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# A Near Run Thing 

Several thousand on line spectators and a packed VuGraph here in Pau watched the battle for ninth place in Group A unfold as Turkey, Finland \& England engaged in a thrilling struggle. During the first half the teams repeatedly swapped places, but then Turkey drew clear, leaving the other two to fight it out. Finland held sway for a long time, but then two adverse slam swings saw them drop several victory points, leaving them tied with England, who advanced by virtue of having won their individual match.
In the Women's event England is setting a furious pace ahead of Italy and Germany.
Denmark is leading the way in the Seniors, chased by the low countries, Belgium \& the Netherlands.

## Jaime Ortiz-Patiño visits Pau



The President Emeritus of the World Bridge Federation and Honorary Member of the European Bridge League Executive Committee Jaime Ortiz-Patiño arrived in Pau late last night to attend part of the European Team Championships and participate in the EBL General Assembly and the EBL Executive meetings.
Jaime Ortiz-Patiño has played a major role in the formation of modern bridge. As EBL Treasurer (1974-83), he drew a plan that put EBL finances in order and the League on a financially sound ground.
As European delegate to the World Bridge Federation, he followed the world organization since its very first steps and was ready to launch his revolutionary policy when he became WBF President in 1976. During what was described as the 'IO golden years' of bridge, he cleaned the game and introduced rules which secure that champions emerge at the table through legitimate methods only. Table screens, convention cards, systems and categories of tournaments, etc. were all became part of bridge's armory during his term in office. He was the one to institute that international events are 'by invitation only', which closed the door to suspicious players once and for all.
One of his very last decisions before retiring in 1986 was to institute the World Youth Team Championship, which has since become the flagship of the WBF's youth programme, and is still contested having the Ortiz-Patiño Trophy at stake every two years.
After concluding his bridge career, Jaime Ortiz-Patiño turned to golf where his contribution was no less important. However, his heart remained largely with bridge. Over the past 22 years, he has attended many of the EBL and WBF championships - most notably the world youth competitions of which he has missed very few!
The EBL welcomes Jaime Ortiz-Patiño to Pau most heartily.We thank the WBF President Emeritus for being with us on this occasion, and wish him to be well so that he can attend many of our future events.

## EBL Ordinary General Assembly

The 2008 Ordinary Assembly of the European Bridge League will be held on Sunday morning at the Auditorium Lamartine, on the basement of Palais Beaumont, starting at 10 am .
All EBL member countries are strongly encouraged to be represented at the General Assembly, where important issues regarding the future of European bridge are raised, debated and decided.
We look forward to seeing all national delegates at the EBL General Assembly.
Panos Gerontopoulos - EBL Hon. Secretary
pabtemaire officiel

WOMEN TEAMS PROGRAM

|  | ROUND 9 |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Table | Home Team | Visiting Team |
| I | SWEDEN | IRELAND |
| 2 | SPAIN | CROATIA |
| 3 | TURKEY | GERMANY |
| 4 | FRANCE | NORWAY |
| 5 | DENMARK | AUSTRIA |
| 6 | ISRAEL | ENGLAND |
| 7 | CZECH REP. | FINLAND |
| 8 | POLAND | BYE |
| 9 | ICELAND | ITALY |
| 10 | RUSSIA | NETHERLANDS |
| 11 | LEBANON | HUNGARY |
| 12 | SCOTLAND | GREECE |
| 13 | PORTUGAL | WALES |
|  |  |  |


| ROUND IO |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Table | Home Team | Visiting Team |  |
| I | PORTUGAL | LEBANON |  |
| 2 | HUNGARY | RUSSIA |  |
| 3 | NETHERLANDS | ICELAND |  |
| 4 | ITALY | SCOTLAND |  |
| 5 | GREECE | CZECH REP. |  |
| 6 | FINLAND | ISRAEL |  |
| 7 | ENGLAND | DENMARK |  |
| 8 | SPAIN | BYE |  |
| 9 | NORWAY | TURKEY |  |
| 10 | WALES | IRELAND |  |
| 11 | CROATIA | SWEDEN |  |
| 12 | GERMANY | POLAND |  |
| 13 | AUSTRIA | FRANCE |  |
|  |  |  |  |


| ROUND II |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Table | Home Team | Visiting Team |  |
| I | IRELAND | CROATIA |  |
| 2 | SPAIN | GERMANY |  |
| 3 | POLAND | NORWAY |  |
| 4 | TURKEY | AUSTRIA |  |
| 5 | FRANCE | ENGLAND |  |
| 6 | DENMARK | FINLAND |  |
| 7 | ISRAEL | GREECE |  |
| 8 | SWEDEN | BYE |  |
| 9 | SCOTLAND | NETHERLANDS |  |
| 10 | LEBANON | WALES |  |
| 11 | RUSSIA | PORTUGAL |  |
| 12 | ICELAND | HUNGARY |  |
| 13 | CZECH REP. | ITALY |  |
|  |  |  |  |

## SENIOR TEAMS PROGRAM

| ROUND 3 | 10.30 |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Table | Home Team | Visiting Team |
| 1 | WALES | TURKEY |
| 2 | SPAIN | ENGLAND |
| 3 | SWITZERLAND | NORWAY |
| 4 | FRANCE | SWEDEN |
| 5 | FINLAND | ITALY |
| 6 | SCOTLAND | NETHERLANDS |
| 7 | AUSTRIA | IRELAND |
| 8 | GERMANY | ESTONIA |
| 9 | ISRAEL | BELGIUM |
| 10 | DENMARK | POLAND |


| ROUND 4 | I4.15 |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Table | Home Team | Visiting Team |
| 1 | ENGLAND | WALES |
| 2 | NORWAY | SPAIN |
| 3 | SWEDEN | SWITZERLAND |
| 4 | ITALY | FRANCE |
| 5 | NETHERLANDS | FINLAND |
| 6 | IRELAND | SCOTLAND |
| 7 | ESTONIA | AUSTRIA |
| 8 | BELGIUM | GERMANY |
| 9 | POLAND | ISRAEL |
| 10 | DENMARK | TURKEY |


| ROUND 5 |  |  |  | I7.35 |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Table | Home Team | Visiting Team |  |  |
| I | WALES | NORWAY |  |  |
| 2 | SPAIN | SWEDEN |  |  |
| 3 | SWITZERLAND | ITALY |  |  |
| 4 | FRANCE | NETHERLANDS |  |  |
| 5 | FINLAND | IRELAND |  |  |
| 6 | SCOTLAND | ESTONIA |  |  |
| 7 | AUSTRIA | BELGIUM |  |  |
| 8 | GERMANY | ISRAEL |  |  |
| 9 | ENGLAND | DENMARK |  |  |
| 10 | TURKEY | POLAND |  |  |

## Today's Schedule

### 10.00 EBL General Assembly

I0.30 Open Teams F.R., Round I
Women Teams, Round 9 - Senior Teams, Round 3
14.15 Open Teams F.R., Round 2

Women Teams, Round IO - Senior Teams, Round 4
I7.30 Women Teams, Round II - Senior Teams, Round 5

## OPEN TEAMS RESULTS

## GROUPA

## GROUP B

ROUND 19 - subject to official confirmation

|  | Home Team | Visiting Team | IMPs | VPs |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| I | TURKEY | LITHUANIA | $72-31$ | $23-7$ |
| 2 | GREECE | LUXEMBOURG | $22-25$ | $14-16$ |
| 3 | SERBIA | NETHERLANDS | $51-27$ | $20-10$ |
| 4 | SPAIN | CZECH REP. | $50-45$ | $16-14$ |
| 5 | FINLAND | ICELAND | $33-52$ | $11-19$ |
| 6 | ISRAEL | SCOTLAND | $72-20$ | $25-5$ |
| 7 | LATVIA | DENMARK | $50-57$ | $14-16$ |
| 8 | ITALY | CROATIA | $58-35$ | $20-10$ |
| 9 | ENGLAND | SWITZERLAND | $46-45$ | $15-15$ |
|  | MONACO | BYE |  | $18-0$ |

## ROUND 19 - subject to official confirmation

|  | Home Team | Visiting Team | IMPs | VPs |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| II | FRANCE | SAN MARINO | $53-31$ | $20-10$ |
| I2 | AUSTRIA | SLOVAKIA | $46-25$ | $19-11$ |
| I3 | ESTONIA | POLAND | $24-47$ | $10-20$ |
| I4 | HUNGARY | ROMANIA | $44-45$ | $15-15$ |
| I5 | IRELAND | GERMANY | $42-68$ | $10-20$ |
| I6 | BULGARIA | BELGIUM | $62-18$ | $24-6$ |
| I7 | MALTA | RUSSIA | $36-79$ | $6-24$ |
| I8 | SWEDEN | WALES | $34-40$ | $14-16$ |
| I9 | NORWAY | PORTUGAL | $46-52$ | $14-16$ |
|  | BELARUS | BYE |  | $18-0$ |

## WOMEN TEAMS RESULTS

| ROUND 7-subject to official confirmation |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | Home Team | Visiting Team | IMPs | VPs |  |
| I | SPAIN | SWEDEN | $45-72$ | $9-21$ |  |
| 2 | POLAND | IRELAND | $33-29$ | $16-14$ |  |
| 3 | TURKEY | CROATIA | $19-54$ | $8-22$ |  |
| 4 | DENMARK | GERMANY | $32-53$ | $11-19$ |  |
| 5 | ISRAEL | NORWAY | $31-62$ | $9-21$ |  |
| 6 | HUNGARY | WALES | $45-48$ | $14-16$ |  |
| 7 | SCOTLAND | ENGLAND | $19-51$ | $8-22$ |  |
| 8 | FRANCE | BYE |  | $18-0$ |  |
| 9 | RUSSIA | GREECE | $65-18$ | $24-6$ |  |
| IO | LEBANON | ITALY | $25-60$ | $8-22$ |  |
| II | PORTUGAL | NETHERLANDS | $24-61$ | $7-23$ |  |
| I2 | CZECH REP. | AUSTRIA | $54-23$ | $21-9$ |  |
| I3 | ICELAND | FINLAND | $39-78$ | $7-23$ |  |


| ROUND 8-subject to official confirmation |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Home Team | Visiting Team | IMPs | VPs |  |
| I | HUNGARY | PORTUGAL | $71-68$ | $16-14$ |  |
| 2 | NETHERLANDS | LEBANON | $69-21$ | $25-5$ |  |
| 3 | ITALY | RUSSIA | $70-58$ | $17-12$ |  |
| 4 | GREECE | ICELAND | $46-42$ | $16-14$ |  |
| 5 | FINLAND | SCOTLAND | $63-49$ | $18-12$ |  |
| 6 | ENGLAND | CZECH REP. | $78-15$ | $25-3$ |  |
| 7 | AUSTRIA | ISRAEL | $26-33$ | $14-16$ |  |
| 8 | TURKEY | BYE |  | $18-0$ |  |
| 9 | GERMANY | FRANCE | $35-42$ | $14-16$ |  |
| IO | CROATIA | POLAND | $15-35$ | $11-19$ |  |
| II | IRELAND | SPAIN | $32-53$ | $11-19$ |  |
| I2 | NORWAY | DENMARK | $25-66$ | $7-23$ |  |
| I3 | WALES | SWEDEN | $51-80$ | $9-21$ |  |

