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# GOLD AND SILVER WALTZ 



## Professor Baldi's New Vu-Graph

Today we hope to see three great finals. In the Open Bessis take on the Texan Aces, both teams being convincing winners of their semi-final. In the Seniors, Kaminski overcame a half-time deficit to earn the right to face France Seniors. Last, but by no means least, Penfold meet Netherlands Women I, both teams managing to win one of the two sets whilst drawing the other.
Congratulations to the bronze medallists, Orange 2 \& Apteker (Open), Markowicz \& Sorvoll, (Senior) and Poland \& Denmark, (Women).

## TEAMS PRIZE GIVING

## Senior - Women - Open Teams

The prize giving ceremony in the 3rd European Open Bridge Championships will take place in the Kremlin Palace (3rd floor VuGraph area) today June 27 at 20.30. Cocktails will follow.
The following prizes will be awarded:
The first four teams in the Seniors
The first four teams in the Women
The first four teams in the Open
Players who are collecting awards at the prize giving ceremony are requested to seat themselves in the chairs reserved for them in the offset area to the right when you are facing the podium.


## OPEN TEAMS

| ROUND OF8 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  | Ist | 2nd | total |
|  | BESSIS | 40 | 10 | 50 |
|  | ZIA | 11 | 20 | 31 |
| 2 | ORANGE 2 | 40 | 32 | 72 |
|  | PONT | 11 | 22 | 33 |
| 3 | ORANGE I | 8 | 12 | 20 |
|  | TEXAN ACES | 35 | 29 | 64 |
| 4 | APTEKER | 8 | 43 | 51 |
|  | POLAND | 34 | 13 | 47 |


| ROUND OF 4 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Ist | 2nd | total |
| I | BESSIS | 44 | 54 | 98 |
|  | ORANGE 2 | 22 | 15 | 37 |
| 2 | APTEKER | 4 | 19 | 23 |
|  | TEXAN ACES | 42 | 33 | 75 |



## WOMEN TEAMS

| ROUND OF8 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  | Ist | 2nd | total |
|  | POLAND | 5 | 37 | 42 |
|  | GER-CRO | 10 | 28 | 38 |
| 2 | NL LADIES2 | 24 | 24 | 48 |
|  | NL WOMENI | 49 | 24 | 73 |
| 3 | NORDEN | 15 | 35 | 50 |
|  | PENFOLD | 61 | 31 | 92 |
| 4 | LA CUCINA ITALIANA | 25 | 19 | 44 |
|  | DENMARK | 29 | 31 | 60 |


| ROUND OF 4 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Ist | 2nd | total |
| I | POLAND | 28 | 12 | 40 |
|  | NL WOMENI | 28 | 33 | 61 |
| 2 | DENMARK | 17 | 21 | 38 |
|  | PENFOLD | 36 | 21 | 57 |

## SENIOR TEAMS

| ROUND OF8 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  | Ist | 2nd | total |
|  | KAMINSKI | 43 | 40 | 83 |
|  | RICCIARELLI | 8 | 24 | 32 |
| 2 | SHARE | 26 | 15 | 41 |
|  | MARKOWICZ | 29 | 50 | 79 |
| 3 | FRANCE SENIORS | 17 | 38 | 55 |
|  | EICHHOLZER | 13 | 8 | 21 |
| 4 | NL SENIORI | 42 | 10 | 52 |
|  | SORVOLL | 25 | 46 | 71 |


| ROUND OF 4 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Ist | 2nd | total |
| I | KAMINSKI | 17 | 50 | 67 |
|  | MARKOWICZ | 34 | 14 | 48 |
| 2 | FRANCE SENIORS | 27 | 12 | 39 |
|  | SORVOLL | 9 | 27 | 36 |

## Senior Teams - Day 2

## by Patrick Jourdain

If I am not to reveal my team's poor results on the second day this will have to be a very short report. Our opponents played with great skill, in contrast to our own efforts.
Round 3 was the Turkish team containing my old friend (well, it is the Seniors) Halit Bigat of Switzerland. They led the field after a big win over Nissan Rand.
Bigat's partner, Selcuk Atalik, made the crucial decision on this deal:

Board 6. Dealer East. E/W Vul.

|  | ¢ 6 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc$ AK |  |
|  | $\diamond 108743$ |  |
|  | \& AKJ93 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \& \text { K Q } 1052 \\ & \text { \&Q } 10874 \\ & \diamond \text { A } 52 \end{aligned}$ | N | - A 8 |
|  |  | Q9652 |
|  |  | $\diamond \mathrm{K} \mathrm{QJ}$ |
|  | S | - 10874 |
|  | -19743 |  |
|  | ¢ J 3 |  |
|  | $\checkmark 96$ |  |
|  | \& Q 652 |  |

The bidding began the same way at both tables:


Christopher Dixon

As they decided to sacrifice over Four Hearts the two Souths might have bid more than Three Clubs the round earlier. Dixon's decision then to take the penalty looks normal enough but Atalik pressed on to Five Hearts.
Against Five Hearts doubled I cashed the ace, king of trumps but before I had a chance to play the ace of clubs declarer claimed, recording 850.At the other table Five Clubs doubled had failed by only two tricks. II IMPs to Bigat.
This deal was the talk of one match in round 5:
Board I8. Dealer East. N/S Vul.
ゅ 64
$\bigcirc$ Q 82
$\diamond A 9872$
\& 754

$$
A 952
$$

$\diamond 1065$
$\diamond K Q 1$
$\& 983$

© K J 10
$\checkmark$ AK
$\diamond 10543$
\& K Q J 6
¢ Q 873
ค J 9743
$\diamond 6$
\& A 102
The contract at almost every table in the Senior and Women's events was Three No-trumps by East. South leads a heart to the queen and ace. A diamond is led to North's ace and a second heart clears the suit for the defence.
Knowing South has longer hearts the popular line was to place North with the queen of spades. At both tables in my match declarer crossed to a dummy with a diamond and led a spade to the jack. When this lost the game was two down.
But at the table where Grenthe was declarer at trick four he led the ten of spades and when South played low, let it run. Next declarer unblocked the diamonds and returned to the king of spades to cash the last diamond.
Five cards remained. South, Rhona Goldenfield, had to keep two spades to guard that suit, and the ace of clubs, so she only had room to keep two winning hearts. Declarer led the jack of spades which South, of course, did not cover. Declarer let his jack win and then played a club. South took three winners but had to concede the last trick to dummy's ace of spades. Declarer had used a steppingstone squeeze to make his contract. Only if South covers the ten of spades on the first round is declarer blocked off from his nine tricks.
For the amusement of sadists only I report that there was one board in this round where a popular contract was Three Notrumps by North-South, reached at both tables in our match. Deep Finesse provided the news that Three No-trumps can indeed be made, but only by East-West. At my table the opponents unkindly doubled it for 1400 , whereas our team-mates more generously sacrificed against their own making game. (Doubtless Patrick must have been expecting to gain IMPs at his table. Ed.)

There were plenty of possibilities on this deal:
Board 27. Dealer South. None Vul.

|  | ¢ KJIO 3 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc$ A Q 65 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark 73$ |  |  |
|  | \& 965 |  |  |
| - | N |  | - Q 97 |
| 8KJ10743 |  |  | $\bigcirc-$ |
| $\checkmark$ J6 |  | E | $\diamond$ A Q 10954 |
| -87432 | S |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 982$ |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ K 82 |  |  |
| \& J |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
|  | Jourdain |  | Tedd |
|  |  |  | 2 |
| Pass | 34 | 4 | Pass |
| $4{ }^{\circ}$ | Pass | $5 \checkmark$ | Pass |
| Pass | Dble | All Pass |  |

Six Clubs by West cannot be beaten, but by East heart leads defeat it. This is because South, when in with the diamond king, can lead a second heart later to promote partner's nine of trumps. Our opponent did not offer the option of the club suit so South was on lead against Five Diamonds.
He successfully chose the singleton club. Declarer ruffed two of his spades in dummy returning with heart ruffs to play ace and nine of diamonds. However, Mike Tedd knew I held the king of spades because my first spade had been the JACK. So he won the second diamond with his king, underled his ace of spades to my king, and I returned a club for him to ruff and defeat the game.


Halit Bigat

## La haute cuisine Bulgarian or the fine art of playing pairs

by Jan van Cleeff

Dessy Popova was gracious enough to share some secrets from the Bulgarian haute cuisine of pairs play with your editor. For those who have already forgotten, Dessy and her partner Rossen Gunev won the Open EC mixed title last week.

Board I5. Dealer South. N/S Vul.

|  | - 3 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc$ Q 10982 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ KQ 93 |  |
|  | \& 875 |  |
| Q J64 | N | - K Q 102 |
| $\bigcirc$ AJ |  | $\bigcirc 764$ |
| $\checkmark 10876$ |  | $\checkmark$ A 52 |
| 2 AK93 | S | 2. 104 |
|  | - A 9875 |  |
|  | - K 53 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ J 4 |  |
|  | \& Q 62 |  |


| West Gunev | North | East <br> Popova | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Pass |
| $1 \diamond^{*}$ | 18 | Dble* | Rdble* |
| 19* | Pass | Pass | 28 |
| 2. | Pass | Pass | Dble* |
| Pass | $3 \checkmark$ | 34* | Dble |

All Pass
I $\diamond \quad$ Precision
Dble promises exactly four spades
Redble maximum
Is promises exactly three spades
Dble explained by Gunev's screenmate as penalties, explained by Popova's screenmate as take out
34 it's pairs
Against the doubled partscore North duly led his stiff trump to South's ace and another spade was fired back. Declarer played a low heart from dummy and South erred by inserting her king. Gunev won the ace and exited with the jack of hearts. There was nothing left for the defence. Declarer made three spade tricks in dummy, the ace of hearts and a heart ruff, the ace of diamonds and, due to the favourable club position, three club tricks.
On the first round of hearts South should have followed low, on which declarer might try the jack. North wins the queen and should now switch to a diamond, in order to kill declarer's communications. Against that defence, three spades can no longer be made anymore.

