossed to the $\diamond A$. Next came a spade to the king and the $\diamond$ J from hand, which East ruffed, West contributing the king; one down only, 200 to Greece.

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mihov | Kapayannidis | Nanev | Liarakos |
| $1 \varangle$ | $2 \varangle$ | Pass | $2 N T$ |
| $3 \otimes$ | $3 Q$ | Pass | 49 |
| Pass | Pass | Dble | All Pass |

Here too, we saw a heart lead and a diamond return, but the defence made no further mistake and thus collected their two trick set and 500 to Bulgaria, amounting to 7 IMPs.
Poland v France

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Palau | Pszczola | Allegrini | Kwiecien |
| 18 | 28 | Pass | 24 |
| $3\rangle$ | 4 | Dble | All Pass |

[^0]Allegrini's double of 4s was exclusively for penalties; France +500 .

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Martens | Multon | Lesniewski | Quantin |
| 18 | $4{ }^{1}$ | Dble | Pass |
| 58 | All Pass |  |  |

Multon did well for his team when he took the bull by the horns immediately. Lesniewski's double did not send the same clear message as at the other table, so Martens understandably went on to 5 P . Quantin was polite enough not to double this, but the French still collected another 150 and 12 IMPs.

On the next board, on Rama both teams ended in the proper contract of $5 \%$, but in the other match, they would have nothing of this:

Board I3. Dealer North. All Vul.

- A Q 10
$\bigcirc \mathrm{K}$
$\diamond 932$
-K 87632
- K 9753
-J 964
$\diamond$ K 7
- 54


J 862
PA 105
$\Delta$ QJ864

- 10
- 4

QQ8732
$\diamond$ A 105

* A QJ9


## Bulgaria v Greece

Open Room

| West | North <br> Skoularikis | East <br> Karaivanov | South <br> Zotos |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pass | Pass | $1 \%$ |  |
| Trendafilov |  |  |  |
| 14 | INT | 38 | Dble |
| 34 | All Pass |  |  |

This went two off, undoubled; Bulgaria +200 .

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mihov | Kapayannidis | Nanev | Liarakos |
|  | 1\% | Pass | 18 |
| Pass | 20 | Pass | $2 \checkmark$ |
| Pass | 2NT | Pass | 48 |
| Pass | $4 \checkmark$ | Pass | 49 |
| Dble | 4NT | Pass | 54 |
| Pass | 6\% | All Pass |  |

When East led the suit indicated by his partner's double, declarer had all the time in the world to establish the hearts for the two diamond discards he needed; Greece +1370 or 15 IMPs.

After just one boring board to relax, we saw action again at all tables on Board I5:

Board I5. Dealer South. N/S Vul.


Bulgaria v Greece
Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Skoularikis | Karaivanov | Zotos | Trendafilov I $\diamond$ |
| Pass | 14 | 38 | $4 \diamond$ |
| 5 | Pass | Pass | Dble |
| Pass | 54 | Pass | 64 |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

Here, the Bulgarians were very lucky that nobody doubled them. When Italy were defending a spade contract in their match against Germany, they managed to come to all six defensive tricks they were entitled to: heart lead, diamond ruff, club through, diamond ruff and two more clubs. On a heart lead, Skoularikis was happy to first cash his other ace, thus defeating the contract, before beginning to think about the hand...Greece +200 .

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mihov | Kapayannidis | Nanev | Liarakos |
|  |  |  | $1 \diamond$ |
| Pass | 14 | $4 \bigcirc$ | Pass |
| Pass | 49 | Pass | Pass |
| 5 | Dble | All Pass |  |

At the other table, it looked as if declarer went for a line based on what one might call an assumption play. If the 9 K is wrong, the EK will be right and vice versa, so he took the trump finesse when South returned a heart after winning his $\Phi A K$. One down when the heart finesse lost and, of course, the club finesse won; Greece +100 and 7 IMPs.

## Poland v France Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Palau | Pszczola | Allegrini | Kwiecien |
|  |  |  | $1 \diamond$ |
| Pass | 19 | 38 | 4 |
| $4 \bigcirc$ | 5 | All Pass |  |

Compare the quiet $4 \bigcirc$ by Palau with the resolute $5 \bigcirc$ by his Greek counterpart. Here, North had room to show his diamond fit, and there it rested; Poland +600 .

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Martens | Multon | Lesniewski | Quantin I $\diamond$ |
| Pass | 14 | $4 \bigcirc$ | Pass |
| Pass | 49 | Pass | Pass |
| 5 | Dble | Pass | 54 |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

Lesniewski did even better when he jumped all the way to $4 \varnothing$ at his first turn. This made life easy for his partner.A heart was led to the ace and a diamond returned, but as West did not have the courage to play the on his partner's club return, two possible undertricks disappeared. Still, Poland another +200 and 13 IMPs.

The next board was a grand slam, bid frequently all round the room, but not in our two featured matches:

Board I6. Dealer West. E/W Vul.


AK 6
K 964
\& K Q 543

| 4 Q J 982 | N | ¢ K 1064 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc 432$ |  | $\bigcirc 1085$ |
| $\diamond$ J 32 | W E | $\diamond 85$ |
| - 102 | S | * 1987 |
|  | ¢ A 75 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ Q J 97 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ A Q 107 |  |
|  | \& A 6 |  |

## Bulgaria v Greece

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Skoularikis | Karaivanov | Zotos | Trendafilov |
| Pass | 190000 | Pass | $1 \diamond$ |
| Pass | 18 | Pass | 24 |
| Pass | 3\% | Pass | $3 \checkmark$ |
| Pass | 4\% | Pass | 49 |
| Dble | Pass | Pass | Rdbl |
| Pass | 6\% | Pass | 78 |

All Pass
As $\mathrm{I} \diamond$ was a relay and $2 \downarrow$ showed a balanced game forcing hand, diamonds never came into the picture when North elected to rebid 3 showing, or at least suggesting a, six-card suit. When the clubs did not break, justice was done and Greece scored +50 .

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mihov | Kapayannidis | Nanev | Liarakos |
| Pass | 190 | Pass | $1 \diamond$ |
| Pass | 3 | Pass | 3 |
| Pass | 4\% | Pass | $4 \diamond$ |
| Pass | $4 \bigcirc$ | Pass | 4NT |
| Pass | 5 | Pass | 5NT |
| Pass | $7 \diamond$ | All Pass |  |

Natural bidding brought the diamonds into the picture immediately; Greece another +1440 and 16 IMPs.

## Poland v France

Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Palau | Pszczola | Allegrini | Kwiecien |
| Pass | 1\% | Pass | 18 |
| Pass | 2\% | Pass | $2 \diamond$ |
| Pass | 3 - | Pass | $4 \diamond$ |
| Pass | 4 | Pass | 49 |
| Pass | 5\% | Pass | $6 \checkmark$ |

## All Pass

The Polish Club did not deal very well with this hand. Diamonds were introduced and supported, but the full trick-taking potential remained undisclosed; Poland +940 .

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Martens | Multon | Lesniewski | Quantin |
| Pass | 1\% | Pass | 18 |
| Pass | 2\% | Pass | $2 \diamond$ |
| Pass | 34 | Pass | 4\% |
| Pass | $4 \diamond$ | Pass | 4NT |
| Pass | 54 | Pass | $7 \diamond$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

A splinter showing a good raise of partner's second suit made life easy for the French. Well done, +1440 and II IMPs back.

On the last board, a cheap save was available against the spade slam, but it might be difficult for $\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{W}$ to unveil their double fit.

Board 20. Dealer West. All Vul.
\& KJ9754
$\checkmark$ -
$\diamond$ AK Q 1043
\& 9
© 6
$\checkmark$ AKJ 72
$\diamond J 75$
0 J 1054

| N |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| W | E |
|  |  |

- AQ 10832

Q Q 106
$\diamond 2$

- 732

Bulgaria v Greece

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Skoularikis | Karaivanov | Zotos | Trendafilov |
| $2 \boxtimes$ | $4 \boxtimes$ | $5 \$$ | 54 |
| Pass | 69 | All Pass |  |

When Skoularikis could open and, in fact, did open $2 \bigcirc$, the Greeks looked set to find the save, but at the decisive moment they left off; Bulgaria +1430 after all.


Roumen Trendafilov, Bulgaria

| Closed Room |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West | North | East | South |
| Mihov | Kapayannidis | Nanev | Liarakos |
| Pass | 14 | 2\% | $4 \diamond$ |
| Pass | 5 | Pass | 54 |
| Pass | 69 | All Pass |  |

Though Mihov did not open, he was in an even better position to help his partner to find the save. With his club fit, he might have ventured $4 \triangleright$ over the $4 \diamond$ splinter. When he did not, the board was destined to be flat.

