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## The Shortest Day



The Nerve Center of the VuGraph
With only two rounds being played the teams had the opportunity to relax and recharge their batteries last night after a day that saw Germany hold on to the lead in the Open series. Norway and Sweden also maintained their positions, but Russia has moved into fourth place just ahead of Italy - perhaps an ominous sign for the rest of the field?
With more than half the event completed Germany has also taken over at the top of the Women's series, ahead of Italy, France, Spain \& Netherlands.
As the Senior series reached the mid way-point Italy retained the lead, chased by Belgium, Netherlands, England and Turkey.

| Wednesday 25th - 10.30 |  |  | Wednesday 25th - 14.15 |  |  | Wednesday 25th - 17.35 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | Norway - Iceland | RAMA | 7 | England - Italy | RAMA | 6 | Netherlands - Russia | RAMA |
| I | France - Turkey | BBO I | I | France - Israel | BBO I | I | Czech Rep. - France | BBO I |
| 9 | Denmark - Italy | BBO 2 | 9 | Russia - Poland | BBO 2 | 9 | Latvia - Italy | BBO 2 |
|  |  |  | 5 | Germany - Bulgaria | BBO 3 | 2 | Turkey - Germany | BBO 3 |
| 3 | Germany - Israel | BBO 3 | 2 | Sweden - Iceland | BBO 4 | 3 | Sweden - Norway | BBO 4 |
| 33 | France - Portugal (W) | BBO 6 | 14 | Scotland - France (S) | BBO 6 | 29 | France - Russia (W) | BBO 6 |
| 20 | Sweden - Poland (S) | SWAN | 16 | Denmark - Belgium (S) | SWAN | 8 | Bulgaria - Denmark | SWAN |

2nN PYRENEES
ATLANTOUES

WOMEN TEAMS PROGRAM

| ROUND 17 |  |  |  | Visiting Team |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Table | Home Team | NORWAY |  |  |
| 21 | GERMANY | ENGLAND |  |  |
| 22 | CROATIA | WALES |  |  |
| 23 | SCOTLAND | GREECE |  |  |
| 24 | SWEDEN | ITALY |  |  |
| 25 | SPAIN | NETHERLANDS |  |  |
| 26 | POLAND | HUNGARY |  |  |
| 27 | TURKEY | BYE |  |  |
| 28 | AUSTRIA | LEBANON |  |  |
| 29 | DENMARK | RUSSIA |  |  |
| 30 | ISRAEL | ICELAND |  |  |
| 31 | CZECH REP. | FINLAND |  |  |
| 32 | IRELAND | PORTUGAL |  |  |
| 33 | FRANCE |  |  |  |


| ROUND 18 |  |  |  | I4.15 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Table | Home Team | Visiting Team |  |  |
| 21 | SCOTLAND | CZECH REP. |  |  |
| 22 | ISRAEL | ICELAND |  |  |
| 23 | RUSSIA | DENMARK |  |  |
| 24 | LEBANON | FRANCE |  |  |
| 25 | WALES | NORWAY |  |  |
| 26 | HUNGARY | POLAND |  |  |
| 27 | NETHERLANDS | SPAIN |  |  |
| 28 | ENGLAND | BYE |  |  |
| 29 | GREECE | IRELAND |  |  |
| 30 | FINLAND | CROATIA |  |  |
| 31 | AUSTRIA | GERMANY |  |  |
| 32 | PORTUGAL | TURKEY |  |  |
| 33 | ITALY | SWEDEN |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |


| ROUND 19 |  |  |  | Visiting Team |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Table | Home Team | AUSTRIA |  |  |
| 21 | NORWAY | ENGLAND |  |  |
| 22 | GERMANY | GREECE |  |  |
| 23 | CROATIA | ITALY |  |  |
| 24 | IRELAND | WALES |  |  |
| 25 | CZECH REP. | HUNGARY |  |  |
| 26 | SPAIN | PORTUGAL |  |  |
| 27 | POLAND | BYE |  |  |
| 28 | FINLAND | RUSSIA |  |  |
| 29 | FRANCE | ICELAND |  |  |
| 30 | DENMARK | SCOTLAND |  |  |
| 31 | ISRAEL | NETHERLANDS |  |  |
| 32 | SWEDEN | LEBANON |  |  |
| 33 | TURKEY |  |  |  |