## Appeal No. II Norway v Turkey

## Appeals Committee:

Jens Auken (Chairman, Denmark), Herman De Wael (Scribe, Belgium), Jean-Claude Beineix (France), PO Sundelin (Sweden)

Open Pairs Qualifying Round I
Board 21. Dealer North. N/S Vul.

|  | - 73 <br> - J 987 <br> $\diamond A K$ Q IO <br> - K Q 10 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 AKQJ6 | N | -10952 |
| $\bigcirc 543$ |  | $\bigcirc$ Q 102 |
| $\checkmark 9$ |  | $\checkmark 874$ |
| +9852 | S | - AJ 4 |
|  | - 84 |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \otimes A K 6 \\ & \diamond 16532 \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | + 763 |  |


| West <br> Kanar | North <br> Brandsnes | East <br> Seçer | South <br> Hoyland |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | INT | Pass | Pass |
| $2 \boldsymbol{2 s}$ | Pass | $2 \diamond$ | Dble |
| $2 \boldsymbol{3}$ | $3 \diamond$ | All Pass |  |

Comments: 2either diamonds, or hearts and spades
Contract: Three Diamonds, played by North
Lead: Spade
Play: A spade to West, club for king and ace, spade to West, club to the queen, 3 rounds of trumps, heart ace and king and a club to try and endplay East.

Result: 8 tricks, NS -I00
The Facts: West had explained his call of 2 correctly (diamonds or majors), but had added that it could be 5-3. East had also explained it in the same way, but he had not added the possibility that the distribution could start at 53. North called the Director at the end of the match, claiming that if he had known that hearts could be divided 3-3, he would have played the double finesse in hearts, and would actually have scored 10 tricks.

## The Director:

Tried to establish the system of East/West. The Convention Card shows that every bid shows either 6 cards in the suit above, or a two-suiter in the other 2 . The Director concluded that it would be quite common to use the bid with a 5-3 distribution. So North had been misinformed.

The Director then asked if this had affected the play. Even if the true explanation is that the distribution could be $5-3$, that does not guarantee that this is the true holding. He thought the declarer would play in the same manner most of the time, also since the double finesse in hearts is very unlikely to succeed.

## Ruling:

Both sides receive:
$33 \%$ of $3 \diamond+1$ by North (NS +130)
plus $67 \%$ of $3 \diamond-I$ by North (NS -IO0)

## Relevant Laws:

Law 75A, 40C
Law I2C3, Code of Practice enabling Tournament Director to award Adjusted Scores under Law I2C3.

North/South appealed.
Present: All players and a translator for East/West

## The Players:

North explained that after the first three tricks he knew that West held far better spades than hearts. He also knew West had 4 hearts, and so the finesse could not work. But the endplay would certainly work.
East/West, through their translator, explained that in their system, the two-suiter always promises 5-4, but that West merely added what he had, trying to be helpful.
South said that he had the feeling, at the table, that after the board finished, that East and West had both agreed that a 5-3 was possible.

The Committee: Found that it was not clear that there was misinformation, but since West does have a 5-3 distribution, the explanation that it is possible may well be part of their system.
It was also not clear that North would play differently with better information, but the Committee was willing to go along with the Director in finding that I/3 of the time declarer would play on a different line.
It was, however, not obvious that North, even if he decided to play for the hearts to be 3-3, would play far the double finesse. It was far more likely that North would endplay either defender in clubs, leading to 9 tricks.
The Committee found that North/South should not have appealed the ruling, which had been quite favourable to them.

## The Committee's decision:

Director's ruling adjusted:
Both sides receive:
$33 \%$ of $3 \diamond=$ by North (NS + I 10)
plus $67 \%$ of $3 \diamond-I$ by North (NS -I00)
Deposit: Forfeited

## Polish Deals

Rafal Jagniewski is playing with Michael Kwiecien and he gave me a couple of deals that illustrated a nice point of technique, as well as a degree of optimism that makes Pollyanna look positively depressing.

Board 23. Dealer South. All Vul.


Rafal correctly determined that he would be defending


Rafal Jagniewski
against a 6-3 fit, and that dummy figured to have short spades. There would be no hurry to lead spades since his partner rated to have five weak spades (given no immediate overcall). So he led a low trump, figuring that on a good day he might score a trump trick if his partner had the doubleton king or queen!
His prediction worked out well, for an odd reason. Kwiecien won the diamond ace and shifted to a deceptive heart three, to the king and ace. Back came a second heart, and Kwiecien won the queen and played his low heart. Since his carding was entirely consistent with holding three hearts, and if declarer ruffed high he would have to find the diamond jack, South ruffed with the ten, and the diamond jack did indeed provide the setting trick.

We saw from this board that the Poles' standards for vulnerable overcalls are quite high. So Rafal's actions on the next deal are partly explicable - but only partly so!!

Board 22. Dealer East. E/WVul.


For this deal the players had swapped seats, and Jagniewski thus found himself with a remarkably good hand and remarkably loaded in spades.A pessimist would double Four Spades - cards not penalties - an optimist would bid Five Diamonds. Rafal tried Five Spades! And when I raised an eyebrow he commented 'Give partner as little as void, AQx/Kxxxxxx/Axx and maybe we make a grand slam!' up to a point, Lord Copper....
South doubled Five Spades and also West's retreat to Six Diamonds, a Lightner double with a lot of sense behind it. Alas for him North led a club and declarer claimed I540. Note that on a heart lead - probably the right choice since if South were void in clubs North might score his trick anyway the 3-0 trump break leaves declarer too much to do. Declarer cannot draw trumps before giving up a club and South can leave his partner on lead to get his heart ruff.

## What do you have to do to get a swing around here?

by Patrick Jourdain

All through the Senior Teams tournament Patrick Jourdain has been moaning about the excellent quality of the bridge played against his team in his event. Not that it explains his team's performance, but as Jourdain explained, his is the 'strongman' team, accustomed to carrying the other teams on its back.
So far his squad has only been able to beat teams with Englishmen in them (three so far) so when he came up against Paul Hackett's squad, he was full of hope.


Paul Hackett

Jourdain declared Five Hearts doubled on a top spade lead. West overtook with the ace and shifted to a top diamond. Jourdain won and passed the heart queen, covered all round as East discarded. Now he had to decide who had the club queen. When faced with the choice of a simple finesse or a squeeze, Jourdain knew what was the appropriate move. He could simply lead a club to the ten, but where was the glamour in that?
Instead he played a club to the king, finessed again in hearts then ran the trumps. In the three-card ending he had reduced to a diamond and two clubs in each hand; but what was West to keep? He elected to bare his diamond jack, so Jourdain exited with a diamond and finessed in clubs on the forced return. Contract made for 650 with Four Spades cold the other way. Patrick was happy till he came to the scoring-up, when he discovered that Paul Hackett had duplicated the bidding and play to the letter. No swing.

On the next deal Hackett was in the hot seat again.
Board I5. Dealer South. N/S Vul.

- K Q 3

Q Q 86
$\checkmark$ AKQ IO

* Q 103

$$
652
$$

$\diamond$ J 10972
$\diamond 9$
5K 942

He played Three No-trumps as North on Peter Czerniewski's unreadable spot-card ideal in hearts. Dummy played the ace, perforce, and West followed with the jack.
Hackett cashed two top diamonds and discovered his nine top winners had turned into eight when West discarded a club. Four rounds of spades followed, East pitching a small heart. Now came a club from dummy. West, Chris Dixon hopped up with the king and shifted to the heart jack. To make the hand it was necessary for Hackett to duck, in effect playing East for his precise hand, AND to have made an error. When he covered the heart, the defence cashed out for two down.
So where was the defensive error? East had to discard the ace of clubs on the fourth spade, in order to preserve all three of his hearts and avoid the endplay.
Yet again, there was no swing though. In the other room declarer rather feebly crossed to dummy by leading the spade queen to the ace and led a low club from dummy to the jack and ace without running the spades. Martin Hoffman immediately returned a club and declarer was down two at once.


Following to the results of the European Open Teams Championship held in Warsaw, and in accordance with the Rules and Regulations, the National Team Champions (the teams which won the main national Open Team Championship in 2006) of the following NBOs, plus the defending Champion Bridge Club Bamberger and the Host Club are invited to participate:

## ENGLAND - FRANCE - HUNGARY - ICELAND IRELAND - ITALY - NETHERLANDS NORWAY - POLAND - SWEDEN

## Venue

The Championship will be held at Radisson Hotel in Wroclaw, Poland, starting on Thursday 8th November at 15.30 and ending on Sunday I Ith November with the Prize-giving and Closing Ceremony at 20.00

## Format

The teams are divided into two groups of six and in each group a complete round-robin of 20 boards will be played. At the end of the round-robin the first two ranked teams will play a KO match of 48 boards ( 4 sessions of I2: Ist A v. 2nd B - Ist B v. 2nd A) in Semi-final A, the third and fourth in Semi-final B (3rd A v. 4th $B-3 r d B v .4$ th $A$ ) and the fifth and sixth in Semi-final C (5th A v. 6th B - 5th B v, 6th A). The winners of Semi-final A will play a KO match of 48 boards ( 4 sessions of I2) for the title; the losers will play a KO Match of 36 boards ( 3 sessions of I2) for the third place; the winners of the Semi-finals $B$ and $C$ will play a KO match of 36 boards ( 3 sessions of I2), respectively, for fifth and ninth places; the losers of these Semifinals will not play.

