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## Fat Tuesday: Nearly Too Many IMPs To Count



Players can watch a great VuGraph show at the Sporting d'Hiver thanks to BridgeVision.

The first set of the day Tuesday produced one of those magical collections of boards that VuGraph commentators love because they have so much to talk about.
The leader board was also worth a look, as Italy were was ahead in the Bermuda Bowl at the end of play on Tuesday, while Chinese Taipei held the lead in the Venice Cup and Denmark were setting the standard in the Senior Bowl.
For spectators, the real fun came in observing the results of the wild set of deals that produced some mind-boggling IMP totals as competitors in the three main events warmed to their tasks. Some were simply hot.
In the Venice Cup match between Brazil and Sweden, the South Americans prevailed 82-73.That's nearly 10 IMPs per board! Out of 16 deals, there were 12 double-digit swings. It was actually a disappointment for the audience in the VuGraph theatre when the final board came in as a push - the only one of the match.
In the Bermuda Bowl andVenice Cup, the teams are vying for qualifying spots. The top eight after the round-robin in those two events will move on to the quarterfinals. In the Senior Bowl, each round-robin match has more weight because when round-robin play is completed, the leader will be the winner.
The first VuGraph match of the day attracted attention because the two American teams were doing battle, with USA II

## VUGRAPH MATCH

## Bermuda Bowl - ROUND 8 - 10.30 Egypt v USA II <br> Venice Cup - ROUND 7 - 13.20 USA I v England <br> Bermuda Bowl - ROUND 10 - 16.10 Sweden v USA I <br> Bermuda Bowl - ROUND II - 21.00 Norway v Canada
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leading the field going into round five. Adding to the interest of the match is the fact that Bobby Wolff, a member of USA II, played for more than 25 years with Bob Hamman of USA I until they parted ways about five years ago. They did not face...Continued on page 4

| Betmrara Bown |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ROUND 8 |  | 10.30 |
| Table | Home Team | Visiting |  |
| 1 | Poland | New Zeal |  |
| 2 | Egypt | USA II |  |
| 3 | Uruguay | India |  |
| 4 | South Africa | Norway |  |
| 5 | Pakistan | Brazil |  |
| 6 | Monaco | Australia |  |
| 7 | Canada | Italy |  |
| 8 | China | Bulgaria |  |
|  | Chinese Taipei | Spain |  |
| 10 | Indonesia | USA I |  |
| 11 | Bermuda | Sweden |  |


| ROUND 9 |  |  |  | Visiting Team |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Table | Home Team | Visiting |  |  |
| 1 | New Zealand | Egypt |  |  |
| 2 | USA II | Uruguay |  |  |
| 3 | India | South Africa |  |  |
| 4 | Norway | Pakistan |  |  |
| 5 | Brazil | Monaco |  |  |
| 6 | Australia | Canada |  |  |
| 7 | Italy | Blgaria |  |  |
| 8 | Poland | Chinise Taipei |  |  |
| 9 | Spain | Indonesia |  |  |
| 10 | USA | Bermuda |  |  |
| II | Sweden | China |  |  |


| ROUND 10 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Table | Home Team | Visiting Team |  |
| 1 | Uruguay | New Zealand |  |
| 2 | South Africa | USA II |  |
| 3 | Pakistan | India |  |
| 4 | Monaco | Norway |  |
| 5 | Canada | Brazil |  |
| 6 | Bulgaria | Australia |  |
| 7 | China | Italy |  |
| 8 | Chinese Taipei | Egypt |  |
| 9 | Indonesia | Poland |  |
| 10 | Bermuda | Spain |  |
| 11 | Sweden | USA I |  |


|  |  | ROUND II | 6.IO |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Table | Home Team | Visiting Team |  |
| I | New Zealand | South Africa |  |
| 2 | USA II | Pakistan |  |
| 3 | India | Monaco |  |
| 4 | Norway | Canada |  |
| 5 | Brazil | Bulgaria |  |
| 6 | Australia | Italy |  |
| 7 | Uruguay | Chinese Taipei |  |
| 8 | Egypt | Indonesia |  |
| 9 | Poland | Bermuda |  |
| 10 | Spain | Sweden |  |
| 11 | USA I | China |  |


| ROUND 6 |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Table | Home Team | Visiting Team |
| 12 | Sweden | Venezuela |
| 13 | USA II | Pakistan |
| 14 | Egypt | Germany |
| 15 | Canada | Brazil |
| 16 | Indonesia | India |
| 17 | Netherlands | USA I |
| 18 | Australia | England |
| 19 | China | Chinese Taipei |
| 20 | Italy | South Africa |


| ROUND 7 |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Table | Home Team | Visiting Team |
| 12 | Venezuela | USA II |
| 13 | Pakistan | Egypt |
| 14 | Germany | Canada |
| 15 | Brazil | Indonesia |
| 16 | India | Netherlands |
| 17 | USA I | England |
| 18 | Sweden | China |
| 19 | Chinese Taipei | Italy |
| 20 | South Africa | Australia |


| ROUND 8 |  |  |  | 16.10 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Table | Home Team | Visiting Team |  |  |
| 12 | Egypt | Venezuela |  |  |
| 13 | Canada | Pakistan |  |  |
| 14 | Indonesia | Germany |  |  |
| 15 | Netherlands | Brazil |  |  |
| 16 | England | India |  |  |
| 17 | Australia | USA I |  |  |
| 18 | China | USA II |  |  |
| 19 | Italy | Sweden |  |  |
| 20 | South Africa | Chinese Taipei |  |  |

## Senior Bowl

| ROUND 5 |  |  |  | O.30 |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Table | Home Team | Visiting Team |  |  |
| I | Polynesia | Egypt |  |  |
| 2 | USA I | USA II |  |  |
| 3 | Italy | Israel |  |  |
| 4 | Denmark | France |  |  |
| 5 | Pakistan | Bye |  |  |
| 6 | Australia | Japan |  |  |
| 7 | Brazil/Arg | Indonesia |  |  |
| 8 | Monaco | Guadeloupe |  |  |


|  |  | ROUND 6 |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Table | Home Team | Visiting Team |
| I | Japan | USA I |
| 2 | Italy | Pakistan |
| 3 | Brazil/Arg | Egypt |
| 4 | USA II | Indonesia |
| 5 | Polynesia | France |
| 6 | Israel | Guadeloupe |
| 7 | Denmark | Bye |
| 8 | Australia | Monaco |

Bermuda Bowl

| ROUND 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Home Team | Visiting Team |  | MPs |  | Ps |
| 1 | NEW ZEALAND | SWEDEN | 50 | 50 | 15 | 15 |
| 2 | USA II | USA I | 41 | 68 | 9 | 21 |
| 3 | INDIA | SPAIN | 8 | 91 | 0 | 25 |
| 4 | NORWAY | POLAND | 58 | 40 | 19 | 11 |
| 5 | BRAZIL | EGYPT | 44 | 74 | 8 | 22 |
| 6 | AUSTRALIA | URUGUAY | 72 | 12 | 25 | 2 |
| 7 | ITALY | SOUTH AFRICA | 74 | 19 | 25 | 3 |
| 8 | BULGARIA | PAKISTAN | 61 | 54 | 16 | 14 |
| 9 | CANADA | MONACO | 59 | 45 | 18 | 12 |
| 10 | BERMUDA | CHINESE TAIPEI | 38 | 82 | 5 | 25 |
| 11 | INDONESIA | CHINA | 43 | 34 | 17 | 13 |

