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# ONLY SO MUCH ROOM AT THE TOP 



Vugraph commentators Patrick Huang, left, and Ni Wei-Ping, prior to a match on Tuesday. Ni is a television commentator on bridge and Go

Teams are near the halfway point of the qualifying stages of the three big events - Bermuda Bowl, Venice Cup and Senior Bowl - and it may be time for some of the perennial contenders to shift into a higher gear.
A case in point is the Nick Nickell team (USA 2) in the Bermuda Bowl. Even after a big win against Canada in the ninth match on Tuesday, the 2005 Bermuda Bowl silver medallists were still six places away from the eighth and last qualifying spot. Even further back was Sweden, one of several strong European teams in Shanghai.
Leading the way in the Bermuda Bowl was USA I, I5 victory points clear of Poland. Norway is close behind, as are Australia and the Netherlands.
In the Venice Cup, USA I extended its VP lead to 30 over Denmark with three more victories. The Americans have yet to lose a match.
In the Senior Bowl, Italy replaced USA I at the top with three impressive wins over Sweden, Thailand and Australia. USA I took two of three matches, but the wins were by a total of 4 IMPs as the Americans slipped to third behind second-place Canada.

## VUGRAPH MATCHES



Round 10 ( $11.00-13.20$ )
VG: Table 27 USA 2 - New Zealand
BBO I: Table II Italy - Argentina
BBO 2: Table 2 South Africa - Poland
SWAN *: Table 46 Sweden - USA I
OurGame: Table 29 Germany - China Global Times (VC)
Round II (14.20-16.40)
VG: Table 6 Norway - USA I
BBO I: Table 22 Japan - Germany
BBO 2: Table 5 Sweden - Netherlands
SWAN: Table 26 France - Denmark
OurGame: Table II India - USA 2
Round 12 (I7.IO-19.30)

| VG: | Table 1 | USA 2 - Italy | (BB) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| BBO 1: | Table 4 | Australia - Indonesia | (BB) |
| BBO 2: | Table 28 | USA 2 - China Global Times | (VC) |
| SWAN: | Table 44 | Poland - Denmark | (SB) |
| OurGame: | Table 25 | Australia - France | (VC) |

* This match will be broadcast at 20.30 Chinese time
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## TODAY'S PROGRAM

## Bermuda Bowl

| ROUND IO |  |  |  | I I.00 |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Table | Home Team | Visiting Team |  |  |
| I | Canada | India |  |  |
| 2 | South Africa | Poland |  |  |
| 3 | Australia | China SMEG |  |  |
| 4 | Ireland | New Zealand |  |  |
| 5 | USA I | Indonesia |  |  |
| 6 | Netherlands | Norway |  |  |
| 7 | Pakistan | Sweden |  |  |
| 8 | Egypt | Japan |  |  |
| 9 | Chinese Taipei | Brazil |  |  |
| 10 | USA 2 | Trinidad \& Tobago |  |  |
| II | Italy | Argentina |  |  |


| ROUND I I $\quad$ I4.20 |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Table | Home Team | Visiting Team |
| I | Trinidad \& Tobago | Italy |
| 2 | Argentina | Chinese Taipei |
| 3 | Brazil | Egypt |
| 4 | Japan | Pakistan |
| 5 | Sweden | Netherlands |
| 6 | Norway | USA I |
| 7 | Indonesia | Ireland |
| 8 | New Zealand | Australia |
| 9 | China SMEG | South Africa |
| I0 | Poland | Canada |
| II | India | USA 2 |

## Venice Cup

| ROUND IO |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Table | Home Team | Visiting Team |
| 21 | Guadeloupe | South Africa |
| 22 | USA I | Jordan |
| 23 | Canada | Brazil |
| 24 | Australia | England |
| 25 | Denmark | Egypt |
| 26 | Philippines | France |
| 27 | USA 2 | New Zealand |
| 28 | Argentina | Croatia |
| 29 | Germany | China Global Times |
| 30 | Indonesia | India |
| 31 | Netherlands | Japan |


| ROUND I I |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Table | Home Team | Visiting Team |
| 21 | India | Netherlands |
| 22 | Japan | Germany |
| 23 | China Global Times | Argentina |
| 24 | Croatia | USA 2 |
| 25 | New Zealand | Philippines |
| 26 | France | Denmark |
| 27 | Egypt | Australia |
| 28 | England | Canada |
| 29 | Brazil | USA I |
| 30 | Jordan | Guadeloupe |
| 31 | South Africa | Indonesia |
|  |  |  |

## ROUND 12 <br> 17.10

Table Home Team
Visiting Team
I USA 2
Italy
China SMEG
New Zealand
Indonesia
Norway
Sweden
Japan
Brazil
Argentina
Trinidad \& Tobago Poland

ROUND 12
17.10

Table

## Home Team

21 Indonesia
22 Guadeloupe
23 USA I
24 Canada
25 Australia
26 Denmark
27 Philippines
28 USA 2
29 Argentina
30 Germany
31 South Africa

Visiting Team
Netherlands
Brazil
England
Egypt
France
New Zealand
Croatia
China Global Times Japan
India
Jordan


## Senior Bowl

## ROUND 10 <br> I 1.00

| Table | Home Team | Visiting Team |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 41 | New Zealand | Canada |
| 42 | Germany | China |
| 43 | Poland | Italy |
| 44 | Australia | South Africa |
| 45 | Thailand | Denmark |
| 46 | Sweden | USA I |
| 47 | China Hong Kong | Argentina |
| 48 | Egypt | India |
| 49 | Indonesia | USA 2 |
| 50 | Guadeloupe | France |
| 51 | Japan | Brazil |

ROUND II
14.20

Table Home Team
4I France
42 Brazil
43 USA 2
44 India
45 Argentina
46 USA I
47 Denmark
48 South Africa
49 Italy
50 China
51 Canada

Visiting Team
Japan
Indonesia
Egypt
China Hong Kong
Sweden
Thailand
Australia
Poland
Germany
New Zealand
Guadeloupe

## ROUND 12

17.10

Table Home Team
4I Guadeloupe
Visiting Team

42 New Zealand
43 Germany
44 Poland
45 Australia
46 Thailand
47 Sweden
48 China Hong Kong
49 Egypt
Japan
Italy
South Africa
Denmark
USA I
Argentina
India
USA 2
50 Indonesia
Brazil
5I Canada
France
China

## Important Notice



You are reminded you that, due to security measures to be taken tomorrow, entry to the venue will be difficult and may take some time.
Please ensure that you bring your badge, and it is strongly recommended that you arrive on site well before the normal time.


## World Championship Book 2007



The official book of these championships will be available around the end of March. On publication the official retail price will be $£ 22$ Sterling plus postage. You can pre-order a copy at the championships at the reduced price of US\$30 (225 Rmb) including surface mail, by seeing Jan Swaan in the Press Room on the third floor of the Convention Centre.
There have been some problems with copies of last year's book being lost in the post. If you bought a copy in Verona and it has still not reached you, speak to Brian Senior in the Daily Bulletin Office, also on the third floor, and I will arrange to send another copy when I get home. I will be looking at a different way of sending out the individual copies this year in the hope of reducing these problems to a minimum.
The 2007 book will consist of 336 large pages. There will be substantial coverage of all events, including every board of the final and semi-finals of the Bermuda Bowl and Venice Cup, with full results listings, Butler rankings, photographs etc. Eric Kokish is taking a year off, alas, so principle analysts will be Brian Senior and Barry Rigal, with guest writers including John Carruthers (Senior Bowl) and Cathy Chua (BB andVC Round Robin stages). If you have a possible hand for inclusion, do feel free to contact John or Cathy, as appropriate.


| ROUND 7 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Home Team | Visiting Team | IMPs | VPs |
| 1 | Brazil | Argentina | 56-20 | 23-7 |
| 2 | Japan | Trinidad \& Tobago | 52-23 | 22-8 |
| 3 | Sweden | Italy | 17-69 | 4-25 |
| 4 | Norway | Chinese Taipei | 44-26 | 19-11 |
| 5 | Indonesia | Egypt | 39-33 | 16-14 |
| 6 | New Zealand | Pakistan | 40-39 | 15-15 |
| 7 | South Africa | USA 2 | 20-7 | 18-12 |
| 8 | Poland | USA I | 31-25 | 16-14 |
| 9 | India | Ireland | 41-26 | 18-12 |
| 10 | Canada | Australia | 28-55 | 9-21 |
| 11 | China SMEG | Netherlands | 24-44 | 10-20 |
| ROUND 8 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Home Team | Visiting Team | IMPs | VPs |
| 1 | South Africa | Canada | 21-21 | 15-15 |
| 2 | Australia | India | 48-24 | 21-9 |
| 3 | Ireland | Poland | 12-27 | 12-18 |
| 4 | USA I | China SMEG | 21-11 | 17-13 |
| 5 | Netherlands | New Zealand | 52-14 | 24-6 |
| 6 | Pakistan | Indonesia | 26-18 | 17-13 |
| 7 | Egypt | Norway | 23-10 | 18-12 |
| 8 | USA 2 | Argentina | 23-39 | 11-19 |
| 9 | Italy | Japan | 28-32 | 14-16 |
| 10 | Trinidad \& Tobago | Brazil | 42-20 | 20-10 |
| 11 | Chinese Taipei | Sweden | 17-62 | 5-25 |
| ROUND 9 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Home Team | Visiting Team | IMPs | VPs |
| 1 | Argentina | Trinidad \& Tobago | 50-47 | 16-14 |
| 2 | Brazil | Italy | 36-20 | 19-11 |
| 3 | Japan | Chinese Taipei | 57-30 | 21-9 |
| 4 | Sweden | Egypt | 46-34 | 18-12 |
| 5 | Norway | Pakistan | 40-17 | 20-10 |
| 6 | Indonesia | Netherlands | 45-42 | 16-14 |
| 7 | New Zealand | USA I | 17-28 | 13-17 |
| 8 | China SMEG | Ireland | 68-30 | 23-6 |
| 9 | Canada | USA 2 | 6-86 | 0-25 |
| 10 | India | South Africa | 27-36 | 13-17 |
| 11 | Poland | Australia | 41-21 | 20-10 |