## Prizes

| Ist | Team | $-5,000$ Euro - EBL Gold Medal - Trophy |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2nd | Team | $-3,000$ Euro - EBL Silver Medal |
| 3rd | Team | $-2,000$ Euro - EBL Bronze Medal |
| 4th | Team $-1,500$ Euro |  |
| 5th | Team $-1,000$ Euro |  |
| 9th | Team -600 Euro |  |

The winning Team will receive the title of the European Champion for Clubs as well as the right to participate in the next edition.

## Further Information

Further detailed information concerning the programme, the schedule of play, the hotel accommodation rates, etc. will be forwarded to the interested NBOs in the first week of July.

## The University Bridge Community: WBF volunteers

José Damiani, President of the WBF has nominated the following WBF-volunteers for university bridge:

| Belgium: | Nina De Kegel |
| :--- | :--- |
| Netherlands: | Bas Tammens |
| Czech Rep: | Milan Macura |
| Norway: | Ivar Berg |
| Denmark: | Christiana Mortenssen |
| Turkey: | Erdem Ozturk |
| Italy: | Carlotta Venier |
| Germany: | Felix Zimmerman |
| Poland: | Bobo |
| France: | Yannick |

The WBF volunteers will get the full support of WBF and FISU to develop bridge at universities in their country for the creation of new bridge clubs and the start of classes at the universities. Of course, this development has to be done in full collaboration with the National University Sport Federation and the National Bridge Federation of their country.

All students who want to become a WBF volunteer, please send an e-mail to geert.magerman@pandora.be

All information about university bridge: www.unibridge.org

- University Bridge: what do we offer you?

To develop a new discipline, tools and information are very important together with much efforts and enthusiasm. What do we offer you to develop university bridge? A summary.

For federations:

- WBF has signed a protocol of recognition and collaboration with FISU: bridge is an official sport since 200I.This protocol will be made available soon at www.unibridge.org.
- WBF has nominated till now about 10 enthusiastic vol-unteer-students who are willing to help you to start new clubs at the universities.
- EUSA (European University Sports Association) will recognize bridge in 2006 in 2 steps. This will surely facilitate the integration of bridge at universities in Europe.


## For students

- a single platform www.unibridge.org with all necessary information about events, contacts, latest news, ... In the future, this website will be made a blog so that it becomes a real point of communication between bridge students.
- very nice events at reasonable or low costs: the FISU event in Tianjin 2006 was fantastic ( 27 teams) and the EBL event in Rotterdam 2005 (29 teams) was great.
- an on-line bridge club " university Room" at BBO.
- free internet bridge classes (on www.unibridge.org).

Of course, we will be continuing to work and offer you as much as we can to help you to develop our sport at universities.

Geert Magerman
Chairman Technical Committee Bridge FISU
(International University Sports Federation)

## University Bridge News

Bridge is becoming an official sport at all Universities and High Schools in Europe

At a meeting on $23 / 2 / 2007$, the Executive Committee of EUSA (European University Sports Association) has taken the decision to recognize bridge as a sport in 2 steps.
(I) The next European University Bridge Championships are held in Brugge from 4 to 9 August 2007 and will be called the "EUSA Bridge Cup 2007".
(2) In 2009, the first EUSA Championships will be organised by EUSA. If your federation is interested to organising these championships, please contact geert.magerman@pandora.be.

This means that all Universities and High Schools in Europe that are recognized by EUSA, will be informed about EUSA's decision about recognition.

Bridge Room at the Summer Universiades in Bangkok
From August 8 till august 18th 2007, FISU (International University Sport Federation) will organise the Summer Universiades in Bangkok for about 10.000 students.

We are currently trying to set up a "Bridge Room" at these Summer Universiades.All participants and visitors will have access to this room, and some tournaments will be organized. The aim of this bridge room is to promote our sport and to let the FISU family know the values of our sport.

You can find Information about the Summer Universiades on: www.fisu.net

Geert Magerman
Chairman Technical Committee Bridge FISU (International University Sports Federation)

## Tracks to Tricks

Ulf Nilsson is playing in the South African/Swedish Team Apteker who turned threatening defeats to victory in both the round of 16 and 8.

Pay a visit to his blog
( http://viewsfromthebridgetable.blogspot.com )
What on earth can we learn from him?
Well, here is one example:
Open Teams Second Swiss
Board I6. Dealer West. E/WVul.

- 92

Q QJ 1084
$\diamond 106532$

- 4


2 A


Frederik Wrang

South led a club to dummy's ace. Ulf continued with a low spade which went to South, who defended very nicely by cashing a high diamond, on which he got a discouraging signal from North, and now exited with a spade to dummy.

Ulf had eight tricks now. A ninth could come from either minor assuming opponents had no communication in hearts. On the run of the spades he could throw three clubs and....what?

He decided to abstain from cashing one of his heart tricks and to try for two extra tricks in the minors; this could only be achieved if South had a singleton heart. He threw his small heart and came to his hand with the ace to reach the following position:


South must throw another card. Ulf will play the suit that South decides to shorten himself in, and he also gets a trick in the other suit.

As you can see, says Ulf, I could also have left a spade in dummy, played two rounds of hearts to my hand to endplay South the same way.

What about Four Spades says I? Can it be made?
Use that as your next quiz Ulf suggests. But as that would need an intellectual workout by the reader we have decided to provide the solution on page 12.


## Zia versus Mahafffey - Round of 16

Zia, having sneaked through the round of 32 , then found himself against Mahaffey, comfortable winner of his match after having led the qualifying group.

The match started quietly but Zia got on the board when both Souths opened light and regretted it, but one far more than the other.

Board 3. Dealer South. E/W Vul.


Both Zia and Mahaffey were clearly playing the theory of the transferred ace on opening bid, since they deemed the South collection to be worth an opening call of One Club. Zia bailed out in Three Clubs, enterprisingly doubled by Fallenius for penalties. Zia received the defence of three rounds of diamonds on which Fallenius pitched a heart not a spade. Zia used one entry to cross to dummy to lead a club to the seven and eight, and now escaped for down one.
In the other room Mahaffey played Five Clubs after a bidding accident. The defence cashed two diamonds then shifted to hearts. Now declarer misguessed what to do in trumps when in dummy for the first time, and had to go down four. Zia led I2-0 and Mahaffey was Shenkin, but not stirred.
The lead had climbed to $14-0$ when both Zia and Mahaffey opened a strong no-trump with a 16 -count and ran into a double on their left.

Board 5. Dealer North. N/S Vul.

- QJ 7

81097
$\diamond 109643$

- J 2
- 43
© KQ 54
$\diamond A K J 72$
-K 8

- 652
$\bigcirc 862$
$\diamond 8$
\& A 109543
- AK 1098
$\vee$ AJ 3
$\diamond$ Q 5
\& Q 76
Fredin's double was penalty; Fallenius sat it out with acesixth of clubs and conceded 180 (maybe a rescue to Two Clubs would have been artificial??). Von Arnim's double showed hearts and a minor. Auken ran to Two Clubs and defended to Two Spades, down a trick. Seven more IMPs and a $21-0$ lead.
The match went quiet for a while, though Fallenius had the entertaining experience of picking up ace-jack to eight hearts and hearing Roy Welland open One Heart in third chair on his right! You or I might have suspected a psyche, but Fallenius simply passed then backed in with hearts later, and sold out to Three Diamonds. He set the hand a trick for +50 , but in the other room they played Three Hearts making +140 - and yes, Welland had KQ93 of hearts, so Fallenius was absolutely right.


Jim Mahaffey

The score was 25 -I when Mahaffey picked up a I2-count with a doubleton spade in fourth chair.

|  | Board I2. Dealer West. N/S Vul.$\text { \& K } 962$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ¢KQ94 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ Q 983 |  |
|  | - J |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \perp \text { A } 873 \\ & \odot \text { A } 108 \end{aligned}$ | N | - QJ 10 |
|  |  | Q J 3 |
| $\diamond 104$ <br> 10974 |  | $\checkmark$ K 62 |
|  | S | ¢ Q 8532 |
|  | - 54 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 7652$ |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ AJ 75 |  |
|  | * AK 6 |  |

Mahaffey's decision to pass the deal out is hard to criticize, but in the other room Zia opened $1 \diamond$ and raised $I \triangleleft$ to 29 . Welland simply drove to the rather poor game of 4 P , and found the cards lying supremely well. Four Hearts made 620 and after a further couple of small swings Zia led 43-5 at the break.
Alas, the power-cut prevented us from seeing anything but the first couple of deals from the second half. After Bertheau/Nystrom for Mahaffey had bid a thin game, and brought it home, to give the trailing team just a little hope, this was the final nail in the coffin for them.

Board 16. Dealer West. E/W Vul.
. 1094
©KJIO43
$\diamond 74$
\& AK 5

- K Q J 3
©A9852
KJ 52
- 



- A 872
$\bigcirc 6$
$\triangleleft$ Q 983
\& QJ 62
While Nystrom/Bertheau bid unopposed to Three Hearts, and made it on a soft defence, Fallenius as South doubled for take-out after hearing his opponents about to stop in Two Hearts. Fredin thought he had enough to pass for penalties, and led a top club, to be very disappointed by the result. Welland ruffed and knocked out the spade ace, then received a heart return. He rose with the ace, cashed the diamond ace and ruffed a club, then took two top spades and had six tricks in the bag. When he led a fourth spade North was 'decompressed' - caught in a reverse squeeze. West could not be prevented from scoring the heart queen in dummy and either a diamond trick or a club ruff, since North could not discard both a diamond and a club.
The II IMPs here gave Zia back a 40 IMP lead and they coasted home from here to win by about that number.