## SENIOR TEAMS PROGRAM

| ROUND II | 10.30 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Table | Home Team | Visiting Team |
| II | WALES | BELGIUM |
| 12 | SPAIN | ISRAEL |
| 13 | SWITZERLAND | GERMANY |
| 14 | FRANCE | AUSTRIA |
| 15 | FINLAND | SCOTLAND |
| 16 | ESTONIA | DENMARK |
| 17 | IRELAND | TURKEY |
| 18 | NETHERLANDS | ENGLAND |
| 19 | ITALY | NORWAY |
| 20 | WEDEN | POLAND |


| ROUND 12 |  |  |  | I4.15 |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Table | Home Team | Visiting Team |  |  |
| 11 | ISRAEL | WALES |  |  |
| 12 | GERMANY | SPAIN |  |  |
| 13 | AUSTRIA | SWITZERLAND |  |  |
| 14 | SCOTLAND | FRANCE |  |  |
| 15 | POLAND | FINLAND |  |  |
| 16 | DENMARK | BELGIUM |  |  |
| 17 | TURKEY | ESTONIA |  |  |
| 18 | ENGLAND | IRELAND |  |  |
| 19 | NORWAY | NETHERLANDS |  |  |
| 20 | SWEDEN | ITALY |  |  |


| ROUND 13 |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Table | Home Team | Visiting Team |
| II | WALES | GERMANY |
| 12 | SPAIN | AUSTRIA |
| 13 | SWITZERLAND | SCOTLAND |
| 14 | FRANCE | FINLAND |
| 15 | ISRAEL | DENMARK |
| 16 | BELGIUM | TURKEY |
| 17 | ESTONIA | ENGLAND |
| 18 | IRELAND | NORWAY |
| 19 | NETHERLANDS | SWEDEN |
| 20 | ITALY | POLAND |

## Today's Schedule

10.30 Open Teams F.R., Round 8

Women Teams, Round I7 - Senior Teams, Round II
I4.15 Open Teams F.R., Round 9
Women Teams, Round I8-Senior Teams, Round I2
I7.35 Open Teams F.R., Round IO
Women Teams, Round I9 - Senior Teams, Round I3

## WOMEN TEAMS RESULTS

ROUND 15 - subject to official confirmation

| ROUND I5-subject to official confirmation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Home Team | Visiting Team | IMPs | VPs |  |  |
| 21 | WALES | ICELAND | $30-64$ | $8-22$ |  |  |
| 22 | IRELAND | AUSTRIA | $53-38$ | $18-12$ |  |  |
| 23 | SWEDEN | ENGLAND | $64-24$ | $23-7$ |  |  |
| 24 | SPAIN | FINLAND | $25-33$ | $14-16$ |  |  |
| 25 | POLAND | GREECE | $25-35$ | $13-17$ |  |  |
| 26 | TURKEY | ITALY | $40-40$ | $15-15$ |  |  |
| 27 | FRANCE | NETHERLANDS | $28-39$ | $13-17$ |  |  |
| 28 | GERMANY | BYE |  | $18-0$ |  |  |
| 29 | ISRAEL | PORTUGAL | $21-48$ | $9-21$ |  |  |
| 30 | CZECH REP. | LEBANON | $120-26$ | $25-0$ |  |  |
| 31 | SCOTLAND | RUSSIA | $15-39$ | $10-20$ |  |  |
| 32 | CROATIA | NORWAY | $73-48$ | $20-10$ |  |  |
| 33 | DENMARK | HUNGARY | $72-25$ | $24-6$ |  |  |