## Poland v France

## Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Palau | Pszczola | Allegrini | Kwiecien |
| Pass | 14 | Pass | $4 \mathbf{4}$ |
| Pass | 54 | Pass | 64 |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

When the French, much in accordance with their general approach, did not open or overcall, the Poles had a free and easy run to the optimum contract. 5 just asked about the trump quality; Poland +1430.

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Martens | Multon | Lesniewski | Quantin |
| Pass | 19 | $2 \Phi$ | $4 \Phi$ |
| $5 \otimes$ | 64 | Pass | Pass |
| $7 \otimes$ | Pass | Pass | $7 \Phi$ |

All Pass
Here, Lesniewski butted in with a two-suited overcall, having heard his partner pass, so the double fit came to light. In fact it did not even matter that much whether Quantin would double or go to 74. The save just nets 800 , so he was bound to lose at least 12 IMPs anyway, whereas the grand might make on a wrong lead or whatever. Poland +100 or 17 IMPs.

The final scores in the two matches:
Bulgaria v Greece $39-53$ or $12-18 \mathrm{VPs}$
Poland v France $54-51$ or $16-14 \mathrm{VPs}$

# Appeals No. 6 

## Netherlands v Switzerland

## Appeals Committee:

Jens Auken (Chairman, Denmark), Herman De Wael (Scribe, Belgium), Naki Bruni (Italy), Grattan Endicott (England), Steen Møller (Denmark).


## Contract:

Five Clubs doubled, played by North.
Lead: Jack of Spades.

## Play:

Queen-King of Spades, six of Spades returned, small diamond to West's King and another spade.

Result: 10 tricks, N/S - 100 .

## The Facts:

This was the VuGraph match, Open Room.
North had asked East about returns. North had written "Q752" and "Q7652" on a piece of paper and East had circled the 5 and 2 respectively. On this basis, North decided to ruff the fourth trick, instead of letting it run to the eight and discarding a diamond. At the end of the play, North had asked a similar question of West. West circled the fourth highest from the original holding. North called the Director. It turned out that East had not understood the question and thought North had been asking about the leads.

## The Director:

Considered that North should have written not only the cards but also his question instead of speaking it.

## Ruling: <br> Result Stands.

## Relevant Laws:

Law 75A, 40C.

## North/South appealed.

## Present:

All players except South, and both Captains.

## The Players:

North told the Committee that he had said "If you take and return". After East had circled the appropriate cards, North had thought for several minutes and then asked the question again to make absolutely sure. East had now replied "same as leads".

East stated that North had asked "what do you play" but he admitted that North had indeed used the word "return". East thought the question had been about leads in general, and had answered "same as leads" to indicate that subsequent leads are made in the same way as opening leads.

North believed it was clear that he wanted information about returns in the relevant suit, spades, and not about subsequent leads in other suits. He believed that East should have realized that.

When asked why he had written Q 's on his questions, rather than K's, as had actually been played, North replied that they were both honours after all.The interesting cards were the small ones and he had been very specific to East about those.

## The Committee:

Considered that North had done a lot to get the information that he wanted. He had used proper English words, and it was East who had misunderstood the word return. It should have been clear to East that North was only interested in the Spade situation. East/West's actual agreement was as West had explained it after the hand, and corresponded to the real holding. On North's very careful questions, East should have actively explained their methods to North, in accordance with the principle of full disclosure. East had not done so, and the Committee concluded that North had been misinformed.

The Committee also believed there was resulting damage. However, it was not certain that North would always discard.

The Committee decided that, if North had received the correct answer to the question he had asked, as his alternative to make the contract by a different play was against the odds, North would discard two times out of three, and subsequently make his contract. The Committee decided to adjust the score on that basis.

## The Committee's decision:

Score adjusted to
Both sides receive:
66.7\% of 5\%X making by North (N/S +550) plus
$33.3 \%$ of 5 X-I by North (N/S - I 00).

## Deposit:

Returned.

# Minutes of the EBL Seniors Congress 

5.30 pm, 20th June 200I in Tenerife

Chairman Nissan Rand first recognised the members of the EBL Seniors Committee present: From the EBL Executive: José Oliveira (Portugal)

Elected at an earlier Congress: Dr. Alfred Fleischman (Austria),ViceChairman; Patrick Jourdain (Wales), Secretary; and members Naki Bruni (Italy), Göran Mattsson (Ger), and Mario Dix (Malta). The Chairman called for representatives of Federations to make themselves known. These were:

Czech Republic: Marc Verdier; England: David Harris; France: Yves Aubry; Germany: Goran Mattsson; Ireland: Alex Montwill; Israel: Noah Tymianker; Lebanon: N. Fayad; Malta: Mario Dix; Netherlands: Hans Vergoed; Norway: Julius Moe; Poland:Wlodzimierz Stobiecki; Portugal: José Oliveira; Sweden: Hans-Olof Hallen;Wales: Joe Patrick. Some 35 further persons were present.

The Chairman invited the EBL President, Mr. Gianarrigo Rona to address the meeting. Mr. Rona said that though there was one less team in Tenerife than at the previous event in Malta there were more countries (20). He congratulated the EBL Seniors Committee on their work, and gave a warm welcome to the forthcoming Seniors Congresses in Brighton, Prague and Warsaw.

The Chairman invited the WBF President, José Damiani, to address the Meeting. Mr. Damiani said he was impressed by the numbers present, and congratulated the EBL on its efforts for Seniors bridge. In Bali the WBF would hold the Seniors Bowl, and the Transnational Teams where, if 20 or more teams composed wholly of Seniors expressed a desire to play in an event of their own, the WBF would arrange this. Teams would be invited to express this preference on Registration. If the number was less than 20 then the Teams would play within the Transnational Teams, but a ranking list of Senior Teams would be shown. Mr. Damiani then left the meeting. Mr. Rona and Mr. Rand gave an undertaking that the prize for the leading Seniors Team in Bali would be substantially better than the prize in Bermuda.

## The Tenerife Seniors Championship

The Chairman expressed condolence to the Wales " B " team on the recent loss of one of their members, which had reduced their team to four players.

The Captains Meeting would be held tomorrow at 8.45 a.m. at a place to be announced (later named by Ton Kooijman as the Gran Canarias Room in the Hotel Sir Anthony by the swimming pool, with the playing area as the Tenerife Room next to Vugraph where the Ladies Pairs had been held). Photos of teams would be organised. Matches would be of 14 boards to the schedule announced. The boards played would be the same as the Open and Ladies, the strong preference of Seniors expressed at previous meetings.

The Chairman welcomed the participation of the following countries for the first time: Norway, Croatia, Lebanon, Malta and, in place of Britain: England, Scotland and Wales. He was disappointed that there was no team from countries such as Austria, Bulgaria, Denmark, Finland, Hungary, and Turkey, all of which were known to have talented Seniors to field. The last four had actually registered but later withdrew.

The Chairman invited members present to comment on the arrangements for the Championships. Mr van Oppen of the Netherlands said it was wrong that Federations were permitted two teams, unlike the Open and Ladies. He also thought that playing only 14 of the 20 boards that the other events were playing meant that the breaks between matches were too long. Mr. Rand said he would later sound out the meeting on its preference for one or two teams per Federation, and length of matches.

Mr. Jourdain requested that the qualifications for the Seniors Bowl in Bali were published clearly at an early stage. These were:
I. The Senior Teams event in Tenerife would determine who qualified for Bali;
2. A multinational team could not earn this qualification.
3. Europe would receive at least one, and possibly two places in Bali.
4. The Federation of the leading team(s), not the players, would be invited to participate at Bali. The Federation could change its players.
5. If a Federation did not take up its invitation, it would pass to the next-placed team, until Europe had fulfilled its entitlement.

Mr. Rand reported that the EBL had decided to amend its format to hold Championships annually during the last two weeks of June, alternating between the National Championships with one team per Federation (Open, Ladies and Seniors) and, in the alternate years, a Championship not limited in representation with Pairs and Teams for Open, Ladies, Seniors and Mixed. It had yet to be decided whether this Championship was Transnational, or open to non-Europeans.

## Straw Poll

Before any poll was held Mr. Oliveira pointed out that the meeting had no formal voting power, and that the results would therefore be limited to an expression of opinion of the people present. The outcome of this "Straw Poll" was:

Length of match:A clear preference for 16 boards, when playing the same boards as the Open or Ladies sessions of 20 boards.

One team or two per Federation:An even split on the matter.
Multinational teams: A clear preference for this not to be allowed except where all players in the team were representing a Federation that otherwise would have no representation in the event.