## Ranking after 9 Rounds

| 1 | USA I | 181 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 2 | Poland | 166 |
| 3 | Norway | 161 |
| 4 | Italy | 158 |
| 5 | Australia | 151 |
| 6 | Netherlands | 148.5 |
| 7 | Brazil | 147 |
|  | China SMEG | 147 |
| 9 | Japan | 144 |
| 10 | Argentina | 143 |
| 11 | South Africa | 138.5 |
| 12 | Indonesia | 133 |
| 13 | Pakistan | 129 |
| 14 | USA 2 | 128 |
| 15 | Egypt | 126 |
| 16 | New Zealand | 121 |
| 17 | Sweden | 105 |
| 18 | Chinese Taipei | 101.5 |
| 19 | Canada | 101 |
| 20 | Trinidad \& Tobago | 96.5 |
| 21 | Ireland | 96 |
| 22 | India |  |



## ROUND 7

|  | Home Team | Visiting Team | IMPs | VPs |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 21 | China Global Times | Japan | $52-19$ | $23-7$ |
| 22 | Croatia | India | $48-36$ | $18-12$ |
| 23 | New Zealand | Netherlands | $41-39$ | $15-15$ |
| 24 | France | Germany | $37-30$ | $16-14$ |
| 25 | Egypt | Argentina | $36-18$ | $19-11$ |
| 26 | England | USA 2 | $19-26$ | $14-16$ |
| 27 | USA I | Indonesia | $36-16$ | $20-10$ |
| 28 | Jordan | Denmark | $17-45$ | $8-22$ |
| 29 | South Africa | Australia | $16-47$ | $8-22$ |
| 30 | Guadeloupe | Canada | $11-73$ | $2-25$ |
| 31 | Brazil | Philippines | $65-30$ | $23-7$ |


| ROUND 8 |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Home Team | Visiting Team | IMPs | VPs |
| 21 | USA I | Guadeloupe | $69-31$ | $24-6$ |
| 22 | Canada | South Africa | $10-6$ | $16-14$ |
| 23 | Australia | Jordan | $45-26$ | $19-11$ |
| 24 | Denmark | Brazil | $27-39$ | $12-18$ |
| 25 | Philippines | England | $53-20$ | $23-7$ |
| 26 | USA 2 | Egypt | $44-19$ | $21-9$ |
| 27 | Argentina | France | $40-27$ | $18-12$ |
| 28 | Indonesia | Japan | $48-35$ | $18-12$ |
| 29 | Netherlands | Croatia | $35-38$ | $14-16$ |
| 30 | India | China Global Times | $15-31$ | $11-19$ |
| 31 | Germany | New Zealand | $48-12$ | $23-7$ |

## ROUND 9

|  | Home Team | Visiting Team | IMPs | VPs |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | ---: |
| 21 | Japan | India | $36-41$ | $14-16$ |
| 22 | China Global Times | Netherlands | $82-26$ | $25-3$ |
| 23 | Croatia | Germany | $42-46$ | $14-16$ |
| 24 | New Zealand | Argentina | $27-52$ | $9-21$ |
| 25 | France | USA 2 | $95-18$ | $25-0$ |
| 26 | Egypt | Philippines | $57-19$ | $24-6$ |
| 27 | England | Denmark | $23-32$ | $13-17$ |
| 28 | Brazil | Australia | $17-57$ | $6-24$ |
| 29 | Guadeloupe | Indonesia | $19-25$ | $14-16$ |
| 30 | South Africa | USA I | $17-41$ | $9-21$ |
| 31 | Jordan | Canada | $35-24$ | $17-13$ |

## Ranking after 9 Rounds

| I | USA I | 189 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Denmark | 159 |
| 3 | Germany | 155 |
|  | USA 2 | 155 |
| 5 | China Global Times | 152 |
| 6 | Australia | 149 |
| 7 | England | 148 |
|  | France | 148 |
| 9 | New Zealand | 146 |
| 10 | Egypt | 140 |
| II | Argentina | 137 |
|  | India | 137 |
| 13 | Croatia | 131 |
| 14 | Canada | 125 |
|  | Netherlands | 125 |
| 16 | Japan | 120 |
|  | Philippines | 120 |
| 18 | Indonesia | 119 |
| 19 | Brazil | 118 |
| 20 | South Africa | 101 |
| 21 | Jordan | 88 |
| 22 | Guadeloupe | 78 |




ROUND 7

|  | Home Team | Visiting Team | IMPs | VPs |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 41 | USA 2 | Brazil | $24-23$ | $15-15$ |
| 42 | India | France | $28-29$ | $15-15$ |
| 43 | Argentina | Japan | $20-23$ | $14-16$ |
| 44 | USA I | Indonesia | $37-34$ | $16-14$ |
| 45 | Denmark | Egypt | $31-6$ | $21-9$ |
| 46 | South Africa | China Hong Kong | $30-57$ | $9-21$ |
| 47 | Germany | Guadeloupe | $69-20$ | $25-4$ |
| 48 | China | Thailand | $60-25$ | $23-7$ |
| 49 | Canada | Australia | $25-20$ | $16-14$ |
| 50 | New Zealand | Poland | $15-46$ | $8-22$ |
| 51 | Italy | Sweden | $54-19$ | $23-7$ |

## ROUND 8

|  | Home Team | Visiting Team | IMPs | VPs |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 41 | Germany | New Zealand | $66-14$ | $25-4$ |
| 42 | Poland | Canada | $22-54$ | $7-23$ |
| 43 | Australia | China | $27-13$ | $18-12$ |
| 44 | Thailand | Italy | $4-32$ | $8-22$ |
| 45 | Sweden | South Africa | $43-8$ | $23-7$ |
| 46 | China Hong Kong | Denmark | $36-55$ | $11-19$ |
| 47 | Egypt | USA I | $52-27$ | $21-9$ |
| 48 | Guadeloupe | Brazil | $13-58$ | $5-25$ |
| 49 | Japan | India | $25-20$ | $16-14$ |
| 50 | France | USA 2 | $35-18$ | $19-11$ |
| 51 | Indonesia | Argentina | $4 I-8$ | $23-7$ |

## ROUND 9

|  | Home Team | Visiting Team | IMPs | VPs |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | ---: |
| 4 I | Brazil | France | $36-34$ | $15-15$ |
| 42 | USA 2 | Japan | $32-32$ | $15-15$ |
| 43 | India | Indonesia | $35-50$ | $12-18$ |
| 44 | Argentina | Egypt | $42-35$ | $16-14$ |
| 45 | USA I | China Hong Kong | $37-36$ | $15-15$ |
| 46 | Denmark | Sweden | $28-56$ | $8-22$ |
| 47 | South Africa | Thailand | $19-75$ | $3-25$ |
| 48 | Italy | Australia | $42-20$ | $20-10$ |
| 49 | New Zealand | Guadeloupe | $16-62$ | $5-25$ |
| 50 | Canada | Germany | $75-6$ | $25-1$ |
| $5 I$ | China | Poland | $45-38$ | $16-14$ |

## Ranking after 9 Rounds

| I | Italy | 181 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 2 | Canada | 175 |
| 3 | USA I | 164 |
| 4 | Indonesia | 159 |
| 5 | Egypt | 157 |
| 6 | Australia | 154 |
| 7 | USA 2 | 153 |
| 8 | Poland | 150 |
| 9 | Brazil | 147 |
| 10 | France | 133 |
| 11 | China | 131 |
| 12 | Japan | 130 |
| 13 | Germany | 128 |
| 14 | India | 124 |
| 15 | Denmark | 123 |
| 16 | Sweden | 116 |
| 17 | Argentina | 115 |
| 18 | China Hong Kong | 109 |
| 19 | Guadeloupe | 98 |
| 20 | Thailand | 93 |
| 21 | New Zealand | 53 |
| 22 | South Africa |  |



## BERMUDA BOWL



Brazil<br>by Brent Manley

Entering the second day of play in the Bermuda Bowl on Monday, Brazil and Sweden were both in need of a lift. The Swedes stood 19th out of 22 teams, and Brazil was not much better - tied for 17th. Each team was hoping to start a winning run to get back into the qualifying picture.
The South Americans were the ones whose wishes were granted as they fashioned a 48-18 win. The first misstep occurred early in the match.