| ROUND $16-$ subject to official confirmation |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | Home Team | Visiting Team | IMPs | VPs |  |
| 21 | GREECE | WALES | $92-13$ | $25-1$ |  |
| 22 | DENMARK | POLAND | $31-50$ | $11-19$ |  |
| 23 | ISRAEL | SPAIN | $18-43$ | $10-20$ |  |
| 24 | CZECH REP. | SWEDEN | $21-57$ | $8-22$ |  |
| 25 | SCOTLAND | IRELAND | $33-38$ | $14-16$ |  |
| 26 | CROATIA | ICELAND | $23-26$ | $14-16$ |  |
| 27 | LEBANON | GERMANY | $2-63$ | $3-25$ |  |
| 28 | RUSSIA | BYE |  | $18-0$ |  |
| 29 | HUNGARY | AUSTRIA | $25-26$ | $15-15$ |  |
| 30 | NETHERLANDS | ENGLAND | $21-20$ | $15-15$ |  |
| 31 | ITALY | FINLAND | $25-37$ | $13-17$ |  |
| 32 | FRANCE | TURKEY | $43-13$ | $21-9$ |  |
| 33 | PORTUGAL | NORWAY | $30-36$ | $14-16$ |  |



## SENIOR TEAMS RESULTS

## ROUND 9 - subject to official confirmation

|  | Home Team | Visiting Team | IMPs | VPs |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| II | WALES | IRELAND | $43-26$ | $19-11$ |
| I2 | SPAIN | ESTONIA | $19-63$ | $5-25$ |
| I3 | SWITZERLAND | BELGIUM | $20-53$ | $7-23$ |
| I4 | FRANCE | ISRAEL | $24-57$ | $7-23$ |
| I5 | FINLAND | GERMANY | $56-30$ | $21-9$ |
| I6 | SCOTLAND | AUSTRIA | $24-59$ | $7-23$ |
| I7 | NETHERLANDS | DENMARK | $44-23$ | $20-10$ |
| I8 | ITALY | TURKEY | $19-32$ | $12-18$ |
| I9 | SWEDEN | ENGLAND | $43-15$ | $22-8$ |
| 20 | NORWAY | POLAND | $44-24$ | $20-10$ |

## ROUND 10 - subject to official confirmation

|  | Home Team | Visiting Team | IMPs | VPs |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| II | ESTONIA | WALES | $39-24$ | $18-12$ |
| I2 | BELGIUM | SPAIN | $28-37$ | $13-17$ |
| I3 | ISRAEL | SWITZERLAND | $29-18$ | $17-13$ |
| I4 | GERMANY | FRANCE | $25-26$ | $15-15$ |
| I5 | AUSTRIA | FINLAND | $35-28$ | $16-14$ |
| I6 | POLAND | SCOTLAND | $25-31$ | $14-16$ |
| I7 | DENMARK | IRELAND | $50-14$ | $23-7$ |
| I8 | TURKEY | NETHERLANDS | $33-11$ | $20-10$ |
| I9 | ENGLAND | ITALY | $24-43$ | $11-19$ |
| 20 | NORWAY | SWEDEN | $31-37$ | $14-16$ |

## Sitout exercise 9 - again

## ERROR - ERROR. More humble pie:

Yesterday's problem was wrongly presented. The Editor apologizes on behalf of PO Sundelin who asked to remain anonymous.
Congratulations to all of you who realized that the contract couldn't be made with yesterday's layout

Here follows the correct version:
West plays Five Diamonds after North has bid hearts, raised by South.

| - AKQ 2 | N | - 76 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc$ - |  | $\bigcirc$ A Q J 6 |
| $\diamond$ AKQJ 7 | W E | $\checkmark 984$ |
| - 9876 | S | - 5432 |

North leads the king of clubs. South overtakes with the ace and returns the spade three (second or fourth best).

You win with the ace, and play a high trump. North discards a heart. Any ideas?