## Seniors Congresses in Europe sponsored by the EBL

Representatives of the organisers were invited to speak. These were David Harris on Brighton, 13-16th August 2001; Radek Kielbasinski on Warsaw 26-3Ist August 200I; and Marc Verdier on Prague, 16-20th November 2001. Brochures were tabled for Brighton and Prague. Mr. Rand added that there would be a Seniors Congress within the Tel Aviv Festival from February 4-7th 2002, and Mario Dix said there would be a Seniors Congress in Malta from II-I8th April, 2002. Discussions were in hand for a Seniors Congress in Italy in May 2002 and France in October 2002.

## Appointment of EBL Seniors Committee

The EBL Executive had appointed Mr. Rand as Chairman, and José Oliveira and Jean-Claude Beineix as members. From the Chair, Mr. Rand proposed that the following be invited to continue: Alfred Fleischman (Austria) as Vice-Chairman, Patrick Jourdain (Wales) as Secretary, and Naki Bruni (Italy), Göran Mattsson (Germany), and Mario Dix (Malta). This was approved by acclaim. The Chairman then nominated two new members: Keith Stanley (England) andWlodzimierz Stobiecki (Poland) as members, accepted by acclaim. Mr. Rand invited nominations from the floor, and Marc Verdier (Czech Republic) was appointed.

## Any other business

Mr. Mattsson suggested that a 28th team be invited to compete to avoid teams having a bye. The EBL Executive had already decided that a team ineligible under existing rules would not be permitted to play with standing, so Mr. Mattsson proposed that such a team play without standing. This proposal did not receive support, the people present expressing a clear preference that no ineligible team took part. Meeting closed 7pm. Signed:

[^1]

Hungary v Croatia

A
fter two days play in the Ladies event, the surprise leaders were the team from Hungary. Friday's morning match saw them up against Croatia, an opportunity to see if their challenge was a serious one.

Board 2. Dealer East. N/S Vul.


- Q 7632
$\bigcirc 73$
$\diamond 92$
\& AJ 74

West
Welker
Pass
3NT

West
Mueller

Pass

North

Pass
All Pass
North
Tichy

East
Mezey

Dble

East
Jurisic


South
Pilipovic I $\diamond$
Pass

South
Nyaradi INT

Ibolya Nyaradi's weak no trump and the 2 response shut the Croatians out of the auction. Two Spades drifted a couple off for - 100 but that would not matter if Welker could bring home 3NT at the other table.

Sver led the ten of spades, ducked to the king, and Welker crossed to the ace of hearts to lead the $\diamond I 0$. That was covered by the jack and queen and declarer continued with a spade to the queen and ace. Pilipovic tried a low club at this point and Welker rose with the king and played a heart to dummy then the nine of diamonds. Pilipovic covered with the king, leaving declarer with a blockage. Welker seems to have lost track of the spot cards now because, rather than crossing to dummy's seven, she played the eight then four of diamonds. Pilipovic won her six and cashed the queen of hearts then tried another low club. Welker didn't like that very much but after a minute or so of thought she got it right, putting up the queen and claiming nine tricks; +600 and II IMPs to Hungary.

Board 4. Dealer West. All Vul.

- 74

○ K J 76
$\diamond 9532$
2 1095

| ¢ 9 | N | ¢ J 10632 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ) Q 842 | $W^{N}$ | $\bigcirc$ A 105 |
| $\checkmark$ AKJ | W E | $\checkmark 106$ |
| 2 A Q 843 | S | \& K J 6 |
|  | 4 AKQ 85 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 93$ |  |
|  | $\diamond$ Q 874 |  |

2 72

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Welker | Sver | Mezey | Pilipovic |
| 1\% | Pass | 1s | Pass |
| INT | Pass | 3NT | All Pass |
| West | North | East | South |
| Mueller | Tichy | Jurisic | Nyaradi |
| 1\% | Pass | 1s | Pass |
| 2 | Pass | 24 | Pass |
| 2NT | Pass | 3NT | All Pass |

After a diamond lead to the queen and ace and a heart to the ten, Mueller had an easy time coming to ten tricks for +630 to Croatia.


Nikica Sver, Croatia
Sver led the ten of clubs against Welker, who therefore did not know that she had three diamond tricks. Declarer won the club in dummy and played ace and another heart to her queen. Sver won the king and had the chance to defeat the contract by cashing the 8 J then switching to a spade. She got half way there, finding the spade play immediately. Pilipovic won the queen of spades and switched to the seven of diamonds. Welker looked at that for a while and decided that the queen was likely to be offside. She rose with the ace and cashed her club winners before exiting with a heart, hoping for an endplay. Of course, that did not materialise. Sver won the heart and played a spade and that was one down; - 100 and I2 IMPs to Croatia.

West

Mueller $\quad$\begin{tabular}{c}
North <br>
Tichy

$\quad$

East <br>
Jurisic

$\quad$

South <br>
Nyaradi <br>
Pass
\end{tabular}

All Pass
The two strong club auctions were actually almost identical in effect - as far as 4 . Now, however, Nyaradi judged to go on while Pilipovic did not. With the defence playing three rounds of diamonds at both tables, that meant +420 for Pilipovic but -50 for Nyaradi; 10 IMPs to Croatia.

Board 9. Dealer North. E/WVul.
Q Q 92
$\checkmark$ AQ7
$\diamond 1965$

- Q 10
\&KJ75
\& KJ8
$\diamond$ K 1084
\& 63
$W^{N} \quad \mathrm{E}$
- A86
© 432
$\diamond A \mathrm{Q} 7$
- 104
$\bigcirc 10965$
$\diamond 32$
2KJ542

| West | North <br> Sver | East <br> Mezey <br> Welker | South <br> Pilipovic <br> INT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | INT | Dble | 2es |
| Dble | All Pass |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Mueller | Tichy | Jurisic | Nyaradi |
|  | Pass | I\& | Pass |
| 1\& | Pass | INT | Pass |
| 2NT | Pass | 3NT | All Pass |

East/West may have a combined 25 -count, but the cards lie very badly for them and it was no surprise when Iva Jurisic went two down in her no trump game; -200. When Sver's weak no trump got doubled it looked as though Croatia could be in line for a big loss on the board. Pilipovic ran to $\mathbf{2 s}$ and Welker doubled for take-out, left in by Katalin Mezey.

Welker led a club and Mezey won and returned the suit. Pilipovic won on table and led a low diamond. Mezey went in with the ace and switched to a low spade. Welker won the king and switched to the jack of hearts. Pilipovic put in the queen and, when that held, could have got out for one off by playing two more rounds of hearts. Of course, that relies on hearts being 3-3, and Pilipovic preferred a less committal approach. She played a diamond, which ran to the eight. Welker returned the $\diamond 10$ to the jack and queen, and Pilipovic ruffed. She drew the trumps, throwing a spade and a diamond from dummy as Welker pitched two spades. Now Pilipovic led the ten of hearts, hoping to pin a doubleton nine. That did not happen, of course, but something just as good happened when Welker decided not to cover the ten in case declarer had started with P109xx. Having won the ten, Pilipovic cashed the ace of hearts then played the $\stackrel{Q}{\mathrm{Q}}$, pinning the jack. At trick I3, Mezey had to lead the eight of spades to dummy's nine; just made for +180 , holding the Croatian loss to just a single IMP.

|  | Board IO. Dealer East. All Vul. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ¢ K Q J 876 |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 10$ |  |  |
|  | $\diamond$ AK 9 |  |  |
|  | \& Q J 2 |  |  |
| - A 1054 | N |  | $\pm 93$ |
| - Q 974 | W E |  | $\bigcirc 863$ |
| $\diamond 54$ |  |  | $\diamond 87632$ |
| \% AK 4 |  |  | S \& 1093 |
|  | ¢ 2 |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ AKJ5 2 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ Q J 10 |  |  |
|  | 2876 |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Welker | Sver | Mezey | Pilipovic |
|  |  | Pass | 18 |
| Pass | $1{ }^{1}$ | Pass | 20 |
| Pass | 2 | Pass | 2NT |
| Pass | 34 | Pass | 3NT |
| All Pass |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Mueller | Tichy | Jurisic | Nyaradi |
|  |  | Pass | Pass |
| 1900000 | 14 | Pass | 2 |
| Pass | 24 | All Pass |  |

Welker wasted no time in getting her revenge on Pilipovic. The Hungarian North/South pair stopped off in 24, scoring +140. As the cards lie, 3NT looks to be unbeatable, but Welker found a clever play to give declarer a losing option. She started with an uninspired low spade, won in dummy. Back came a second spade honour, which was allowed to hold, then a third spade, declarer throwing two clubs from hand. Welker won the third spade and could see that she had no legitimate line of defence. However, declarer did not know that the clubs were dividing evenly. Welker found the excellent switch to her low club. Dummy won the queen and Pilipovic had a problem. On the actual lay-out, simply conceding a spade would see the contract home, but if Welker had a fourth club, then that would lead to defeat. Pilipovic thought for a long time then ran the ten of hearts - the correct choice if the finesse was working and clubs 4-2.