## Tracks to Tricks

We featured Ulf Nilsson making Three No-trumps. Can Four Spades make?

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { \& } 92 \\
& \text { Q QJ } 1084 \\
& \diamond 106532 \\
& \& 4
\end{aligned}
$$

- AK 10653
- K 9652
$\diamond 4$
* A

| N | - 87 |
| :---: | :---: |
| W E | $\bigcirc$ A 3 |
|  | $\diamond$ QJ 7 |
| S | \& QJ9532 |
| Q Q J 4 |  |
| $\bigcirc 7$ |  |
| $\checkmark$ AK 98 |  |
| ¢ K 1087 |  |

The answer is Yes, the contract can be made on any lead.

West cashes all his honours, ace-king of spades, the club ace and the heart king. He exits with a spade to South, who can't play a club since it produces the two extra tricks needed for declarer. If instead he tries two high diamonds, declarer just pitches a heart. A third diamond becomes an entry to dummy to run the club queen through South.

And all the time the heart ace remains as a precious step to dummy's trick(s)!


## lce Cold in Antalya

by Mark Horton

It's 1942 and in the Libyan war zone an ambulance with two frightened nurses, a sergeant major and a fatigued John Mills are desperate to reach the safety of Alexandria. This exciting premise is given a further twist of tension as they pick up a stray South African officer (Anthony Quayle) who is not all he seems to be.
The only reason he's on board is because he comes with three bottles of gin and poor old John Mills is gagging for a drink. In fact so much is his love for the grog that all that sustains him is the thought of sinking an ice-cold beer once he gets to Alexandria.
Unfortunately his penchant for booze impacts badly on his driving skills and the group are thrown into danger. The cleverness of the film lies not only in the plot-line but also in the characterisation. Mills is becoming steadily more irrational as he desperately dreams of a beer 'so ruddy cold there's a sort of dew on the outside of the glass'. And with all this set in the relentless baking heat of the desert you'll be left gasping for a beer too.

At least once in every Bulletin I try to bring home the reality of what it's like to produce a Bulletin at a major Championship, and given the searing heat here in Antalya it was not too difficult to find a suitable title. (With a little explanation for non film buffs.) Mind you, once work is over we don't have to drive across the Sahara to get a beer.

This is the third EBL Open Championship, and each one has been blessed (or cursed, depending on your point of view) by the most glorious sunshine. Of course, you can't blame the EBL for Global Warming - at least I don't think so.

No doubt the fourth edition will be in Athens - just a little hotter than Antalya at the moment, although my vote would go to the Ice Palace Hotel in Reykjavik.
There is a lot of talk about encouraging youngsters to play bridge, and rightly so, they represent the future - and not just of bridge. But you won't find many of them playing here, because if you are trying to develop a career, make a living and find a place to live you can't afford the time (or money) for an event like this until you have established your position in life - and by then you are not a Junior any longer.
A few hardy souls try to make a living as Bridge Professionals - not exactly the best paid profession unless you are in the Meckwell mould - and spare a thought for them as they travel from one event to the next, waking up to hotel breakfasts and reading Daily Bulletins.
Heaven help them if their results are poor - they may find they need a new employer just as next month's rent is looming large.
Don't you think it's remarkable that so many people repeatedly get an adrenalin fix by fondling a 52 of plastic for a few hours? Especially when the game is impossible to play. Of course, that is part of the fascination - one beautifully played hand can make up for hours or even years of disap-
pointment - just ask Patrick Jourdain.
Anyway, I digress. Back to my main theme, the production of the Bulletin.
The day starts at 07.30 - the coffee at breakfast is so poor that I don't bother, preferring some fruit and an espresso from the Press Room (they have a coffee machine! Gianarrigo please note!)
The odds are that Sabine will be next to arrive - and she can be relied on to give a telling insight into a hand or provide a good story - such as this one.

Dealer South. None Vul.
You have this modest hand as West:

- J542
© J 4
$\diamond J 1097$
\& K Q 3

| West <br> Nystrom | North <br> Auken | East <br> Berteau | South von Arnim |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 18** |
| Pass | $1 \diamond^{*}$ | 2NT* | $3 \diamond *$ |
| 5 | 5 | Pass | 5NT* |
| 6\% | Pass* | Pass | $6 \diamond *$ |
| Pass | 7 | All Pass |  |

Over South's strong club you have nothing to say, but when partner shows the minors and South indicates a hand with better spades you decide some vigorous action is called for. Over South's Five No-Trumps Key card enquiry you attempt to muddy the waters, but North's pass shows one ace and when South asks for the trump queen North shows it by bidding the Grand Slam.
As you can see from the full deal, the contract is cold:

|  | - 109 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc$ Q 10652 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ A 54 |  |
|  | \& 1096 |  |
| ¢ J 542 | N | 9- |
| $\bigcirc 14$ |  | $\bigcirc 73$ |
| $\diamond$ J 1097 |  | $\checkmark$ K Q 632 |
| \& K Q 3 | S | ¢ 187542 |
|  | ¢ AKQ 8763 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ AK 98 |  |
|  | $\checkmark 8$ |  |
|  | 9 A |  |

However, perhaps you, as West, have missed a chance for immortality. Suppose you double the contract of Seven Hearts?

Might not declarer, expecting you to be void in spades decide to retreat to Seven Spades?
How good would you feel if a psychic double paid such a big dividend, especially when you are playing team Zia!
'For of all sad words of tongue or pen, the saddest are these: 'It might have been!.'
John Greenleaf Whittier
Tacchi is usually next to arrive - if you want to annoy him just ask him how easy it is to identify over 1000 people from more than 50 countries when their badge is always the wrong way round.
Barry is not far behind, and then come PeterVentura, who we have naturally christened Ace, and Patrick, Daily Telegraph London, I,000,000 readers, Jourdain.
Jan van Cleeff works from the Press Room - we can't squeeze everyone into the office - and P.O. Sundelin is usually the last to arrive.
There is no time for any sort of Editorial conference, as there are always deals to write up from the previous day, including those that may have been passed to a member of the team overnight. It's more a case of saying 'l'll watch this, you cover that,' and hoping for the best.
George Hadzjdakis is our layout Editor. His job is to make our feeble prose look presentable. Unlike some of his fellow Greeks, George is an early riser; at least he was until his girlfriend arrived.
I have always preferred watchingVuGraph - screens make following the play much more difficult - and the advent of BBO and Swan provides much easier access to the bidding and play records from both tables, especially in a teams event, where you can only follow one table at a time.
However, that has not been so easy in Antalya, as power in the hotel is unreliable and the connection is constantly being lost. It is happening even as I type, so the planned report on the Open Quarter-finals may have to wait.
(Between I 0.30 and II. 30 contact was lost around every 30 seconds.)
The other reason why it's best to follow the play via the Internet is that it means I can stay in the office and deal with the steady stream of visitors, both official and otherwise.
They bring in official notices for publication, deals - ranging from good to utter rubbish and many miscellaneous items (I have always admired the late English Chess Grandmaster Tony Miles - he also dabbled at bridge - who once reviewed a chess book with just two words -‘Utter Crap’). You understand that I would never say that about a piece submitted to the Bulletin, although I can certainly think of a few bridge books that fall into that category).
We snatch lunch and then rush back to watch more bridge - at least try too, as the power cuts continue.
By I5.30 everyone - even P.O. - is busy typing. Patrick is working on his piece for the Daily Telegraph ('Does anyone know the Women's semi-finalists?'), Barry is playing Sploofus, Tacchi is about to score his fourth successive victory at Spider, George is trying to get to the network and P.O. is
trying to devise an impossible single dummy problem involving a two-card ending. Peter is hard at work on 'Why Zia lost', and I am typing this article.
At 16.00 there are photographs to take and a couple of hours later - if we are lucky - we start to get pages to proof read. Apart from writing articles this is our Achilles Heel (thank you Barry!).
The longer the tournament goes on the harder it gets to deliver a front-page picture. Luckily the VuGraph starts up today, so that is the nap selection - power cuts permitting.
If we are lucky we get a chance to go to dinner and if necessary we come back for what we describe as the 'idiot's check'.
Then its time to retire, sometimes to the land of nod, sometimes to the bar. Then the next morning it's just like the Bill Murray movie 'Groundhog Day.'
Still, after all these years I am fairly sure playing bridge is the second most pleasurable activity on the planet.

## Championship Diary



On Monday night we went to the Mexican restaurant. The group in front of us were waiting to be seated and when asked for the room number under which their reservation was given gave something along the lines of 5I34 (note the cunning bridge connection). Unluckily for them (we suspect they had not booked) the real occupants of that room turned up a few moments later and they were ejected.

Simon Cochemé, following the championships from London saw a picture of a player called Pasternak in the bulletin. Did you know that Pasternak (пастернак) is Russian for parsnip? Makes Dr Zhivago seem slightly less romantic, doesn't it?

One of the seniors, on being how things were going replied, 'Badly. Yesterday I forgot my glasses, today I forgot my brain.'

Sabine and Danny were devastated to lose in the round of 8 - 'The hands came up so badly for us.' 'They usually do when you lose.' At least that cheered them up a little.

102 years ago today saw the uprising on the Battleship Potemkin (remember Eisenstein and the pram on the Odessa steps?)

## Double-dummy problem at the table

Chris Dixon showed me a deal from the Senior Pairs, which produces an unexpected result at double-dummy.

Consider the following deal, normally played in a spade part-score, and note the number of tricks that can be made in diamonds by North/South. Rather curiously, while South can make six tricks in diamonds, North can make seven.

The reader is asked to produce the explanation for themselves.