## OPEN TEAMS PROGRAM

| ROUND 8 |  |  |  | 10.30 |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Table | Home Team | Visiting Team |  |  |
| 1 | FRANCE | TURKEY |  |  |
| 2 | CZECH REP. | SWEDEN |  |  |
| 3 | GERMANY | ISRAEL |  |  |
| 4 | NORWAY | ICELAND |  |  |
| 5 | ENGLAND | NETHERLANDS |  |  |
| 6 | PORTUGAL | ESTONIA |  |  |
| 7 | RUSSIA | BULGARIA |  |  |
| 8 | LATVIA | POLAND |  |  |
| 9 | DENMARK | ITALY |  |  |


| ROUND 9 | Visiting Team |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Table | Home Team | I5 |
| I | FRANCE | ISRAEL |
| 2 | SWEDEN | ICELAND |
| 3 | TURKEY | NETHERLANDS |
| 4 | CZECH REP. | ESTONIA |
| 5 | GERMANY | BULGARIA |
| 6 | NORWAY | LATVIA |
| 7 | ENGLAND | ITALY |
| 8 | PORTUGAL | DENMARK |
| 9 | RUSSIA | POLAND |


| ROUND 10 |  |  |  | Visiting Team |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Table | Home Team | FRANCE |  |  |
| 1 | CZECH REP. | GERMANY |  |  |
| 2 | TURKEY | NORWAY |  |  |
| 3 | SWEDEN | ENGLAND |  |  |
| 4 | ISRAEL | PORTUGAL |  |  |
| 5 | ICELAND | RUSSIA |  |  |
| 6 | NETHERLANDS | POLAND |  |  |
| 7 | ESTONIA | DENMARK |  |  |
| 8 | BULGARIA | ITALY |  |  |
| 9 | LATVIA |  |  |  |

## OPEN TEAMS RESULTS

## ROUND 6 - subject to official confirmation

|  | Home Team | Visiting Team | IMPs | VPs |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| I | FRANCE | ESTONIA | $54-36$ | $19-11$ |
| 2 | NETHERLANDS | BULGARIA | $44-42$ | $15-15$ |
| 3 | ICELAND | LATVIA | $46-49$ | $14-16$ |
| 4 | ISRAEL | ITALY | $27-68$ | $7-23$ |
| 5 | SWEDEN | DENMARK | $57-36$ | $18-11$ |
| 6 | TURKEY | POLAND | $44-74$ | $9-21$ |
| 7 | CZECH REP. | RUSSIA | $14-56$ | $6-24$ |
| 8 | GERMANY | PORTUGAL | $57-55$ | $15-15$ |
| 9 | NORWAY | ENGLAND | $26-36$ | $13-17$ |

OPEN TEAMS RANKING after 7 rounds subject to official confirmation

| I | GERMANY | 129.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | NORWAY | 127.00 |
|  | SWEDEN | 127.00 |
| 4 | RUSSIA | 115.00 |
| 5 | ITALY | I 14.00 |
| 6 | FRANCE | 109.00 |
|  | ICELAND | 109.00 |
| 8 | NETHERLANDS | 108.00 |
| 9 | POLAND | 107.00 |
| 10 | BULGARIA | 105.00 |
|  | ENGLAND | 105.00 |
| 12 | DENMARK | 103.00 |
| 13 | CZECH REP. | 98.00 |
| 14 | TURKEY | 97.00 |
| 15 | LATVIA | 95.00 |
| 16 | ESTONIA | 81.00 |
| 17 | ISRAEL | 78.00 |
| 18 | PORTUGAL | 69.00 |