## ROUND 6

|  | Home Team | Visiting Team | IMPs |  |  | VPs |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| I | USA I | NEW ZEALAND | 22 | 22 | 15 | 15 |  |
| 2 | SPAIN | USA II | 7 | 35 | 8 | 22 |  |
| 3 | POLAND | INDIA | 53 | 20 | 23 | 7 |  |
| 4 | EGYPT | NORWAY | 26 | 8 | 19 | 11 |  |
| 5 | URUGUAY | BRAZIL | 50 | 24 | 21 | 9 |  |
| 6 | SOUTH AFRICA | AUSTRALIA | 23 | 44 | 10 | 20 |  |
| 7 | PAKISTAN | ITALY | 6 | 50 | 5 | 25 |  |
| 8 | MONACO | BULGARIA | 40 | 18 | 20 | 10 |  |
| 9 | CHINA | CANADA | 27 | 38 | 13 | 17 |  |
| IO | CHINESE TAIPEI | SWEDEN | 10 | 20 | 13 | 17 |  |
| II | INDONESIA | BERMUDA | 49 | 31 | 19 | 11 |  |


| ROUND 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Home Team | Visiting Team | IMPs |  |  | VPs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I | NEW ZEALAND | SPAIN | 49 | 14 | 23 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | USA II | POLAND | 25 | 33 | 13 | 17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | INDIA | EGYPT | 27 | 17 | 17 | 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | NORWAY | URUGUAY | 39 | 21 | 19 | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | BRAZIL | SOUTH AFRICA | 31 | 40 | 13 | 17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | AUSTRALIA | PAKISTAN | 21 | 48 | 9 | 21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | ITALY | MONACO | 48 | 3 | 25 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | BULGARIA | CANADA | 28 | 13 | 18 | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | USA I | CHINESE TAIPEI | 46 | 10 | 23 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| IO | SWEDEN | INDONESIA | 49 | 14 | 23 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| II | BERMUDA | CHINA | 36 | 32 | 16 | 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | Bermuda Bowl <br> Ranking after 7 rounds |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| 1 | Italy | 146 |
| 2 | Poland | 132 |
| 3 | Australia | 129 |
| 4 | Norway | 128 |
| 5 | USA II | 126.5 |
| 5 | USA I | 126.5 |
| 7 | Canada | 117 |
| 8 | Egypt | 108 |
| 9 | China | 106 |
| 10 | New Zealand | 105 |
| 10 | Chinese Taipei | 105 |
| 12 | Pakistan | 103 |
| 13 | Sweden | 101 |
| 14 | Bulgaria | 98 |
| 15 | Monaco | 95 |
| 16 | Indonesia | 93 |
| 17 | Spain | 92 |
| 18 | India | 84 |
| 19 | South Africa | 83 |
| 20 | Uruguay | 78 |
| 21 | Brazil | 72 |
| 22 | Bermuda | 55 |

## RESULTS

Senior Bowl

| ROUND 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Home Team | Visiting Team |  | IMPs |  | Ps |
| 1 | USA II | PAKISTAN | 89 | 17 | 25 | 2 |
| 2 | JAPAN | FR. POLYNESIA | 115 | 55 | 25 | 4 |
| 3 | DENMARK | INDONESIA | 76 | 40 | 22 | 8 |
| 4 | MONACO | BRAZIL/ARG | 73 | 74 | 15 | 15 |
| 5 | FRANCE | GUADELOUPE | 88 | 75 | 17 | 13 |
| 6 | ISRAEL | USA I | 47 | 81 | 9 | 21 |
| 7 | EGYPT | BYE | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 |
| 8 | ITALY | AUSTRALIA | 45 | 66 | 11 | 19 |


| ROUND 4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
|  | Home Team | Visiting Team | IMPs |  |  |


| Senior Bowl <br> Ranking after 4 rounds |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Denmark | 79.5 |
| 2 | USA I | 78 |
| 3 | Australia | 77.5 |
| 4 | France | 75 |
| 4 | Indonesia | 75 |
| 6 | USA II | 74 |
| 7 | Japan | 70 |
| 8 | Israel | 69 |
| 9 | Italy | 63 |
| 10 | Brazil/Arg | 53.5 |
| 11 | Egypt | 47.5 |
| 12 | Pakistan | 43 |
| 13 | Guadeloupe | 35 |
| 14 | Monaco | 34 |
| 15 | Fr. Polynesia | 14 |

RESULTS

## ROUND 3

|  | Home Team | Visiting Team | IMPs |  |  | VPs |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| I2 | VENEZUELA | ITALY | 47 | 14 | 23 | 7 |  |
| 13 | PAKISTAN | SOUTH AFRICA | 45 | 39 | 16 | 14 |  |
| 14 | GERMANY | CHINESE TAIPEI | 56 | 58 | 15 | 15 |  |
| 15 | BRAZIL | SWEDEN | 82 | 73 | 17 | 13 |  |
| 16 | INDIA | USA II | 27 | 57 | 8 | 22 |  |
| 17 | USA I | EGYPT | 71 | 44 | 21 | 9 |  |
| 18 | ENGLAND | CANADA | 49 | 48 | 15 | 15 |  |
| 19 | NETHERLANDS | INDONESIA | 37 | 52 | 12 | 18 |  |
| 20 | CHINA | AUSTRALIA | 46 | 60 | 12 | 18 |  |


| ROUND 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Home Team | Visiting Team | IMPs |  |  |  | VPs |  |  |
| I2 | SOUTH AFRICA | VENEZUELA | 46 | 39 | 16 | 14 |  |  |  |
| I3 | CHINESE TAIPEI | PAKISTAN | 30 | 18 | 18 | 12 |  |  |  |
| I4 | SWEDEN | GERMANY | 20 | 13 | 16 | 14 |  |  |  |
| I5 | USA II | BRAZIL | 43 | 12 | 22 | 8 |  |  |  |
| I6 | EGYPT | INDIA | 45 | 9 | 23 | 7 |  |  |  |
| I7 | CANADA | USA I | 31 | 32 | 15 | 15 |  |  |  |
| I8 | INDONESIA | ENGLAND | 45 | 19 | 21 | 9 |  |  |  |
| I9 | AUSTRALIA | NETHERLANDS | 26 | 60 | 7 | 23 |  |  |  |
| 20 | CHINA | ITALY | 18 | 19 | 15 | 15 |  |  |  |


| Venice Cup Ranking after 5 rounds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Chinese Taipei |  |  |  |  | 98 | 8 |
| 2 |  | Netherlands |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| 3 |  | USA I |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| 4 |  | China |  |  |  |  | 8 |
| 5 |  | USA II |  |  |  |  | 7 |
| 5 |  | Venezuela |  |  |  |  | 7 |
| 7 |  | Italy |  |  |  |  | 8 |
| 8 |  | England |  |  |  |  | 7 |
| 8 |  | Canada |  |  |  |  | 7 |
| 10 |  | Indonesia |  |  |  | 7 | 6 |
| 11 |  | Germany |  |  |  | 75 | 5 |
|  |  | Brazil |  |  |  | 6 | 2 |
| 12 |  | Australia |  |  |  | 6 | 62 |
| 14 |  | Sweden |  |  |  |  | 60 |
| 14 |  | South Africa |  |  |  |  | 60 |
|  |  | India |  |  |  |  | 59 |
| 17 |  | Pakistan |  |  |  |  | 5 |
| 18 |  | Egypt |  |  |  |  | 54 |
| ROUND 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Home Team | Visiting Team |  | IMPs |  | VPs |
| 12 |  | enezuela | CHINESE TAIPEI | 16 | 50 |  | 23 |
| 13 |  | PAKISTAN | SWEDEN | 31 | 31 | 15 | 15 |
| 14 |  | GERMANY | USA II | 39 | 43 | 14 | 16 |
| 15 |  | BRAZIL | EGYPT | 41 | 27 | 18 | 12 |
| 16 |  | NDIA | CANADA | 25 | 19 | 16 | 14 |
| 17 |  | USAI | INDONESIA | 15 | 28 | 12 | 18 |
| 18 |  | NGLAND | NETHERLANDS | 29 | 33 | 14 | 16 |
| 19 |  | OUTH AFRICA | CHINA | 5 | 64 |  | 25 |
|  |  | TALY | AUSTRALIA | 43 | 29 | 18 | 12 |

## ...Front Page Continued

each other at the table in round five.
The all-American match produced some fireworks, ending at $68-4$ I for USA I.That IMP total was above the average for the matches in that first set of the day - round five for the Bermuda Bowl and round three for the Venice Cup.
In all, 2014 IMPs changed hands in 20 matches in the two events - an average of 100.7 per match or 6.29 IMPs per board.
USA II rebounded from their defeat in round five to clobber Spain in round six to stay in contention for a qualifying spot and were actually tied with their countrymen in the standings after seven rounds.

## ROUND

## Bermuda Bowl

## USA I v Australia Taking a Punch

|n the opening round of the Bermuda Bowl, USA I faced Australia. After two boards, the Aussies had a lead of 27-0, thanks to two slam swings. There were still 14 boards to play, however, and the Americans proved themselves resilient enough to come back for a 45-37 victory; I7-13 VPs.

These were the first two deals of the match.
Board I. Dealer North. None Vul.