Board 3. Dealer South. E/W Vul.

|  | . 63 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc$ Q 2 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ A 1093 |  |  |
|  | - A Q 942 |  |  |
| - K 75 | N |  | Q 12 |
| $\bigcirc 1074$ | W E |  | PKJ963$\checkmark$ QJ 852 |
| $\diamond$ K 4 |  | E $\diamond$ |  |
| ¢ K 10876 | S 5 |  |  |
|  | - A Q 10984 |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ A 85 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark 76$ |  |  |
|  | - 13 |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Bertheau | Chagas | Nystrom | Villas Boas |
|  |  |  | $1{ }^{1}$ |
| Pass | INT | Pass | 2 |
| Pass | 31 | All Pass |  |

Peter Bertheau started with the $\triangleleft K$, ducked by Miguel Villas Boas. He won the diamond continuation with the ace, then played a spade to the queen and king. Declarer played the $\oslash \mathrm{Q}$ on the low heart return, ducking when Fredrik Nystrom produced the king. The $\diamond J$ was ruffed with the $\$ 8$. Declarer then played the d , ducked all around. Villas Boas could have simply pulled trumps and taken another club finesse, but he ran the rest of his trumps, catching the opponents in a double squeeze. Bertheau had to release his heart guard in the end to keep from baring the k, allowing Villas Boas to discard the Q . When he played his last club to the ace, East had to find a discard from the $\triangleleft \mathrm{Q}$ and the $\S \mathrm{J}$ 9. That was a nice plus I70, but still potentially a loss because of the missed game.

| West <br> Figueiredo | North <br> Efraimsson | East <br> Brenner | South <br> Morath |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pass | $2 \boldsymbol{2}$ | Pass | 2 |
| Pass | 4 | All Pass |  |

## Sweden



Mauricio Figueiredo started with a low heart: queen, king, 5. Diego Brenner continued with a low diamond to the king and ace. A heart to the ace was followed by a heart ruff, and dummy's last spade went to the queen and king. Figueiredo continued with the $\diamond 4$ to the 3 , jack and 7 , and when Brenner played a third round of diamonds, Anders Morath discarded the 3 , perhaps believing that West still had a low diamond and that East had underled the queen. The diamond ruff was the fourth trick for the defense, so instead of gaining 6 IMPs, the Swedes lost the same number.
Brazil more than doubled their lead with a slam swing on board 8.

Board 8. Dealer West. None Vul.

- 6543
© J 109
$\diamond 1073$
- 543
$\pm 2$
ค AK 84
$\diamond K 842$
\& AJ 62

- K 1087

QQ765
$\checkmark \mathrm{AQ}$
2K98

- A Q J 9
$\bigcirc 32$
$\diamond 1965$
\& Q 107

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bertheau | Chagas | Nystrom | Villas Boas |
| $1 \diamond$ | Pass | 18 | $1{ }^{1}$ |
| 20 | Pass | 2 | Pass |
| 3\% | Pass | 4 | Pass |
| 49 | All Pass |  |  |

The is overcall by Villas Boas served to slow down Bertheau and Nystrom, and the fact that Bertheau's opener was limited (they play a strong system) also kept the Swedes from going past game. Nystrom made an overtrick for plus 650 .

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Figueiredo | Efraimsson | Brenner | Morath |
| $1 \diamond$ | Pass | 18 | Pass |
| 3 | Pass | $3{ }^{1}$ | Pass |
| 4* | Pass | 4 | Pass |
| 4NT | Pass | 5 | Pass |
| 68 | All Pass |  |  |

Morath started with a trump, taken in dummy with the ace. A spade went to the king and ace, and another trump
was returned. Diego Brenner won the second heart in dummy with the king, played a diamond to his ace and followed with a spade ruff. Another diamond went to East's queen, and a third spade was ruffed. When Brenner played a club to his king and followed with the $\vee \mathrm{Q}$, Morath could see that pitching a minor was fatal, so he let go of the $\uparrow Q$, hoping partner had the 10 . Brenner held that card, however, and he was able to claim plus 980 and an II-IMP swing to Brazil.
Board IO typified the day Sweden was having.
Board IO. Dealer East. All Vul.

- A 10

P1932
$\diamond 109$
\& K 9832

- KQJ5 2
$\bigcirc$ K Q 4
$\diamond$ J 5
. 1064

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bertheau | Chagas | Nystrom | Villas-Boas |
|  |  | Pass | $1 \diamond$ |
| 14 | Dble | 28 | 3 |
| Pass | 3NT | All Pass |  |

Nystrom's 28 showed a "good" spade raise. That didn't deter Gabriel Chagas, who took his best shot at the vulnerable notrump game. With the $\Delta K$ onside and the suit 2 2, Chagas had an easy nine tricks
West

Figueiredo \begin{tabular}{c}
North <br>
Efraimsson

 

East <br>
Brenner <br>
Pass

$\quad$

South <br>
Morath
\end{tabular}



Bengt-Erik Efraimsson

The Swedes did not have a shot at 3NT in the closed room because Brenner simply raised his partner to game with his five-card trump support. Morath could have doubled for a plus (most likely 500 ), but he tried $5 \diamond$. It did not strain the defenders to come up with three tricks, however, and the contract was one down. That was 12 IMPs to Brazil.
The best board of the day for Sweden was too little too late, but it did allow Nystrom to show off as declarer - and Morath to make a crafty defensive play.

Board 13. Dealer North. All Vul.

- 92

Q Q 64
$\triangleleft$ Q 98
」 17653
¢ K 43
○KJ 983
$\diamond 743$
© A 4


Q QJ 108765

- A 75
$\diamond A 2$
\& 10
$\perp$ A
$\checkmark 102$
$\diamond$ KJIO 65
\& K Q 982
West

Bertheau \begin{tabular}{c}
North <br>
Chagas <br>
Pass

 

East <br>
Nystrom

$\quad$

South <br>
Villas Boas
\end{tabular}

Undeterred by the diamond cuebid, Villas Boas started with the $\diamond J$. Nystrom won with the ace and put the $\$ \mathrm{~J}$ on the table.Villas Boas won perforce and continued with the $\diamond$ K, then the deceptive $\%$. Nystrom took the N , ruffed a club, played a spade to dummy's king and ruffed a diamond. He then cashed two more trumps. The key to making the contract obviously was figuring out how to play hearts for no losers, if indeed it was possible.
The bidding helped somewhat, but it did not eliminate the possibility of a doubleton $\vee Q$. Nystrom considered his options for a long time before playing a heart to dummy's king and following, again after some thought, with the jack. When Chagas followed low, Nystrom backed his judgment by playing low from hand. That was good for plus 650.

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Figueiredo | Efraimsson | Brenner | Morath |
|  | Pass | 14 | 2NT |
| $3 \checkmark$ | 5\% | 5 | All Pass |

Morath started with the NK , and play followed a line similar to that in the open room (Morath played the $\diamond 10$ when in with the A ). At the critical point, however, when declarer played a low heart from hand, Morath produced the QIO, brilliantly promoting the possibility that he started with the $\vee \mathrm{Q} 10$ doubleton. Indeed, declarer fell for it, winning with the $\triangleleft \mathrm{K}$ in dummy and playing the jack to his ace. When the queen didn't fall, it was one down and 13 IMPs to Sweden, a bright spot in an otherwise dismal set.

## The Time Is Right

by Ron Klinger
Dealer South. N/S Vul.

- 1863
$\bigcirc 95$
$\diamond$ A J
- A9832

- AK 4

คAK 10872
$\triangleleft 98$

- 16

| West | North | East | South <br> 18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | INT | $2 \diamond$ | 38 |
| Pass | $4 》$ | All Pass |  |

Lead: $\diamond 5$
Plan the play.
Bill Haughie (Australia Seniors) found a neat line of play on Board 5 of Round 5. This was the complete deal, directions reversed:

- J 863
$\bigcirc 95$
$\diamond$ AJ
A9832
\& Q 9752
$\bigcirc 14$
$\diamond 52$
- K 754


## Double-Dummy Lead

by Cathy Chua
Round 5. Board 5. Dealer North. N/S Vul.

- AK 4

คAK 10872
$\diamond 98$
2 16

'Oh dear', said England's Heather Dhondy, as we patiently waited for the bus to take us back to our hotel. 'Apparently I should have made 48 '. Much as one likes to pick fault with Deep Finesse, on this occasion it is not possible. Win East's opening diamond lead (West has made a pre-emptive action in diamonds) and play three rounds of hearts. If West, after cashing a diamond, doesn't shift to clubs, declarer can set up spades for the tenth trick. If West leads the Q , declarer wins, crosses to a spade and sets up the endgame to throw East in with the bare K to create the extra spade trick; if West tries the 10 , declarer plays the jack and if East covers with the king it is ducked.
Deep Finesse had it right; $4 \bigcirc$ has to be played by South to protect the club holding. It takes an opening club lead from East to beat 40 . West wins and shifts to a diamond, and the defence has unscrambled all of its tricks. In the three fields, only Liz Havas of Australia found that opening lead. Alas, virtue was its own reward, with $4 \checkmark$ going down in the other room on the usual diamond lead. Like much of the field, Paula Schoor was playing for spades to behave: 3-3 with either hand having the long trump, or Qxxx with East who, in this layout, also needs to hold the long trump - perfectly reasonable.