Solution to double-dummy problem.
Declarer has three diamonds, two spades, one heart and a club trick - all things being equal. But they are not. Declarer has no really sure entry to dummy to play spades towards his hand, and if he tries for a spade ruff, the defenders play three rounds of diamonds to kill the ruff.

The point is that if East is on lead at trick one with a trump lead, he will be forced to let declarer use the diamond nine as an entry or block the defender's trumps. The winning defence is for West to lead a trump and for East not to play the king unless dummy contributes the nine. South wins the first trick and leads the heart queen. There are now a number of options, but as easy as anything is for East to take the heart ace, lead the trump king, and find the club shift forWest to win cheaply, cash the diamond ace and get out with a top heart.
South wins, and can do no better than lead a club now. West simply plays his clubs so as to prevent declarer using dummy's ten as an entry, and declarer will eventually have to tackle spades from his hand.

The contract can also be defeated with the club jack and ten reversed, although again the variations are quite complex.

## Dumbo flies high

by Peter Ventura

Seven Clubs can be made on this board, if declarer guesses the trump suit correctly.

| Open Teams, Swiss I. <br> Board I. Dealer North. None Vul. |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
| - Q 10753 |  |
| $\bigcirc 109763$ |  |
| $\diamond 7$ |  |
| - 72 |  |
| - 64 | N - |
| $\bigcirc$ K Q J 2 | W E PA54 |
| $\checkmark$ A 9862 | W E $\quad \diamond \mathrm{KQ} 5$ |
| \& K 6 | S AJ98543 |
| AKJ982 |  |
|  |  |
| $\diamond$ J 1043 |  |
| - Q 10 |  |

This board appeared in bulletin no. 10 where it was mentioned that at one table North/South's vigorous preemption did not prevent East/West from reaching slam. At the other table North took out insurance in Seven Spades when West bid the grand slam. In the match between Dumbowich and Popova from Germany and Bulgaria/Turkey respectively, it was a completely different story. This was the auction in the Open Room:

| West <br> Kilerciouglu | North <br> Buchlev | East <br> Zoby | South <br> Engel |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $2 \diamond^{*}$ | Dble | $2 \triangleleft!$ |
| Dble | Pass | 32 | Pass |
| 3NT! | All Pass |  |  |

* Weak in one major or 22-24 NT

As it was likely that North had a weak two in hearts, Berthold Engel ignored his spade suit as South and simply bid Two Hearts. This worked out very well, since from here on East/West couldn't get back on track. It was not easy for West to see that his partner might be void in spades, and East was surely too conservative not to continue over Three No-Trumps. The no-trump game went two off after a spade lead; $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{S}+100$ and a fantastic score for Buchlev and Engel.
In the other room Buchlev/Engel's team mates, Miklos Dumbovich and Laszlo Gotthard, let Dessy Popova and Rossen Gunev buy the contract in Four Spades doubled. The defence took four tricks for N/S - 100 and that was a magnificent result for the Bulgarians.
It must have been rather surprising for Dumbovich and Gotthard to find out they won 5 IMPs on a board where they missed slam! Dumbovich won the match by 25-0 VPs ( $66-0$ IMPs) over ten boards!

## İkili'de Yarı Final A+B Heyecanı <br> Hazırlayan: Murat Molva

300 çiftin katıldığı turnuvaya daha ilk seansta \%42 ile başlayan çift iflah olur mu? Tabii ki diğer seanslardaki debelenmemiz pek fayda etmedi, ve Açık İkili'de ilk 90 arasına giremeyen diğer çiftler ile birlikte $B$ grubuna düştük.

Esasen B Grubu tanımlamasını ben çok güzel bir pazarlama başarısı olarak görüyorum ve bu fikri bulan her kimse kendisini kutluyorum.

Eskiden asıl turnuvada dikiş tutturamayan ekipler canları sıkılmasınlar da masaya kağıt atabilsinler diye bir yan turnuva yaparlardı. Adına da "teselli" turnuvası denirdi. Bir turnuvaya "Teselli Turnuvası" adını koymak ile "Zavallılar Turnuvası" demek arasında pek fark yok bence.

Kader Kurbanları veya Mağdurlar Turnuvası demek te esasen aynı kapıya çıkacaktı. Dolayısı ile Teselli turnuvalarına katılmak hiç kimseye pek cazip gelmiyor, ve hatta insanlar Teselli turnuvalarında oynarken görülmemek için salona tebdil-i kıyafet gelir olmuşlardı.

Fakat zaman içinde bu değişti. Bu değişimin mimarı da ünlü Norveçli briççi Anton B'dir. Bu şahıs, Teselli turnuvalarının iticiliğini farkedip öncelikle turnuvaların adının değişmesi gerektiğini belirtmiş ve bu fikrin mucidi olarak turnuvaya kendi adını vererek "B Turnuvası" olarak değiştirmiştir.

Ayrıca B Grubuna düçar olan ekiplerin amaçsız ve ilkesiz bir şekilde oynamalarını önlemek için, B Grubunda ilk birkaç sıraya giren ekibin Ana Gruba katılmalarına olanak sağlanmıştır.

Tabii B Gruplarının doğal olarak aşırı kalabalık olması nedeni ile B Grubundan çıkmak ile Cehennem çukurundan çıkmak aynı zorlukta mütalaa ediliyor ama olacak o kadar.

Neyse, sonuç olarak bugün iyi briççiler $A$ Grubunda güzel güzel briç oynarken bizler $B$ Grubundan çıkabilmek için rakiplerini içeceğine müshil karıştırmak, serinlesin diye ayakkabısından çıkarmış olduğu ayağına
"yanlışlıkla" basmak gibi taktikler ile uğraşacağız.

Dünki bültenimizin kahramanları Anadolu Briç Kulübü maalesef Açık Dörtlü'den elendi.

Bu durumda Açık Dörtlü’yü kazanan hangi takım olursa olsun Anadolu Briç Kulübü Takımı oyuncularının heykellerini dikitrmesi gerekecek bence. Ünlü İtalyan Milli takımını eleyip şampiyonluk yolunu açan takım bence buna layıktır.

Anadolu Briç Kulübü'nün turnuvadan elenmesi de hepimizi üzdü tabii. Bu konuda kaçan bir fırsat elini sunalım hemen:

Açık Dörtlü, Bord 27; D:Güney, Zon:Kimse

| Batı | Kuzey <br> Ekşioğlu | Doğu | Güney <br> Kuru |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  | $2 \star$ |
| Pas | $2 \star$ | 2NT (*) | $3 \uparrow$ <br> $5 *$ <br> Kas |
|  | $6 \uparrow$ | Has | $5 N T$ |
|  |  | H.Pas |  |

109

- Q10652
- A54
* Q103

A J542

- J4
- J1097
* KQ3


Ercan Kuru güçlü elini 2 trefl açarak başladıktan sonra sağındaki rakibin 2NT deklaresi minörleri gösteriyor.

Bu durumu değerlendiren diğer rakip ise 5 karo deklaresi ile Ercan Kuru ve Metin Ekşioğlu çiftine deklare verecek fazla yer bırakmıyor. Şimdi

Ercan Kuru'nun 5NT deklaresi hala keycard sorusu ama bu saatten sonra grandşlem bulmak çok zor ve 6 pikte kalıyorlar.

Yer açıldığı zaman, grandşlem kaçırmış olmaktan dolayı Ercan Kuru'nun canı çok sıkılıyor tabii, fakat piklerdeki kötü dağılım ortaya çıkınca çok mutlu oluyorlar. Çünkü diğer masadaki rakipler eğer çok iyi deklare verirler ise 7 pik'e gidip batacaklar. İşte şans.

Bu el, Anadolu Briç Kulübü takımının ilk 8 takım arasına girmesine olanak sağlayacak kadar büyük bir el çünkü bu elde yaklaşık 25 IMP'lik bir fark söz konusu ve bu fark ta maçın skorunu ciddi olarak etkiler.

Tabii bu arada ele bakınca 7 pik değil ama 7 kör kontratı olduğunu farkediyorlar ama bu kontratın pik çakası ile batmaması için Kuzey tarafından oynanması gerekecek bi bu pek olası değil. Güçlü el Güneyde iken olacak şey değil.

Yani sonuç olarak, o an Ercan Kuru'ya bir çift zil verseniz hemen takıp oynamaya başlayabilirdi bence. Ben olsam öyle yapardım şahsen.

Diğer masadaki deklarasyona bakalım:

| Batı | Kuzey | Doğu | Güney |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 2\% |
| Pas | 2 | Pas | 2^ |
| Pas | 2NT | Pas | 3\% ${ }^{*}$ ) |
| Pas | 3 | Pas | 4NT |
| Pas | 5\% | Pas | 5 |
| Pas | 6 | Pas | 7 |
| H.Pas |  |  |  |
| (*) Bende bir yan renk var |  |  |  |

Bu masada Kuzey-Güney çifti gayet rahat ve birazcık da şanslı bir deklarasyon yapıyorlar. Güney "Bende en az dörtlü bir yan renk var" şeklindeki 3 trefl deklaresini verdiği zaman normal şartlarda Kuzey'in 3 karo ile "Neymiş o yan renk?" demesi beklenirdi.

Fakat Kuzey'deki oyuncu belki kör renginin beşli olmasından etkilenip "Nasıl olsa ortaktaki yan renk benim işime yaramaz, belki 3lü bir kör rengi varsa iyi olur" diyerek körlerini piyasaya sürüyor.

Bu güzel haberden çok hoşlanan Güney hemen keycarların tamam olduğunu öğrenip grandşlemi ilan ediyor.

Bu borddan Anadolu Briç Kulübü 12-13 IMP kazanmayı beklerken tam tersi oluyor ve 13 IMP kaybediyorlar ve maalesef bu maç sonunda Anadolu Briç Kulübü eleniyor.