- 1085
- K Q 7
$\diamond K 6$
Q Q 10652

| - 9 | N | . 7643 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Q8542 |  | 8 J 106 |
| $\diamond$ QJ 8742 | W E | $\checkmark 53$ |
| \& K 9 | S | \&) 874 |
|  | - AKQJ2 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ A 93 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ A 109 |  |
|  | - A 3 |  |

In the closed room, Richard Freeman and Nick Nickell conducted a short auction to a no-play grand slam. There was one chance - a singleton club honour - and the suit did not cooperate. Down one for minus 50 .

| West | North | East <br> Richman | South <br> Freeman |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Thomson | Nickell | Rass | Pass |
| Pass | 2NT | Pass | $3 \mathbf{2}$ |
| Pass | $6 \mathbf{2}$ | Pass | $7 \boldsymbol{2}$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

In the open room, Ishmael Del Monte and Rob Fruewirth took their time and a dozen bids to find the right spot. There was nothing to the play, and Fruewirth scored up plus 980 for a 14IMP gain.

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hamman | Del Monte | Soloway | Fruewirth |
|  | Pass | Pass | 2s |
| Pass | $2 \diamond$ (i) | Pass | 2NT |
| Pass | 30 (ii) | Pass | 31 |
| Pass | 4 | Pass | $4{ }^{2}$ |
| Pass | $4{ }^{4}$ | Pass | 52\% |
| Pass | 5 | Pass | 54 |
| Pass | 64 | All Pass |  |

(i) 5-8 HCP balanced, or 9+
(ii) Asking for a five-card major

Board 2. Dealer East. N/S Vul.

- AKJIO 9843

PA64
$\diamond 10$

- A
- Q 752

ค 85
$\diamond$ Q 6
\& QJ972
West
Thomson

Is

East
Richman
$1 \diamond$ (i)
All Pass

South
Freeman
Pass
(i) Four or more hearts

On the second board, Nickell and Freeman again made quick work of the auction, arriving at their game contract with one bid. As you can see, Bobby Richman's decision to open the bidding with the East hand - plus Matthew Thomson's response of IS made it very difficult for Nickell and Freeman to reach the excellent slam. The only possible loser looking at the North-South cards is in the trump suit. Indeed that was the only trick Nickell lost.


| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hamman | Del Monte | Soloway | Fruewirth |
|  |  | Pass | Pass |
| Pass | 20 | 3 | Pass |
| Pass | 49 | Pass | 5 |
| Dble | Pass | Pass | Rdbl |
| Pass | 5 | Pass | 68 |
| Pass | 64 | All Pass |  |

In the Open Room, Paul Soloway did not consider the East hand an opener, clearing the way for another good auction by the Australians. Soloway's $3 \diamond$ bid did not hamper Del Monte and Fruewirth, although the Aussie partisans in the vugraph audience had an anxious moment when Fruewirth bid 6 8 . That contract in one match was down four tricks. Del Monte was never going to play anything but spades with his hand, however. He won the opening diamond lead with the ace and took a spade finesse, claiming for one loser when Soloway showed out. That was plus 1430 and another 13 IMPs to Australia.
The Americans started their comeback on Board 3 when Fruewirth and Del Monte overbid to 34, going down three, while their teammates scored plus 110 in $2 \Omega$.
Australia had a chance for a another big swing on Board 4, but Del Monte and Fruewirth missed a chance for a big number against Hamman and Soloway.

Board 4. Dealer West. All Vul.
¢ 853

- K 843
$\diamond$ KJIO 4
\& K Q
- 642
- A Q 96
$\diamond A 5$
- J 876

- Q 97
$\odot \boldsymbol{J} 5$
$\diamond$ Q 763
\& 10542
- AKJIO
$\bigcirc 1072$
$\diamond 982$
\& A 93
In the Closed Room, Thomson opened a weak INT and managed only five tricks for minus 200. In the Open Room, DelMonte let plus 800 slip through his fingers.

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hamman | Del Monte | Soloway | Fruewirth |
| Pass | $1 \diamond$ | Pass | $1 \stackrel{1}{2}$ |
| Dble | Redbl | $2 \boldsymbol{2}$ | Dble |
| Pass | 2 | All Pass |  |

Del Monte's redouble showed three-card spade support. His removal of his partner's double of $2 \boldsymbol{2}$ is mysterious. Soloway was headed for minus 800 on any kind of reasonable defense. Instead of gaining 12 IMPs, the Australians lost 2.
Australia lost another 2 IMPs when Fruewirth made an unsuccessful guess at trick one in 3 NT , the result being down two compared to down one at the other table.
A vulnerable game swing sent another 12 IMPs to USA I, pulling them to with 10 at 27-17. The next board brought the Americans even closer.

Board 8. Dealer West. None Vul.
¢ A 654
๑K 1092
$\diamond 87$
21043


Closed Room:

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Thomson | Nickell | Richman | Freeman |
| Pass | Pass | IQ (i) | Pass |
| INT | Pass | Pass | Dble |

All Pass
(i) Four or more diamonds

Nickell led the 89 and the defenders quickly had the contract two down for plus 300.

Del Monte had a chance to minimize the damage, but it didn't work out.

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hamman | Del Monte | Soloway | Fruewirth |
| Pass | Pass | $1 \diamond$ | Pass |
| 1 | Pass | Pass | Dble |
| Pass | $2 \downarrow$ | 2 | $3 \varnothing$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |



Ishmael Del Monte, Australia

Soloway started with the top two clubs, continuing to Bob Hamman's queen. Hamman continued with the $\mathbf{4 7}$, ducked to Soloway's ten. Soloway played a low diamond, and Del Monte went up with the ace. He played a spade to his ace, ruffed a spade, cashed the $\diamond K$ and ruffed a diamond. When Del Monte played his fourth spade, Soloway pitched the $\diamond \mathbf{Q}$. Del Monte ruffed and played the $\diamond$ J, misguessing by ruffing with the $\vee \mathrm{K}$ in hand. Hamman had a trump trick coming and declarer was minus 50. That was 8 IMPs to USA I, now trailing 27-25.

The next three boards were relatively flat, although USA I picked up a couple of overtricks IMPs to pull into a 27-27 tie. They took the lead on Board I3.

Board I3. Dealer North.All Vul.
\& J 763
-A9 7
$\diamond$ Q 83

- AJ9

| $\triangle$ A | N | - 109 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc 10532$ |  | ¢ K Q 8 |
| $\diamond$ A 1097 | W E | $\checkmark$ K 64 |
| ¢ K 765 | S | 2 Q 1043 |
|  | - K Q 8542 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 64$ |  |
|  | $\diamond$ J 52 |  |
|  | -82 |  |


| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Thomson | Nickell | Richman <br> Freeman |  |
| Pass | INT | Pass | Pass |
| Pass |  |  |  |

Richman was lucky to get out for down one in his weak INT after Freeman got off to the normal spade lead. At the other table:

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hamman | Del Monte <br> Soloway <br> Fruewirth |  |  |
| I $\%$ | Pass | Pass | Pass |
| All Pass | Dble | 2NT | 49 |

## All Pass

Soloway's 2NT was a heart raise.
Fruewirth didn't deem his hand worthy of a weak two-bid, but he liked it better after partner's take-out double. He combined the luck of avoiding a penalty double with a nice play to hold the loss to minus 200.
Hamman started with a heart, taken with the ace at trick one. He played a spade to the queen and ace, and Hamman played a heart to Soloway's jack.A low club was returned to the king and ace. Fruewirth then cashed the $\boldsymbol{\rho}$ and played dummy's $\$ 9$, discarding his losing club on that trick as Soloway covered, no doubt wishing he had unblocked the suit. Fruewirth's play forced Soloway to break diamonds or surrender a trick to dummy's ${ }^{2}$. As you can see, if Fruewirth had ruffed the heart, he was due to lose a club and three diamonds. It was strictly a moral victory, however, as USA I gained 7 IMPs to pull ahead at 34-27.
The next-to-last deal sealed the victory for the Americans.

Board I5. Dealer South. N-S Vul.

- 1094
- 10743
$\diamond$ K 972
\& K 7
- KQ75

QJ5 2
$\diamond A$ Q 5
Q Q 2


- AJ 8

คAKQ96
$\diamond$ J 6
\& 1098

- 632
$\bigcirc 8$
$\diamond 10843$
\& A6543
Hamman opened the West hand with a strong INT and was soon in 3NT, taking II tricks for plus 460.