## BERMUDA BOWL

## Round



by Phillip Alder

It would not shock many people if one of the Bermuda Bowl semifinals is between the two American teams. We were given an immediate glimpse in the opening round on Sunday.
USA I sent Steve Garner and Howard Weinstein to play North-South in the Open Room against Jeff Meckstroth and Eric Rodwell, and put Michael Rosenberg and Zia Mahmood East-West in the Closed Room against Bob Hamman and Hemant Lall.
The first board was a gentle push in two spades with an overtrick. USA 2 gained an overtrick IMP on the second deal. And USA I got on the board, defeating four hearts by two tricks in the Closed Room and going down only one in the Open.
Then a nebulous Precision one-diamond opening bid cost USA 2.


## Open Room

| West | North |
| :---: | :---: |
| Rodwell | Garner |
| $1 \diamond$ | 1. |
| Pass | $4 \uparrow$ |

East
Meckstroth
Dbl
Dbl
South
Weinstein
$2 \triangleleft(a)$
All Pass
(a) A single spade raise

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Zia | Hamman | Rosenberg | Lall |
| $1 \diamond$ | $1 \$$ | Dbl | $2 \uparrow$ |
| Pass | $3 \$$ | 4 | Pass |

Rodwell's opening bid promised only two cards in the suit, and when he understandably did not rebid three dia-
monds, Meckstroth went for the sure plus by doubling four spades. Declarer Garner lost one heart, one diamond and two clubs for down one.
Hamman's three-spade rebid gave Rosenberg the room to cue-bid four spades. Five diamonds made with an easy overtrick, giving 9 IMPs to USA I.
On board five, Meckstroth opened two spades, weak, with five spades to the king-queen, five diamonds to the queen and three clubs to the jack-ten. This pushed his opponents into a vulnerable three clubs that went down two. In the other room, Zia went down one in two hearts, giving 6 IMPs to USA 2.
USA I gained two one-point swings in the next three deals to take a 13-7 lead at halftime.
Then came:
Board 9. Dealer North. E/W Vul.
$\perp 10$
คA943
$\diamond J 107$
\& K Q 982
© 4
ค Q J 8
$\diamond$ K 643
品 J 7654


Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rodwell | Garner | Meckstroth | Weinstein |
|  | Pass | $18(a)$ | Pass |
| I $\diamond$ (b) | INT (c) | Dbl | $2 \vee$ (d) |
| $3 N T$ | Pass | 49 | All Pass |

(a) Sixteen-plus points
(b) 0-7 points
(c) Spades and diamonds or hearts and clubs
(d) Pass or correct

## Closed Room

| West | North <br> Hamman | East <br> Rosenberg | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Zall |  |  |  |
|  | Pass | 19 | Pass |
| INT | Dbl | Redbl | $2 \boxtimes$ |
| Pass | Pass | $3 \oslash$ | Pass |
| 3NT | All Pass |  |  |

In the Open Room, Meckstroth's sequence, doubling the one-notrump Crash overcall, then bidding four spades, showed a strong hand.
Against four spades, South led the heart six, third-highest from an even number or lowest from an odd number. North won with his ace and shifted to the club king. Declarer (East) won with his ace, cashed the spade ace-king to get the bad news, then played four rounds of diamonds, discarding a club. The defense took three spades and two hearts for down two.
In the Closed Room, Hamman's takeout double gave Rosenberg room to investigate spades and notrump, eventually accepting three notrump.
North led the club king. Declarer (West) won with dummy's ace and played a heart to his queen, North winning with his ace and shifting to the diamond jack. Declarer won in the dummy and called for the heart ten, which South ducked. Now declarer ran his diamond tricks. This was the position with one round to go:


On the last diamond, North surprisingly discarded the heart four. This permitted West to exit with his heart jack and catch South in an endplay. Declarer took three spades, one heart, four diamonds and one club.


Eric Rodwell

If North had pitched a club in the above position, declarer could not have got home. If he played his heart, South would take the trick and put his partner on lead with a heart. North would cash the club queen, then lead his spade.
Plus 200 and plus 600 gave 13 IMPs to USA I.
On board 10 both East-West pairs reached an impossible four spades. Weinstein gained 3 IMPs for USA I by doubling.
USA 2 gained on the next deal:
Board II. Dealer South. None Vul.
\& KJ9864
$\checkmark$ A
$\diamond 5432$
4. 76

| ¢ 7 | N | - A 1053 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| QQ9876 |  | $\bigcirc \mathrm{K} 1042$ |
| $\diamond$ J 7 | W E | $\checkmark$ AK 106 |
| KJ1043 | S | \& 2 |
|  | - Q 2 |  |
|  | QJ5 3 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ Q 98 |  |
|  | \& AQ985 |  |

Open Room

| West <br> Rodwell | North <br> Garner | East <br> Meckstroth | South <br> Weinstein <br> 19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18 | $2 \boxtimes(a)$ | $4 \%$ (b) | Pass |
| $4 \S$ | All Pass |  |  |

(a) 6-plus spades, 4-plus points
(b) Splinter bid

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Zia | Hamman <br> Rosenberg | Lall <br> Pass |  |
| Pass | $2 \boldsymbol{2 s}$ | Pass | Pass |
| 3\& | All Pass |  |  |

Weinstein's one-club opening was either natural or showed a balanced hand with II-I3 or I7-I9 points. After Rodwell's typical one-heart overcall, his side was never missing the game. After a spade lead, declarer took ten tricks without trouble.
What should Rosenberg have done over Hamman's weak two-spade opening?
Some players doubled, which worked well here. Others overcalled two no-trump, which also resulted in a final contract of four hearts. The rest passed, putting pressure on West to balance. When Zia guessed to bid three clubs, that was that. (Perhaps two notrump in this position should show any two suits.)
North led the heart ace and shifted to the spade king. Declarer won in the dummy and called for a trump. To defeat
the contract, South had to rise with his ace and give his partner a heart ruff, but he played low. West put up his king and led back the club ten, South winning and returning a heart - too late. Declarer won in the dummy, ruffed a spade, played a heart to the king, ruffed another spade (South discarded a diamond), took dummy's top diamonds, then played a diamond to score his club jack with a coup en passant.
If South had ruffed the spade at trick eight, declarer probably would have overruffed and exited with either a trump or a heart, catching South in a diamond endplay.
Plus 420 and minus 110 gave 7 IMPs to USA 2, reducing their deficit to 15 IMPs.
The next board was flat, both East-West pairs going down one in five spades doubled, bid as a sacrifice over a five-club contract that was failing. But when nonvulnerable against vulnerable opponents, players tend to take out insurance.
Then came board 13, with the most imaginative play of the tournament so far:

Board I3. Dealer North. All Vul.

- 82
$\vee$ J 94
$\triangleleft$ AKQ 853
296



## Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rodwell | Garner | Meckstroth | Weinstein |
|  | 34 | Dbl | $4 \Phi$ |
| $5 \diamond$ | All Pass |  |  |



Steve Garner

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Zia | Hamman | Rosenberg | Lall |
|  | 24 | Dbl | 34 |
| Dbl | Pass | 5\% | Pass |
| $5 \diamond$ | Pass | 5 | Pass |
| $6 \checkmark$ | All Pass |  |  |

In the Closed Room, Zia was in six diamonds. North led the spade three to dummy's ace. What did Zia do next?
In the Open Room, Garner's aggressive three-spade opening bid and Weinstein's raise left their opponents with no space to investigate.
Against five diamonds, North led the spade three. Declarer played a trump to his hand, ruffed his second spade (North playing his nine), and tried to cash the club ace, but North ruffed and returned a spade. Declarer ruffed, drew the missing trump and threw in South with a club. On the forced heart return, declarer guessed correctly, perhaps influenced by North's spade nine, putting in his nine to force out North's queen.
At the other table, Hamman opened with a weak two, requiring a stronger suit for a vulnerable three-bid. After Rosenberg doubled and Lall raised to three spades, Zia doubled in the hope that his partner could bid three notrump. But East jumped to five clubs and West continued with five diamonds. Then East, deducing that the double indicated a two-suited hand, bid five hearts, which West had to correct to six diamonds.
North led the spade three. Thinking that North had a sixcard spade suit, West deduced that this lead was a suitpreference signal, indicating a club void. Backing his judgment, Zia won with the spade ace and called for a low heart!
When South played low, West put in his nine, knowing that if South had the queen, he would have taken the trick and given his partner a club ruff. North took his heart queen and returned a heart. Declarer won with his jack, ruffed a spade on the board, drew trumps and claimed, his club loser going on dummy's last heart.
Minus 600 and plus 1370 gave I3 IMPs to USA I.
The same team gained another slam swing on the very next board.

Board I4. Dealer East. None Vul.
$\$ 9$
$\vee 1094$
$\diamond$ JIO 7543
\& Q 84

- A 7
\&J76
$\diamond A 96$
\& A 7632



## Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rodwell | Garner | Meckstroth <br> Weinstein | W |
|  | All Pass |  |  |

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Zia | Hamman | Rosenberg | Lall |
|  |  | 18 | 49 |
| Dы | Pass | 4NT | Pass |
| 54 | Pass | 6\% | All Pass |

The swing came from East's decision over his partner's card-showing double.
Meckstroth passed. Against four spades doubled, West led the heart six. East won with his ace, cashed the diamond king, and returned a heart. Declarer ruffed and led his spade queen. West went in with his ace and switched to the club two. Now declarer went down only two, losing one spade, one heart, two diamonds and one club.
Rosenberg continued with four notrump, showing a twosuiter with a four-card minor. Zia forced to slam, and six clubs was reached.
South led the spade king. Declarer won with his ace, cashed the diamond king, crossed to dummy's club ace, discarded his second spade on the diamond ace, and played a club to his jack. When that held, East led a low heart from his hand to South's king. The play ended shortly thereafter. Minus 300 and plus 920 gave 12 IMPs to USA I.
On the penultimate deal, both East-West pairs bid well to seven spades for a flat board. And USA 2 gained an IMP on the final deal when Lall won 12 tricks in three notrump while Weinstein took only II.
The final score was $54-15$, or 24 victory points to 6 , to USA I.