## WOMEN TEAMS RESULTS

| ROUND I - subject to official confirmation |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | Home Team | Visiting Team | IMPs | VPs |
| I | WALES | POLAND | $25-41$ | II -19 |
| 2 | SPAIN | DENMARK | $17-79$ | $2-25$ |
| 3 | SWITZERLAND | TURKEY | $15-25$ | $13-17$ |
| 4 | FRANCE | ENGLAND | $39-50$ | $13-17$ |
| 5 | FINLAND | NORWAY | $13-48$ | $7-23$ |
| 6 | SCOTLAND | SWEDEN | $25-31$ | $14-16$ |
| 7 | AUSTRIA | ITALY | $38-41$ | $14-16$ |
| 8 | GERMANY | NETHERLANDS | $19-49$ | $8-22$ |
| 9 | ISRAEL | IRELAND | $47-27$ | $20-10$ |
| IO | BELGIUM | ESTONIA | $27-22$ | $16-14$ |


| ROUND 2-subject to official confirmation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Home Team |  |  |  |  |  |  | Visiting Team | IMPs | VPs |
| I | DENMARK | WALES | $60-31$ | $22-8$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | TURKEY | SPAIN | $24-52$ | $8-22$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | ENGLAND | SWITZERLAND | $34-27$ | $16-14$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | NORWAY | FRANCE | $20-44$ | $9-21$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | SWEDEN | FINLAND | $29-35$ | $14-16$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | ITALY | SCOTLAND | $52-30$ | $20-10$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | NETHERLANDS | AUSTRIA | $47-42$ | $16-14$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | IRELAND | GERMANY | $22-42$ | $10-20$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | ESTONIA | ISRAEL | $15-14$ | $15-15$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| IO | POLAND | BELGIUM | $12-60$ | $4-25$ |  |  |  |  |  |

## OPEN TEAMS RANKING GROUP A <br> after 19 rounds <br> subject to official confrimation

| OPEN TEAMS RANKING GROUP B <br> after 19 rounds <br> subject to official confirmation |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SWEDEN | 376.00 |
| 2 | RUSSIA | 343.00 |
| 3 | NORWAY | 335.30 |
| 4 | BULGARIA | 335.00 |
| 5 | GERMANY | 329.00 |
| 6 | FRANCE | 319.00 |
| 7 | POLAND | 314.00 |
| 8 | PORTUGAL | 310.50 |
| 9 | ESTONIA | 290.00 |
| 10 | beLARUS | 276.50 |
| 11 | IRELAND | 274.70 |
| 12 | AUSTRIA | 272.00 |
| 13 | BELGIUM | 256.00 |
| 14 | WALES | 255.00 |
| 15 | HUNGARY | 251.00 |
| 16 | SLOVAKIA | 250.00 |
| 17 | ROMANIA | 245.00 |
| 18 | SAN MARINO | 239.00 |
| 19 | MALTA | 144.00 |

## INTERNATIONAL MIND SPORTS GAMES - BEIJING VISAS

This year, due to the Olympic Games, the procedure to obtain the visas (even «Touristic » visas) are more complicated than for Shanghai last year.
To obtain the visa you will absolutely need an invitation letter from the Chinese organisers and some countries even require it in Chinese.
Each NBO has then to fill a form listing all the players and accompanying people and send it to Lilian Sun - sunchengmo82@yahoo.com.cn - before July 31st. She will then send you via mail the invitations to be produced to the local embassies to obtain the visas.

## WOMEN TEAMS RANKING after 8 rounds <br> subject to official confirmation

| I | ENGLAND | 166.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | ITALY | 151.00 |
| 3 | GERMANY | 146.00 |
| 4 | SWEDEN | 145.00 |
| 5 | NETHERLANDS | 140.00 |
| 6 | NORWAY | 137.00 |
| 7 | FRANCE | 136.00 |
| 8 | POLAND | 133.00 |
| 9 | ISRAEL | 127.00 |
| 10 | CZECH REP. | 124.50 |
| II | HUNGARY | 124.00 |
|  | SPAIN | 124.00 |
| 13 | CROATIA | 123.00 |
|  | ICELAND | 123.00 |
| 15 | FINLAND | 122.00 |
| 16 | DENMARK | 119.00 |
| 17 | RUSSIA | 113.00 |
|  | SCOTLAND | 113.00 |
| 19 | TURKEY | 110.00 |
| 20 | PORTUGAL | 98.00 |
| 21 | GREECE | 91.00 |
| 22 | LEBANON | 90.00 |
| 23 | WALES | 87.00 |
| 24 | IRELAND | 82.50 |
| 25 | AUSTRIA | 76.00 |

SENIOR TEAMS RANKING after 2 rounds subject to official confirmation

| I | DENMARK | 47.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | BELGIUM | 41.00 |
| 3 | NETHERLANDS | 38.00 |
| 4 | ITALY | 36.00 |
| 5 | ISRAEL | 35.00 |
| 6 | FRANCE | 34.00 |
| 7 | ENGLAND | 33.00 |
| 8 | NORWAY | 32.00 |
| 9 | SWEDEN | 30.00 |
| 10 | ESTONIA | 29.00 |
| I I | AUSTRIA | 28.00 |
|  | GERMANY | 28.00 |
| 13 | SWITZERLAND | 27.00 |
| 14 | TURKEY | 25.00 |
| 15 | SCOTLAND | 24.00 |
| 16 | FINLAND | 23.00 |
|  | POLAND | 23.00 |
|  | SPAIN | 23.00 |
|  | IRELAND | 20.00 |
| 20 | WALES | 19.00 |

## Perfect Bridge Partner(s)

The Croatian Women have nominated their Danish opponents (and Captain) from Round 5 of the Women's Series for their sporting behaviour. Once we have established who was playing they will collect a PBP award.

## OPEN TEAMS PROGRAM

| ROUND I - 22 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Table | JUNE | Home Team | Visiting Team |
| I | FRANCE | DENMARK |  |
| 2 | ITALY | POLAND |  |
| 3 | LATVIA | RUSSIA |  |
| 4 | BULGARIA | PORTUGAL |  |
| 5 | ESTONIA | ENGLAND |  |
| 6 | NETHERLANDS | NORWAY |  |
| 7 | ICELAND | GERMANY |  |
| 8 | ISRAEL | CZECH REP. |  |
| 9 | SWEDEN | TURKEY |  |


| ROUND 5-23 | JUNE | I7.35 |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Table | Home Team | Visiting Team |
| 1 | FRANCE | USSIA |
| 2 | POLAND | PORTUGAL |
| 3 | DENMARK | ENGLAND |
| 4 | ITALY | NORWAY |
| 5 | LATVIA | GERMANY |
| 6 | BULGARIA | CZECH REP. |
| 7 | ESTONIA | TURKEY |
| 8 | NETHERLANDS | SWEDEN |
| 9 | ICELAND | ISRAEL |


| ROUND 2-22 |  |  |  | JUNE | 14.15 |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Table | Home Team | Visiting Team |  |  |  |
| I | FRANCE | GERMANY |  |  |  |
| 2 | NORWAY | CZECH REP. |  |  |  |
| 3 | ENGLAND | TURKEY |  |  |  |
| 4 | PORTUGAL | SWEDEN |  |  |  |
| 5 | RUSSIA | ISRAEL |  |  |  |
| 6 | POLAND | ICELAND |  |  |  |
| 7 | DENMARK | NETHERLANDS |  |  |  |
| 8 | ITALY | ESTONIA |  |  |  |
| 9 | LATVIA | BULGARIA |  |  |  |


| ROUND 6-24 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| JUNE | 10.30 |  |  |
| Table | Home Team | Visiting Team |  |
| 1 | FRANCE | ESTONIA |  |
| 2 | NETHERLANDS | BULGARIA |  |
| 3 | ICELAND | LATVIA |  |
| 4 | ISRAEL | ITALY |  |
| 5 | SWEDEN | DENMARK |  |
| 6 | TURKEY | POLAND |  |
| 7 | CZECH REP. | RUSSIA |  |
| 8 | GERMANY | PORTUGAL |  |
| 9 | NORWAY | ENGLAND |  |


| ROUND 3-23 JUNE |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Table | Home Team | Visiting Team |  |
| I | NETHERLANDS | FRANCE |  |
| 2 | ESTONIA | ICELAND |  |
| 3 | BULGARIA | ISRAEL |  |
| 4 | LATVIA | SWEDEN |  |
| 5 | ITALY | TURKEY |  |
| 6 | DENMARK | CZECH REP. |  |
| 7 | POLAND | GERMANY |  |
| 8 | RUSSIA | NORWAY |  |
| 9 | ENGLAND | PORTUGAL |  |


| ROUND 7-24 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| JUNE | I4.I5 |  |  |
| Table | Home Team | Visiting Team |  |
| I | FRANCE | ENGLAND |  |
| 2 | PORTUGAL | NORWAY |  |
| 3 | RUSSIA | GERMANY |  |
| 4 | POLAND | CZECH REP. |  |
| 5 | DENMARK | TURKEY |  |
| 6 | ITALY | SWEDEN |  |
| 7 | LATVIA | ISRAEL |  |
| 8 | BULGARIA | ICELAND |  |
| 9 | ESTONIA | NETHERLANDS |  |