At the other table, Thomson and Richman got way overboard.

| West <br> Thomson | North <br> Nickell | East <br> Richman | South <br> Freeman <br> Pass |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | Pass | $2 \diamond$ | Pass |
| $2 \vee$ | Pass | $2 N T$ | Pass |
| 3NT | Pass | 68 | All Pass |

19 was artificial and strong (15+). Unfortunately, he had a bare minimum. Barring a calamity for the Americans on opening lead, which wasn't going to happen, the contract had zero chances. Indeed, it wasn't long before Thomson was recording minus 50. That was II IMPs to the Americans.


Nick Nickell, USA

## ROUND 3

## Bermuda Bowl

## Egypt v Italy <br> Tough Going

|taly is one of the favored teams in the Bermuda Bowl, and it would not be surprising to see them wearing gold medals at the closing ceremony. Getting through in this year's field will not be easy, however, as demonstrated by Italy's third-round match against a very tough team from Egypt.
Italy won, but it was a hard fight, as the final score of 18 - 15 indicates. Egyptians, Tarek Sadek and Waleed AI Ahmady played brilliantly on VuGraph, drawing compliments from various commentators.
The Italians' first significant pickup came on Board 7, with Egypt ahead 2-I.

Board 7. Dealer South. All Vul.

- J 82
- J 75
$\diamond$ K 1092
- J 108
- 4
-K862
$\diamond J 73$
\& A Q 942

- K 5
$\triangle A$ Q 93
$\triangleleft A 865$
- 763

4 A Q 109763
$\bigcirc 104$
$\diamond$ Q 4

- K 5

This was the auction in the Closed Room, where Claudio Nunes and Fulvio Fantoni opposed Sherif Naguib and Ashraf Sadek.

| West | North | East | South <br> Nunes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Naguib |  |  |  | | Fantoni |
| :---: |
| A. Sadek |
| Pass |

Dble
All Pass
Nunes led his singleton trump, solving that suit for declarer, but South still had five tricks to lose, ending up minus 500.
In the open room, Alfred Versace's ultra-sound pre-empt had the effect of silencing the opponents.

Follow the 36th Bermuda Bowl, the 14th
Venice Cup and the 2nd Senior Bowl on
Internet through the WBF official web site:
www.worldbridge.org

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T. Sadek |  |  |  | | Lauria | Al Ahmady |
| :---: | :---: |
| Versace |  |
| 30 |  |

Tarek led a low heart, and the defenders were able to keep declarer out of dummy, so he finished with only seven tricks and minus 300. The fact that he wasn't doubled made it a 5-IMP gain for Italy.

Two deals later, the Italians forged another gain on good bidding by Lorenzo Lauria and Alfredo Versace.

Board II. Dealer North. E/WVul.

- K 5

QQJ865
$\diamond$ KJ
2 K Q 92

| Q QJ 10632 | N | - A 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ¢K 1072 |  | -A943 |
| $\diamond 105$ | W E | $\diamond$ A 9832 |
| -8 | S | -43 |
|  | - 984 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ |  |
|  | $\diamond$ Q 764 |  |
|  | \& AJ 10765 |  |


| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nunes | Naguib | Fantoni | A. Sadek |
|  | $1 \%$ | Pass | INT |
| Pass | $2 \boldsymbol{2}$ | Pass | $2 \boldsymbol{1 0}$ |
| Dble | $2 N T$ | Pass | $3 \boldsymbol{2}$ |

All Pass
Ashraf's 2 indicated that his hand had improved considerably after his partner's second bid. Even at that, the Egyptians did not get past the three level. East led the A, making II tricks easy for declarer. Plus 150 was not a very satisfying result. however. The Italians in the Open Room didn't miss out.

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T. Sadek | Lauria | Al Ahmady | Versace |
|  | 18 | Pass | INT |
| Pass | 20 | Pass | 2 |
| Pass | 28 | Pass | 4* |
| Pass | 52 | All Pass |  |

In the Italians' style, the $2 *$ rebid could be made on a very strong hand and might be artificial. When the $2 \triangleleft$ bid revealed the $2 \%$ to have been natural,Versace liked his hand a lot, strongly suggesting game with his jump in clubs.
Al Ahmady led a trump, so Lauria had to play well to land the game. He ruffed a heart, played a second trump to his hand and ruffed another heart. Now a diamond to the king and ace left East on lead. He exited with a diamond to Lauria's jack, and de-
clarer was able to ruff two more hearts, setting up his queen. He pitched a spade on dummy's $\diamond \mathbf{Q}$ and claimed, giving up a spade. Note that had hearts not broken 4-4, Lauria would have been able to discard his losing heart on the $\diamond \mathbf{Q}$ and then lead up to the $\Phi \mathrm{K}$, hoping the ace was to his right.
Plus 400 was good for a 6-IMP gain, and Italy had increased their lead to 12-2.
Egypt was trailing 17-2 and was in danger of falling even farther behind on Board 13, but Tarek and AI Ahmady combined for an excellent defensive effort to earn 9 IMPs for their team.

Board I3. Dealer North. All Vul.

- 73
$\triangleright 93$
$\diamond 9$
\& AK Q 87532
- A 109
- AK 87
$\diamond$ KJ 103
\& 8

\& K J 864
- 10652
$\diamond$ Q 65
943
\& Q 52
$\vee$ Q J 4
$\diamond$ A 8742
- J 4

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nunes | Naguib | Fantoni | A. Sadek |
|  | $5 Q^{2}$ | Pass | Pass |
| Dble | Pass | $5 \%$ | All Pass |

Fantoni did well to finish down one for minus IO0.At the other table, Italy was in a position to more than make up for the minus score.


[^0]| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T. Sadek | Lauria | Al Ahmady | Versace |
|  | 19 | Pass | $1 \diamond$ |
| Pass | 39 | Pass | $3 N T$ |

All Pass
Tarek started with the $\vee \mathrm{A}$. Al Ahmady played the ten, upside down signalling with suit preference implications. When Tarek continued with the $8 \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{Al}$ Ahmady played the six, indicating as strongly as his spots allowed that he had spade values.

After due consideration, Tarek switched to the 49 . Al Ahmady won the $\mathbf{~ K}$ and returned a low spade. Desperately, Versace put up the queen, but Tarek won the ace and the defenders ended up with the first seven tricks. That was down three for plus 300 to Egypt, now trailing by six at I7-II.

They closed the gap to 2 IMPs on the next board, which required good play by Tarek to land the contract.

Board I4. Dealer East. None Vul.

- A Q 43
$\checkmark \mathrm{K}$
$\diamond 10942$
20 J 1097
\& KJ
\& KJ
Q JIO }
Q JIO }
\Delta A Q
\Delta A Q
* A Q 8 6 32
* A Q 8 6 32

- 7
$\bigcirc 975432$
$\diamond$ K 63
\& K 54
4 1098652
$\checkmark$ A Q 6
$\diamond$ J 875
0

In the Closed Room, the Italians landed in a no-play $4 \checkmark$ in a contested auction after Nunes opened the West hand with a strong l\%. Egypt chalked up plus 50. In the Open Room:

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T. Sadek | Lauria | Al Ahmady | Versace |
|  |  | Pass | 2 (i) |
| 320 | All Pass |  |  |

On the lie of the cards, North/South can make 44, losing only three diamond tricks. No doubt Lauria thought his partner's suit was hearts.

The issue for Tarek was how to take nine tricks in clubs.
Lauria started with the $\nabla K$, ducked all around. He switched to the $\diamond I O$, taken in hand by West with the ace. The bad news in trumps was revealed when West played the trump ace, and he stopped to consider his options. Finally, he put the $\$ \mathrm{~K}$ on the table (as it happens, the jack would also do, but proper technique is to play the king). North could do no better than to play a second round of trumps, but Tarek won dummy's K, played a diamond to hand, ruffed his spade with dummy's low trump, and discarded a heart on the $\triangleleft \mathrm{K}$. He lost two hearts, one spade and one club for plus IIO, a 4-IMP gain.

Italy earned one more IMP on an overtrick, emerging with an 18 - 15 win against a tenacious opponent.; 16 -14 VPs.


Both USAI, a team which includes some of the champions from Paris 2001, and Israel, had solid if unspectacular first days here in Monte Carlo and were looking to put a little momentum into their challenge when they met on day two. The first half of the match saw plenty of swings. Unfortunately, one of the teams did not have a scorer and we were unable to get full details from that table.

Board I. Dealer North. None Vul.