## The Expert Play

Chip Martel brought in a play found by Ralph Katz in the round six match of USA I against India.
Both tables played four hearts, Katz defending in the West seat after a strong no-trump and Stayman.

Board I2. Dealer West. N/S Vul

- A 3

QQ 753
$\checkmark$ Q 864
-K 92

| -1096542 | N | - Q 87 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 J 4 |  | $\bigcirc$ A 109 |
| $\checkmark$ J 10 |  | $\checkmark 7532$ |
| 2186 | S | - A 75 |
|  | - KJ |  |
|  | QK862 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ AK 9 |  |
|  | 2 Q 1043 |  |


| West | North <br> Pass | East | South |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pass | INT |  |  |
| Pass | $2 \boldsymbol{2}$ | Pass | $2 \checkmark$ |
| Pass | $4 \checkmark$ | All Pass |  |

On a low spade lead one might have thought that the natural line was to win in hand and lead a trump to the queen, guarding against a significant trump singleton with West. It is not so clear who might be short in hearts on the lead of the spade ten.As the cards lie this line would almost certainly have led to success.
Both declarers actually chose to win with the spade ace, lead a heart to the king, and duck a trump on the way back. At one table West won with his jack and exited with the diamond jack, but it did not matter what he did at this point. Declarer could strip off the spades and diamonds, exit with a trump, and claim.
Katz was defending in the other room and threw a spanner in the works for declarer, when he unblocked the heart jack under the king. Now when declarer played a second trump, it let George Jacobs draw two rounds of trumps and exit with a diamond. Declarer was forced to find the jack of clubs to make his game, and it was therefore poetic justice when he misguessed that card and went down.


## BERMUDA BOWL



## Egypt

A Hard Fight

by Brent Manley
Egypt and Indonesia, two teams struggling to gain ground in the qualifying stages of the Bermuda Bowl, met in round seven on Tuesday. What started out as a rather pedestrian set of deals finished with a flurry of IMPs.
The first significant swing of the match occurred on board 5.

Board 5. Dealer North. N/S Vul.

- Q 1053
$\bigcirc$ A Q 10
$\diamond J 10$
\& A 1075

| - A 7 | N | - 84 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc 6432$ |  | $\bigcirc 95$ |
| $\checkmark$ K 6 | W E | $\diamond$ A Q 987432 |
| 2 QJ864 | S | -9 |
|  | -KJ962 |  |
|  | ¢KJ87 |  |
|  | $\checkmark 5$ |  |
|  | - K 32 |  |


| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sadek | Tobing | El Ahmady | Asbi |
|  | INT | $4 \diamond$ | Dble |
| $5 \triangleleft$ | Pass | Pass | Dble |
| All Pass |  |  |  |



Taufik Gautama Asbi

## Indonesia

INT by Robert Tobing was of the weak variety. Waleed El Ahmady took advantage of the favourable vulnerability to bid the maximum with his eight-card suit. Tarek Sadek was happy to give his partner a boost to further the preempt after Taufik Asbi's value-showing double. Asbi was not tempted to bid on because his partner's hand was limited, so he settled for the plus score. The defenders took four tricks for plus 300.

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bojoh | Nadim | George | Heshmat |
| $5 \triangleleft$ | Dble | $4 \diamond$ | Dble |
| 5 | Pass | 5 |  |

## All Pass

The situation was not as clear to Tarek Nadim and Mohamed Heshmat of Egypt in basically the same auction. Obviously, Heshmat was disinclined to settle for a relatively small penalty, so he chanced a bid, instead of passing his partner's double. Off two top tricks, Heshmat needed some considerable luck in clubs. It was not forthcoming, so minus 100 was the result. That was 9 IMPs to Indonesia.
Two boards later, Indonesia enjoyed another useful swing.
Board 7. Dealer South. All Vul.

|  | ¢ 103 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc 965$ |  |
|  | $\checkmark 9875$ |  |
|  | \& AK 54 |  |
| 4 AK 87 | N | ¢ 965 |
| $\bigcirc$ K 7 | W E | $\bigcirc$ AJ842 |
| $\checkmark$ A 10 | W E | $\checkmark$ Q J 32 |
| Q ${ }_{\text {Q J }} 1072$ | S | \& 9 |
|  | Q Q J 42 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ Q 103 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ K 64 |  |
|  | 986 |  |


| West <br> Sadek | North <br> Tobing | East <br> El Ahmady | South <br> Asbi |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Pass |
| 10 | Pass | I | Pass |
| 1NT | Pass | INT | Pass |
| 2NT | All Pass |  |  |

El Ahmady's pass of his partner's game invitation seems very conservative.Asbi led a low diamond, and dummy's 10 held. A club went to the 9 in declarer's hand. That was followed by a diamond to the ace and the Q to North's king. North got out with the $\$ 10$ to dummy's ace. Another club lead put North in again, and he exited with a spade. Declarer ducked the $\uparrow$, claiming nine tricks after South
cashed the $\diamond$ K. That was plus 150 - not a happy score for Egypt, especially considering what happened at the other table.

| West <br> Bojoh | North <br> Nadim | East <br> George | South <br> Heshmat <br> Pass |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | Pass | IN | Pass |
| 14 | Pass | INT | Pass |
| 3NT | All Pass |  |  |

Jemmy Bojoh did not leave the decision to partner - he simply blew into game and trusted that Julius George could scramble home with nine tricks.
Heshmat led his fourth-best spade, and the 10 held when declarer played low from dummy. The $\triangleleft 9$ went to dummy's 10.When George called for a low club from dummy, Nadim played the K and got out with the 86 , which went to the 10 and king. The was won by North's ace, and on the continuation of a heart, declarer rose with the ace and played the 9 from hand. South split his honors to prevent the overtrick, but the game was made and Indonesia had extended their lead to 22-3.
The following deal did not help the Egyptian cause.

|  | Board 9. Dealer North. E/W Vul. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | - 74 |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ A Q 109753 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ QJIO |  |  |
|  | * 8 |  |  |
| - Q 106 | N |  | - AJ9852 |
| PK 64 |  |  | $\bigcirc 18$ |
| $\checkmark 86$ |  | E - $\quad$ K | $\checkmark$ K2 |
| \& J 10643 | S * |  | AK2 |
|  | - K 3 |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 2$ |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ A 97543 |  |  |
|  | \& Q 975 |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Sadek | Tobing | El Ahmady | dy Asbi |
|  | $4 \bigcirc$ | Dble | All Pass |

El Ahmady started with the switching to the and another spade. Tobin, in dummy with the $\$ \mathrm{~K}$, made the percentage play in hearts - low to the queen - and when the suit proved to be 3-2 and the diamond finesse on, he was able to claim 10 tricks for plus 590.

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bojoh | Nadim | George | Heshmat <br>  <br>  <br> $3 \diamond$ <br> All Pass |
|  |  |  |  |

Nadim's $3 \triangleleft$ was a transfer preempt, showing a long heart suit. That did nothing to improve Bojoh's hand, making his raise to game rashly optimistic. Luckily for George, the Egyptian defense was rather soft, allowing declarer to escape for one down.

Heshmat led his singleton heart, taken by Nadim with the queen. Had he switched to the $\triangleleft \mathrm{Q}$ at trick two, the defenders would have come to six tricks for plus 300 (two hearts, two diamonds and one trick each in the black suits). At trick two, however, Nadim played his singleton club. George rose with the A and played the A and a second spade. In with the $\uparrow K$, Heshmat had nothing but minors left, so he got out with a low club. George put up the jack, but when Nadim showed out, declarer played the K and exited with a club. There was nothing Heshmat could after winning the Q but cash the $\diamond \mathrm{A}$ for a very unsatisfying and IO-IMP-losing - plus 100 .
Egypt finally broke through near the end of the match. Trailing 37-4, they came up with a surprising 9-IMP gain on board 13 .

Board I3. Dealer North.All Vul.

> | $\qquad J 7$ |
| :--- |
| $\diamond A Q 96542$ |
| $\diamond 7$ |
| 95 |

| - Q 10543 | N | - A 862 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc$ - | W E | $\bigcirc \mathrm{K} 7$ |
| $\checkmark$ A Q 9 | W E | $\checkmark$ K 10853 |
| - K 10843 | S | - A 2 |
|  | - K 9 |  |
|  | (1) 1083 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ J642 |  |
|  | - Q 76 |  |


| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sadek | Tobing | El Ahmady | Asbi |
|  | $3 \searrow$ | Pass | $4 \searrow$ |

All Pass
Amazingly, on a deal where 12 tricks in spades are available to East-West, they ended up defending 4 ).
El Ahmady started with a low diamond to West's ace.The spade return was taken by East, who exited with a second spade. Tobing played the $\wp$ 〕, rising with the ace when Sadek showed out. Declarer exited with a heart to East, ruffing the return of the $\diamond I O$. He still had two clubs to lose for minus 200.
Considering the missed vulnerable slam, this looked like a very bad result for Egypt.
East-West at the other table came to the rescue, however.