| ROUND 4-23 JUNE |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Table | Home Team | Visiting Team |  |
| 1 | NORWAY | FRANCE |  |
| 2 | GERMANY | ENGLAND |  |
| 3 | CZECH REP. | PORTUGAL |  |
| 4 | TURKEY | RUSSIA |  |
| 5 | SWEDEN | POLAND |  |
| 6 | ISRAEL | DENMARK |  |
| 7 | ICELAND | ITALY |  |
| 8 | NETHERLANDS | LATVIA |  |
| 9 | ESTONIA | BULGARIA |  |


| ROUND 8-25 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| JUNE | 10.30 |  |  |
| Table | Home Team | Visiting Team |  |
| I | FRANCE | TURKEY |  |
| 2 | CZECH REP. | SWEDEN |  |
| 3 | GERMANY | ISRAEL |  |
| 4 | NORWAY | ICELAND |  |
| 5 | ENGLAND | NETHERLANDS |  |
| 6 | PORTUGAL | ESTONIA |  |
| 7 | RUSSIA | BULGARIA |  |
| 8 | POLAND | LATVIA |  |
| 9 | DENMARK | ITALY |  |


| ROUND 9-25 JUNE |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Table | Home Team | Visiting Team |  |
| I | FRANCE | ISRAEL |  |
| 2 | SWEDEN | ICELAND |  |
| 3 | TURKEY | NETHERLANDS |  |
| 4 | CZECH REP. | ESTONIA |  |
| 5 | GERMANY | BULGARIA |  |
| 6 | NORWAY | LATVIA |  |
| 7 | ENGLAND | ITALY |  |
| 8 | PORTUGAL | DENMARK |  |
| 9 | RUSSIA | POLAND |  |


| ROUND IO-25 JUNE |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Table | Home Team | Visiting Team |  |
| 1 | CZECH REP. | FRANCE |  |
| 2 | TURKEY | GERMANY |  |
| 3 | SWEDEN | NORWAY |  |
| 4 | ISRAEL | ENGLAND |  |
| 5 | ICELAND | PORTUGAL |  |
| 6 | NETHERLANDS | RUSSIA |  |
| 7 | ESTONIA | POLAND |  |
| 8 | BULGARIA | DENMARK |  |
| 9 | LATVIA | ITALY |  |

ROUND II-26 JUNE 10.30

| Table | Home Team | Visiting Team |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | PORTUGAL | FRANCE |
| 2 | ENGLAND | RUSSIA |
| 3 | NORWAY | POLAND |
| 4 | GERMANY | DENMARK |
| 5 | CZECH REP. | ITALY |
| 6 | TURKEY | LATVIA |
| 7 | SWEDEN | BULGARIA |
| 8 | ISRAEL | ESTONIA |
| 9 | ICELAND | NETHERLANDS |

ROUND 12-26 JUNE 14.15

| Table | Home Team | Visiting Team |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | SWEDEN | FRANCE |
| 2 | ISRAEL | TURKEY |
| 3 | ICELAND | CZECH REP. |
| 4 | NETHERLANDS | GERMANY |
| 5 | ESTONIA | NORWAY |
| 6 | BULGARIA | ENGLAND |
| 7 | LATVIA | PORTUGAL |
| 8 | ITALY | RUSSIA |
| 9 | DENMARK | POLAND |

## ROUND 13-26 JUNE 17.35

| Table | Home Team |
| :---: | :--- |
| I | BULGARIA |
| 2 | LATVIA |
| 3 | ITALY |
| 4 | DENMARK |
| 5 | POLAND |
| 6 | RUSSIA |
| 7 | PORTUGAL |
| 8 | ENGLAND |
| 9 | GERMANY |

Visiting Team
FRANCE
ESTONIA
NETHERLANDS
ICELAND
ISRAEL
SWEDEN
TURKEY
CZECH REP.
NORWAY

| ROUND $15-27$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| JUNE | 14.15 |  |  |
| Table | Home Team | Visiting Team |  |
| 1 | FRANCE | LATVIA |  |
| 2 | BULGARIA | ITALY |  |
| 3 | ESTONIA | DENMARK |  |
| 4 | NETHERLANDS | POLAND |  |
| 5 | ICELAND | RUSSIA |  |
| 6 | ISRAEL | PORTUGAL |  |
| 7 | SWEDEN | ENGLAND |  |
| 8 | TURKEY | NORWAY |  |
| 9 | CZECH REP. | GERMANY |  |


| ROUND 16-27 JUNE |  |  |  | I7.35 |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Table | Home Team | Visiting Team |  |  |
| I | ITALY | FRANCE |  |  |
| 2 | DENMARK | LATVIA |  |  |
| 3 | POLAND | BULGARIA |  |  |
| 4 | RUSSIA | ESTONIA |  |  |
| 5 | PORTUGAL | NETHERLANDS |  |  |
| 6 | ENGLAND | ICELAND |  |  |
| 7 | NORWAY | ISRAEL |  |  |
| 8 | GERMANY | SWEDEN |  |  |
| 9 | TURKEY | CZECH REP. |  |  |

ROUND 16-27 JUNE 17.35

| ROUND 17-28 JUNE |  |  |  | 10.30 |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Table | Home Team | Visiting Team |  |  |
| 1 | FRANCE | ICELAND |  |  |
| 2 | ISRAEL | NETHERLANDS |  |  |
| 3 | SWEDEN | ESTONIA |  |  |
| 4 | TURKEY | BULGARIA |  |  |
| 5 | CZECH REP. | LATVIA |  |  |
| 6 | GERMANY | ITALY |  |  |
| 7 | NORWAY | DENMARK |  |  |
| 8 | ENGLAND | POLAND |  |  |
| 9 | PORTUGAL | RUSSIA |  |  |



## WONEN TEAMS

## Round 3

## Denmark v Sweden

by Peter Ventura
At this early stage in the Women series it is was important for two of the medal candidates, Denmark and Sweden, to perform well and not lose ground.
With one former Norwegian (Katrine Bertheau) two Danes and one Swede in the Open Room this was a truly Scandinavian battle.

Board 8. Dealer West. None Vul.

- 75
$\bigcirc 9$
$\diamond$ K QJIO 9865
\& K 9

| - J 106 | N | - K Q 842 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -AKJ8532 |  | $\bigcirc 76$ |
| $\checkmark 7$ | W E | $\checkmark$ A |
| d. 3 | S | 2 Q 10874 |
|  | - A 93 |  |
|  | Q Q 104 |  |
|  | $\checkmark 432$ |  |
|  | + A 652 |  |

Open Room

| West <br> S. Rimstedt | North <br> Bekkouche | East <br> Sjoberg | South <br> Binderkrantz |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I 8 | $5 \diamond$ | Dble | All Pass |

Closed Room

| West <br> Kirstan | North <br> Midskog | East <br> Klemmensen | South <br> Bertheau |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 48 | All Pass |  |  |

In Five Diamonds declarer had three obvious losers, so went one off for - 100 .
Norway faced Germany on vugraph and here the Norwegian declarer got a heart lead in Five Diamonds. West won the jack and tried to take another heart trick, but declarer ruffed and played trumps to East. On the club switch the German pair erred in the defence. When declarer ran an avalanche of diamonds, West didn't help her much as she threw hearts. East held her spades, throwing away the clubs. A club jack discard would have helped East to read the position correctly.
Kirstan created a swing here when she a bit boldly opened Four Hearts, but one can't argue success as the game was made. In theory Four Hearts can be beaten if the defence plays three rounds of clubs, which forces West to ruff with the trump jack, but in practice it is very difficult to lead the king of clubs. However, Sarah Teshome of England indeed found the club king lead. Unfortunately for her, the Portuguese declarer was playing in Three Hearts...
In the Open series Ulf Nilsson of Sweden and Paul Hackett of England also found the killing lead, beating Four Hearts at their tables.

In the match between Monaco and Scotland the king of clubs was led at both tables, but here both declarers were doomed from the beginning, as the contract was Five Hearts...

Board IO. Dealer East. All Vul.


- J 74
$\triangleleft 10$
\& A 1054
Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 19* | Pass |
| $1 \diamond *$ | 18 | Dble | 28 |
| Pass | Pass | 3 | Pass |
| 38* | Pass | 3NT | All Pass |

Declarer quickly went two off after a club lead from Bekkouche; N/S -200.

## Closed Room

| West <br> Kirstan | North Midskog | East <br> Klemmensen | South Bertheau |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $1 \diamond$ | 19 |
| $2 \diamond$ | Dble | Rdbl | 24 |
| $3 \checkmark$ | Pass | 34* | Pass |
| 3NT | Pass | 4\%* | Pass |
| $4 \diamond$ | Pass | 4 ${ }^{*}$ | Pass |
| $5 \diamond$ | All Pass |  |  |

Five diamonds is a far better contract than the no-trump game that was reached at the other table. With the ace of hearts in North's hand declarer was able to pitch a spade from dummy. If South has the heart ace, declarer must rely on a spade finesse to make her contract. Denmark +600 and that was 13 IMPs to them.
In the vugraph match this was the auction in the Open Room:

| West <br> Wladow | North <br> Lindqvist | East <br> Elinescu | South <br> Brogeland |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $1 \mathbf{2}$ | Pass |
| $1 \diamond$ | $1 \triangleleft$ | $2 \triangleleft$ | $2 \triangleleft$ |
| $3 \diamond$ | $3 \triangleleft$ | $3 N T$ | All Pass |

Brogeland led the four of hearts and Lindqvist put up the ace. Only a club switch will now defeat the game, and when Lindqvist indeed found that switch declarer went two light. Very well done by the young Norwegian.
We had come half way through the match and Denmark was leading by 22 to 16 at this point.

Board II. Dealer South. None Vul.

$$
\text { ゅ } 10876
$$

คA 8753
$\diamond 87$
K 4


Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Pass |
| 18* | Dble | I $\diamond^{*}$ | 2\% |
| 2 - | Pass | 3 3 | Pass |
| 49** | Dble | Pass | 5\% |
| Pass | Pass | Dble | Pass |
| $5 \checkmark$ | All Pass |  |  |



Emma Sjoberg, Sweden

West opened a Precision Club and East limited her hand to a maximum of the 7 HCP's. Bidding Four Spades over North's second double would have helped West a lot, and likely have led to the contract of Six Diamonds for East/West. An overtrick was made, thus 420 to Sweden.