Both Norths opened with a weak two bid and were raised directly to game. East can make a safe black-suit lead or an aggressive red-suit lead. For USAI, Roger Bates chose aggression, un-
derleading the ace of diamonds. Garey Hayden won the diamond and continued with a second round, hoping that to force dummy to ruff might promote a defensive trump trick. Not this time Adrian Schwartz could ruff with the ace and overtake the queen then draw trumps and run the clubs for +480 .

At the other table Pinhas Romik found the killing lead of a club. Yeshayahu Levit ruffed and could put his partner back in with the two red aces to receive two more ruffs; down two for - 100 and II IMPs to Israel.

Board 5. Dealer North. N/S Vul.
$\perp 10$
คA8753
$\diamond A 1076$
\& A 94
© K 752
$\bigcirc$ Q 2
$\diamond$ J 52

* Q J 62

- A 98
$\bigcirc 1096$
$\diamond$ KQ9843
2 7
West
Levit
4s
Pass
64
North
Baze
I $\vee$
Pass
$6 \diamond$
Dble

| East | South |
| :---: | :---: |
| Romik | Kasle |
| $\mathbf{2} \boxtimes$ | $2 \boldsymbol{2}$ |
| Pass | $5 \diamond$ |
| Pass | Pass |
| All Pass |  |

Romik showed spades and a minor and Gaylor Kasle a con-
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structive heart raise, over which Levit jumped to 4@. When that came back around Kasle knew that his partner was short in spades and introduced his long diamond suit. Grant Baze didn't have much for his opening but what he did have was three aces and a diamond fit. He raised to $6 \diamond$, which of course should be defeated, but Levit could see that both sides had a double fit and he didn't like the look of his doubleton $\odot \mathbf{Q}$ one little bit. He saved in 6 ${ }^{4}$ and Baze doubled then led the ace of diamonds. Levit ruffed in dummy and led the $\uparrow \mathbf{Q}$. Might North have had the $\vee \mathrm{Q}$ and not the \&A for his raise to six? Kasle could have taken 800 by winning the first spade and switching to a club but he was not sure that his partner held the ace. Instead he ducked but won the second spade and led a heart to the ace. Baze didn't want to save declarer a possible club guess so just played back a diamond and Levit could draw trumps and knock out the club after taking a diamond pitch on the 8 J; down two for -300 .
At the other table the music stopped in 4s doubled and again the club ruffs went missing. That was rather more serious this time, however, as the defence only came to three aces for -590 and 13 IMPs to USAI.

Board 7. Dealer South. All Vul.

- 10983
$\bigcirc$ Q 104
$\diamond$ Q 875
2 103
- KJ742

๑953
$\diamond 1093$
\& Q 2

© A
$\checkmark$ AKJ 82
$\diamond 62$
\& AJ 764

- Q 65
$\bigcirc 76$
$\diamond$ AKJ 4
\& K 985

| West <br> Levit | North <br> Baze | East <br> Romik | South <br> Kasle <br> I |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pass | Pass | Dble | Pass |
| 14 | $2 \diamond$ | $2 \searrow$ | Pass |
| $3 \oslash$ | Pass | $4 \varnothing$ | All Pass |

At the other table, Bates played in $3 \bigcirc$, just making for +140 , so there would be a swing one way or the other once the Israelis got to game. Kasle cashed a top diamond and got a strong signal from Baze, so he underled his other diamond at trick two. Baze won the $\diamond \mathbf{Q}$ and switched to a deceptive 2 . Romik had little
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Pinhas Romik, Israel
option but to run that and Kasle won then king the reverted to diamonds, forcing declarer to ruff. Romik cashed the $\vee \mathrm{A}$, then thought for some time before cashing the $『 K$. That was down one for - 100 and 6 IMPs to USAI instead of 10 IMPs to Israel. The bidding suggested that South was balanced and he would therefore hold one of the major-suit queens but probably not both as he could not have a strong notrump type, so a close decision.

Board 8. Dealer West. None Vul.

West
Levit
$1 \diamond$
3NT
North
Baze
Pass
All Pass
East
Romik
18

South<br>Kasle<br>1.

Levit opened $\mathrm{I} \diamond$ then rebid 3 NT , showing long and strong diamonds. The misfit left Romik with no reason to move on and the fall of the queen of diamonds meant that Levit made eleven tricks for +460 . It looks as though the Americans had a difference of opinion as to the meaning of the same sequence at the other table, though I do not have the details, as they reached $6 \bigcirc$. This was beyond the ability of Bates to bring home for some strange
reason; down one for -50 and II IMPs to Israel.
Board 9. Dealer North. E/WVul.
1
-A652
$\checkmark$ K Q 7
\& Q 952
\& 106432

- K J 4
$\diamond$ J 9
\& A 106

ゅ K Q 987
○ 987
$\diamond$ A 65
\& K 7

- A 5
$\bigcirc$ Q 103
$\diamond 108432$
\& 」 43

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Levit | Baze | Romik | Kasle <br> Ie <br>  <br> 49 |
| All Pass |  | Pass |  |

Would you just blast 4s as West because you hold five-card support for partner's overcall, or would you try to make a less committal bid and improve your chances of achieving a plus score? Levit went for the straightforward approach and reached the poor game. Kasle led a club and the eight forced Romik's king. He ducked a diamond and back came the queen of clubs from Baze. Romik won and eliminated the minor suits before playing to the $\mathbf{K}$ and ace. Kasle played back a passive trump but Romik had seen more than enough to know how to play the hearts. He led the 89 and that was covered by ten, jack and ace; +620 .
At the other table the Americans stopped in 24, making ten tricks for +170 but 10 IMPs to Israel.

Board IO. Dealer East. All Vul.

|  | - Q |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc 73$ |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark 10973$ |  |  |
|  | \& A Q 8652 |  |  |
| - K 86 | N |  | - AJ 4 |
| QQ65 2 |  |  | -AJ10984 |
| $\diamond$ K Q 2 |  | E | $\checkmark 64$ |
| -94 | -1097532 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc \mathrm{K}$ |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ A 85 |  |  |
|  | \& 1073 |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Levit | Baze | Romik | Kasle |
|  |  | 18 | Pass |
| $3 \checkmark$ | Pass | 48 | All Pass |

Three Diamonds was a Bergen raise and Romik had an easy $4 \bigcirc$ call. Kasle led a spade to queen and ace and Romik had no reason to suspect the bad spade break. He played a diamond up and Kasle won the ace, noted Baze's ten, and gave his partner a spade
ruff. Baze switched to ace and another club to declarer's king and Romik crossed to dummy with a diamond, led the $\vee Q$, then thought. Finally, he decided to run the heart and was down one for -100 . He explained afterwards that he would always have gone down had Kasle ducked the first diamond as he would have had no reason not to take the heart finesse, so it seemed reasonable to play the same way now.

Two rounds of clubs were led at the other table. With only one club loser, Bates could afford to lay down the $\triangle A$ and the fall of the king meant an overtrick; +650 and I3 IMPs to USAI.

USAI led at half-time by $39-36$ IMPs. The second half went very much in favour of the Americans who ran out winners by 81-47 IMPs, 21-9VPs.

## And the winner is...

During these Championships the IBPA will be announcing their annual awards. If the criteria for selection were to rest on the frequency that a hand has been reported, then this effort would undoubtedly be a leading contender. It has already appeared in such distinguished publications as The Times, Daily Telegraph, The Lady, Country Life, Bridge Magazine, Le Figaro and, of course, the IBPA Bulletin.

Dealer East. N/S Vul.

- 972
$\bigcirc 842$
$\diamond A K 10864$
* $A$
¢ 104
© J 1073
$\diamond 9752$
- 864

- KJ5 3

8 K
$\diamond$ J 3
\& J J 109753

- AQ 86
- AQ 965
$\diamond \mathrm{Q}$
\& K Q 2

| West | North <br> Dix | East | South Tacchi |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Pass | 18 |
| Pass | $2 \checkmark$ | Pass | 2 |
| Pass | 38 | Pass | 420 |
| Pass | 4 | Pass | $4{ }^{\circ}$ |
| Pass | $5 \bigcirc$ | Pass | 68 |

All Pass
Declarer won the club lead in dummy and played a trump. When East produced the king declarer decided it was a true card and set about trying to avoid the loss of two trump tricks. Winning with the ace, Tacchi cashed the top clubs, discarding two spades from dummy. After unblocking the queen of diamonds declarer cashed the ace of spades, ruffed a spade and played the ace and king of diamonds. Then he ruffed a diamond in hand and played a trump towards dummy's eight. West could win, but then had to lead into declarer's trump tenace.