Welker's fine defence had beaten a cold contract; -100 and 6 IMPs to Hungary instead of 10 to Croatia.

## Board I2. Dealer West. N/S Vul.

| - AK 7 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc$ Q 109 |  |
| $\checkmark$ A |  |
| - AKJ754 |  |
| N | -109865 |
| W E | $\bigcirc \mathrm{K} 5$ |
|  | $\checkmark 10864$ |
| S | +106 |
| - Q J 4 |  |
| $\bigcirc 764$ |  |
| $\checkmark 753$ |  |
| + Q 932 |  |


| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Welker | Sver | Mezey | Pilipovic |
| 18 | Dble | Pass | 20 |
| 3 | 3NT | All Pass |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Mueller | Tichy | Jurisic | Nyaradi |
| 18 | Dble | Pass | 2. |
| 2 | 3NT | Pass | Pass |
| $4 \checkmark$ | 5\% | 5 | Pass |
| Pass | Dble | All Pass |  |

Welker showed her two-suiter with a jump to $3 \triangleleft$ at her second turn but was then content to defend 3NT. Mezey led a diamond but Sver had ten on top for +630 .

Mueller only rebid a quite $2 \diamond$ but was then not willing to defend, suspecting that her opponents were about to run a lot of club tricks against her. Whether or not $4 \diamond$ is a sound call, it was a very successful one. In fact, it could have been even more successful had Jurisic not had an obvious save over 5\%. Five Diamonds doubled lost the four obvious tricks for - 300 and 8 IMPs to Croatia. Of course, $5 \%$ could have been defeated by leading three rounds of hearts for a ruff, but how could Jurisic know that?

Board 13. Dealer North. All Vul.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { J7 } \\
& \vee J 94 \\
& \vee Q 109876 \\
& 86
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { AQ Q } 5 \\
& \text { Q Q } 752 \\
& - \\
& \text { Q J } 543
\end{aligned}
$$

104
-K 83
AKJ42

* A97
- K 8632
- A 106
$\diamond 53$
- K 102

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Welker | Sver | Mezey | Pilipovic |
|  | Pass | INT | Pass |
| 2. | Pass | $3 \checkmark$ | Pass |
| 3NT | All Pass |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Mueller | Tichy | Jurisic | Nyaradi |
|  | Pass | INT | Pass |
| 2\% | Pass | 2 | Pass |
| 28 | Pass | 34 | Pass |
| 3NT | All Pass |  |  |

Nyaradi led a spade against Jurisic. Declarer ducked that to the jack and Tichy switched to the nine of hearts, which ran to the queen. Jurisic passed the queen of clubs, ducked, and continued with ace and another club to the king. Back came a spade. Jurisic finessed the queen then cashed the two club winners. On the clubs, South threw a diamond and a heart. Now Jurisic led a low heart off the dummy and ducked it to South's bare ace. Jurisic had the rest now for an excellent +630 .

Pilipovic also led a spade and Mezey also ducked to the jack. Sver, however, returned a spade, declarer winning the ten. Mezey played a low club to the queen, then a club to the ace and a third club. Pilipovic won the third club and led a spade. Mezey finessed and cashed the club winners, but the defence was in control, both throwing their diamonds away. Mezey cashed the ace of spades then played a heart to the king and ace. Pilipovic cashed her long spade then played a heart and Sver's jack was the setting trick; one down for - 100 and 12 IMPs to Croatia. Nicely defended.

| Board 18. Dealer East. N/S Vul. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - AK 8 |  |  |  |
| ¢J43 |  |  |  |
| $\checkmark$ Q J |  |  |  |
| - A Q J 107 |  |  |  |
| Q 75 N 1092 |  |  |  |
| $\bigcirc$ Q W E $\quad$ W 10865 |  |  |  |
| $\checkmark$ AK 10976432 W |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| - J 643 |  |  |  |
| -AK 972 |  |  |  |
| $\checkmark 8$ |  |  |  |
| - 542 |  |  |  |
| West Welker | North | East | South |
|  | Sver | Mezey | Pilipovic |
|  |  | Pass | Pass |
| 5 | Dble | Pass | 58 |
| 6 | Dble | All Pass |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Mueller | Tichy | Jurisic | Nyaradi |
|  |  | Pass | Pass |
| $1 \diamond$ | Dble | Pass | 2 |
| 5 | Dble | All Pass |  |

Having put her opponents under pressure with the $5 \diamond$ opening, Welker then made an undisciplined second bid and went for 500 against a 58 contract that rated to fail. Double dummy, on the likely defence of two top diamonds, East has to ruff because he cannot afford to throw a black card - in practice, of course, declarer would not be likely to find the play of the jack of spades from hand after a spade pitch. On more normal play, $5 \square$ is likely to fail because the 5-0 club break leaves declarer a trick short. In the other room, Mueller preferred to start with a one-level opening then pre-empted on finding that her partner was weak. That made it easy for North/South to settle for the penalty, but -300 was worth 5 IMPs to Croatia.

The final score was a 57-3I IMP win for Croatia, converting to $20-10 \mathrm{VPs}$.


## Looking for Mr SMUG

Erom time to time it is more comfortable to have Mr Smug at your disposal, the famous practical and ignorant character described by S.J. Simon (Why You Lose At Bridge), rather than an expert who would look for an endplay Mr Smug would never be able to think of.

Board 16. Dealer West. E/W Vul.
49
$\bigcirc 109$
$\diamond$ K 1084
\& K 108732

| - A 7 | N | , QJ 642 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ¢KJ72 |  | $\triangle$ AQ 8543 |
| $\checkmark$ A 963 | W E | $\checkmark$ Q 7 |
| * 194 | S | - - |
|  | - K 10853 |  |
|  | 86 |  |
|  | $\diamond{ }^{\text {J }} 2$ |  |
|  | \& A Q 65 |  |

West
Versace
$1 \diamond$
$2 \boxtimes$
Pass
$5 \diamond$
$6 \diamond$
North
Carcassonne
Pass
Pass
59
Pass
All pass

| East | South |
| :---: | :---: |
| Lauria | Labaere |
| $1 \otimes$ | 19 |
| 49 | Dble |
| Pass | Pass |
| 58 | Pass |

To our dear Mr Smug, at the helm in this slam, it would never have occurred to go for a line of play other than first try the spade finesse and than play a diamond to the queen. These two simple maneuvers, the only ones at Mr Smug's disposal, would have brought declarer's tally to 12 tricks: two spades, two diamonds and eight trumps, including two ruffs in dummy. As it happened, the East seat was occupied by Lauria who had far better weapons at his disposal than Mr Smug and thus was able to look more deeply into the position. South led the A and: "You can also make the hand with the $\triangleleft \mathrm{K}$ in South that's what Lauria said to himself - if you can reach this finale:"

"Yes, I really think I'm, going to play for it. After all, North has suggested to defend by bidding $5 \%$. He need not hold any defensive tricks. What is more, it's an elegant line of play (this was not Lauria's thought, but just an interpretation by the writer of this story)..." As you can see, in real life the $\triangleleft K$ had crossed from South to North, so the more elegant line quickly changed into the losing one...


The VuGraph match selected by Jean-Paul Meyer for Round 12 featured two of the powerful Scandinavian countries. Apart from the fact that any local derby is usually worth watching, there was the prospect of enjoying the traditional noisy support that the Norwegian team attracts.

Before we get down to the serious business, one player missed a chance for immortality on this deal:


Board 2. Dealer East. N/S Vul.
47
$\bigcirc 95$
$\diamond$ AKQ 10942
\& 973

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Andersson | Helness | Gullberg | Helgemo |
|  |  | INT | $2 \mathbf{e n}^{*}$ |
| Pass | $3 \diamond$ | Pass | $3 N T$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

West led the jack of clubs and East took the ace and switched to a spade. Declarer took the ace, cashed the king of clubs and set about the diamonds. The defenders discarded lots of hearts so declarer came to twelve tricks, +690 .


## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brogeland | Sylvan | Saelensminde <br> Sundelin |  |
| $2 \$$ | $3 \diamond$ | Pass | $3 N T$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

This time the opening lead was a spade and when declarer did not risk the heart finesse he collected nine tricks to lose 3 IMPs.