## Closed Room

| West <br> Kirstan | North <br> Midskog | East <br> Klemmensen | South <br> Bertheau |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dble | Pass | $3 \mathbf{2}$ | Pass <br> $6 \diamond$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

Here Kirstan was left to her own devices, and she made the correct choice by directly bidding to the small slam. Well done, Denmark 920, and that was a worthy II IMPs for them. Here the Danes in the Closed Room were on thin ice.

Board I5. Dealer South. N/S Vul.
. Q 1087
PAKQ1032
$\diamond 8$
92


Open Room

| West <br> S. Rimstedt | North <br> Bekkouche | East <br> Sjoberg | South <br> Binderkrantz |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pass | $1 \oslash$ |  | Pass |
| Pass | $2 \oslash$ | All Pass | $2 \diamond$ |

Declarer took eight tricks in Two Hearts for +IIO. Not so exciting compared to the fireworks we saw at the other table.

Closed Room

| West <br> Kirstan | North Midskog | East <br> Klemmensen | South <br> Bertheau |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Pass |
| 24* | 38 | 4\% | Dble |
| Pass | Pass | $4 \diamond$ | Dble |
| Pass | Pass | $4 \bigcirc$ | Pass |
| 49 | Dble | 4NT | Dble |
| 5\% | Pass | Pass | Dble |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

Two Spades showed five spades and at least a four card minor suit, 4-10 HCP's. Midskog judged well to pass parter's double over Four Clubs but why on earth did East try to escape? South smelled blood and must have come close to running out of red cards before the Danish bidding stopped.

The Swedes defended well. South led the five of hearts to North's ace and Midskog accurately switched to a diamond. Declarer played low, so South won the ten and then could give partner a diamond ruff. South had to win two trump tricks and that was three down, N/S +500, Sweden scoring 9 IMPs.

Board 19. Dealer South. E/WVul.

- 62

J J 1085
KQJ2
\& 872


Both tables easily reached Six Spades - perfectly naturally after a club pre-empt by South and a spade overcall by West. That leaves us with the question: How to avoid bidding slam? Over South's pre-empt it is obvious that you as West bid some number of spades. East must then be extremely conservative not to make an attempt for slam.
However, no swing here and with only I IMP exchanged on the final board, which left us the score Denmark 37, Sweden 33, I6-I4VPs.

| Sunday 22nd - 10.30 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | Latvia - Russia | RAMA |
| I | France - Denmark | BBO I |
| 2 | Italy - Poland | BBO 2 |
| 7 | Iceland - Germany | BBO 3 |
| 6 | Netherlands - Norway | BBO 4 |
| 25 | France - Norway (W) | BBO 6 |
| 10 | Denmark - Poland (S) | SWAN |
| 8 | Sunday 22nd - 14.15 <br> Italy - Estonia | RAMA |
| 1 | France - Germany | BBO I |
| 2 | Norway - Czech Republic | BBO 2 |
| 5 | Russia - Israel | BBO 3 |
| 14 | Italy - France (S) | BBO 4 |
| 28 | Austria - France (W) | BBO 6 |
| 7 | Denmark - Netherlands | SWAN |
| 19 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sunday 22nd - } 14.15 \\ & \text { England - Denmark (S) } \end{aligned}$ | RAMA |
| 14 | France - Netherlands (S) | BBO I |
| 29 | Czech Republic - Italy (W) | BBO 5 |
| 26 | France - England (W) | BBO 6 |
| 12 | Spain - Sweden (S) | SWAN |

## It's not enough to bid well...

When England played Turkey the deal revolved around two battles; could N/S bid to the right contract, and could declarer bring home his contract once he was there?

Board 5. Dealer North. N/S Vul.
Q Q 10985
ค 32
$\diamond$ A 8

- AJ 42

| - A 42 | N | -163 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc$ Q 10975 | W E | ¢KJ4 |
| $\diamond 752$ | W E | $\diamond$ KQJ96 |
| -83 | S | ¢ 97 |
|  | - K 7 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ A 86 |  |
|  | $\checkmark 1043$ |  |
|  | \& K Q 1065 |  |

The Turkish declarer managed to avoid the trap of playing 3 NT - as you can see, the lead of either red-suit puts declarer in deep trouble. Instead Atabey declared 5\% on a heart lead. How do you rate declarer's chances? Pretty good, l'd say. You win the lead, cross to a trump, and give up a spade to the ace. Back comes a heart, and you win the diamond ace, draw another trump, then have to view the spades. Playing East for jack tripleton sees you home.

At the table, Justin Hackett was sitting West, and at trick three he ducked his ¢A! Now declarer played a spade back to the jack, and after winning the $\diamond A$ and drawing trumps he had reached this position:

Board 5. Dealer North. N/S Vul.

- Q 109
$\checkmark$ None
$\diamond 8$
- J 4
$\triangle A$
$\& Q 75$
$\diamond 75$
2 None


Declarer needs to guess spades on his next play, and since the $\Phi$ A was 'marked' on his right he passed the 4 Q with confidence; he will know better next time! Down two.

## I claimed it on a double squeeze <br> by Herman De Wael

Tom Cornelis and Alon Amsel have been on Belgian junior and university teams for several years, but never together. They formed a very successful partnership two years ago, which earned them a transfer to the perennial national team champions, Orée Brussels. This year they won their first national team title, and added the Butler title for good measure.

Yesterday morning, Tom was quite ill, and Belgium was allowed to change their line-up. Our youngsters were not scheduled to play the second match, but Tom did turn up for the third one. He was still very pale, but insisted on playing. After making 3NT redoubled on board two (off six heart tricks), some colour returned to his cheeks.

The very next board allowed them to show off the intricacies of their system.

Board 3. Dealer South. E/WVul.

- J 8

ค J 7654
$\diamond 964$
\& 842

| - AQ 7 | N | -105432 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc$ AQ | W E | $\bigcirc 1098$ |
| $\checkmark$ K 10 | W E | $\checkmark$ A 53 |
| * AQJ973 | 5 | -K6 |
|  | - K 96 |  |
|  | ¢K32 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ QJ872 |  |
|  | -105 |  |



Alon Amsel, Belgium

| West <br> Tom | North Zhukov | East <br> Alon | South <br> Zhuravel |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Pass |
| 1\% | Pass | 18 | Pass |
| $1{ }^{1}$ | Pass | INT | Pass |
| 2\% | Pass | $2 \triangleleft$ | Pass |
| 3\% | Pass | 4 | Pass |
| 69 | All Pass |  |  |

IS was strong, I $\oslash$ showed several possibilities, including 5+ points with spades. The next two were relays, with East showing 5-7 and 5 spades. The enormous "jump" to 30 was an asking bid in clubs, and $4 \diamond$ was the strongest possible response, 2 key-cards in a fitted hand (from 2 cards). Since this meant the ${ }^{\mathrm{J}}$ was not on board (maximum 7 points, remember?), Tom chose the safer slam in clubs.

Tom clearly showed he was back in form by proceeding to make this contract:The heart lead went to king and ace, and Tom took the spade finesse after crossing to the e K. Running the clubs (spades from dummy) produced this ending:


On the last club, the $\mathbf{~} 10$ is discarded from dummy and both defenders are squeezed. When both chose to let go of a diamond, the $\diamond 3$ made the thirteenth trick. It should have been the 7.
The new laws prevent declarers from claiming on a double squeeze, but maybe a Belgian should be allowed to do it after all.


## OPEN TEAMS

## Round 17

## England v Denmark

by Jos Jacobs

In Friday afternoon's round, two of the contenders for the 9th berth in Group A were scheduled to meet in a head-to-head match: England v. Denmark. At the start of the round, England were lying IOth, 8 VP behind Denmark who were 8th at that point. So a big victory would be very useful to either team whereas any close result would tend to favour Denmark.

The NT views adopted by each EW pair led to a swing on the very first board:

Board: I. Dealer North/None vul.

- 86532
-K 964
$\diamond 5$
\& 1094
- 74
-A 752
$\diamond 9862$
- 172

Two boards later, we saw the other side of the coin.
Board: 3. Dealer South/EW vul.
4 K 963

- J 86
$\triangleleft$ A 93
- K Q 10
- J 84

81075
$\checkmark 108652$

- A 9

- 107
© AKQ43
$\diamond$ J 4
- 1642
- A Q 52
$\bigcirc 92$
$\diamond$ K Q 7
- 8753

| Open Room <br> West <br> Hansen | North <br> Hackett | East <br> Bilde | South <br> Waterlow |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pass | 3NT | Pass | 14 |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

This time, the English NS pair could open 1\$, 3NT of course showing a balanced raise.
The nice black suit breaks made 10 tricks easy. England +420 .


Paul Hackett, England

The lucky diamond break made even the overtrick very easy for declarer. Denmark +450 , a gain of 8 IMPs and just about the start they wanted.

South

| West <br> Justin | North <br> Askgaard | East <br> Jason | South <br> Bjarnarson |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pass | INT | Dble |
| Pass | $2 \boldsymbol{Q}$ | Pass | $3 \boldsymbol{1}$ |
| Pass | $4 \boldsymbol{~ A l l ~ P a s s ~}$ |  |  |

Closed Room

| West <br> Justin | North <br> Askgaard | East <br> Jason | South <br> Bjarnarson |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pass | INT | All Pass | Pass |

When South passed as dealer and passed again when partner opened a weak NT, the Danes had missed a game. Denmark +90 but 8 IMPs to England.

Another two boards later, England struck even more heavily:

Board: 5. Dealer North/NS vul.

|  | - Q 8 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | QAJ7642 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ A 7 |  |
|  | * 182 |  |
| ¢ J 9¢ 283 | N | - AK62 |
|  |  | $\bigcirc$ Q |
| $\checkmark 10843$ |  | $\checkmark$ J 62 |
| - 4 | S | \& Q 10965 |
|  | - 107 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 1095$ |  |
|  | $\diamond$ KQ 95 |  |
|  | - AK73 |  |


| Open Room <br> West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hansen | Hackett | Bilde | Waterlow |
|  | $1 \%$ | Dble | Redble |
| 2 | Pass | Pass | 38 |
| Pass | 38 | Pass | $4 \checkmark$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

This contract can always be made, provided declarer plays trumps from the top instead of trying to finesse twice. England +620 .