A brilliant play by Ron Tacchi - but there was no one there to take his picture!

## ROUND 5

## Bermuda Bowl

## USA I v USA II

## The Fireworks Show

Take a wild set of boards, mix in two aggressive teams and add a kind of sibling rivalry, bake at high temperature and you will have the kind of entertaining VuGraph match that was produced by the fifth-round Bermuda Bowl contest between USA I and USA II.
USA I are the two-time Bermuda Bowl champion Nick Nickell squad - Nickell, Richard Freeman, Jeff Meckstroth, Eric Rodwell, Bob Hamman and Paul Soloway (Bobby Wolff, now on USA II, was Hamman's partner in the team's first win in 1995).
USA II, on a roll since the fall of last year, are Wolff, Dan Morse, Adam Wildavsky, Doug Doub, Steve Landen and Pratap Rajadhyaksha.
The action started on the first board.
Board I. Dealer North. None Vul.

|  | , Q |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc-$ |  |
|  | $\diamond$ KJ9873 |  |
|  | 2 Q987 |  |
| - AKJ 984 | N | - 32 |
| $\bigcirc$ K 105 |  | $\bigcirc$ AQJ 743 |
| $\checkmark 10$ | W E | $\checkmark 42$ |
| 2 AK 6 | S | \& 1052 |
|  | -10765 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 9862$ |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ A Q 65 |  |
|  | 2 1 |  |



Stephen Landen, USA

West

Rodwell

## Dble

Wolff's offbeat preempt in diamonds did not slow down Meckstroth and Rodwell. Against 5 『, Morse led the 9 , taken in dummy with the ace. Meckstroth played the $\diamond I$, angling for a ruff in dummy, and Wolff Meckstroth played the $\diamond 10$, angling for a ruff in dummy, and Wolff
went up with the king. That gave Wolff the opportunity to give Morse a club ruff, but it wouldn't have been enough to defeat the contract. As it happened, Wolff returned the 2 Q , which Morse did not ruff. It wasn't long before Meckstroth was claiming for plus 480.

In the closed room, Landen and Rajadhyaksha didn't handle the interference as well.

West
Landen

2NT
2NT

North
Wolff
3 -
Pass

East
Meckstroth
Pass

50
Pass
South
Morse
5 $\downarrow$
All Pass

## Dble All Pass

There was no hope of defeating this contract, and Soloway duly chalked up plus 550 for a I4-IMP gain right off the bat.

USA II struck back on the second board.
Board 2. Dealer East. N-S Vul.

- KQ743

ค 106
$\diamond 84$

- QJ 74
- 62
- K Q 7532
$\diamond$ AJ5
\& 98

- 8
- J 84
$\diamond$ Q 92
\& AK 10632
- AJ 1095
$\bigcirc$ A 9
$\diamond$ K 10763
c 5

| West | North | East <br> Rodwell | South <br> Weckstroth |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Morse |  |  |  |
| Pass | $4 \&$ | All Pass | 34 |

Meckstroth's $3<$ opener was described as a "sound" preempt. Careful defense was necessary for East-West to prevail. Rodwell led the 9 to the jack and ace, and Meckstroth switched to a low diamond. Morse put up the king, losing to the ace, and Rodwell switched accurately to the $\Omega K$. That was it for Morse, who lost four tricks for one down. There was more action at the other table.

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Landen | Soloway | Pratap | Hamman |
|  |  | 18 | 14 |
| 2 | 38 | $4 \bigcirc$ | 4 |
| $5 \bigcirc$ | Pass | Pass | 5 |

Dble All Pass
Landen started with the $\odot \mathrm{K}$ and USA II soon had four tricks in for plus 500. That was 9 IMPs to USA II.
On the next deal,Wolff played well to land a partscore that put his team into the lead.

Board 3. Dealer South. E-W Vul.

|  | ¢ 9643 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc \mathrm{K}$ |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ A 3 |  |  |
|  | 2 A Q 8653 |  |  |
| ¢ K Q 2 | N | $\square$ |  |
| $\bigcirc 10742$ |  | E |  |
| $\diamond$ K 642 |  | E |  |
| 2 104 | S |  | 2KJ97 |
|  | $\pm 10$ |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ A | 86 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ Q |  |  |
|  | 2 2 |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Landen | Soloway | Pratap | Hamman |
|  |  |  | Pass |
| Pass | 2\% | Pass | 2 |
| Pass | 3\% | All Pass |  |

Landen and Pratap took all their tricks against this unlucky contract, and Soloway was three down for minus 150. Wolff did much better in the open room, thanks to a defensive slip.

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rodwell | Wolff | Meckstroth | Morse |
|  | 19 | Pass | 18 |
| Pass | 19 | Pass | INT |

## Pass

## 2\%

All Pass
Meckstroth led the $\diamond$ J to the queen, king and ace, and Wolff shot the $\diamond 3$ right back. Meckstroth won the $\diamond I 0$ and was at the crossroads. He needed to play spades, but after some thought he exited with a low heart. Wolff went up with dummy's $\vee A$, dropping his singleton king, cashed the $\vee Q$ for a spade pitch, then played two more rounds of diamonds, discarding spades. Meckstroth ruffed the fourth diamond, but it was with a natural trump trick. Wolff lost three trump tricks, a diamond and a spade. Plus 90 gave USA II a 6-IMP gain and the lead by I IMP.
The lead was erased on the next deal when Landen in the closed room went down in a vulnerable 49 that was made in the open room. The IMPs were flying all over the place, and USA I had a 26-15 lead after four boards.
More IMPs went to USA I on board 5 when Landen and Pratap missed a useful 4ه save against a vulnerable $4 \oslash$, giving up plus 620 at one table versus 300 at the other table. Another 8 IMPs to the Nickell squad.
USA II got II of it back on board 7 as Hamman and Soloway let a vulnerable 4s through for minus 620 while Wolff and Morse
were minus 100 in $5 \bigcirc$ down one.
Players are often heard to say they would rather be lucky than good. Meckstroth and Rodwell are obviously good. On the following deal they were very lucky.

Board 8. Dealer West. None Vul.
© Q J
คJ10964
$\diamond 6$
2) 19874


Landen and Pratap had a reasonable auction to a good spot.

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Landen | Soloway <br> INT | Pass | $2 \triangleq$ | | Hamman |
| :---: |
| $2 \diamond$ |
| Pass |



Dan Morse, USA

With the whole spade suit coming in, I3 tricks were trivial.

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rodwell | Wolff | Meckstroth | Morse |
| INT | Pass | $2 \downarrow$ | Pass |
| 2NT | Pass | $3 \triangleq$ | Pass |
| $4 \diamond$ | Pass | $4\rangle$ | Pass |
| $5 \diamond$ | Pass | $7 \diamond$ | All Pass |

Wolff led a tricky $\boldsymbol{\varphi}^{\mathbf{j}}$, but Rodwell had no real option for avoiding a spade loser than to win the ace in hand, pull trumps and lead another spade. Wolff's $₫ \mathrm{Q}$ was a welcome sight to Rodwell, who recorded plus 1440 for an II-IMP gain.
USA I earned another slam swing on board 12 .
Board I2. Dealer West. N/S Vul.



Eric Rodwell, USA

| West | North <br> Wolff | East <br> Meckstroth | South <br> Morse |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 18 | Pass | $1 \$$ |
| Pass | $2 \triangleleft$ | Pass | $3 \$$ |
| Pass | $3 N T$ | Pass | $4 \$$ |
| Pass | 5 | All Pass |  |

Morse wisely declined Wolff's invitation to slam in spades. Indeed, the trump suit was the problem as Morse had two losers in the spade suit. The North-South hands are good for slam in three other strains, however - hearts, diamonds and notrump. It was a missed opportunity for USA I.At the other table, Hamman had no difficulty deciding on the proper level once Soloway opening the bidding with a strong $1 \%$.
West
Landen

Pass
Pass
Pass
North
Soloway
18
$2 』$
$2 N T$
3NT
East
Pratap
Pass
Pass
Pass
Pass

South
Hamman
14
24
34
68

All Pass
All suits cooperated nicely, so achieving plus 1430 was no problem for Soloway. That was another I3 IMPs to USA I.