So where was the chance for immortality?
Everyone knows that a jump cuebid shows a solid suit somewhere and asks if partner has a stopper. Less well known is the possibility that South missed here, to jump to Three Clubs saying 'I have a club stopper, do you have a solid suit?'

Okay, enough of this frivolity.
The first significant swing went to Norway.
Board 4. Dealer West.All Vul.


Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Andersson | Helness | Gullberg | Helgemo |
| Pass | Pass | 18 | INT |
| Pass | $3 \diamond$ | All Pass |  |

East led the five of spades and declarer simply claimed ten tricks, allowing the defence one spade, one heart and the ace of diamonds, +130 .

## Closed Room

| West | North <br> Srogeland <br> Sylvan | East <br> Saelensminde | South <br> Sundelin <br> Pass |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pass | 18 | INT |  |
| Dble | 2 | All Pass |  |

Was North hoping to be doubled in Two Clubs and then retreat to Two Diamonds? Anyway, East led a trump to the eight, queen and king, and declarer played the eight of hearts. West won with the ten and switched to spades. Declarer could make only one spade, one diamond and four clubs, down two, -200 and a loss of 8 IMPs.

Board 7. Dealer South. All Vul.

$$
\text { Q Q } 106543
$$

$\bigcirc 96$
$\diamond 1083$
\& 76

| - AJ 7 | N | - - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PJ105 |  | Q 843 |
| $\diamond 542$ |  | $\diamond$ K Q 97 |
| 2K954 | S | 2 AQJ82 |
|  | - K982 |  |
|  |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ A 6 |  |
|  | -103 |  |

## Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Andersson | Helness | Gullberg | Helgemo |
|  |  |  | 18 |
| Pass | Pass | 2)* | Pass |
| 3)* | Pass | 40 | Pass |
| 5\% | All Pass |  |  |

It is not clear from the Convention card if West knew precisely which suits East had. Whatever West was hoping East had it did not materialise. Five Clubs was far too high and was down two, -200 .

## Closed Room

| West | North | East <br> Srogeland | South <br> Saelensminde |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sundelin <br> 18 |  |  |  |
| Pass | Pass | $2 N T^{*}$ | Pass |
| 39 | All Pass |  |  |

By stopping in Three Clubs, Norway scored +100 and picked up 7 IMPs.

Board 8. Dealer West. None Vul.

- 74
- AKQ 953
$\diamond 86$
\& Q 54

| - 865 | N | - AJ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc$ - |  | $\bigcirc 10876$ |
| $\checkmark$ AKQJ 2 | W E | $\checkmark 9543$ |
| -K9863 | S | 2. J 102 |
|  | - K Q 10932 |  |
|  | QJ42 |  |
|  | $\checkmark 107$ |  |
|  | - A 7 |  |

## Open Room

| West | North |
| :---: | :---: |
| Andersson | Helness |
| 19 | $I 8$ |
| $2 N T$ | Pass |
| Pass | 48 |


| East | South |
| :---: | :---: |
| Gullberg | Helgemo |
| Pass | $1 \$$ |
| $3 \diamond$ | $3 \$$ |

K Q 1087
คK86
$\diamond$ A 84
\& 105

## Open Room

| West | North | East <br> Andersson | South <br> Helness <br> Gullberg |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Helgemo |  |  |  |
| Pass | 20 | Pass | 10 |
| All Pass | 20 | Pass | 30 |

The Convention card of the Swedish Pair says an overcall is $7-17$ and a jump overcall is 3-9. When East decided his hand did not fit into either category North/South had the field to themselves. Three Clubs quickly made the obvious overtrick - with so many deals where declarer was able to claim after a few cards, the Open Room was racing ahead.

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brogeland | Sylvan | Saelensminde | Sundelin |
|  | 2* | Pass | $2 \checkmark$ |
| 24 | Pass | 38 | All Pass |

Three Hearts was equally straightforward, + 140 and another 7IMPs.

| Board II. Dealer South. None Vul. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - K 10863 |  |  |  |
| - A 10874 |  |  |  |
| $\diamond$ - |  |  |  |
| 2 A 98 |  |  |  |
| $\bullet A 52$$\bullet Q 1$ | N |  | - Q J 4 |
|  |  | - |  |
| $\checkmark$ K Q 6 |  | $\diamond$ | $\diamond$ J 1072 |
| QJ 1043 | ¢ 97 |  | \& K 72 |
|  | - 97 |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc \mathrm{K} 6$ |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ A 9 | 543 |  |
|  | \& 65 |  |  |
| Open Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Andersson | Helness | Gullberg | Helgemo |
|  |  |  | Pass |
| INT | 2** | Pass | 2 |
| Pass | 38 | Pass | 48 |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

North showed the majors and then came again. Right he was, as the position in both majors meant eleven tricks were trivial; +450 .

| Closed Room <br> West | North <br> Srogeland | East <br> Sylvan | South <br> Salensminde |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sundelin |  |  |  |
| INT | $2 \Delta *$ | Pass | $2 \varangle$ |
| Pass | Pass | 2NT | 38 |

All Pass
There was a significant difference of opinion this time as North did not make any move, even when South showed a real preference for Hearts. The same eleven tricks, but a loss of 6 IMPs.

The small swings were adding up and Norway did it again on the next deal:

Board I2. Dealer West. N/S Vul.

- A Q 1087
- J 83
$\diamond 82$
- J 104

```
- 952
- 10952
\(\diamond A J 64\)
- A 9
```

- K 6
$\stackrel{Q}{Q}$
$\diamond$ K Q 1073
\& K 8532
- J 43
©AK764
$\diamond 95$
\& Q 76
Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Andersson | Helness <br> Gullberg <br> Helgemo | Pass <br> Pass <br> 3 <br> Pass | All Pass |
| $2 \diamond$ |  |  |  |

Third in hand, Two Diamonds was II-I6 with at least five diamonds. South led the ace of hearts and switched to a spade. North took the ace and returned the suit and declarer won and went after the clubs, soon claiming eleven tricks. They were still bidding in the other room - would Norway bid yet another thin game?
Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brogeland | Sylvan | Saelensminde | Sundelin |
| Pass | Pass | $1 \diamond$ | 18 |
| 2 | 24 | 320 | Pass |
| $3 \diamond$ | 38 | $4 \diamond$ | Pass |
| $5 \diamond$ | All Pass |  |  |

No trouble at all and play followed similar lines to that in the other room, +400 and 6 IMPs. The score was 37-5 and every swing was greeted with loud cheers by the Norwegian contingent. They were about to be silenced.

Board I3. Dealer North. All Vul.

- Q 104
- J 62
$\diamond$ AKJ 92
- 87

- K 82
- KQ9873
$\triangleleft 643$
- 6
(AJ 953
$\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{ }-$
875
K 10942
Open Room

| West <br> Andersson | North <br> Helness | East <br> Gullberg | South <br> Helgemo |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $4 e^{*}$ | $1 \diamond$ | $1 \otimes$ | $1 \triangleq$ |
|  | Pass | $4\rangle$ | All Pass |

South led a diamond and when dummy played the queen North won with the ace, cashed the jack and switched to the four of spades for a fast one down, - IOO.

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brogeland | Sylvan | Saelensminde | Sundelin |
|  | $1 \diamond$ | 18 | 19 |
| $2 \diamond$ | 2 | 3 | 49 |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

The play record is undoubtedly faulty at some point, but it looks as if West led a heart, ruffed by declarer who played a diamond to the jack and ran the ten of spades. That looks like ten tricks and that is what declarer made; +620 and II badly needed IMPs.

## Board I8. Dealer East. N/S Vul.

- A 109653

ค8762
$\diamond 9$

* K J

| - K 8 | N | - 72 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc$ AKQ 1043 |  | $\bigcirc 95$ |
| $\checkmark 2$ | W E | $\checkmark$ AK 1075 |
| +8764 | S | - Q 1052 |
|  | - QJ 4 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ |  |
|  | $\diamond$ QJ8643 |  |
|  | - A 93 |  |

## Open Room

| West <br> Andersson | North <br> Helness | East <br> Gullberg <br> $2 \diamond *$ | South <br> Helgemo |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $3 \diamond$ |  | Pass |  |
| $3 \diamond$ | $4\rangle$ | All Pass |  |

This time Two Diamonds was 8-II and if I interpret the Swedish hieroglyphics correctly the response was invitational with a six-card suit.