## Closed Room

| West <br> Justin | North <br> Askgaard | East <br> Jason | South <br> Bjarnarson |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $1 \rrbracket$ | Dble | $2 \triangleleft$ |
| Pass | $2 \boxtimes$ | Pass | $4 \checkmark$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

$2 \diamond$ showed a good heart raise with three trumps.
On a spade lead, East cashed his two tops and exited with the 10 . Declarer won the ace and next ran the 810 . East won the queen and gave his brother a club ruff...

England another +100 and 12 more IMPs to lead 20-10.
On the next board, Denmark missed a very good chance:

Board: 6. Dealer East/EW vul.

- A 10872
$\bigcirc 10432$
$\checkmark 96$
$\pm 43$
Q QJ 6
QAJ5
$\checkmark$ AK 732
\& 5


Open Room

| West <br> Hansen | North <br> Hackett | East <br> Bilde | South <br> Waterlow |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Pass | $1 / 8$ |
| $2 \diamond$ | $3 \searrow$ | $4 \S$ | $5 \boldsymbol{2}$ |
| Dble | $5 \triangleleft$ | All Pass |  |

Worldwide, the kibitzers did not believe that this contract went undoubled, but apparently, this really was what had (not) happened. Three down, Denmark +150 .


Tony Waterlow, England

Once Justin overcalled INT rather than $2 \diamond$, Jason was in a perfect position to see what was really going on. England +300 and 4 IMPs to them where they might easily have lost 5 IMPs.
The score now stood at $24-10$ to England. Thirteen boards later, it had reached 32-24 (17-I3 in V.P.), though only two boards had been flat...
This was the last board:
Board: 20. Dealer West/All vul.

|  | - 3 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc 8643$ |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ AKJ 97 |  |  |
|  | \& Q 72 |  |  |
| - Q 1092 | N |  |  |
| $\bigcirc$ A 107 |  |  |  |
| $\checkmark 64$ |  | E |  |
| \% J1084 | $\begin{gathered} \text { S K K } \\ \text { K1874 } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | $8 \mathrm{KJ} 9$ |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ Q 1082 |  |  |
|  | 4 A |  |  |
| Open Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Hansen | Hackett | Bilde | Waterlow |
| Pass | Pass | Pass | 12 |
| Pass |  | Pass | 2 |
| Pass | + 4 T | All Pass |  |

Hackett did not open the North hand, vulnerable in 2nd position. Most of us would agree with him, I feel, but when partner rebid diamonds he had a problem. When South downgraded his kings and jacks, an interesting game contract had been missed. It would need a low heart lead by West to set it; only after this start can East afford to rise with the A and return a heart. Not confronted with this problem, declarer settled for a quiet +130 to England.

Closed Room

| West <br> Justin | North Askgaard | $\begin{aligned} & \text { East } \\ & \text { Jason } \end{aligned}$ | South <br> Bjarnarson |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pass | I $\downarrow$ | Pass | 19 |
| Pass | 24 | Pass | 2 |
| Pass | 38 | Pass | $5 \diamond$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

When Askgaard elected to open the North hand, he had committed his side to the diamond game. As NS had both been bidding hearts, at least semi-naturally, leading this suit was out of the question for Jason and he put a low club on to the table. Declarer won, crossed in trumps and led a spade to the jack and queen. A trump came back and declarer next led a heart to the jack which held. When the 4A came down in two ruffs, he was able to shed two losing hearts on the spades for a fully deserved +600 . Denmark +10 IMPs and a winning draw (34-32) in this very im-

## Many a Michal makes a muckle

The old Scottish proverb is that many a mickle makes a muckle but since declarer here in $2 \checkmark$ was Michal Kopecky, maybe we should have put in a bad pun about kopecks. No matter: here is Michal in 28 . In the other room 2 by his team-mates had gone down a trick (and yes, the contract might have been made) so there were only a handful of imps riding on the success or failure of his contract. But one must take one's chances where one can.

Board 5. Dealer North. N/S Vul.

- 9852

K 108
$\diamond$ J 107

- 843


Kopecky opened a forcing I $\downarrow$ a la Fantoni-Nunes and declared $2 \boxtimes$. The defenders gave him a sporting chance by cashing a top spade and a top club then leading another top spade and a spade to the queen, ruffed.
The simple line of playing on diamonds early fails, if West can resist putting up the $\diamond \mathrm{Q}$ when declarer leads low from hand. Equally, running the trumps fails if West has neither diamond honour.
Kopecky instead drew two rounds of trumps ending in dummy and ruffed a spade with the $\vee$ Q. This was the ending as West had to find a discard:

வ 9
$\checkmark \mathrm{K}$
$\diamond J 107$
84
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { None } \\ > & 9 \\ >Q 54 \\ \& Q 105\end{array}$

| N |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| W |  |
| S |  |
|  |  |
| $\bigcirc$ A Q |  |
| $\checkmark$ A 32 |  |
| \& AJ |  |


\& 97 other club and read the diamond position to make his game. West therefore discarded a club. Declarer led a diamond to the jack and king; East would have done best to return a diamond, (giving declarer a losing option) but he actually played a club. Declarer rose with the ace, drew the last trump, and exited with a club to collect two diamond tricks at the death.

# Moysian fun 

## by Jos Jacobs



Here is a funny hand from the Portugal-Germany match. It was the last board in round 13 . To save the board, Germany had to do well in the Open Room, their Closed Room pair having gone down one in a diamond contract.

Board: 20. Dealer West/All vul.

- K 2
$\checkmark$ Q 6
$\diamond$ A Q J 1062
\& 1083

| - A 1098 | N | ¢ J 54 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc 8542$ |  | $\bigcirc$ A 7 |
| $\diamond$ K 3 | W E | $\checkmark 874$ |
| \& $A K 5$ | S | 919642 |
|  | Q Q 763 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc \mathrm{K}$ J 1093 |  |
|  | $\diamond 95$ |  |
|  | \% Q 7 |  |

Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Smirnov | Pessoa | Piekarek | Castanheira |
| 1\% | I $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{ }$ | I¢(!?) | Pass |
| 24 | All Pass |  |  |

After the $\mathbf{I} \diamond$ overcall, East is in an awkward position. Of course, he can politely raise the ambiguous club opening, but if partner happens to hold 4-4 in the majors (not at all impossible), a spade contract might be playable. Such a contract, however, can only be used by introducing the suit right now. I don't think he felt too happy when the tray came back with a spade raise, but South also passed and led a diamond to dummy's king and North's ace. North, not knowing he was defending a 3-4 fit, shifted to the $\curvearrowright \mathbf{Q}$, South overtaking with the king and continuing the jack. Declarer won, cashed the eAK before South could discard any of his clubs and exited with a diamond to North. South ruffed his partner's club return but had no good card to play next. When he chose a heart, declarer ruffed this in hand, ruffed a diamond and led dummy's last heart. North went in with the $\$ \mathrm{~K}$ but he had no good card to play either. Declarer could play his $\$$ to any return by North, enabling him to capture the $\Phi Q$ and land his contract no matter what South would do.

## Sitout 6- Solution

I am told that this is trivial to a rubber bridge player. John's wife Lisa came home late as usual and showed a summary from her bridge evening. It convinced John that his suspicions about her were justified so he filed for divorce. Why?

| Lisa | +4550 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Dorothy | -2050 |
| Janet | -3000 |
| Anne | +500 |

John knew that Lisa was lying about her evening.
To simplify, divide the scores by ten.
Each rubber will then result in two players winning either an even number or an odd number, and the other two losing the same.

After the first rubber all four will then have an even score - or all four will have an odd score.

The next rubber will again give all four an odd number or all four an even number (plus or minus). This means that all four after the second rubber will have an even score - or four have an odd score. And so it continues.

Thus the scores shown by Lisa (+455, $-205,-300,+50$ ), two odd and two even numbers can not be true.

Lisa lied.

## Sitout exercise 7

|  | - A |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | QAKJIO9876 |  |
|  | $\checkmark 87$ |  |
|  | -32 |  |
| - 765 | N | - 432 |
| $\bigcirc$ Q 54 |  | $\bigcirc 32$ |
| $\checkmark$ A 96 |  | $\checkmark$ K Q J 105 |
| 2 AKQ 5 | S | \& 984 |
|  | (KQJ 1098 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ - |  |
|  | $\diamond 432$ |  |
|  | \& 1076 |  |

West plays Three Notrumps, doubled by North. Should he have? Is or was there a way to beat it?

North began with the heart king, continued with the spade ace, and exited with the diamond eight.

## OPEN TEAMS

## Round 12

## Sweden v France... <br> or the match of the missed games

by Peter Ventura

The Swedes bid game, the French did not. The game was made.
The Swedes bid another game, the French did not find that one either. The game was made.
And so it continued.
This match was not la vie en rose for les Bleus.
We could stop the report from the match between Sweden and France from Round I2 here, since this was pretty much what happened in the match - but of course you will be wanting slightly more detailed information from us.

You are certainly aware of the feeling, when your opponents seem to do the right thing on every board. In the match between Sweden and France, the French must have felt exactly like that. In the beginning it was one-way traffic, since Sweden bid almost every game, France did not, and every game was made. When France bid game, Sweden successfully stopped in partscore. We had the young pair of Per-Ola Cullin/Johan Upmark facing the almost seniors of Alain Levy/Henri Mouiel in the Open Room. The young Swedish pair was far more successful in the bidding.

France scored 4 IMPs on Board I and that was pretty much all that France was going to manage for the entire match. As a matter of fact, they only scored I IMP more on the whole set of remaining 19 boards!
Sweden, on the other hand, found the fine bidding tune all along. Here follow some examples. However, on the following board game was bid at both tables.