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bojoh | Nadim | George | Heshmat |
|  | $2 \triangleleft$ | $3 \diamond$ | $3 \searrow$ |
| $4 \triangleleft$ | $5 \triangleleft$ | Dble | Pass |
| $6 \diamond$ | All Pass |  |  |

Heshmat started with the $\vee \mathrm{J}$. Declarer had at least one spade loser no matter how the suit lay, so he had to ruff the opening lead. He cashed the $\triangleleft \mathrm{A}$, played a spade to the ace, ruffed the $\triangle \mathrm{K}$ with dummy's $\diamond \mathbf{Q}$, then played a club to
his ace. The $\triangleleft K$ revealed that there would be no miracle in the trump suit, so he played a spade to South's king. Declarer was soon entering minus 200 on the scorecard.
That was a surprising 9 IMPs to Egypt. Had East-West found the correct strain for slam, it would have been a 15 IMP loss.
Another wild deal brought more IMPs to the Egyptians.


Sadek and El Ahmady did well not to contest the auction further, although there is a profitable save at the six level for East-West. El Ahmady started with the $\triangle K$. Declarer discarded the 10 from dummy, winning the ace in hand, then pulled the lone trump before playing a club to the ace, no doubt planning to lead up to dummy's queen. When the eK fell, declarer claimed 12 tricks.

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bojoh | Nadim | George | Heshmat |
|  |  | $1 \diamond$ | 3\% |
| 3 | $4{ }^{\circ}$ | $4{ }^{1}$ | $5 \bigcirc$ |
| 51 | 68 | Pass | Pass |
| Dble | All Pa |  |  |

The opening lead was the same, declarer discarding the - 10 from dummy and winning in hand. After pulling the outstanding trump, declarer played the Q Krom dummy. West won and switched to a low club, trying to give the impression he held the king. Declarer was not taken in however. Assuming no absurd defensive errot, there was only one chance to make the contract - a singleton ${ }^{\mathbf{E}} \mathrm{K}$ with East. North played the and was happily surprised to see the king appear, and he was soon claiming plus 1210 for a I2-IMP gain.
The score was now 39-25.
On the final deal of the match, Egypt picked up another 7 IMPs when the Indonesians went minus 200 in 2 at one table and minus 100 in 3 at the other.
The final score was 39-32 for Indonesia.

## Championship Diary



An early breakfast gave us time to take a longer than usual look at Monday's Bulletin. Ireland's position at the foot of the Bermuda Bowl table was a big surprise, but then we discovered they had faced USA I, USA 2 and Netherlands on day I.

We have an important message for the captains of those teams who are at the wrong end of the table: If your team loses it is your fault; if they win it is in spite of you.

In yesterday's Bulletin Barry Rigal reported a deal from the BB match between USA I and USA 2. He has discovered that there are some small inaccuracies in his description of the bidding and the play. Interestingly enough an accurate account appears in the New York Times article - written by our proofreader!

As paid-up members of the impoverished authors society we are always keen to recommend that you read (after buying!) as many books as possible.
One not to be missed is: Lone Wolff - Autobiography of a Bridge Maverick, which will be published by Master Point Press in February 2008.


## BERMUDA BOWL

## Round 6



Italy
Fireworlks!
by Mark Horton
You may have been lucky enough to witness some of the fantastic firework displays being staged every night but don't worry too much if you haven't as this match between two of the bridge superpowers provided just as much spectacular entertainment.
The spectators were still settling into their seats when the first deal arrived:

Board I. Dealer North. None Vul.

|  | -104 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Q Q 87432 |  |
|  | $\checkmark 8543$ |  |
|  | -9 |  |
| - A9872 | N | - K 6 |
| $\bigcirc \mathrm{K}$ |  | $\bigcirc$ A 65 |
| $\diamond 7$ |  | $\checkmark$ AJ 1062 |
| * AKQ 1082 | S | - J 54 |
|  | - QJ 53 |  |
|  | ¢ J 109 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ K Q 9 |  |
|  | 9763 |  |

## Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Helgemo | Bocchi | Helness | Duboin |
|  | Pass | $1 \diamond$ | Pass |
| 2\% | Pass | 2 - | Pass |
| 24 | Pass | 3\% | Pass |
| 34 | Pass | 4\% | Pass |
| 4NT* | Pass | 5** | Pass |
| 5NT* | Pass | 64* | Pass |
| 78 | All Pass |  |  |



Lorenzo Lauria

Norway


The Norwegians produced a natural auction where East was able to show a real preference for clubs that was enough for West to drive to the excellent grand slam.
North led the three of hearts and declarer won in hand, cashed the ace of clubs, played a diamond to the ace, ruffed a diamond, crossed to the king of spades and ruffed another diamond.
The position in the diamond suit meant there was no need to ruff any spades in dummy, +1440 .

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Versace | Saelensminde | Lauria | Brogeland |
|  | Pass | $1 \diamond$ | Pass |
| 2\% | Pass | 2 | Pass |
| 39 | Pass | 4\% | Pass |
| $4 \diamond$ | Pass | $4 \bigcirc$ | Pass |
| 49 | Pass | 4NT | Pass |
| 5 | Pass | 54 | Pass |
| 78 | All Pass |  |  |

It was not surprising to see the Italians match their opponents in the bidding and the play was virtually identical, no swing.
Board 2 saw both teams reach a decent slam that was unbeatable and after a brief part score interlude this deal surfaced:

Board 4. Dealer West. All Vul.


Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Helgemo | Bocchi | Helness | Duboin |
| Pass | 14 | 4NT* | Pass |
| 6\% | Dble | Pass | 6 $*^{*}$ |
| Dble | 64 | Pass | Pass |
| Dble | All Pass |  |  |

I was commentating in the VuGraph theatre and when Helness bid an imaginative 4NT (choose your own adjective) I predicted that Helgemo might take him seriously and bid 6\%.
When he did Bocchi may have wondered if they were all playing with the same deck.
On the obvious trump lead declarer rates to make only eight tricks, a small matter of -IIO0. However, the bidding is over only when the next three players pass, and when South saw fit to remove the double East/West had escaped in a manner that would have made Houdini proud.
East led the queen of diamonds and declarer ruffed and after deep thought played a heart to the ten. When that held he cashed the ace of hearts, ruffed a diamond, cashed the top hearts, discarding a club and a diamond and played a winning heart, ruffed and overruffed. Now he ruffed the ace of diamonds.
I had projected the play this far on VuGraph and pointed out that if West overuffed with the king of spades declarer would be home.
However, there was a counter and of course Helgemo found it.
He simply discarded a club. He ruffed the next heart, overuffed by declarer, who could do no better than play ace of spades and a spade. West could win and force declarer's last trump with the ace of clubs. That was one down, -200.

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Versace | Saelensminde | Lauria | Brogeland |
| 19 | Pass | INT | $2 \rrbracket$ |
| Pass | $4 \varnothing$ | $4 N T^{*}$ | Pass |
| $5 \%$ | Dble | All Pass |  |

East was also willing to venture 4NT at this table. North led a club and declarer won and played a diamond. North took the king and played the nine of diamonds. South ruffed and exited with a trump, +800 and 14 IMPs to Norway.
At more than one table the final contract was is by West!
The next deal offered the players (and the commentators) no respite:

Board 5. Dealer North. N/S Vul.
\& K Q 1076
คQ7643
$\triangleleft 87$
\& 10


## Open Room

| West <br> Helgemo | North <br> Bocchi | East <br> Helness | South <br> Duboin <br> Pass |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1 \diamond$ | Pass | Pass | Pass |
| 4 NT* $^{*}$ | $2 \diamond^{*}$ | $3 \&$ | $4 \searrow$ |
| $6 \&$ | Pass | $5 \Omega^{*}$ | Pass |

When East was able to introduce his club suit at the three level West checked for key cards and then bid the slam, +920 .

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Versace | Saelensminde | Lauria | Brogeland |
|  | Pass | Pass | Pass |
| $1 \diamond$ | 19 | 29 | 29 |
| $4 \mathrm{NT}^{*}$ | Pass | 59 | All Pass |

Although North elected to conceal his heart suit the situation was not very different. However, having received the answer to his enquiry West was unwilling to go on to slam. South led the jack of hearts so declarer was +440 , but that cost Italy 10 IMPs.

Board 8. Dealer West. None Vul.

- KQ4

ค9854
$\diamond 72$

- 10973


Alfredo Versace

## Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Helgemo | Bocchi | Helness | Duboin |
| $2 \checkmark *$ | Pass | 38* | Dble |
| 39 | Pass | Pass | $4 \bigcirc$ |
| 49 | Dble | All Pass |  |

If you are a super-star the normal rules simply don't apply. When, having opened with a Multi, Helgemo went on to 44 I was immediately reminded of the legendary Rix Markus, another player who was always happy to keep bidding after preempting.
North led the seven of diamonds and the defence played three rounds of the suit, North ruffing and switching to a club for a fast +500 .