North led the king of clubs and continued with the jack. South won with the ace and switched to the queen of spades. Declarer tried the king but North produced the ace, returned the suit and got a club ruff; down two, -100 .

| Closed Room |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West | North | East | South |
| Brogeland | Sylvan | Saelensminde | Sundelin |
|  |  | Pass | Pass |
| 18 | 14 | Dble | 28 |
| 38 | 34 | $4{ }^{\circ}$ | 4 |
| Pass | Pass | Dble | All Pass |

East led the ace of diamonds and switched to a trump, covered by the queen, king and ace. Declarer ducked a heart, won the trump return in dummy and ran the queen of diamonds, discarding a losing heart. He could ruff one heart and dispose of the other on the jack of diamonds. A very useful +790 and the 12 IMPs it earned meant Sweden had reduced their margin of defeat, Norway winning 37-28, I7-I3 VP.

## SPORTS NEWS

## Golf

Wendy Ward shot a 6 -under-par 65 to take the lead at the LPGA Championship at the DuPont Country Club course. She finished with a one-stroke lead over Becky Iverson and Akiko Fukushima, with Karrie Webb and Laura Davies among those at 67.Another stroke back was Annika Sorenstam, whose lone bogey was courtesy of a sudden blast of wind from approaching thunderstorms.
Scott Hoch took the early lead at the Buick ClassicTwo where rain delays meant that only 37 players in the 156 -strong field finished their first rounds. Hoch, who won the Greater Greensboro Chrysler Classic earlier this year, had five birdies and one bogey through 16 holes.
TigerWoods managed only one stroke before play was called off for the day.

## Formula One

Mika Hakkinen and David Coulthard have dominated for McLaren in Friday's free practice sessions ahead of
 the European Grand Prix at Nurburgring. Hakkinen swapped top spot throughout the two sessions with his team-mate before the Finn came out ahead by just under 0.2 seconds. Ralf Schumacher was third, just ahead of brother Michael.

## Athletics

World record holder Maurice Greene ran the fastest 100 metres in the world this year, 9.90 seconds, in the first round of the US Championships, but the American then pulled out of the championships in protest. The Olympic gold medallist is upset at an American rule that requires world champions to participate in the US event in order to receive a bye for the World Championships in Edmonton from 3-12 August.

## Drugs

A German scientist has found evidence of a link between the anabolic steroid nandrolone and common dietary supplements. The study, by Dr Wilhelm Schänzer at the German Sports University in Cologne, could explain the recent spate of positive tests for the steroid in athletes and footballers.

## Cycling

Italian Gianluca Bortolami won the second stage of the Tour de Suisse on Thursday, edging Austria's Peter Wrolich in a two-man fight for the finish. Bortolami, who rides for Tacconi, finished almost three minutes ahead of the main pack. Leading the main bunch, Australian Robbie McEwen of Domo Farm Frites crossed the line third, ahead of Lithuania's Saulius Ruskys.
Bortolami's win vaulted him to the top of the overall rankings, with Wrolich in second. Lance Armstrong, who won the prologue, surrendered the yellow jersey, slipping from first to third.

Water Polo<br>European Championships in Budapest Men's Quarter-finals<br>Croatia 7-6 Spain, Hungary 10-9 Russia,<br>Yugoslavia 8-7 Slovakia, Italy 7-6 Greece<br>Women's Semi-finals<br>Italy 7-I Greece, Hungary 7-6 Russia