Tabii sizler bu masada Anadolu Takımının neden deklarasyon vermediğini merak ediyorsunuzdur muhtemelen. 6-5 eli varken Doğu'daki oyuncunun ölüm sessizliği içinde oturmasının sebebi ne olabilir.

Bu konuda Doğu'nun maçtan sonra basın toplantısı kanalı ile yaptığı açıklama şöyle: "Ben bu eli elime alır almaz rakibin 7 kör kontratına ulaşacağını sezdim. Eğer bu elle araya girseydim rakipler belki zorlanacak ve 7 kör'e kadar gitmeyecek ve 6 kör veya pik'te kalacaklardı. Eğer Kuzey'deki oyuncu saçma sapan deklare vererek kontrat kapmaya çalıșmasa idi Güney'den 7 köre geleceklerdi ve ben de derhal Lightner kontr'u atarak onları batıracaktım. Kuzeyin verdiği 3 kör deklaresi briç adabına sığmaz. Kendisini kınıyorum".

Gördüğünüz gibi Sevgili okurlar. Evdeki hesap çarşıya uymuyor. Siz siz olun 6-5 gibi dağılımlı ellerinizi saklamayın. Takım arkadaşlarınızın eleştirilerine hedef olmayın.

Briççilerin genelde çok nazik insanlar oldukları söylenir hep. Masada buna ilişkin güzel örnekler görürüz. Yer açıldığı zaman "Mersi partner", "Küçük lütfen" veya "Pik vale lütfen" derler. Sanki ortakları pik vale'yi oynhayarak bir lütufta bulunuyormuş zannederiz bizler de.

Aynı insanlar lokantada garson "Rakınıza buz alır mıydını??" diye sorunca da "Lütfen" derler. Ne kibar ve hoş değil mi?

Peki aynı insanların briç masasında ortadaki perde kapanmadığı zaman "Perdeyi kapatınız lütfen ve ayrica please" demek yerine ellerinin tersi ile perdeye vurmalarına ne demeli? Sanki "Sizler ancak kafanıza odunla vurulursa denileni anlarsınız" dermiş gibi?

## OPEN PAIRS - QUALIFYING <br> (standings after 4 sessions - provisional)

| Rank | Names Per | Percentage | 62 | Omer ERDOGAN - Cengiz ALYESIL | 53,00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| , | Jan Petter SVENDSEN - Tor HELNESS | 60,31 | 63 | Dariusz KOWALSKI - Konrad ARASZKIEWICZ | 52,96 |
| 2 | Alexander ALLFREY - Andrew ROBSON | 60,04 | 64 | Mustafa AKGUL - Toros YUKSEL | 52,92 |
| 3 | Rossen GUNEV - Dessy POPOVA | 58,26 | 65 | Gabor WINKLER - Geza HOMONNAY | 52,78 |
| 4 | Turan YAVUZ - Erdal Olkay ERCAN | 57,96 | 66 | Igor KHAZANOV - Maria LEBEDEVA | 52,71 |
| 5 | Ernesto MUZZIO - Ricardo ANGELERI | 57,85 | 67 | Geoffrey WOLFARTH - Gareth HYETT | 52,70 |
| 6 | Israel YADLIN - Doron YADLIN | 57,77 | 68 | Vit VOLHEJN - Michal KOPECKY | 52,64 |
| 7 | Sam Inge HOYLAND - Finn BRANDSNES | 57,69 | 69 | Erhan EVCIMEN - Asli KASIRGA | 52,61 |
| 8 | Vincent de PAGTER - Jacco HOP | 57,34 | 70 | Jonny HANSEN - Roger OLAFSEN | 52,58 |
| 9 | Fikret AYDOGDU - Nevzat AYDOGDU | 56,67 | 71 | Giampaolo FRANCO - Fulvia GHIA | 52,52 |
| 10 | Denis DOBRIN - Maxim ZHMAK | 56,57 | 72 | Joseph ENGEL - Serjio KOVALIU | 52,47 |
| 11 | Jouri KHIOUPPENEN - Vadim KHOLOMEEV | 56,41 | 73 | Michelle BRUNNER - John HOLLAND | 52,46 |
| 12 | Bruno DUTILLOY - Eric BO | 56,30 | 74 | Vladimir MARASHEV - Ivan TSONTCHEV | 52,33 |
| 13 | Cengiz ARIGUN - Akin UCAR | 56,20 | 75 | Jorg SCHINZE - Joachim CAPPELLER | 52,31 |
| 14 | Jon-Egil FURUNES - Terje AA | 56,14 | 76 | Gunther PURKARTHOFER - Jan FUCIK | 52,22 |
| 15 | Julian STEFANOV - Victor ARONOV | 56,07 | 77 | Radoslaw SZCZEPANSKI - Jacek SZUTOWICZ | 52,14 |
| 16 | Gregers BJARNARSON - Michael ASKGAARD | D 56,04 | 78 | Gila EMODY - Lilo POPLILOV | 52,13 |
| 17 | Josef PIEKAREK - Alexander SMIRNOV | 55,90 | 79 | Andrzej DUDZIK - Marek NOWOWIEJSKI | 52,08 |
| 18 | Tony FORRESTER - David BAKHSHI | 55,90 | 80 | Zeki UCUM - Birol US | 52,07 |
| 19 | Danny MOLENAAR - Tim VERBEEK | 55,77 | 81 | Alexandru FEBER - Ovidiu CERNAT | 52,04 |
| 20 | Cengiz SEKER - Varol OZBELLI | 55,77 | 81 | Cem ALTAN - Nejat AYDIN | 52,04 |
| 21 | Enver KOKSOY - Ahmet KAHRAMAN | 55,75 | 83 | Ilia VASSILEV - Ivan NANEV | 52,03 |
| 22 | Tom HOILAND - Nils Kare KVANGRAVEN | 55,73 | 84 | Pierre ZIMMERMANN - Franck MULTON | 52,01 |
| 23 | Marc GIROLLET - Herve FLEURY | 55,47 | 85 | Stelio DI BELLO - Furio DI BELLO | 51,99 |
| 24 | Marius IONITA - lonut COLDEA | 55,40 | 86 | Argun GOGUS - Naci OZKAN | 51,97 |
| 25 | Jan MARTYNEK - Jakub SLEMR | 55,36 | 87 | Christoph PUERSTL - Arno LINDERMANN | 51,91 |
| 26 | Jacek ROMANSKI - Roman GRZELAK | 55,31 | 88 | Gerard IZISEL - Dominique PILON | 51,89 |
| 27 | Yaacov (Jacob) MINTZ - Doron LIMOR | 55,27 | 89 | Niccolo FOSSI - Giuseppe FABBRINI | 51,88 |
| 28 | Waleed EI AHMADI - Tarek SADEK | 55,16 | 90 | W. STARKOWSKI - Stanislaw GOLEBIOWSKI | 51,88 |
| 29 | Waldemar JAWORSKI - G. NARKIEWICZ | 55,08 | 91 | Paul FEGARTY - Catherine CURTIS | 51,86 |
| 30 | Nicklas SANDQVIST - Artur MALINOWSKI | 55,06 | 92 | Salim YILANKIRAN - Mehmet SIRIKLIOGLU | 51,78 |
| 31 | W. ROZWADOWSKI - Georges ROMANOW | WSKI55,02 | 93 | Sergei ERSHOV - Leonid ROMANOVITCH | 51,78 |
| 32 | Jo-Arne OVESEN - Kjell Otto KOPSTAD | 54,83 | 94 | Patrick SUSSEL - Jean-Michel RUNACHER | 51,72 |
| 33 | Alain KAPLAN - Philippe COENRAETS | 54,66 | 95 | V. N. ISPORSKI - V. I.D. KOVACHEV AL-SHATI | 51,72 |
| 34 | Gabriele TANINI - Marco TARANTINO | 54,64 | 96 | Nathan HETZ - Asa LEVINGER | 51,70 |
| 35 | Franco GIAMPAOLI - Devid CECCANTI | 54,61 | 97 | Marco BAVARESCO - Claudio BAVARESCO | 51,62 |
| 36 | Kudret METIN - Coskun KESGIN | 54,61 | 98 | Osman OZCAN - Hakan PEKSEN | 51,61 |
| 37 | Christophe OURSEL - Godefroy De TESSIERES | ES 54,60 | 99 | Alexander DUBININ - Andrei GROMOV | 51,59 |
| 38 | Omer KIZILOK - Salih Murat ANTER | 54,58 | 100 | Geir-Olav TISLEVOLL - Kare KRISTIANSEN | 51,51 |
| 39 | Bartosz CHMURSKI - Piotr GAWRYS | 54,44 | 101 | Florin ANDREI - Fabian MOSCOVICI | 51,48 |
| 40 | Eli SOLHEIM - Ivar M. ANFINSEN | 54,32 | 102 | Boye BROGELAND - Simon GILLIS | 51,47 |
| 41 | Birol KOCA - Tamer EREN | 54,30 | 103 | Aymeric LEBATTEUX - Nicolas LHUISSIER | 51,45 |
| 42 | Ercan KURU - Metin EKSIOGLU | 54,27 | 104 | Martin ROKYTA - Michael PALITSCH | 51,43 |
| 43 | Nezih KUBAC - M. Gokhan YILMAZ | 54,25 | 105 | Obay GUR - Yusuf SOHTORIK | 51,42 |
| 44 | Assaf LENGY - Amir LEVIN | 54,08 | 106 | Marian RADULESCU - Marina STEGAROIU | 51,41 |
| 45 | David BURN - Janet DE BOTTON | 54,06 | 107 | Erik SAELENSMINDE - Rune HAUGE | 51,38 |
| 46 | Karlis RUBINS - Maija ROMANOVSKA | 53,91 | 108 | Jean-Michel VOLDOIRE - Marcin LESNIEWSKI | 51,37 |
| 47 | Tomasz SIELICKI - Marian KUPNICKI | 53,90 | 109 | Ali OLCAY - Ayhan AKBIYIK | 51,35 |
| 48 | Mehmet Emin COPUR - Naci DEMIRBAS | 53,81 | 110 | Marko MLADENOVIC - Nebojsa KARDZOVIC | 51,29 |
| 49 | Erdinc ERBIL - Aydin UYSAL | 53,71 | 111 | Waldemar SKORA - Gregor LEWACIAK | 51,28 |
| 50 | M. DI FRANCO - Eugenio MISTRETTA | 53,68 | 112 | Evgeni SHANURIN - Vladmir TATARKIN | 51,28 |
| 51 | Tom TOWNSEND - David GOLD | 53,62 | 113 | John HASSETT - Howard MELBOURNE | 51,25 |
| 52 | Pierre ADAD - Pascal RINGUET | 53,60 | 114 | Mike ALEXANDER - Justin HACKETT | 51,25 |
| 53 | Gabor MACSKASY - Peter LAKATOS | 53,56 | 115 | Kalin KARAIVANOV - Roumen TRENDAFILOV | 51,21 |
| 54 | Edmund CZUBAK - Jacek CIECHOMSKI | 53,53 | 116 | Ilan BAREKET - Yossi ROLL | 51,14 |
| 55 | Tezcan SEN - Zafer SENGULER | 53,38 | 117 | Philippe MARILL - Alexander LUCACIU | 51,06 |
| 56 | Leonid PODGUR - Avi KALISH | 53,36 | 118 | Mehmet BARIS - Esra ORHON | 51,04 |
| 57 | Aydin GURSEL - Murat ERKEL | 53,22 | 119 | Murat KILERCIOGLU - Ahu ZOBU | 51,03 |
| 58 | Georgi KARAKOLEV - Zahari ZAHARIEV | 53,14 | 120 | Zeki ARACI - Kazim KUL | 50,94 |
| 59 | Evgueni SOLNTSEV - Pavel VOROBEI | 53,11 | 121 | Mehmet Serif CAMCI - Ekrem OZCIKAN | 50,93 |
| 60 | Tayfun OZBEY - Suleyman Ufuk KOC | 53,10 | 122 | Thomas VANG-LARSEN - Anders HAGEN | 50,87 |
| 61 | Onur M ERTEKIN - Kutluhan UNAL | 53,00 | 123 | Juliano BARBOSA - Joao SA | 50,87 |