On the next board, Morse made a poor decision in a competitive auction, leading to a 5-IMP loss instead of a 9-IMP gain.

Board I3. Dealer North. All Vul.
4
$\bigcirc 8532$
$\diamond$ K 10
2K Q J 1092

$$
J 9876
$$

$\diamond J 9$
$\diamond Q 9632$
$\& 4$

. AKQ 532
$\bigcirc$ A 10

- 5
\& A 86
- 10
- K Q 764
$\diamond$ AJ 87
2 753
In the closed room, Hamman and Soloway were never in the auction. Pratap opened Is and Landen blew into the spade game. North-South had only three tricks and East-West had plus 620.

In the open room, the Rodwell-Meckstroth Precision let Morse and Wolff find their heart fit.

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rodwell | Wolff | Meckstroth | Morse |
|  | Pass | 12 | 18 |
| Pass | 48 | $4{ }^{1}$ | Pass |
| Pass | 5\% | Dble | $5 \bigcirc$ |
| Pass | Pass | Dble | All Pass |

The defense was very accurate. Rodwell led his singleton club to Meckstroth's ace. The 6 (upside-down suit preference) was returned for a ruff. A spade put the lead back in Meckstroth's hand and he gave his partner a second club ruff with the trump ace still to come for plus 800 . Morse might have avoided the big minus by passing. If Wolff had chosen to pass, which seems likely, 5e doubled would be down only one for minus 200. The differ-
ence would have been a 9-IMP gain for USA II.
Although down, USA II kept fighting, earning 12 IMPs on this deal near the end.

| Board I4. Dealer East. None Vul. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - 3 |  |  |  |
| ¢KJ 84 |  |  |  |
| $\checkmark$ KJ762 |  |  |  |
| \& K 42 |  |  |  |
| - AKJ4 | N |  | - 1052 |
| QQ9752 | W |  | $\bigcirc$ A 106 |
| $\checkmark$ Q 83 |  |  | $\checkmark$ A 95 |
| -8 | S |  | 2 A Q 96 |
|  | - Q 9876 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark 104$ |  |  |
| - J 10753 |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Landen | Soloway | Pratap | Hamman |
|  |  | INT | Pass |
| 20 | Pass | $2 \checkmark$ | Pass |
| 3 | Pass | $4 \checkmark$ | All Pas |

Soloway got off to the aggressive lead of a low diamond, ducked by Landen to his queen. Taking the right view in trumps, he immediately played a low heart to dummy's 10 , which held. He then played a spade to the jack, a heart to the jack and ace and a spade to the ace. Soloway ruffed, cashed the 8 K and exited with the $\triangleleft K$. Landen won the ace, played a spade to the king, then finished off the hearts, catching North-South in a double squeeze

Jeff Meckstroth, USA

and taking the last three tricks with the A Q 9 of clubs. That was plus 450.

| West | North <br> Rodwell | Wolff | East <br> Meckstroth <br> INT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | | South |
| :---: |
| Morse |

Morse started with the 25 to the king and ace, and Meckstroth cashed the $\vee \mathrm{A}$, followed by a low heart from hand. Wolff took the $\vee Q$ with the king and switched to the $\lfloor 3$, which went to the 6 and jack in dummy.A third round of trumps put Wolff in again, and he played the $\Delta 7$. It's clear that if Meckstroth ducks, he will get home with his contract. It also seems clear that Meckstroth didn't believe Wolff would lead away from the king in that situation. Meckstroth rose with the $\diamond A$ and played the $\$ 6$ from hand. Morse had signaled count in clubs earlier with the ${ }^{2} 7$, and now he followed with the 5 on Meckstroth's 6 . Meckstroth could have made the contract, discarding one diamond on the $\$ 6$ and another on the Q then ruffing a diamond to dummy to pull the last trump. He would have ended with three hearts, three spades, three clubs and one diamond for 10 tricks.

Instead, Meckstroth ruffed the 6 in dummy and pulled the last trump, but when he exited with a diamond, expecting to endplay South, Wolff put in the $\diamond$ J and cashed out the suit. That was three down and I2 IMPs to USA II.

No more IMPs changed hands, and the final score of 63-4I reflected the swinging tone of the match.

It was far from the wildest match, however. That distinction belonged to Brazil and Sweden in the Venice Cup. Their match ended with Brazil on top 82-73, nearly 10 IMPs per board. Indeed, out of 16 deals, there were 12 double-digit swings.

## World Championship Book 2003

The official book of these Championships should be available at the end of February 2004.The good news is that it will include a few more pages than previous years, the bad news is that, after keeping the same price for the last six years, there will be a $10 \%$ increase this time around to US $\$ 33$.

You can order an advance copy from Elly Ducheyne in the Press Room, which is in L'Hermitage Hotel, at a reduced price of 25 Euros, including surface mail to anywhere in the world.
The book will include comprehensive coverage of the knockout stages of the Bermuda Bowl and Venice Cup, with analysis from Eric Kokish, Brian Senior and Barry Rigal, plus a round-up of the best of the round robin stages. There will also be an expanded section covering the Senior Bowl, a section on the Transnational Teams, and all the results plus many photographs.

# 'Stand not upon the order of your going, but go at once.' 

Macbeth. Act iii. Sc. 4.

When your contract appears to be in jeopardy you can sometimes survive by subjecting your opponents to an immediate test, exploiting, as Tony Forrester would say, 'the power of the closed hand.' Consider this deal from Round 3 of the Bermuda Bowl match between USAll and Bermuda.

Board 4. Dealer West. All Vul.

- AK 53
- AK 7
$\triangleleft$ Q 85
- 185
- 872

Q Q 1043
$\diamond 973$

- A 9

| N | -1094 |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc 9862$ |
| W E | $\checkmark 1064$ |
| S | 2 Q 32 |
| - QJ 6 |  |
| $\bigcirc{ }^{\text {J }} 5$ |  |
| $\checkmark$ AKJ 2 |  |
| \& K 1064 |  |


| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wildavsky | Harvey <br> Pass | Doub <br> Douglas |  |
| Pass | $4 N T$ | Pass | 2NT |
| All Pass |  | Pass | 6NT |

Declarer took the opening lead of the eight of spades with the jack and played three more rounds of the suit before advancing the jack of clubs. East covered with the queen and declarer had nowhere to go, - 100 .


Doug Doub, USA

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Saunders | Wolff | Hall | Morse |
| Pass | INT | Pass | 4NT |
| Pass | 6NT | All Pass |  |

Here North was declarer and he won the first trick with the ace of hearts and immediately advanced the jack of clubs like a man who was trying to sniff out the location of the queen. Can you blame East for failing to cover? Now declarer had an easy route to twelve tricks for +1440 and a big swing.

# LOUIS VUITTON 

Official sponsor of the World Bridge Championships

6, avenue des Beaux-Arts, Monte Carlo

from 11 am to 7 pm

## A Sure Thing

We had quite a problem yesterday, a complete bulletin less one page, and only a series of long match reports that could not be shortened. Then we remembered seeing a grubby piece of paper lying around in the office with two hands displayed.
A frantic search revealed the now scarcely legible hieroglyphics.

```
4 875
~A864
\diamond A Q J
&A74
W N
- AKQJIO
\odot
10983
& }86
```

Your problem is to make Four Spades against any adverse distribution of the enemy cards, given that West leads a heart, (they are not $8-0$ ) and trumps are $4-\mathrm{I}$.
We confess it took us a moment or two - we are all getting older.
Can you find the answer?
The first correct submission to the Bulletin office will win a prize.

## - Appeals committees

Please note that, whenever possible, appeals will be held in the Jardin d'Hiver. If there is simultaneously a second appeal it will be heard in the Boud-- erie Room of the Hotel Hermitage.

- Appeals will be held at 9: 30 a.m. or 6:30 p.m. each day.



## e-bridge * * YOUR GLOBAL BRIDGE CLUB SERIOUS PLAYERS DESERVE SERIOUS ATTENTION <br> One-stop Portal for bridge - play, reporting, content, news Play bridge and enjoy - social, pairs, teams, individuals Champions play daily

Play Online Tournaments 12, 18, 24 boards ACBL Master Points, WBF Computer Bridge Points View thousands of commented deals -from major events Exhibition matches - watch top players in action Live vugraph of top events
e-bridge, Internet Paradise for serious bridge players A * * * www.e-bridgemaster.com
Visit e-bridge staff at the rama auditorium for details

## Transnational Teams

The World Open Transnational Teams Championships will start on Monday Nov. 10 at 6 p.m.