Per-Ola Cullin, Sweden

Board 4. Dealer West. All Vul.

```
4 A Q 9 7
\KQ J 92
\diamond
2 K Q 8
```

| - 8532 | N | - KJ64 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc 104$ |  | PA65 |
| $\checkmark 7632$ | W E | $\checkmark$ AK 9 |
| 2173 | S | -1042 |
|  | -10 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 873$ |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ Q 10854 |  |
|  | - A965 |  |

Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Levy | Upmark | Mouiel | Cullin |
| Pass | 18** | Pass | I $\diamond^{*}$ |
| Pass | 18* | Pass | $2 \diamond^{*}$ |
| Pass | 2 | Pass | $4 \checkmark$ |

One diamond showed a limited hand and One Heart was natural with hearts or $20+$ with any distribution.
In Four Hearts it seems natural to take as many spade ruffs as you are allowed and if the defence draw two rounds of trumps you can hope for the club suit to behave. Mouiel led a low trump, but declarer was never threatened as he could take two spade ruffs and with the clubs 3-3 there were no risk of a club ruff; $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{S}+620$.

Closed Room

| West <br> Wrang | North <br> Multon | East <br> Nilson | South <br> Zimmermann |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pass | 18 | Dble | 2 |
| Pass | $4 \searrow$ | All Pass |  |

The French declarer chose a different line here. East led a top diamond, and then switched to a club, which was won by declarer in hand. The king of hearts held the next trick, and then declarer cashed the ace of spades, cross-ruffed a spade, a diamond and another spade. The next move was to run the diamond eight, with a spade discard. East won the ace and returned a club. Dummy's ace won the trick and when declarer now asked for a diamond, and discarded a winning club from hand, East could ruff low -
and he had to win another trick with the trump ace. Instead of playing a diamond at trick nine, a club to the queen would have worked, as it gives an entry to draw trumps from hand.

Board 6. Dealer East. E/W Vul.

$\bigcirc 7$
$\diamond$ J 84

* AJ 1075

| +92 | N |  | ¢ 765 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc 96$ |  |  | $\bigcirc$ AJIO 532 |
| $\checkmark$ AK 9 | W | E |  |
| \& K 96432 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | \% |  |  |
| Open Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Levy | Upmark | Mouiel | Cullin |
|  |  | Pass | 19* |
| Pass | I $\diamond^{*}$ | 18 | Pass |
| Pass | Dble | Pass | 24 |
| Pass | 49 | All Pass |  |


| $\begin{array}{c}\text { Closed Room } \\ \text { West } \\ \text { Wrang }\end{array}$ | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 28 | Nilsson | | Zimmermann |
| :---: |

All Pass

In the Closed Room Zimmermann had an easy road to nine tricks when the defence started with a heart to the ace and returned a diamond; $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{S}+\mathrm{I} 50$.
Against Four Spades West led the ace of diamonds, and then switched to a club. Declarer won the ace and played a heart up. If Mouiel would have played low, it might have caused declarer some problems, but when he hopped up with the ace there was a quite comfortable way to ten tricks. However, as the cards lie there is no defence to defeat the game if declarer handles them correctly.
On our next exhibit France missed game once again, when both players in the French East/West pair were conservative in the bidding.

Board 8. Dealer West. None Vul.
\& Q 1053
ค964
$\diamond K$
AK Q 102

| - AJ 4 | N | - K 62 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PAKQJ85 |  | -102 |
| $\checkmark 974$ | W E | $\checkmark$ AJ 82 |
| - 3 | S | ¢9764 |
|  | - 987 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 73$ |  |
|  | $\diamond$ Q 10653 |  |
|  | d 185 |  |


| Open Room |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West | North | East | South |
| Levy | Upmark | Mouiel | Cullin |
| 18 | 2\% | Pass | Pass |
| Dble | Pass | 2 | All Pass |
| Closed Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Wrang | Multon | Nilsson | Zimmermann |
| 19** | Pass | I $\checkmark^{*}$ | Pass |
| 19** | 2\% | Pass | Pass |
| 2 | Pass | 3\%* | Pass |
| $4 \bigcirc$ | All Pass |  |  |

After a Precision Club opening Nilsson showed 0-8 HCP's, while Wrang's One Spade was artificial with at least five cards in a major suit.
With East as declarer South can lead a spade to defeat the game, but with West as declarer the spade suit is protected and declarer can set up the diamonds for the tenth trick; ten tricks at both tables but 6 IMPs to Sweden.
The same old story here:
Board 9. Dealer North. E/W Vul.

- A 43

○KQ 1076
$\triangleleft K$

- J 1042


Frederic Wrang, Sweden

Open Room

| West <br> Levy | North <br> Upmark | East <br> Mouiel | South <br> Cullin |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | I 8 | Pass | 19 |
| Pass | $2 \Phi$ | 2 NT $^{*}$ | 4 |

After North's spade bid South's hand improved, and perhaps Mouiel's intervention helped North/South a little too.

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wrang | Multon | Nilsson | Zimmermann |
|  | 18 | Pass | $2 \checkmark$ |
| Pass | Pass | 2NT* | 38 |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

When Nilsson reopened the French got a second chance to reach game.
The crucial thing for a major suit game to make is that the spade suit should behave, and when it indeed did Sweden scored another 6 IMPs.

On the next deal, again the Swedes ended up in a better contract than the French.


| Open Room <br> West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lery | Upmark | Mouiel | Cullin |
|  |  | I | Pass |
| I $\rangle$ | Pass | INT | All Pass |

Cullin accurately found the lead the nine of spade and due to a losing club finesse, declarer went two light; N/S -200.

## Closed Room

| West <br> Wrang | North <br> Multon | East Nilsson | South <br> Zimmermann |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $1\rangle$ | Pass |
| 18 | Dble | Pass | $1{ }^{1}$ |
| 20 | Pass | Pass | Dble |
| Pass | 24 | 3\% | All Pass |

Three Clubs was a much better contract. North led a spade to the queen and the heart switch went to the jack and king. Declarer cashed the ace of spades, and then ran the heart eight to North's ace.The defence had to win a diamond trick but declarer played the trumps from the top, so Sweden 130 and that was 8 IMPs.
Now the French had to do something, trying to stop the IMPs running away. Why not bid game? But this time the Swedes stopped in time.

Board I2. Dealer West. N/S Vul.

|  | \& KJ95 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc$ KJ 6 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ J 2 |  |
|  | ¢ Q J 72 |  |
| ¢ 10873 | N | ¢ A 2 |
| $\bigcirc 2$ |  | $\checkmark$ A Q 108754 |
| $\diamond 1087653$ |  | $\diamond \mathrm{KQ}$ |
| \& A 10 | S | \& 43 |
|  | ¢ Q 64 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 93$ |  |
|  | $\diamond$ A 94 |  |
|  | 2 K 9865 |  |

## Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Levy | Upmark | Mouiel | Cullin |
| Pass | Pass | $I 8$ | Pass |
| IS | Pass | $\mathbf{4} \varnothing$ | All Pass |

Levy didn't want to pass holding an unbalanced four-count hand. Without any action from North/South, Mouiel took a shot at game.
South led a spade to the nine and ace. South ducked a diamond and won the second, and then played a spade to partner's king. The spade continuation was ruffed by declarer and since dummy only had one entry, which was needed to reach the winning diamonds, he played the trump ace and another, but North had two trump tricks. That was one down and -50.

| Closed Room <br> West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wrang | Multon | Nilsson | Zimmermann |
| Pass | $1 \mathbf{8}$ | 18 | Dble |
| Pass | INT | 38 | All Pass |

Three Hearts was just made, thus -140 and 4 IMPs to Sweden.
Les Bleus had the opportunity to strike back here.

## Unvegetable, that's what you are....

Mr Horton's contribution to the vegetable players was that Pasternak is Russian for Parsnip. Also supplied by Simon Cocheme.

Board I5. Dealer South. N/S Vul.
, Q 5
$\triangleright$ AKQ 6
$\triangleleft A K Q 1053$
\& 3

$$
K 7
$$

$\diamond 83$
$\diamond J 42$
$\& K J 8762$
$W^{N} \quad$ E

- J 10862
$\bigcirc 974$
$\diamond 9$
2 Q 1094
\& A 943
ค」1052
$\diamond 876$
- A 5

| Open Room |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West | North | East | South |
| Levy | Upmark | Mouiel | Cullin |
|  |  |  | Pass |
| Pass | 1\% | 24 | Dble |
| 34 | Pass | Pass | Dble |
| Pass | $4 \diamond$ | Pass | $4 \bigcirc$ |

## All Pass

Red against green - and a passed partner - invited Mouiel to act over the strong club opening with J-I0-8-6-2 in spades. Pass followed by Four Diamonds by Upmark was likely to be "two places to play" - especially when partner had passed at his second turn. Over Four Hearts Upmark had an awkward decision without a cue in the spade suit. When he passed, the Swedes were three levels too low. N/S +7I0.
Would that be 17 IMPs back to France?


Pierre Zimmermann, France

| Closed Room <br> West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wrang | Multon | Nilsson | Zimmermann |
|  |  |  | Pass |
| Pass | $1 \diamond$ | Pass | I 8 |
| $2 \%$ | $3 \%$ | 52 | Dble |
| Pass | 58 | All Pass |  |

We saw even better preemptive bidding in the Closed Room, where the Swedes took away RKC from their opponents. Multon was afraid the two black aces would be missing, thus he made the slightly cowardly decision to pass over Five Hearts. N/S +710, but no swing, and a missed opportunity for France.

Board I9. Dealer South. E/WVul. \& QJ96543
$\checkmark 1054$
$\triangleleft 5$
8 82

```
$ 2
&A96
\J842
* K 10965
```


, K 7
8 K J 3
$\diamond$ K Q 107
\& AJ 43

- A 108

Q Q 872
$\diamond$ A 963
\& Q 7

| West <br> Levy | North <br> Upmark | East <br> Mouiel | South <br> Cullin |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | I $\diamond$ |
| Pass | 34 | $3 N T$ | $4 \Phi$ |
| 4NT | All Pass |  |  |

Bidding over Three No Trumps was a bad decision by Cullin as there were only eight tricks and Four Spades has no play. Levy became the Swedish saviour when he upped the stakes. Declarer emerged with nine tricks; N/S +100.

| Closed Room <br> West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wrang | Multon | Nilsson | Zimmermann |
| Pass | 49 | All Pass | INT |

Nilsson did not want to jeopardize anything by bidding over Four Spades, thus he quietly passed. The French game was doomed, and the question was how many tricks Multon would collect. Multon won the diamond lead in dummy, ruffed a diamond and then played a trump to the eight, which held the trick. Another diamond was ruffed, and then declarer crossed to dummy with the trump ace and eliminated the diamond suit by ruffing in hand. Multon exited with a club and the defence had to open the heart suit for only two losers for declarer. Well done, nonetheless N/S +50 and another 4 IMPs to Sweden.
The result of the match became 50-5 in Sweden's favour, 24-6 when converted to VP's. Sweden hereby confirmed their advantage in Group B.