WOMEN TEAMS RANKING after 16 rounds
subject to official confirmation

SENIOR TEAMS RANKING after 10 rounds
subject to official confirmation

| I | GERMANY | 291.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | ITALY | 281.00 |
| 3 | FRANCE | 274.00 |
| 4 | NETHERLANDS | 269.00 |
|  | SPAIN | 269.00 |
| 6 | RUSSIA | 266.00 |
| 7 | NORWAY | 264.00 |
| 8 | SWEDEN | 261.00 |
| 9 | ENGLAND | 260.00 |
| 10 | ISRAEL | 255.00 |
| II | CROATIA | 254.00 |
|  | DENMARK | 254.00 |
| 13 | CZECH REP. | 247.50 |
| 14 | ICELAND | 245.00 |
|  | POLAND | 245.00 |
| 16 | HUNGARY | 242.00 |
| 17 | FINLAND | 238.00 |
| 18 | TURKEY | 229.00 |
| 19 | SCOTLAND | 217.00 |
| 20 | PORTUGAL | 216.00 |
| 21 | WALES | 200.00 |
| 22 | IRELAND | 199.50 |
| 23 | GREECE | 190.00 |
| 24 | AUSTRIA | 181.00 |
| 25 | LEBANON | 151.00 |


|  | ITALY | 188.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | BELGIUM | 182.00 |
| 3 | NETHERLANDS | 171.00 |
| 4 | ENGLAND | 169.00 |
|  | TURKEY | 169.00 |
| 6 | SWEDEN | 164.00 |
| 7 | AUSTRIA | 159.00 |
| 8 | FRANCE | 158.00 |
| 9 | DENMARK | 156.00 |
| 10 | ISRAEL | 154.00 |
|  | POLAND | 154.00 |
| 12 | SCOTLAND | 148.00 |
| 13 | NORWAY | 147.00 |
| 14 | FINLAND | 137.00 |
| 15 | IRELAND | 136.00 |
| 16 | GERMANY | 133.00 |
|  | ESTONIA | 124.00 |
| 18 | WALES | 113.00 |
| 19 | SWITZERLAND | 110.00 |
| 20 | SPAIN | 107.00 |

## INTERNATIONAL MIND SPORTS GAMES BEIJING VISAS

This year, due to the Olympic Games, the procedure to obtain the visas (even «Touristic » visas) are more complicated than for Shanghai last year.
To obtain the visa you will definitely need an invitation letter from the Chinese organisers and some countries even require it in Chinese.
Each NBO has then to fill a form listing all the players and accompanying people and send it to Lilian Sun sunchengmo82@yahoo.com.cn - before July 3Ist. She will then send you via mail the invitations to be produced to the local embassies to obtain the visas.

## Making it Count <br> by Mark Horton

I figure life's a gift, and I don't intend on wasting it. You don't know what hand you're gonna get dealt next. You learn to take life as it comes at you, to make each day count.'

Jack Dawson (Leonardo DiCaprio) from the Hollywood blockbuster Titanic.

The hectic pace of a major Championship leaves little time for anything other than a relatively straightforward account of what happens in a modest number of matches.
In both the Open and Women's events we have many of the World's top ten players - Duboin, Bocchi, Lauria, Versace are ranked $3,4,5$ \& 6 , while Auken, D'Ovidio, Von Arnim, Willard,Vriend \& Nehmert are numbers I, 5, 6, 7, 8 \& 10 respectively.

These outstanding players have many qualities, and they, like many of the other players competing here have a happy knack of consistently being at the business end of virtually every event they contest.
Have you ever wondered why?
Is there perhaps some magic formula that they have access to?
Naturally they possess exceptional skills, particularly in card play, but they all share the ability to make things happen at the table.
Is it possible for we lesser mortals to reach such exalted levels?

As card play is an individual skill, the easiest area in which a player can seek to influence the outcome of a match is in the bidding phase.

In former times players were (at least so it seems to me) much less aggressive. When I started playing, the Multi was a novelty, and some of the other outlandish two-suited opening bids had yet to see the light of day. The vast majority of auctions were uncontested and you could be confident an opening bid showed 12 or more points.

It did not take long for us to appreciate that as bridge is a game of mistakes, rather than sit idly by waiting for your opponents to make one of their own volition, you can significantly improve your chances of success by pressurising them during the bidding.
How you choose to do this is a matter for partnership discussion, but one obvious way is to take every reasonable (and some would suggest even every unreasonable) opportunity to enter the auction.