|  | Player |  | avg. IMPs | Boards | 69 70 | Kapayannides A Liarakos S | GRE GRE | $\begin{aligned} & 0.22 \\ & 0.22 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 180 \\ & 180 \end{aligned}$ | 139 140 | Stoekli F Matheson | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SWI } \\ & \text { SCO } \end{aligned}$ | -0.14 -0.16 | 159 200 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Helgemo G | NOR | 1.08 | 240 | 71 | Hanlon T | IRE | 0.14 | 220 | 141 | Szilagyi L | HUN | -0.18 | 200 |
| 2 | Helness T | NOR | 1.08 | 240 | 72 | McGann H | IRE | 0.14 | 220 | 142 | Ludewig B | GER | -0.18 | 200 |
| 3 | Kwiecien M | POL | 0.94 | 240 | 73 | Eliasson PG | LAT | 0.14 | 240 | 143 | Reps K | GER | -0.18 | 200 |
| 4 | Pszczola J | POL | 0.94 | 240 | 74 | Magnusson T | LAT | 0.14 | 240 | 144 | Ananijev B | CRO | -0.19 | 160 |
| 5 | Carcassonne | BEL | 0.91 | 180 | 75 | Abecassis M | FRA | 0.13 | 180 | 145 | Sasek T | CRO | -0.19 | 160 |
| 6 | Labaere A | BEL | 0.91 | 180 | 76 | Soulet P | FRA | 0.13 | 180 | 146 | Levy R | SWI | -0.21 | 240 |
| 7 | Honti L | HUN | 0.87 | 160 | 77 | Lesniewski M | POL | 0.13 | 160 | 147 | Teyluoni F | SWI | -0.21 | 240 |
| 8 | De Falco D | ITA | 0.84 | 120 | 78 | Martens K | POL | 0.13 | 160 | 148 | Lamza V | CRO | -0.22 | 180 |
| 9 | Ferraro G | ITA | 0.84 | 120 | 79 | Aviram $Y$ | ISR | 0.12 | 139 | 149 | Matei C | ROM | -0.25 | 220 |
| 10 | Multon F | FRA | 0.77 | 220 | 80 | Barel M | ISR | 0.12 | 139 | 150 | Savin D | ROM | -0.25 | 220 |
| 11 | Quantin J | FRA | 0.77 | 220 | 81 | Kaplan A | BEL | 0.12 | 180 | 151 | Walker D | SCO | -0.25 | 200 |
| 12 | Gromov A | RUS | 0.71 | 220 | 82 | Polet G | BEL | 0.12 | 180 | 152 | Borevkovic G | CRO | -0.27 | 200 |
| 13 | Petrunin A | RUS | 0.71 | 220 | 83 | Zorlu N | TUR | 0.12 | 280 | 153 | Soroldoni M | SMR | -0.28 | 200 |
| 14 | Bocchi N | ITA | 0.71 | 220 | 84 | Assael S | TUR | 0.12 | 280 | 154 | Micescu V | ROM | -0.28 | 180 |
| 15 | Duboin G | ITA | 0.71 | 220 | 85 | Whittaker W | SCO | 0.11 | 200 | 155 | Rotaru I | ROM | -0.28 | 180 |
| 16 | Skoularikis | GRE | 0.70 | 200 | 86 | Steel L | SCO | 0.11 | 200 | 156 | Zaccaria D | SMR | -0.30 | 200 |
| 17 | Zotos L | GRE | 0.70 | 200 | 87 | Senk M | SLO | 0.10 | 300 | 157 | Capucho M | POR | -0.31 | 180 |
| 18 | Balicki C | POL | 0.69 | 200 | 88 | Kranjc G | SLO | 0.10 | 300 | 158 | Lara M | POR | -0.31 | 180 |
| 19 | Zmudzinski A | POL | 0.69 | 200 | 89 | Cuthbertson | SCO | 0.10 | 200 | 159 | Maas A | NET | -0.32 | 200 |
| 20 | Dubinin A | RUS | 0.68 | 160 | 90 | Fawcett J | ENG | 0.09 | 200 | 160 | Ramondt V | NET | -0.32 | 200 |
| 21 | Krasnosselsk | RUS | 0.68 | 160 | 91 | Liggins G | ENG | 0.09 | 200 | 161 | Helling S | LUX | -0.35 | 160 |
| 22 | Ingimarsson | ICE | 0.60 | 220 | 92 | Sundelin $P$ | SWE | 0.08 | 180 | 162 | Rennol | LUX | -0.35 | 160 |
| 23 | Magnusson M | ICE | 0.60 | 220 | 93 | Sylvan J | SWE | 0.08 | 180 | 163 | Lipuzic D | SLO | -0.37 | 280 |
| 24 | Eidi M | LEB | 0.59 | 240 | 94 | Winkler G | HUN | 0.08 | 280 | 164 | Fornaro P | LIE | -0.40 | 200 |
| 25 | Harfouche G | LEB | 0.59 | 240 | 95 | Szalay G | HUN | 0.07 | 220 | 165 | Zucchini G | LIE | -0.40 | 200 |
| 26 | Brogeland B | NOR | 0.52 | 180 | 96 | Kiema O | FIN | 0.07 | 299 | 166 | Baroudi N | LEB | -0.42 | 160 |
| 27 | Saelensminde | NOR | 0.52 | 180 | 97 | Koistinen K | FIN | 0.07 | 299 | 167 | Nasr C | LEB | -0.42 | 160 |
| 28 | Andersson L | SWE | 0.47 | 200 | 98 | Hopfenheit G | GER | 0.06 | 180 | 168 | Nystrom F | SWE | -0.45 | 180 |
| 29 | Gullberg $T$ | SWE | 0.47 | 200 | 99 | Retzlaff R | GER | 0.06 | 180 | 169 | Bertheau P | SWE | -0.45 | 180 |
| 30 | Hegedus G | HUN | 0.46 | 160 | 100 | Kurka J | CZE | 0.05 | 200 | 170 | Novak M | SLO | -0.47 | 300 |
| 31 | Kholomeev V | RUS | 0.46 | 180 | 101 | Allegrini $P$ | FRA | 0.05 | 200 | 171 | Svoboda O | CZE | -0.47 | 200 |
| 32 | Zlotov D | RUS | 0.46 | 180 | 102 | Palau JJ | FRA | 0.05 | 200 | 172 | Juuri-Oja J | FIN | -0.47 | 159 |
| 33 | Karaivanov K | BUL | 0.45 | 200 | 103 | Knap A | SPA | 0.04 | 199 | 173 | Utter L | FIN | -0.47 | 159 |
| 34 | Trendafilov | BUL | 0.45 | 200 | 104 | Wasik A | SPA | 0.04 | 199 | 174 | Briolini G | SMR | -0.51 | 200 |
| 35 | Gloyer A | AUS | 0.44 | 199 | 105 | Blakset L | DEN | 0.04 | 200 | 175 | Fiorini F | SMR | -0.51 | 200 |
| 36 | Schifko M | AUS | 0.44 | 199 | 106 | Bruun M | DEN | 0.04 | 200 | 176 | Dietrich A | LUX | -0.53 | 200 |
| 37 | Lindermann A | AUS | 0.41 | 180 | 107 | Mraz T | CZE | 0.03 | 180 | 177 | Richter C | LUX | -0.53 | 200 |
| 38 | Saurer B | AUS | 0.41 | 180 | 108 | Pownall M | WAL | 0.00 | 220 | 178 | Jansa Z | CZE | -0.55 | 180 |
| 39 | Jansma | NET | 0.38 | 220 | 109 | Murdoch J | SCO | 0.00 | 200 | 179 | Koksoy E | TUR | -0.55 | 140 |
| 40 | Verhees L | NET | 0.38 | 220 | 110 | AAT | NOR | -0.02 | 180 | 180 | Ucar A | TUR | -0.55 | 140 |
| 41 | Duong H | SWI | 0.37 | 200 | 111 | Groetheim G | NOR | -0.02 | 180 | 181 | Goded F | SPA | -0.56 | 219 |
| 42 | Yalcin $T$ | SWI | 0.37 | 200 | 112 | Mykhaylenko | UKR | -0.03 | 180 | 182 | Lantaron L | SPA | -0.56 | 219 |
| 43 | Kannavos P | GRE | 0.32 | 180 | 113 | Nemtsev O | UKR | -0.03 | 180 | 183 | Baldursson | ICE | -0.62 | 200 |
| 44 | Kapayannides K | GRE | 0.32 | 180 | 114 | Frances A | SPA | -0.05 | 180 | 184 | Sigurhjartar | ICE | -0.62 | 200 |
| 45 | Schaltz D | DEN | 0.31 | 200 | 115 | Torres J | SPA | -0.05 | 180 | 185 | Arnaboldi S | LIE | -0.62 | 200 |
| 46 | Schaltz P | DEN | 0.31 | 200 | 116 | Kurbalija F | WAL | -0.06 | 200 | 186 | Turletti G | LIE | -0.62 | 200 |
| 47 | Simon J | AUS | 0.31 | 219 | 117 | MihovV | BUL | -0.07 | 200 | 187 | Debonnaire J | POR | -0.65 | 160 |
| 48 | Terraneo C | AUS | 0.31 | 219 | 118 | Nanev I | BUL | -0.07 | 200 | 188 | Teixeira A | POR | -0.65 | 160 |
| 49 | Goodman P | WAL | 0.30 | 220 | 119 | Tomic $T$ | CRO | -0.07 | 200 | 189 | Rapoport O | UKR | -0.74 | 180 |
| 50 | Karaivanov I | BUL | 0.29 | 200 | 120 | Jonsson Th | ICE | -0.08 | 180 | 190 | Volkov O | UKR | -0.74 | 180 |
| 51 | Stamatov J | BUL | 0.29 | 200 | 121 | Thorvaldsson | ICE | -0.08 | 180 | 191 | Kloppenborg | LUX | -0.76 | 200 |
| 52 | Engel Z | BEL | 0.29 | 200 | 122 | Nikolayenko | UKR | -0.08 | 200 | 192 | Schaaper M | LUX | -0.76 | 200 |
| 53 | Van Middelem | BEL | 0.29 | 200 | 123 | Rybnikov G | UKR | -0.08 | 200 | 193 | Pesonen J | FIN | -0.79 | 140 |
| 54 | Herbst I | ISR | 0.28 | 199 | 124 | Lauria L | ITA | -0.08 | 220 | 194 | Stubb S | FIN | -0.79 | 140 |
| 55 | Herbst O | ISR | 0.28 | 199 | 125 | Versace A | ITA | -0.08 | 220 | 195 | O'Briain P | IRE | -0.84 | 200 |
| 56 | Caspersen H | DEN | 0.27 | 160 | 126 | Hallberg G | ENG | -0.09 | 180 | 196 | Roche $T$ | IRE | -0.84 | 200 |
| 57 | Norgaard T | DEN | 0.27 | 160 | 127 | Simpson C | ENG | -0.09 | 180 | 197 | Filippi F | SMR | -0.88 | 200 |
| 58 | Rees T | WAL | 0.26 | 200 | 128 | Gal P | HUN | -0.09 | 180 | 198 | Pizza E | SMR | -0.90 | 200 |
| 59 | Hausler H | GER | 0.26 | 180 | 129 | Denning $P$ | WAL | -0.10 | 180 | 199 | Fahs B | LEB | -0.92 | 120 |
| 60 | Splettstosse | GER | 0.26 | 180 | 130 | Thomas A | WAL | -0.10 | 180 | 200 | Hamdan F | LEB | -0.92 | 120 |
| 61 | Cuhadar E | TUR | 0.26 | 180 | 131 | Rometi F | ROM | -0.10 | 160 | 201 | MacDonagh M | IRE | -0.96 | 180 |
| 62 | Arigun C | TUR | 0.26 | 180 | 132 | Valeanu V | ROM | -0.10 | 160 | 202 | O'Brien B | IRE | -0.96 | 180 |
| 63 | Vozabal D | CZE | 0.25 | 220 | 133 | Burn D | ENG | -0.11 | 180 | 203 | Alfejeva E | LAT | -1.00 | 180 |
| 64 | Zadrazil M | CZE | 0.25 | 220 | 134 | Callaghan B | ENG | -0.11 | 180 | 204 | GoncaV | LAT | -1.00 | 180 |
| 65 | Yadin D | ISR | 0.25 | 180 | 135 | Tesla M | CRO | -0.13 | 220 | 205 | Golfarelliv | LIE | -1.01 | 200 |
| 66 | Yadlin I | ISR | 0.25 | 180 | 136 | Barbosa J | POR | -0.14 | 220 | 206 | Palmieri C | LIE | -1.01 | 200 |
| 67 | Kirchhoff E | NET | 0.25 | 179 | 137 | Pinto R | POR | -0.14 | 220 | 207 | Purgajlis M | LAT | -1.05 | 180 |
| 68 | Paulissen G | NET | 0.25 | 179 | 138 | Dousse J | SWI | -0.14 | 159 | 208 | Romanovskis | LAT | -1.05 | 180 |