## AU SPRINT

L'attention de tous se focalisait hier sur la conquête de la fameuse 9 ème place qualificative du groupe $A$ en Open. Le sprint étant une spécialité anglaise dans les sports athlétiques, ce ne fut pas une surprise que de voir les sujets de Sa Majesté coiffer les méritants Finlandais, ce, à la faveur du résultat direct entre les deux équipes classées ex aequo avec 301 points.

## PLACE AUX VIEUX

La formulation est inhabituelle et le terme un peu irrespectueux. Peut-être eût-il mieux valu parler des grisons, expression quelque peu passée de mode, mais qui aurait convenu aux seniors suisses si ceux-ci, plutôt que de représenter la province des Grisons, n'avaient été aux deux tiers bâlois et au tiers fribourgeois.

## UN LANGUEDOCIEN

Il connaît les bridgeurs écossais depuis pas loin de cinquante ans, même s'il est établi désormais du côté de Montpellier. Il a été sélectionné comme captain... non playing, malgré son excellent niveau. Nous voulons parler de William Whyte.

## COURSE D'OBSTACLES

Il est des paires qui, disputant le Trophée de l'Euro, se sont trouvées être en difficulté sur certaines donnes. Il faut dire que l'hippodrome du Pont Long, où se dispute ce tournoi par paires, comporte un parcours de steeplechase.

## QU'IMPORTE LE SYSTEME

Nous ne citerons pas la paire pour laquelle, dans l'épreuve féminine, sa fédération avait envoyé un système erroné. Ses adversaires qui s'y étaient préparées, ont exigé l'application de la méthode étudiée, au grand désarroi de nos amies: « Nous voudrions bien le jouer, mais nous ne connaissons pas du tout ce système : c'est une erreur de notre fédération !!! ». Tout s'est bien terminé, sauf pour la nation fautive (??) défaite 25 à 3 .

## TRES GENERALEMENT

Le responsable du sponsoring de la Société Générale, tout au moins pour le golf et le bridge, est parmi nous. Le golf étant, pour ceux qui l'ignorent, « Cette activité vouée à l'échec dans laquelle il s'agit d'envoyer une balle incontrôlable dans un trou inaccessible au moyen d'instruments inadaptés. » Citation de W. Churchill.
Georges Kauffmann, ancien tennisman de bon niveau (il fut classé en seconde série à Draguignan, Coëtquidan et Strasbourg) considère ce rôle comme un aboutissement


Georges Kauffmann et les «espoirs du bridge » Société Générale.
car, passionné de sport, il travaille depuis plusieurs années dans la communication.
Sans jouer encore, mais il s'est engagé à y venir, Georges, qui apprécie le pouvoir d'abstraction de nos champions et admire leurs têtes « hyper bien faites », garde un œil sur toutes les épreuves ici disputées, allant de l'Open aux cadets, patronnées par la Société Générale.
Il aime à dire que, récemment encore, placé à côté de Jean-Paul Meyer en réunion, il a commis la gaffe de lui demander s'il était bridgeur; celui-ci lui répondit alors par l'affirmative, mais avec une humilité remarquée.

## DEUX ATHLETES

Deux visiteurs de marque au Palais, au gabarit de deuxième ligne de rugby, tous deux en la personne de JeanPhilippe Daublain, tout fraichement élu président du comité du Lyonnais et Pierre Kupelian, directeur d'un Festival du Soleil à Avignon.

## REGROUPEMENT FAMILIAL

Cousins un peu éloignés, ils ne se virent qu'une fois. Le Championnat d'Europe va permettre un rapprochement entre Rodolphe Lébely, le virtuose de BBO sympathiquement connu en Adour, et Norbert, le célèbre « plumitif » attendu demain à Pau.



Concentration, mémoire, anticipation sont nécessaires pour faire les enchères, mais la vision du jeu c'est aussi de l'expérience. Grâce à son réseau d'agences, Société Générale favorise depuis plus de 25 ans la pratique du bridge en France et contribue notamment à son développement en milieu scolaire et universitaire ■ Www.socgen.com

Partenaire Officiel de la Fédération Française de Bridge

Réseaux de Détail \& Services Financiers m Gestions d'Actifs \& Services aux Investisseurs a Banque de Financement \& d'Investissement

| 49th European Bridge Team Championships |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | After Round 19 |  |  |  | OPEN TEAMS GROUP A |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Team | NED | ITA | ISL | LAT | ISR | DEN | TUR | CZE | ENG | FIN | MON | GRE | SUI | ESP | LUX | CRO | SER | LTU | SCO | Bye | Pen | Team | Total | Rank |
| NETHERLANDS |  | 8 | 12 | 19 | 21 | 15 | 23 | 17 | 10 | 24 | 22 | 8 | 23 | 25 | 25 | 16 | 10 | 20 | 25 | 18 |  | NETHERLANDS | 341 | 1 |
| ITALY | 22 |  | 17 | 17 | 15 | 4 | 10 | 19 | 23 | 13 | 25 | 16 | 17 | 19 | 25 | 20 | 17 | 20 | 22 | 18 |  | ITALY | 339 | 2 |
| ICELAND | 18 | 13 |  | 16 | 18 | 17 | 19 | 16 | 14 | 19 | 20 | 18 | 16 | 17 | 12 | 15 | 14 | 24 | 19 | 18 |  | ICELAND | 323 | 3 |
| LATVIA | 11 | 13 | 14 |  | 21 | 14 | 13 | 25 | 16 | 16 | 7 | 20 | 8 | 21 | 18 | 19 | 25 | 25 | 19 | 18 |  | LATVIA | 323 | - |
| ISRAEL | 9 | 15 | 12 | 9 |  | 25 | 20 | 16 | 10 | 16 | 22 | 17 | 21 | 16 | 11 | 12 | 22 | 23 | 25 | 18 |  | ISRAEL | 319 | 5 |
| DENMARK | 15 | 25 | 13 | 16 | 5 |  | 12 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 20 | 19 | 15 | 12 | 24 | 16 | 15 | 21 | 24 | 18 |  | DENMARK | 315 | 6 |
| TURKEY | 7 | 20 | 11 | 17 | 10 | 18 |  | 17 | 19 | 25 | 15 | 17 | 12 | 16 | 14 | 19 | 14 | 23 | 22 | 18 |  | TURKEY | 314 | 7 |
| CZECH REP. | 13 | 11 | 14 | 4 | 14 | 20 | 13 |  | 10 | 14 | 20 | 15 | 17 | 14 | 22 | 25 | 24 | 21 | 21 | 18 |  | CZECH REP. | 310 | 8 |
| ENGLAND | 20 | 7 | 16 | 14 | 20 | 15 | 11 | 20 |  | 17 | 10 | 19 | 15 | 14 | 18 | 20 | 17 | 20 | 10 | 18 |  | ENGLAND | 301 | 9 |
| FINLAND | 6 | 17 | 11 | 14 | 14 | 10 | 5 | 16 | 13 |  | 18 | 15 | 25 | 18 | 14 | 25 | 21 | 20 | 21 | 18 |  | FINLAND | 301 | - |
| MONACO | 8 | 3 | 10 | 23 | 8 | 10 | 15 | 10 | 20 | 11 |  | 23 | 16 | 18 | 20 | 16 | 25 | 13 | 16 | 18 |  | MONACO | 283 | 11 |
| GREECE | 22 | 14 | 12 | 10 | 13 | 11 | 13 | 15 | 11 | 15 | 7 |  | 19 | 6 | 14 | 16 | 15 | 24 | 15 | 18 |  | GREECE | 270 | 12 |
| SWITZERLAND | 7 | 13 | 14 | 22 | 9 | 15 | 18 | 13 | 15 | 1 | 14 | 11 |  | 7 | 13 | 22 | 11 | 17 | 22 | 18 |  | SWITZERLAND | 262 | 13 |
| SPAIN | 0 | 11 | 13 | 9 | 14 | 18 | 14 | 16 | 16 | 12 | 12 | 24 | 23 |  | 16 | 13 | 9 | 6 | 10 | 18 |  | SPAIN | 254 | 14 |
| LUXEMBOURG | 1 | 5 | 18 | 12 | 19 | 6 | 16 | 8 | 12 | 16 | 10 | 16 | 17 | 14 |  | 18 | 19 | 9 | 19 | 18 |  | LUXEMBOURG | 253 | 15 |
| CROATIA | 14 | 10 | 15 | 11 | 18 | 14 | 11 | 4 | 10 | 4 | 14 | 14 | 8 | 17 | 12 |  | 20 | 25 | 13 | 18 |  | CROATIA | 252 | 16 |
| SERBIA | 20 | 13 | 16 | 4 | 8 | 15 | 16 | 6 | 13 | 9 | 4 | 15 | 19 | 21 | 11 | 10 |  | 17 | 10 | 18 |  | SERBIA | 245 | 17 |
| LITHUANIA | 10 | 10 | 6 | 4 | 7 | 9 | 7 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 17 | 6 | 13 | 24 | 21 | 3 | 13 |  | 21 | 18 |  | LITHUANIA | 218 | 18 |
| SCOTLAND | 0 | 8 | 11 | 11 | 5 | 6 | 8 | 9 | 20 | 9 | 14 | 15 | 8 | 20 | 11 | 17 | 19 | 9 |  | 18 |  | SCOTLAND | 218 | - |


| Team | Total | Rank |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |

## $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0}}{\square}$ <br> 

## z u u n

$\qquad$ $m$
$m$
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 $\stackrel{\circ}{\square}$ $\stackrel{\infty}{-1} \underset{\sim}{\circ}$