## Closed Room

| West | North <br> Versace | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Saelensminde | Lauria | Brogeland |  |
| 2a | Pass | Pass | Dble |
| Pass | 2NT | Pass | $4 \searrow$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

Notice that East did not even raise to 34 .
Declarer lost to the major suit aces, +450 and 2 IMPs for Italy.

Board 9. Dealer North. E/WVul.
Q QJ 873
$\bigcirc$ A
$\checkmark$ A 2
A6542
\$ 9642
-108
$\diamond$ KJ 106

- J 73

© K 5
-9532
$\diamond$ Q 8743
- 98
- A 10
©K QJ 764
$\diamond 95$
- K Q IO


## Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Helgemo | Bocchi | Helness | Duboin |
|  | 19 | Pass | 2 ** |
| Pass | 2)* | Pass | 3 |
| Pass | 3 | Pass | 34 |
| Pass | 420 | Pass | 4 |
| Pass | 5\% | Pass | 5 |
| Pass | 6 | All Pass |  |

$2 \triangleleft$ was a transfer to hearts, and $2 \triangleleft$ promised four or more clubs. At the end of the auction the cameraman was able to show both Italians offering an explanation to their respective screen-mates. Unfortunately there was no microphone so we can't be sure of the precise meaning of the
subsequent bids, but it clearly did not impart the fact that there was a fair play for all the tricks, +1010 .
How expensive would that prove to be?

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Versace | Saelensminde | Lauria | Brogeland |
|  | 14 | Pass | 28 |
| Pass | 24 | Pass | 38 |
| Pass | 4\% | Pass | $4 \diamond$ |
| Pass | $4 \bigcirc$ | All Pass |  |

When the Norwegians fell well short of the target Italy picked up a badly needed II IMPs.

Board IO. Dealer East. All Vul.

- A 10842
$\curvearrowright$ K
$\diamond K 82$
\& K 754

© K J 5
$\vee \mathrm{J} 1085$
$\diamond$ QJ 963
\& $A$
Open Room

| West <br> Helgemo | North <br> Bocchi | East <br> Helness | South <br> Duboin <br> Pass |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pass | Dble | 3 |  |
| All Pass |  |  | Past |



Giorgio Duboin

There was some discussion as the best bid on the North cards. You can decide if you prefer a direct 34. You might also consider if there is any case for passing the double on the South cards.
West led the queen of clubs and we immediately observed that declarer was unlikely to make a winning guess in spades.
He won in hand and played the jack of diamonds. West took the ace and continued with the jack of clubs. Declarer ducked that, discarding the jack of spades from his hand and won the next club discarding the three of diamonds.
Now came some more serious thinking - declarer could be sure of eleven of East's cards - seven hearts, three clubs and one diamond - but what were the other two?
For the moment it didn't matter, as declarer set out to develop a heart trick by playing the king of hearts.
If East wins this he has no good move - a spade is clearly hopeless and if East and South play some ping pong in the heart suit West will be squeezed - but Helness found the only way to set declarer a problem by ducking - earning cheers from the Norwegian supporters.
Declarer came to hand with a spade and played the jack of hearts.
If East wins that he can cash another heart but then the next heart will see West squeezed, so Helness ducked once more.
A great try, but now declarer could simply play a spade to dummy's ace. When the queen fell he emerged with a couple of overtricks for +660 .
A great hand featuring top-class play and defence.

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Versace | Saelensminde | Lauria | Brogeland |
|  |  | 38 | Pass |
| Pass | 34 | Pass | 4)* |
| Pass | 4. | All Pass |  |



Erik Saelensminde

East led the ace of hearts and switched to the six of clubs. Declarer won in dummy perforce and played a diamond to the king. When that held he cross ruffed clubs and hearts and arrived at ten tricks, +620 to lose I IMP.

Board II. Dealer South. None Vul.

- K 32

ค863
$\diamond$ AKJ 64
96

- 109654

81075
$\diamond 932$
\& AJ

| N | - A Q 7 |
| :---: | :---: |
| W E | $\bigcirc$ AQ 94 |
|  | $\checkmark$ Q 8 |
| S | - KQ43 |
| - J 8 |  |
| ¢ KJ 2 |  |
| $\diamond 1075$ |  |
| -108752 |  |

## Open Room

| West <br> Helgemo | North <br> Bocchi | East <br> Helness | South <br> Duboin <br> Pass |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pass | $1 \diamond$ | Dble | Pass |
| I | Pass | 2 | Pass |
| 4 | All Pass |  |  |

North led the king of diamonds and South followed with the five (an odd card is described as encouraging and high/low would show an odd number). North cashed the ace of diamonds and South played the ten - presumably intended as a suit preference signal for hearts. However, no doubt thinking South had shown a doubleton diamond, North played a third round of the suit. Declarer ruffed, came to hand with a club, played two rounds of spades via the finesse, came back to hand with a club and played a trump. There were two clubs in dummy to take care of the losing hearts, +420 .
For my money South should play the ten on the first round of diamonds and then the seven, trusting that North will then switch to a heart, which is enough to defeat the contract.

## Closed Room

| West | North <br> Versace | East | South <br> Saelensminde |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bauria | Lauss <br> Pass |  |  |
| Pass | $1 \diamond$ | Dble | Pass |
| I | Pass | INT | All Pass |

South led his partner's suit and North cashed five diamond tricks before switching to a heart. Declarer was down to AQ in both majors, so he only needed one majorsuit finesse to work. It was the spade suit that delivered the seventh trick, +90 but 8 IMPs to Norway.

Board I2. Dealer West. N/S Vul.

- A 3

๑Q 753
$\triangleleft$ Q 864
K K 92

| -1096542 | N | - Q 87 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc \mathrm{J} 4$ |  | $\bigcirc$ A 109 |
| $\checkmark$ J 10 |  | $\diamond 7532$ |
| 2) 86 | S | \& A 75 |
|  | - KJ |  |
|  | PK862 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ AK 9 |  |
|  | 2 Q 1043 |  |

## Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Helgemo | Bocchi | Helness | Duboin |
| Pass | Pass | Pass | INT |
| Pass | $29 *$ | Pass | $2 \boxtimes$ |
| Pass | $4 \Omega$ | All Pass |  |

In the delicate 4-4 fit declarer won the opening lead of the jack of diamonds in hand and played a heart to the queen and ace. East returned the two of diamonds for the nine, ten and queen, and declarer played a heart to the king. Declarer did not want to play a diamond and see someone ruff and exit with a spade so he rejected any idea of a total elimination and cashed two rounds of spades before cashing the king of diamonds. He exited with a heart and East won and played back a diamond. When declarer got the club right, playing West for the jack, he was +620 .

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Versace | Saelensminde | Lauria | Brogeland |
| Pass | Pass | Pass | INT |
| Pass | 3NT | All Pass |  |

West led the four of spades and declarer went up with dummy's ace and played a club. Do you blame East for going in with the ace and playing back the queen of spades?
Now declarer had enough minor suit tricks to arrive at the required number, +600 .


Would South have got the suit right if East had played low?
We will never know.
Board I3. Dealer North. All Vul.

- A 98754
® J
Q 754
- 32
© K 10
『9873
$\diamond A K 2$
\& 1965

- Q 63
-104
$\diamond$ J 9
ค AKQ874
- J 2
-AKQ652
$\diamond 10863$
- 10


## Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Helgemo | Bocchi | Helness | Duboin |
|  | Pass | $1{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 18 |
| 20* | Pass | 30* | Pass |
| 34 | Pass | 420 | Pass |
| 5\% | All Pass |  |  |

When East/West established that they did not have a heart stop (little did they know) they pressed on to 5 . That was quickly one down, -I00.

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Versace | Saelensminde | Lauria | Brogeland |
|  | $2 \diamond *$ | $3 \underbrace{*}$ | $3 \Phi^{*}$ |
| 3NT | All Pass |  |  |

West's practical decision paid a huge dividend.
North led the jack of hearts and South overtook it, cashed a second heart and switched to the jack of spades. Declarer claimed ten tricks, +630 and 12 IMPs that brought Italy to within a whisker of equality.

Board I4. Dealer East. None Vul.
, A Q 2
$\bigcirc$ -
$\diamond$ Q 7432

* AKQJ4
\& KJ8754
คA652
$\diamond$ A 98
2-


1. 6

QKJIO8 73
$\diamond$ K 65
\& 752
4. 1093
$\checkmark$ Q 94
$\checkmark$ JIO
2 109863

## Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Helgemo | Bocchi | Helness | Duboin |
|  |  | $2 \diamond *$ | Pass |
| $4 \square^{*}$ | Dble | All Pass |  |

The Multi was getting a good outing in this match (l thought I would mention that as I am currently writing a book about it).
When North doubled (was 4NT a better shot?) South passed without a care in the world.
Declarer ruffed the club lead and after some thought played the king of spades. North won and tried a diamond. Declarer won with dummy's king of diamonds, ruffed a club and ruffed a spade.
A third club ruff was followed by a spade ruffed with the jack of hearts. Now declarer came to hand with a trump, cashed the ace of diamonds and played a spade to get rid of dummy's last diamond, the overtrick delivering +690 .

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South <br> Versace |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Saelensminde | Lauria <br> Brogeland |  |  |
| $4 \searrow$ | Dble | $2 \vee$ | Pass Pass |

South led the jack of diamonds and declarer won in hand and played a spade to the jack and queen. He won the diamond return in dummy, ruffed a spade, ruffed a club and exited with a diamond. North won and played a club. Declarer ruffed in dummy and ruffed a spade with the jack of hearts. South, who had discarded a spade on the third round of diamonds, was now sure of a trump trick, but declarer had the rest, +590 for 3 IMPs to Norway.