| 1 | Reda AMIRY - Ahmed SAMIR | 83 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 125 | Ali INCE - Terje WOLAN | 50,72 |
| 126 | Coskun KARADENIZ - Berk BASARAN | 50,69 |
| 127 | Paolo PASQUINI - Jose Maria VALDES | 50,67 |
| 128 | Dan ZARA - Alexandru ELIAN | 50,58 |
| 129 | Ismail KANDEMIR - Suleyman KOLATA | 50,48 |
| 130 | Peter LEITNER - Roland KOHLDORFER | 50,47 |
| 131 | Mehmet Remzi SAKIRLER - Yusuf SALMAN | 50,45 |
| 132 | Ingvar ERGA - Fred Arne MOEN | 50,43 |
| 133 | Ivan PEICHEV - Nikola BARANTIEV | 50,42 |
| 134 | Laszlo GOTTHARD - Miklos DUMBOVICH | 50,40 |
| 135 | Jerry STAMATOV - Vladimir MIHOV | 50,34 |
| 136 | Berthold ENGEL - Nedju BUCHLEV | 50,29 |
| 137 | Riccardo BORIOSI - Vieri VANNINI | 50,29 |
| 138 | Ole BERSET - Dag-Jorgen STOKKVIK | 50,26 |
| 139 | Jean Francois ALLIX - Eric MAUBERQUEZ | 50,24 |
| 140 | Ceyhun ALTUNDAG - Guray SUNAMAK | 50,22 |
| 141 | Gheorghe SERPOI - Calin STIRBU | 50,15 |
| 142 | Vytautas VAINIKONIS - Jacek PSZCZOLA | 50,09 |
| 143 | Alaittin ALBAYRAK - Mustafa KUL | 50,07 |
| 144 | Erez ZADIK - Avi ARVATZ | 50,07 |
| 145 | Dogan UZUM - Mehmet KURANOGLU | 49,96 |
| 146 | Erdem OZTURK - Eymen BEDIR | 49,96 |
| 147 | Ozcan PEHLIVAN - Esat ERGIL | 49,94 |
| 148 | Michele CAMMARATA - Leonardo CIMA | 49,93 |
| 149 | Turgay SESYILMAZ - Murat MOLVA | 49,89 |
| 150 | Nicolas CHAUVELOT - Quentin ROBERT | 49,87 |
| 151 | Claudio RONCONI - Andrea RICCIOLETTI | 49,86 |
| 152 | Alex GAVRIILOGLOU - Fil LAGOURANIS | 49,86 |
| 153 | Yalcin ATABEY - Salvador ASSAEL | 49,82 |
| 154 | Jim HOYLAND - Sven Olai HOYLAND | 49,73 |
| 155 | Luigi ROIATTI - Roberto PERROD | 49,73 |
| 156 | Mehmet Faruk KEPEKCI - Roko KASAPOGLU | 49,68 |
| 157 | Sehmus ERCAN - Irfan ILGIN | 49,68 |
| 158 | Kiril MARINOVSKI - Kamen TCHERVENIAKOV | 49,63 |
| 159 | Bernardo BIONDO - Francesco MAZZADI | 49,59 |
| 160 | Jaroslaw WACHNOWSKI - A. JELENIEWSKI | 49,56 |
| 161 | Wojciech OLANSKI - Erikas VAINIKONIS | 49,50 |
| 162 | Nedim TURSAN - Hasan UZUNER | 49,44 |
| 163 | Lutfi ERDOGAN - Volkan OZEN | 49,39 |
| 164 | Larry CHEMALY - Joseph ISRAELI ZINDEL | 49,38 |
| 165 | Gerhard POLLAK - Peter ZELNIK | 49,37 |
| 166 | Dimitar KAPITANSKI - Stefan IVANOV | 49,32 |
| 167 | Vesna DACIC - Goran ERAKOVIC | 49,32 |
| 168 | Ahmet ARPAT - Abdullah GULSUN | 49,31 |
| 169 | M. Samy Ahmed HESHMAT - Tarek NADIM | 49,27 |
| 170 | Hasan YALCIN - Ayhan EREN | 49,23 |
| 171 | Giancarlo MARINI - Stefano CATA | 49,12 |
| 172 | Peter BOTH - Ender AKSUYEK | 49,00 |
| 173 | Sinan TATLICIOGLU - Doga GOZKAYA | 48,93 |
| 174 | Michal KWIECIEN - Rafal JAGNIEWSKI | 48,86 |
| 175 | P. MARSTRANDER - R. B. ANDERSSEN | 48,78 |
| 176 | Tuncay ALTUN - Sukru ALTUN | 48,65 |
| 177 | Andrsej CZECH - Piotr BEDNORZ | 48,60 |
| 178 | Eduard VARDAPETYAN - Lev SEVIYAN | 48,59 |
| 179 | Peter FREDIN - Gary GOTTLIEB | 48,56 |
| 180 | Tony RUSEV - Gencho SOLUKOV | 48,55 |
| 181 | David GREENWOOD - Sajid ISPAHANI | 48,53 |
| 182 | Erotokritos ALAMANOS - P.TRIANTAFILLIS | 48,47 |
| 183 | Jouri KHOKHLOV - Georgi MATUSHKO | 48,32 |
| 184 | Lorenzo SANNA - Marco TOCCAFONDO | 48,30 |
| 185 | Haldun CIVGINER - Aden TOLAY | 48,30 |
| 186 | Nick ZINKOVSKY - Andrey KISELEV | 48,27 |
| 187 | Giulio BONGIOVANNI - Amedeo COMELLA | 48,2 I |
| 188 | Ipek YILMAZ - Naran DAGSEVEN | 48,20 |
| 189 | Roy-Hugo OLSEN - Jimmy NILSEN | 48,00 |
| 190 | Ahmet Zeki POLAT - O.ALAEDDINOGLU | 47,95 |

127 Paolo PASQUINI - Jose Maria VALDES
129 Ismail KANDEMIR - Suleyman KOLATA
130 Peter LEITNER - Roland KOHLDORFER
132 Ingvar ERGA - Fred Arne MOEN
133 Ivan PEICHEV - Nikola BARANTIEV
134 Laszlo GOTTHARD - Miklos DUMBOVICH
135 Jerry STAMATOV - Vladimir MIHOV
137 Riccardo BORIOSI - Vieri VANNINI
138 Ole BERSET - Dag-Jorgen STOKKVIK
jean Francois ALLIX - Eric MAUBERQUEZ
141 Gheorghe SERPOI - Calin STIRBU
142 Vytautas VAINIKONIS - Jacek PSZCZOLA
143 Alaittin ALBAYRAK - Mustafa KUL
144 Erez ZADIK - Avi ARVATZ
Dogan UZUM - Mehmet
147 Ozcan PEHLIVAN - Esat ERGIL
148 Michele CAMMARATA - Leonardo CIMA
149 Turgay SESYILMAZ - Murat MOLVA
I5I Claudio RONCONI - Andrea RICCIOLETTI
152 Alex GAVRIILOGLOU - Fil LAGOURANIS
154 Jim HOYLAND - Sven Olai HOYLAND
156 Mehmet Faruk KEPEKCI - Roko KASAPOGLU
157 Sehmus ERCAN - Irfan ILGIN
158 Kiril MARINOVSKI - Kamen TCHERVENIAKOV
159 Bernardo BIONDO - Francesco MAZZADI
161 Wojciech OLANSKI - Erikas VAINIKONIS
162 Nedim TURSAN - Hasan UZUNER
163 Lutfi ERDOGAN - Volkan OZEN
164 Larry CHEMALY - Joseph ISRAELI ZINDEL
165 Gerhard POLLAK - Peter ZELNIK
166 Dimitar KAPITANSKI - Stefan IVANOV
67 Vesna DACIC - Goran ERAKOVIC
69 M. Samy Ahmed HESHMAT - Tarek NADIM
70 Hasan YALCIN - Ayhan EREN
I7I Giancarlo MARINI - Stefano CATA
72 Peter BOTH - Ender AKSUYEK
173 Sinan TATLICIOGLU - Doga GOZKAYA
174 Michal KWIECIEN - Rafal JAGNIEWSKI
P. MARSTRANDER - R. B. ANDERSSEN