|  | Player |  | avg. | Boards | 42 | Perlini MC | RSM | 0.28 | 60 | 85 | Gothe E | SWE | -0.15 | 100 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | IMPs |  | 43 | Stacchini LM | RSM | 0.28 | 60 | 86 | Midskog C | SWE | -0.15 | 100 |
| I | Avon D | FRA | 1.30 | 80 | 44 | Mueller R | CRO | 0.23 | 80 | 87 | Njaradi I | HUN | -0.24 | 60 |
| 2 | Lustin C | FRA | 1.30 | 80 | 45 | Jurisic I | CRO | 0.23 | 80 | 88 | Baldini P | RSM | -0.27 | 120 |
| 3 | Pascual M | SPA | 1.11 | 80 | 46 | Casey J | WAL | 0.23 | 100 | 89 | Tantini R | RSM | -0.27 | 120 |
| 4 | Viola C | SPA | 1.11 | 80 | 47 | Kurbalija D | WAL | 0.23 | 100 | 90 | Hoogweg F | NET | -0.27 | 60 |
| 5 | Auken S | GER | 1.06 | 100 | 48 | Pilipovic M | CRO | 0.21 | 120 | 91 | Van Zwol W | NET | -0.27 | 60 |
| 6 | Von Arnim D | GER | 1.06 | 100 | 49 | Sver N | CRO | 0.21 | 120 | 92 | Barlund B | FIN | -0.28 | 100 |
| 7 | Dhondy H | ENG | 1.06 | 120 | 50 | Pasman J | NET | 0.20 | 80 | 93 | Niemisto R | FIN | -0.28 | 100 |
| 8 | Smith N | ENG | 1.06 | 120 | 51 | Simons A | NET | 0.20 | 80 | 94 | Topolyi M | HUN | -0.37 | 40 |
| 9 | Macieszczak | POL | 0.94 | 80 | 52 | Lancova M | CZE | 0.18 | 40 | 95 | Backstrom S | FIN | -0.45 | 120 |
| 10 | Siwek M | POL | 0.94 | 80 | 53 | Tomcikova Z | CZE | 0.18 | 40 | 96 | Koistinen R | FIN | -0.45 | 120 |
| 11 | Redermeir A | AUS | 0.83 | 100 | 54 | Tomaszewska | POL | 0.17 | 100 | 97 | Heitmann E | NOR | -0.55 | 100 |
| 12 | Terraneo S | AUS | 0.83 | 100 | 55 | Wojtyra A | POL | 0.17 | 100 | 98 | Langeland A | NOR | -0.55 | 100 |
| 13 | Hegedus O | HUN | 0.78 | 60 | 56 | Clench G | WAL | 0.14 | 80 | 99 | Birklyacic T | CRO | -0.64 | 80 |
| 14 | Evelius-Nohr | SWE | 0.68 | 60 | 57 | Harris D | WAL | 0.14 | 80 | 100 | Petrovic I | CRO | -0.64 | 80 |
| 15 | Karlsson-Uis | SWE | 0.68 | 60 | 58 | Tichy E | HUN | 0.11 | 140 | 101 | Alexander M | SCO | -0.65 | 100 |
| 16 | Farwig K | GER | 0.67 | 60 | 59 | Svobodova P | CZE | 0.10 | 100 | 102 | Outred V | SCO | -0.65 | 100 |
| 17 | Stawowy B | GER | 0.67 | 60 | 60 | Pokorna J | CZE | 0.10 | 100 | 103 | Nehmert $P$ | GER | -0.69 | 80 |
| 18 | Erhardt M | AUS | 0.64 | 100 | 61 | Welker J | HUN | 0.06 | 140 | 104 | Rauscheid A | GER | -0.69 | 80 |
| 19 | Smederevac J | AUS | 0.64 | 100 | 62 | Mezey K | HUN | 0.05 | 120 | 105 | Kulmala S | FIN | -0.69 | 60 |
| 20 | Melech H | ISR | 0.56 | 80 | 63 | Birman D | ISR | 0.01 | 80 | 106 | Savolainen P | FIN | -0.69 | 60 |
| 21 | Naveh N | ISR | 0.56 0.56 | 80 | 64 | Liberman R | ISR | 0.01 | 80 | 107 | McGowan L | SCO | -0.71 | 100 |
| 22 | DeLucchi F | ITA | 0.56 | 100 | 65 | Hugon E | FRA | 0.00 | 80 | 108 | McQuaker F | SCO | -0.71 | 100 |
| 23 | Rosetta A | ITA | 0.56 | 100 | 66 | Fishpool C | FRA | 0.00 | 80 | 109 | Erdeova J | CZE | -0.78 | 100 |
| 24 | Andersson P | SWE | 0.55 | 120 | 67 | Farholt S | DEN | 0.00 | 80 | 110 | Hnatova D |  | -0.78 | 100 |
| 25 | Larsson J | SWE | 0.55 | 120 | 68 | Rahelt M | DEN | 0.00 | 80 | 111 | Hellmann A | NOR | -0.78 | 100 |
| 26 | Matut M | SPA | 0.55 | 80 | 69 | Kailiakmani | GRE | -0.02 | 120 | 112 | Malinowski A | NOR | -0.78 | 100 |
| 27 | Santos C | SPA | 0.55 | 80 | 70 | Mamidaki L | GRE | -0.02 | 120 | 113 | Greenland L | WAL | -0.79 | 100 |
| 28 | Fisher D | AUS | 0.48 | 80 | 71 | Dumont S | FRA | -0.04 | 80 | 114 | Hreenland L | WAL | -0.79 | 100 |
| 29 | Weigkricht T | AUS | 0.48 | 80 | 72 | Clement M | FRA | -0.04 | 80 | 114 115 | Hirst A | SPA | -0.79 -0.79 | 100 |
| 30 | Brunner M | ENG | 0.45 | 60 | 73 | Bilde L | DEN | -0.05 | 100 | 115 | Babot M | SPA | -0.79 -0.79 | 80 |
| 31 | Goldenfield | ENG | 0.45 | 60 | 74 | Henriksen C | DEN | -0.05 | 100 | 116 | Sanchiz N | SPA | -0.79 | 80 |
| 32 | Brock S | ENG | 0.43 | 60 | 75 | SteenLybaek | NOR | -0.08 | 80 | 117 | Gerrard C | SCO | -0.80 | 80 |
| 33 | Courtney M | ENG | 0.43 | 60 | 76 | Wennevold I | NOR | -0.08 | 80 | 118 | McGeagh A | SCO | -0.80 | 80 |
| 34 | Sarniak A | POL | 0.36 | 100 | 77 | Gromova V | RUS | -0.09 | 100 | 119 | Kyriakidou A | GRE | -1.03 | 100 |
| 35 | Grunt A | POL | 0.36 | 100 | 78 | Kulichkova O | RUS | -0.09 | 100 | 120 | Lambrinou S | GRE | -1.03 | 100 |
| 36 | Levit-Porat | ISR | 0.35 | 120 | 79 | Khonicheva E | RUS | -0.09 | 100 | 121 | Kanellopoulo | GRE | -1.11 | 60 |
| 37 | Zur-Campanil | ISR | 0.35 | 120 | 80 | Ponomareva $T$ | RUS | -0.09 | 100 | 122 | Oikonomou L | GRE | -I.11 | 60 |
| 38 | Ferlazzo C | ITA | 0.35 | 60 | 81 | Arrigoni G | ITA | -0.10 | 80 | 123 | Kirstan M | DEN | -I.13 | 100 |
| 39 | Manara G | ITA | 0.35 | 60 | 82 | Olivieri G | ITA | -0.10 | 80 | 124 | Krefeld C | DEN | -1.13 | 100 |
| 40 | Van der Pas | NET | 0.32 | 100 | 83 | Romanowska M | RUS | -0.12 | 80 | 125 | Filippi M | RSM | -1.23 | 100 |
| 41 | Vriend B | NET | 0.32 | 100 | 84 | Volina V | RUS | -0.12 | 80 | 126 | Urbani AM | RSM | -1.23 | 100 |

## Championship Diary

The Editor reports on the daily events at and around the Championship venue.

Friday 22 June 2001
Sweden's Micke Melander was elected to the EBL Executive. In Sorrento he was a member of the Daily Bulletin team. Is he setting a trend?

The trouble with being a VuGraph commentator is that it is so easy to get egg on your face. Today one of the team - who are generally doing an outstanding job - is unwise enough to state that 'there is no possible way to go down from here.' We suggest he avoids a certain member of the Norwegian team for a day or so.

As our pesetas are about to run out Justin Hackett - his new feature Hackett's Horses appears from tomorrow - comes in to tell us he has a dead cert in the Wokingham Handicap at Royal Ascot at 16.20. The name of this equine star is Seven No Trumps. With some misgivings we hand over our last 5,000 pesetas. It fails to win - but finishes third and we collect a small dividend as we have cunningly backed it each way.

## 2001 Zonal <br> Championships Book

Brian Senior is producing a book with news, results and action from all of this year's Zonal Championships, including a full list of the qualifiers for Bali.

Available in early August, the book will be approximately 120 A4 pages.

See Brian in the Daily Bulletin Office to order your copy. The price here in Tenerife is Ten Pounds Sterling or the equivalent in any major currency, post free.



[^0]:    When Pszczola showed his two-suiter, it became clear that

[^1]:    Nissan Rand, Chairman
    Patrick Jourdain, Secretary