Teams who have not yet registered should go to the Salle Auguste (WBF President's Office) in the Hotel Hermitage and register as soon as possible with either Anna Gudge or Christine Francin.

It is important that any teams currently playing in the championships who intend to play in the Transnational Teams should come and register their names; this is so that we can know the approximate number of competing teams.

Of course, teams subsequently qualifying for the semifinals will be able to withdraw. Please note that there is no entry fee for players from the Bermuda Bowl, Venice Cup or Senior Bowl - if they are joined by other players who have not competed in these events, those players will be required to pay that proportion of the entry fee.

All teams, whether registering on site or preregistered, must confirm their entry and, if they have not already done so, must pay the entry fee to Christine Francin as soon as possible, but certainly no later than $3 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$. on Monday, Nov. 10.

The entry fee may be paid in either US Dollars (\$800) or Euro (720). This may be paid in cash, or by travellers cheques, payable to the World Bridge Federation. We regret that we are not able to accept credit cards.

The WBF Office will be open for the Registration of Transnational Teams at the following times:
2 p.m. to 6 p.m. from Wednesday to Saturday; 10:30 a.m. to 6 p.m. on Sunday, Nov. 9, and 10:30 a.m. to 3 p.m. hours on Monday, Nov. 10.

Please do not try and register at other times!

# Le petit écho de Monaco 

par Guy Dupont

## Deuxième sans forcer

La premier tour de la Senior Bowl fut plutôt serré. Hormis l'ltalie, qui avait largement battu la Polynésie française, par 25 à 4, aucune autre rencontre n'avait été gagnée par plus de 17 points de victoire. Du coup, le Japon fut tout étonné de se retrouver en deuxième position, au classement général, avec un score de I8 points. Sans avoir joué. II était bye.

## Frères ennemis

Rencontre presque fraternelle, au troisième tour de la senior Bowl : la France est opposée à la Guadeloupe. Prenez place en Est, sur la donne 23 , avec cette main :

- D 8763
- AV752
$\diamond 108$
\& D
Sud donneur, tous vulnérables.
Les enchères débutent:


Pierre Adad, le Général, France

| $\mathbf{O}$ | $\mathbf{N}$ | $\mathbf{E}$ | $\mathbf{S}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Veron | Adad | Bonnet | Aujaleu <br> B |
| B <br> Passe | Contre | 48 | $5 \%$ |

## Que dites-vous?

Philippe Bonnet, avec son soutien de cinq cartes à $\odot$, a pensé qu'un contrat au palier de 5 , même à égalité de vulnérabilité, pouvait être profitable à son camp. Et il défendit à $5 \nabla$. Mais Maurice Aujaleu en profita pour passer, une enchère encourageante pour le chelem à e. Pierre Adad saisit la balle au bond, sans la moindre hésitation, en déclarant 6 , après deux Passe.
$\Delta A 2$
$\vee 94$
$\diamond$ RV7432
$\& \vee 108$
4. V 1095
©RD 1063
$\diamond$ D 6
\& 75

| N | - D 8763 |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc$ AV752 |
| W E | $\diamond 108$ |
| S | \& D |
| - R 4 |  |
| $\bigcirc 8$ |  |
| $\diamond$ A 95 |  |
| \& AR 96 |  |

La réussite du chelem ne posait aucune difficulté, et la France encaissa un bénéfice de 13 imp , quand, dans l'autre salle, on s'était arrêté à 5 \%

Une donne qui ne saurait toutefois refléter la rencontre. Les Guadeloupéens, qui menaient de 8 imp à la mi-temps, furent rattrapés en seconde période, ne s'inclinant que par 17 à 13 , face à leurs rivaux " hexagonaux ".

## Rencontre au sommet

Quel plaisir de pouvoir suivre le match du jour au bridgevision, Etats-Unis I contre Etats-Unis 2, dans la Bermuda Bowl! II y a toujours un petit parfum de finale avant la lettre dans ce type de rencontre. Les images de Bernard Delange (mais pourquoi n'ar-rête-t-il pas de poser des câbles dans les couloirs - il est vrai interminables - de l'Hôtel Hermitage, encore après trois jours de compétition ?) et de son équipe vidéo, sont superbes. Les donnes aussi.

Ici, Meckstroth-Rodwell ont la baraka :
Donne 8, Ouest donneur, personne vulnérable.

- DV
- V 10964
$\diamond 6$
\& V 9874


| 0 | N | E | S |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rodwell | Wolff | Meckstroth | Morse |
| I SA | Passe | 2 \$ | Passe |
| 2 SA | Passe | 3 | Passe |
| $4 \diamond$ | Passe | 48 | Passe |
| $5 \diamond$ | Passe | $7 \diamond$ ! | (Fin) |
| 2 : Texas mineur. |  |  |  |
| 2 SA : main minimum. |  |  |  |
| 3 : Texas $\diamond$. |  |  |  |
| $4 \bigcirc$ : Blackwood 5 clés. |  |  |  |
| $5 \diamond$ : 2 clé | Dame |  |  |

Ben voyons, pourquoi se gêner quand Dame-Valet de sont secs chez l'adversaire! Réussite tout de même un peu insolente pour les States number one qui encaissent un bénéfice de II imp, quand dans l'autre salle, les States number two se sont fort logiquement contenté de jouer $6 \diamond$.
Là, c'est une différence de style qui rapporte 5 imp à l'équipe number one, mais cela aurait pu lui en coûter davantage...

Donne 13, Nord donneur, tous vulnérables
$\pm 4$
$\checkmark 8532$
$\checkmark$ R 10

* R DV 1092

```
& V9876
\vee V 9
\diamond D9632
& 4
```



- ARD 532
$\checkmark$ A 10
$\diamond 54$
2 A 86
- 10
-RD764
$\diamond$ AV87
753
En salle fermée, l'ouverture en majeure 5 e permet de clouer le bec à l'adversaire :

| $\mathbf{O}$ | $\mathbf{N}$ | $\mathbf{E}$ | $\mathbf{S}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Landen | Hamman | Rajadhyaksha | Soloway |
| $4 \boldsymbol{~ P a s s e ~}$ | $\mathbf{I}$ | Passe |  |
|  | (Fin) |  |  |

620, sans émotion, pour Est-Ouest.
En salle ouverte, les enchères tournent au combat de rues, après une ouverture en fort:

| 0 | N | E | S |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rodwell | Wolff | Meckstroth | Morse |
|  | Passe | 1 ${ }^{\text {P }}$ | 18 |
| Passe | $4 \bigcirc$ | 4 ¢ | Passe |
| Passe | $5 \%$ | Contre | $5 \bigcirc$ |
| Passe | Passe | Contre | (Fin) |

Le coup commence, cette fois, réellement pour Est au palier de 4 , quand il annonce sa belle couleur à $\mathbf{4}$. Mais après le courageux réveil de Bobby Wolff à 5 \%, il devrait tourner à l'avantage des Etats-Unis 2, si Sud acceptait de jouer à 2 . On ne chute que d'une seule levée à ce contrat (-200).

Au rama, après l'enchère de $5 \diamond$ de Sud, Paul Chemla, dans le rôle (frustrant pour lui) du commentateur, rend un vibrant hommage au Passe d'Eric Rodwell, qui a mis un temps fou à s'y décider (difficile de résister, en effet, à ne pas soutenir son partenaire, quand celui-ci débarque à 4 , avec plein de jeu dans les mains, et que vous possédez vous-même cinq cartes dans sa couleur). Sacrée bonne décision!

La défense à $5 \triangleleft$ est trop coûteuse : après l'entame du singleton à $\boldsymbol{\&}$, Ouest se trouve en mesure de couper deux 800 pour Est-Ouest, et 5 imp pour les Etats-Unis I (qui remporteront finalement le match par 21 à 9 ).

[^1]
## Document réalisé sur matériel Xerox en partenariat avec le groupe OPTIMA




[^0]:    Ashraf Sadek, Egypt

[^1]:    ! Laws review subcommittee
    There will be private meetings of the Laws Review Sub-Committee in the Bouderie Room of the Hotel Hermitage on the following schedule:
    Thursday, Nov. 6, at 10:45 a.m. and I:30 p.m.
    Friday, Nov. 7, at 10:45 a.m. and I:30 p.m.
    Tuesday, Nov. II, 10:45 a.m. and I:30 p.m.