Tor Helness

If the players were as exhausted as the commentators they were given no chance to relax by the penultimate deal:

Board I5. Dealer South. N/S Vul.

- AKQ 87

QQ1072
$\diamond A 92$

- 5
- J 1095
-J54
$\diamond 85$
\& AJ 76

- 62
$\bigcirc 3$
$\diamond$ K 106
\& K Q 108432
43
PAK986
$\diamond$ QJ 743
- 9


## Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Helgemo | Bocchi | Helness | Duboin 18 |
| Pass | 2** | 49 | Pass |
| 5\% | 5 ${ }^{*}$ | Pass | 6 |
| Pass | 68 | Pass | Pass |
| 7\% | Pass | Pass | Dble |

All Pass
For those of you who are interested in the Italian's methods the response of 20 asks for strength and distribution and if opener shows a maximum hand it becomes game forcing.
Normally 20 is bid with these type of hands:
5+\% II + HCP
Balanced hand with or w/o a fit, I2+HCP
Limit 3 card raise in partner's suit
Strong hand with fit in this case they can have longer $\diamond$ or $\bigcirc$ not very good suit.
East's vigorous preemption and West's raise left North/South short of room and they were soon in the doomed slam (should/could East have doubled 5 $\triangleleft$ ?).
However, as I predicted, West took out insurance and saved - four down, -800.

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Versace | Saelensminde | Lauria | Brogeland 18 |
| Pass | 2NT* | 48 | Dble |
| 7\% | Dble | All Pass |  |

West stood not upon the order of his going but went at once.
Norway had preserved their record as the only undefeated team in the BB, winning 38-34 IMPs, I6-14VP.
I leave you to judge for yourselves - was that the match of the tournament so far?


## England

by Brian Senior

Going into the third day's play, both England and USA 2 were going strong near the top of the Venice Cup qualifying rankings and would be looking to consolidate their positions.
The session proved to be a relatively quiet affair with few significant swings, though this was not always the case in other matches.

Board 5. Dealer North. N/S Vul.

- Q 1053
$\triangleright$ A Q 10
$\diamond J 10$
- A 1075

| - A 7 | N | - 84 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc 6432$ |  | $\bigcirc 95$ |
| $\checkmark$ K 6 | W E | $\checkmark$ AQ987432 |
| 2 QJ 864 | S | $\pm 9$ |
|  | 4 KJ962 |  |
|  | -KJ87 |  |
|  | $\checkmark 5$ |  |


| West <br> Quinn | North <br> Brunner <br> INT | East <br> Picus | South <br> Goldenfield |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Pass |  |  | Dble |

Cheri Bjerkan opened $1 \boldsymbol{q}$, potentially a three-card suit, and Kerri Sanborn made a negative double of Nicola Smith's pre-emptive overcall. Now I can sympathise with Heather Dhondy's not raising to $5 \diamond$ immediately, as once in a while opener will have a weak no trump with no fourcard major and be about to pass $4 \diamond$ doubled, but when 4® came around she should surely have saved at this vulnerability.
Smith took the lo opening sufficiently seriously that she led her doubleton heart rather than the singleton club, and that went to declarer's ace. Bjerkan led a spade to the king and ace. Dhondy played the king of diamonds which Smith overtook to lead her remaining heart as it was possible that Dhondy had the queen-ten. It didn't matter, of course. Bjerkan won, drew trumps and eventually conceded a club; +620 .
At the other table, Michelle Brunner opened a weak no trump and that too attracted a $4 \diamond$ overcall. Goldenfield

## USA 2 <br> V

doubled that and Brunner passed it out. I don't know whether the double was penalty or take-out. In my opinion, if it was penalty then 4s might have been a better choice; if take-out, then it should have been taken out (with which the late Edgar Kaplan would no doubt have heartily agreed).
The penalty was, of course, totally inadequate compensation for the cold vulnerable game. Rhona Goldenfield led the six of spades. Sue Picus won with dummy's ace, Brunner playing an encouraging ten. With the queen of clubs. Brunner took the ace, cashed the ace of hearts and queen of spades, then played a second heart; down one for - 100 but II IMPs to USA2.

Board 9. Dealer North. E/W Vul.

West
Quinn
24
West
North
Brunner
I
All Pass

| North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bjerkan | Smith | Sanborn |
| $3 \varnothing$ | $3 \mathbf{~}$ | All Pass |

Bjerkan opened $3 \checkmark$ and Smith made the obvious 3s overcall, ending the auction. Sanborn led her heart, won by the queen. Bjerkan switched to her singleton club. Smith rose with the ace and played ace and another spade to the king. Sanborn was endplayed and exited with a low club to dummy's ten. Smith cashed the king of clubs, then played the king of diamonds to the ace, Bjerkan dropping the queen. A diamond to the ten now allowed Bjerkan to cash the ace of hearts, the setting trick; -100 .
Brunner decided that she was too good for a favourable vulnerability $3 \bigcirc$ opening, so tried $I \vee$ instead. Fair enough, but maybe when partner bids $2 \diamond$ it is necessary to rebid $3 \triangleleft$ despite the low point-count, because the hand has been improved by the diamond bid? The play in 2 began as we
have already seen but, after winning the second club in dummy, Picus tried the 86 off the table. Brunner went in with the ace and led a diamond through; eight tricks for $+1 I 0$ and 5 IMPs to USA2.

Board I3. Dealer North. All Vul.

|  | - J 7 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 8 AQ96542 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark 7$ |  |  |
|  | \& 95 |  |  |
| - Q 10543 | N |  | - A 862 |
| $\bigcirc$ - w |  |  | $\bigcirc \mathrm{K} 7$ |
| $\diamond$ AQ9 W |  |  | $\diamond$ K 10853 |
| * K 10843 | S |  | - 42 |
|  | - K 9 |  |  |
|  | PJ10 83 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ J642 |  |  |
|  | - Q 76 |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Quinn | Brunner Picus |  | Goldenfield |
|  | 38 | Dble | Pass |
| 48 | Pass | $4{ }^{1}$ | Pass |
| 58 | Pass | 6 | Pass |
| 61 | All Pass |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Dhondy | Bjerkan | Smith | Sanborn |
|  | 38 | Dble | $4 \bigcirc$ |
| 54 | Pass | 64 | All Pass |

Both East/West pairs did well to reach the excellent spade slam after North's heart pre-empt for a flat board at +1430 . At the risk of becoming permanently persona non grata with the English ladies, however, I do not understand Goldenfield's pass over $3 \vee$ doubled. That allowed Shawn Quinn to follow a nice auction with the West cards, while the simple and, to me, automatic raise to $4 \checkmark$ made life much more difficult for Dhondy, and Smith did well to go on to 6s with her close to minimum double. In my experience, telling good opposition that you have a fit for partner does not help them nearly so much as leaving them extra bidding space.
With three deals to play USA 2 had held their opponents to just 5 IMPs, and led by 2I-5, but now came a big swing to the English.


Board I4. Dealer East. None Vul.
$\Delta A 865$
$\diamond-$
$\diamond$ J 1052
J J 10963

- J 4

QQJIO842
$\Delta$ A 43

- A 2


คA97653
$\diamond-$
\& Q 8754

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Quinn | Brunner | Picus | Goldenfield |
|  |  | $1 \diamond$ | 18 |
| Dble | $4 \bigcirc$ | $4{ }^{1}$ | 58 |
| Pass | Pass | 54 | Pass |
| Pass | 68 | Pass | Pass |
| Dble | All Pass |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Dhondy | Bjerkan | Smith | Sanborn |
|  |  | $1 \diamond$ | 18 |
| $1{ }^{1}$ | 21 | $4 \bigcirc$ | 5 |
| Pass | 5 | All Pass |  |

Dhnondy could bid a four-card spade suit so did so, and now Bjerkan's 2s cuebid allowed Smith to show her heart shortage and spade support. Sanborn in turn cuebid $5 \diamond$, but neither of these actions did much to excite their respective partners and the bidding died in $5 \vee$.
Quinn had to start with a double on the West hand and Brunner simply leaped to $4 \checkmark$ to put pressure on her opponents. When Picus could bid 44, Goldenfield went on to $5 \boxtimes$ because of her substantial extra playing strength, and Brunner guessed that her two aces plus great trump length would be what was required for slam.
Of course, slam is a very lucky make, needing the club king to fall, but we are allowed to get lucky once in a while. It was +480 to Sanborn but +1210 to Goldenfield, and that meant 12 IMPs to England.
A smaller swing to each team on the last two deals saw USA 2 take a narrow win by 26 - 19 IMPs, 16 -I4 VPs.
Incidentally, you might think that anyone who reached 68 on that last deal got lucky, but it is not impossible to go down in 68 . Firstly, what would you consider to be your best shot should West choose to lead the jack of clubs against the slam? Might you think of running it? And then we heard of one former world champion, who shall remain anonymous (as long as the cheques keep arriving), who received the lead of ace and another spade. He thought that his best chance to make the contract was what is known in England as a Chinese finesse - leading the queen of clubs in the hope that West would not cover. Ouch!