177 Andrsej CZECH - Piotr BEDNORZ
178 Eduard VARDAPETYAN - Lev SEVIYAN
179 Peter FREDIN - Gary GOTTLIEB
180 Tony RUSEV - Gencho SOLUKOV
8 David GREENWOOD - Sajid ISPAHAN

84 Lorenzo SANNA - Marco TOCCAFONDO
185 Haldun CIVGINER - Aden TOLAY
186 Nick ZINKOVSKY - Andrey KISELEV
187 Giulio BONGIOVANNI - Amedeo COMELLA
188 lpek YILMAZ - Naran DAGSEVEN
190 Ahmet Zeki POLAT - O.ALAEDDINOGLU
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48,55
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48,32
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48,27
48,2I
48,00
47,95
Anatoly ZHOKHOV - Georgy YAMURZIN
203 Abdulbaki AGAOGLU - Marcu CODRIN
Tevfik GURKAN - Yusuf ISITEMIZ
Christophe MARRO - Stephane SANT
Philippe SOULET - Bernard PAYEN
Francesco NATALE - Barbara CESARI
Yuksel SEZGINSOY - Tufan KOSE
Ayse KILICOGLU - Volkan DENIZCI
47,95
Tobias TORNQVIST - Johan UPMARK
47,76
Liviu OJOGA - Dan VALIMARESCU
47,75
47,75
47,75
47,73
47,66

47,58
47,55
47,52
47,52
47,39
47,38
47,16
47,16
47,03
46,93
46,91
46,90
46,86
46,78
46,64
46,61
46,53
46,52
46,50
46,43
46,42
46,39
46,2I
46,19
46, II
46, 10
45,94
45,80
45,73
45,65
45,62
45,52
45,47
45,44
45,14
45,12
45,09
45,02
44,96
44,8I
44,72
44,15
43,87
43,86
43,52
43,21
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42,32
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40,56
40,32
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37,53

## WOMEN PAIRS - QUALIFYING <br> (standings after 3 sessions - provisional)

| Rank | Names | Percentage |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| I | Lale GUMRUKCUOGLU - Mine BABAC | 57,33 |
| 2 | Elena KHONICHEVA - Alexandra NIKITINA | 55,59 |
| 3 | Diane GREENWOOD - Hedy GREY | 55,20 |
| 4 | Renate HANSEN - Filiz Uygan ERDOGAN | 55,11 |
| 5 | Pina SALNITRO - Mariella POLIMENI | 55,08 |
| 6 | Aytug OZALTIN - Nur OZTURK | 54,63 |
| 7 | Miriana MITOVSKA - Valya YANEVA | 54,09 |
| 8 | Diana BUDKIN - Aida de VRIES SALDZIEVA | 53,93 |
| 9 | Gunn HELNESS - Siv THORESEN | $53, I 4$ |
| I0 | Serap ELLIALTI - Emine SEN | 53,06 |
| II | Carmen GOLDBERG - Shula GRODECKY | 52,79 |
| II | Darina LANGER - Ruth NIKITINE | 52,79 |
| I3 | Chantal HAMMERLI - Maria ERHART | 52,75 |
| I4 | Giulia POZZI - Annalisa ROSETTA | 52,58 |
| I5 | A. M. De ALONSO - M.A. DE BALDASARRE | 52,35 |
| I6 | Simonetta GROSSI - Katia PIGNATTI | 52,08 |
| I7 | M. JELENIEWSKA - Ewa BANASZKIEWICZ | 51,99 |
| I8 | Jorunn FENESS - Tina OVENSTAD | $5 I, 71$ |
| I9 | Nese DIRIM - Deniz ANAPA | $5 I, 61$ |
| 20 | Phoebe LIN - Faith MAYER | 51,35 |
| 21 | M. ZUR-CAMPANILE-ALBU - Miriam VARENNE | $5 I, 24$ |
| 22 | Elizabeth HALSTEAD - Valerie MORLEY | $5 I, 23$ |
| 23 | Mehves PISAK - Meltem OZUMERZIFON | 50,75 |
| 24 | Maritza TAMBASCIA - Laura SPALLANZANI | 50,73 |
| 25 | Helin ERDOGDU - Pelin GUVEN | 50,63 |
| 26 | Anna MINASYAN - Banu ALTINOK | 50,19 |
| 27 | Claudia CASTIGNANI - Rita PASQUARE | 50,04 |


| 28 | Belis ATALAY - Guler VAHABOGLU | 50,00 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 28 | Martine ROSSARD - Johanna RACZYNSKA | 50,00 |
| 30 | Ora DAN - Ruth FARKAS | 49,69 |
| 31 | Roz WOLFARTH - Anna LEKOVA-KOVACHEVA | 49,45 |
| 32 | Judith BEN YEHUDA - Hana GELBERT | 49,12 |
| 33 | Solvi REMEN - Kristine BREIVIK | 49,08 |
| 34 | Guler UYANIK - Nuray AKGUL | 49,04 |
| 35 | Antonella DI MARCO - Marcella ARNONE | 48,69 |
| 36 | Cristina GOLIN - Paola SCALAMOGNA | 48,63 |
| 37 | M. MESTRES - Maria Eugenia HERNANDEZ | 48,59 |
| 38 | Merih TOKCAN - Sukriye MERZE | 48,50 |
| 39 | Gunn Tove VIST - Randi NYHEIM | 47,97 |
| 40 | Rozalia RONEN - Lea SHACHAR | 47,89 |
| 41 | Ipek SAGTEKIN - Karen McCALLUM | 47,58 |
| 42 | Giusy BERNABEY - Clara LAUS | 47,41 |
| 43 | Zeynep ALP - Sevil NUHOGLU | 47,03 |
| 44 | Lucia GARRONE - Elma BALDI | 46,88 |
| 45 | Silva IMPICCIATORE - Caroline EDGAR | 46,55 |
| 46 | Paula LESLIE - Suzanne SHEASBY | 46,52 |
| 47 | Tuba UNLU - Irene PICKETT | 46,29 |
| 48 | Tuna ALUF - Cela BICACO | 45,91 |
| 49 | Madelaine GERSTEL - Elisabeth WAELCHLI | 45,71 |
| 50 | Cinar NUR - Nihal KEFELI | 45,5 I |
| 51 | Sebnem KOSTEM - Bennur OZCAN | 45,45 |
| 52 | Rose O'FARRELL - Patsy MEEHAN | 44,89 |
| 53 | Oya BAYULKEN - Mey ZAIM | 44,76 |
| 54 | Tatyana TAZENKOVA - Marina RYZHKOVA | 39,05 |

## SENIOR PAIRS - QUALIFYING <br> (standings after 3 sessions - provisional)

| Rank | Names | Percentage |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| I | Leszek KWIATKOWSKI - Kazimierz OMERNIK | 57,80 |
| 2 | Krzysztof LASOCKI - Jerzy RUSSYAN | 56,78 |
| 3 | Ursula HARPER - Martin HOFFMAN | 55,76 |
| 4 | Christopher DIXON - Peter CZERNIEWSKI | 55,73 |
| 5 | Julian FRYDRICH - Mordechay (Moti) GELBARD | 55,54 |
| 6 | Jozef POCHRON - Jacek LEW | 55,44 |
| 7 | Paul D HACKETT - Ross HARPER | 55,10 |
| 8 | Goran MATTSSON - Nissan RAND | 54,89 |
| 9 | Hastings CAMPBELL - Greer MACKENZIE | 54,68 |
| I0 | Jacqueline JARIGESE - Ross RAINWATER | 53,93 |
| II | Stella SAGIV - Yehuda SAGIV | 53,18 |
| I2 | Ergun KORKUT - Orhan EKINCI | 52,80 |
| I3 | Angela DELOGU - Elena FORTE | 52,76 |
| I4 | Faik FALAY - Emin BASARAN | 52,53 |
| 15 | Giampiero BETTINETTI - Leonardo MARINO | 52,45 |
| 16 | Enver DURULMUS - Zafer OZKAN | 52,42 |
| I7 | Janusz RADECKI - Andrzej BEREZECKI | 52,37 |
| I8 | Christo DRUMEV - Ivan Tanev BONEV | 52,25 |
| I9 | Elizabeth (Liz) McGOWAN - David LIGGAT | 52,24 |
| 20 | Bill PENCHARZ - Irving GORDON | 52,24 |
| 21 | Albert FAIGENBAUM - Romain ZALESKI | $52, I 2$ |
| 22 | E. BERTOLUCCI - Giampiero BATTISTONI | 51,62 |
| 23 | Samim OZTEK - Turkatasever GEDIK | 51,50 |