익

| $\vec{N}$ | $\infty$ | $\sigma$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\cdots$ | $a$ | $\rightrightarrows$ |



## BUTLER - after I 9 Rounds

| BOCCHI Norberto | DUBOIN Giorgio | 1.28 | 240 | Italy | THOMAS Andrian | GOODMAN Peter | 0.02 | 200 | Wales |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ZHUKOV Alexander | TIMAKHOVICH Aleh | . 05 | 100 | Belarus | GHIGHECI Ovidiu | BRICIU Marius | 0.01 | 200 | Romania |
| NILSSON Ulf | WRANG Frederic | 0.95 | 240 | Sweden | LIMOR Doron | MINTZ Yaacov | -0.01 | 180 | rael |
| KHOLOMEEV Vadim | KHIOUPPENEN J. | 0.94 | 200 | Russia | KNAP Andres | WASIK Arturo | -0.02 | 240 | pain |
| SMIRNOV Alexander | PIEKAREK Josef | 0.82 | 260 | Germany | MULTON Franc | ZIMMERMANN P | -0.05 | 220 | rance |
| HRISTOV Hristo | STOYANOV A. | 0.65 | 240 | Bulgaria | ISPORSKIV. | KOVACHEVV. | -0.05 | 220 | Bulgaria |
| AA Terje | MOLBERG Jorgen | 0.65 | 240 | Norway | HEGEDUS Gal | HONTI Laszlo | -0.05 | 260 | Hungary |
| NYSTROM Fredrik | BERTHEAU Peter | 0.64 | 240 | Sweden | ARMSTRONG John | HOLLAND John | -0.06 | 220 | England |
| KARAKOLEV Georgi | DANAILOV Dyan | 0.63 | 260 | Bulgaria | WERNLE Sascha | SIMON Josef | -0.07 | 260 | Austria |
| BRINK Sjoert | DRIJVER Bas | 0.60 | 240 | Netherlands | RENARD Claude | BOCKEN Patric | -0.07 | 240 | Belgium |
| NEIMANIS Janis | RUBINS Karlis | 0.60 | 240 | Latvia | VOZABAL David | SLEMR Jakub | -0.07 | 240 | Czech Rep. |
| WESTRA Berry | RAMONDT Vincent | 0.60 | 240 | Netherlands | FISCHER Doris | SAURER Bernd | -0.09 | 260 | Austria |
| GROMOV Andrei | DUBININ Alexander | 0.60 | 240 | Russia | ZHURAVEL Valenti | ARLOVICH Andre | -0.11 | 320 | Belarus |
| CULLIN Per-Ola | UPMARK Johan | 0.58 | 240 | Sweden | MAGNUSSON S. | YALCIN Tarik | -0.13 | 240 | Switzerland |
| BERTENS Huub | BAKKEREN Ton | 0.53 | 240 | Netherlands | BOZEMBERG Kostas | KAMINARIS F. | -0.15 | 200 | Greece |
| BALDURSSON Jon | JONSSON Thorlakur | 0.47 | 220 | Iceland | KARAMANLIS Miltos | VROUSTIS Vassilis | -0.15 | 260 | Greece |
| GROMOELLER M. | KIRMSE Andreas | 0.44 | 280 | Germany | BANCHEREAU P. | PALAZO Denis | -0.16 | 240 | Luxembourg |
| BILDE Morten | HANSEN Jorgen | 0.44 | 240 | Denmark | FAZZARDI G. | ZUCCHINI P. | -0.16 | 240 | San Marino |
| HELGEMO Geir | LUND Boerre | 0.44 | 240 | Norway | KARAMANLIS P. | PROTONOTARIOS | -0.17 | 260 | Greece |
| ATABEY Yalcin | ASSAEL Salvador | 0.43 | 260 | Turkey | RUBENIS Ivars | JANSONS Ugis | -0.17 | 260 | atvia |
| VERSACE Alfredo | LAURIA Lorenzo | 0.43 | 260 | Italy | BAUSBACK Nikolas | LOEFGREN Martin | -0.19 | 240 | uxembourg |
| LEVY Alain | MOUIEL Herve | 0.38 | 220 | France | DUMBOVICH Miklos | GOTTHARD Laszlo | -0.20 | 260 | Hungary |
| LINDQVIST Espen | BROGELAND Boye | 0.35 | 240 | Norway | CATELLANI Marco | FISSORE Henri | -0.21 | 240 | Monaco |
| GINOSSAR Eldad | PACHTMAN Ron | 0.35 | 300 | Israel | VLAJNIC Branko | ZIPOVSKI Dimitraki | -0.21 | 260 | Serbia |
| PAZUR Boguslaw | ZAWISLAK Slawek | 0.35 | 240 | Poland | LUKS Leo | NABER Lauri | -0.21 | 240 | Estonia |
| SENGULER Zafer | SEN Tezcan | 0.34 | 300 | Turkey | PERISIC Marko | TODOROVIC N . | -0.21 | 219 | Serbia |
| BOMPIS Marc | QUANTIN J. | 0.34 | 280 | France | VAINIKONIS Vytautas | OLANSKI Wojtek | -0.22 | 280 | Lithuania |
| VOLHEJN Vit | KOPECKY Michal | 0.33 | 240 | Czech Rep. | REES Tim | KURBALIJA Filip | -0.24 | 280 | Wales |
| HACKETT Paul D | WATERLOW Tony | 0.33 | 240 | England | GASCHEN Alain | NIKOLENKOV D. | -0.29 | 240 | itzerland |
| ASKGAARD Michael | BJARNARSON G. | 0.30 | 240 | Denmark | KORZUN Aleksandr | TIMAKHOVICH A. | -0.30 | 220 | Belarus |
| BETHERS Janis | LORENCS Martins | 0.26 | 220 | Latvia | HANLON Tom | McGANN Hugh | -0.32 | 260 | reland |
| FILIP Anatol | VELECKY Eduard | 0.24 | 360 | Slovakia | ENGEL Berthold | RENNO Illka | -0.33 | 240 | Luxembourg |
| SANTOS J. | CRUZEIRO Jorge | 0.24 | 200 | Portugal | FIORINI Fiorenzo | MIETI Renzo | -0.35 | 240 | San Marino |
| GIERULSKI Boguslaw | SKRZYPCZAK Jerzy | 0.22 | 260 | Poland | DE CABOOTER Kurt | DE SCHRIJVER Luc | -0.36 | 240 | Belgium |
| KIEMA Osmo | JUURI-OJA Jouni | 0.20 | 300 | Finland | KOKSOY Enver | KAHRAMAN A. | -0.37 | 160 | Turkey |
| VINCENTELLI Thier | GARCIA Stephane | 0.20 | 240 | Monaco | BASABE Enrique | PABLOS P. | -0.37 | 240 | Spain |
| ROLL Yossi | BAREKET Ilan | 0.20 | 240 | Israel | CORNELIS Tom | AMSEL Alon | -0.38 | 240 | Belgium |
| LAANEMAE Tiit | KARPOV Maksim | 0.18 | 260 | Estonia | SASSELLI Marco | ABOU CHANAB B. | -0.39 | 240 | Switzerland |
| CARROLL John | GARVEY Tommy | 0.17 | 260 | Ireland | DJURICIC Branislav | JOVANOVIC Dejan | -0.39 | 240 | Serbia |
| EINARSSON B. | JONSSON Steinar | 0.17 | 260 | Iceland | MICESCUViorel | VOINESCU Dan | -0.46 | 240 | Romania |
| HACKETT Jason | HACKETT Justin | 0.15 | 260 | England | CUTHBERTSON M | WALKER David | -0.47 | 239 | Scotland |
| BARBOSA Juliano | PINTO Rui | 0.13 | 260 | Portugal | COLDEA Ion | IONITA Marius | -0.55 | 280 | Romania |
| KOISTINEN Kauko | NYBERG Clas | 0.12 | 260 | Finland | TEDD Mike | SALISBURY John | -0.56 | 240 | Wales |
| LEVENKO Vassili | SESTER Sven | 0.12 | 220 | Estonia | TYLA Albertas | JANKAUSKAS A. | -0.56 | 200 | Lithuania |
| ZORIC Vedran | BOREVKOVIC G. | 0.11 | 280 | Croatia | CARRASCO Gabriel | FRACTMAN G. | -0.56 | 220 | Spain |
| FUCIK Jan | PURKARTHOFER G. | 0.11 | 200 | Austria | McGEORGE James | STEEL Les | -0.57 | 240 | Scotland |
| KURKA Josef | MRAZ Tomas | 0.10 | 240 | Czech Rep. | SHORT Brian | PATERSON Jack | -0.61 | 240 | Scotland |
| KHOKHLOV Jouri | MATUSHKO Georgi | 0.10 | 280 | Russia | PIZZA Ettore | GADDI Camillo | -0.65 | 240 | San Marino |
| KWIECIEN Michal | JAGNIEWSKI Rafal | 0.08 | 220 | Poland | SEMENTA Antonio | ANGELINI F. | 0.69 | 200 | Italy |
| PESSOA Sofia | CASTANHEIRA J. | 0.08 | 260 | Portugal | SZAPPANOS Geza | MAGYAR Peter | -0.78 | 200 | Hungary |
| KROEJGAARD Niels | CASPERSEN Henrik | 0.06 | 240 | Denmark | LOHAY Karol | HENC Marian | -0.86 | 360 | Slovakia |
| TOGNETTI Rene | DESMOULINS C. | 0.05 | 240 | Monaco | MARLIN Natalie | PENNEY G. | -0.94 | 180 | Malta |
| WLADOW Entscho | ELINESCU Michael | 0.03 | 180 | Germany | POSKA Gediminas | VAINIKONIS Erikas | -0.96 | 240 | Lithuania |
| FITZGIBBON N. | MESBUR Adam | 0.03 | 200 | Ireland | PARNIS-ENGLAND | DIX Mario | -0.99 | 280 | Malta |
| JORGENSEN A. | ARMANNSSON S. | 0.03 | 240 | Iceland | SPILJAK Branco | DIKLIC Dubravko | -1.00 | 220 | Croatia |
| BRGULJAN Karlo | RASE Davor | 0.02 | 220 | Croatia | CLARE Oliver | VELLA Anna | -1.54 | 180 | M |