When I played my first International many years ago (against a Welsh team that included Patrick Jourdain) I partnered Richard Winter. His wife, Sarah Teshome is one of the new stars of the English women's team, and in the match against France she demonstrated on more than one occasion how being active in the bidding can make life difficult:


Six Hearts is laydown, but the simple expedient of raising partner with four card support created a problem that the opponent's failed to overcome.

| Open Room |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West | North | East | South |
| Teshome | Neve | Jagger | Bessis |
|  |  | $1{ }^{\text {c }}$ | $1 \diamond$ |
| 20 | Dble* | 329 | Pass |
| Pass | Dble* | Pass | 38 |
| Pass | $4 \bigcirc$ | All Pass |  |

A more obvious example came a couple of boards later:

| Board I2. Dealer West. N/S Vul. <br> - 19 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc$ K Q 32 |  |  |  |
| $\checkmark$ A 108 |  |  |  |
| - J 1095 |  |  |  |
| - Q 53 |  |  | -K7642 |
| $\bigcirc 865$ |  |  | $\bigcirc 97$ |
| $\diamond$ K 96543 |  |  | $\checkmark 172$ |
| - 2 |  |  | ¢ K 73 |
| - A 108 |  |  |  |
| $\bigcirc$ AJ 104 |  |  |  |
| $\checkmark$ Q |  |  |  |
| 2 A Q 864 |  |  |  |
| Open Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Teshome | Neve | Jagger | Bessis |
| $2{ }^{\text {®* }}$ | Pass | $3 \checkmark$ | Dble |
| Pass | $4{ }^{\circ}$ | All Pass |  |

Notice not only the emaciated opening, but also the gungho raise from East.
While composing this article I spotted this fine effort from one Helgemo during Norway's match with England, Geir opening $2 \diamond$ (a poor weak two - sic) on 875 SK8752 $\$ J 6843 .
Whatever level you play at, your results are certain to improve if you become more active during the bidding. Remember - Make it Count!

## Three No Trumps will always turn out all right <br> by Peter Ventura

In the match between Sweden and Poland in Round 4 of the Open Series, the very first board created the largest swing of the match.

Board I. Dealer None. None Vul.

|  | \& Q 92 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 『J 9 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ A J 10986 |  |
|  | \% K 4 |  |
| ¢ 743 | N | ¢ AJ 1086 |
| $\bigcirc 1087$ |  | $\bigcirc$ AK 6 |
| $\diamond$ Q | W E | $\diamond$ K 2 |
| \% Q J 9752 | S | \% 1083 |
|  | ¢ K 5 |  |
|  | Q Q 5432 |  |
|  | $\checkmark 7543$ |  |
|  | ¢ A 6 |  |

Open Room

| West <br> Kwiecien | North <br> Nystrom | East <br> Jagniewski | South <br> Bertheau |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 ${ }^{*}$ | 29 | 2NT** |
| Pass | $3 \diamond$ | Pass | 3NT |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

* II-I5 HCP's, 5-4 $\diamond+\boldsymbol{c}$ or 6+ $\diamond$
** Diamond support
Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wrang | Zawislak | Nilsson | Pazur |
|  | INT* | Dble | $2 \diamond^{* *}$ |
| Pass | Pass | $2 \boldsymbol{2}$ | $3 \diamond$ |
| $3 \boldsymbol{S}$ | All Pass |  |  |

* 10-13 HCP's
** Transfer to hearts
In the Closed Room South led a diamond to the ace against Three Spades. North returned a spade to the ten and South's king. A heart switch will now hold declarer to eight tricks, but when South continued with a spade Nilsson could score +140 .
Bertheau/Nystrom had high ambitions on the North/South direction in the Open Room, reaching Three No Trumps with only II points facing nine.
On a club or a heart lead the no-trump game would duly be defeated, but since East had intervened with Two Spades and without any sure tricks in the West hand - a spade lead seemed like the normal start for West. East played the spade ten and Bertheau won the first trick in hand with the king. It was crucial to keep West out of the play, so declarer had to
play the diamond ace to take West's queen. He was very happy to see that it was East who the king, when he played another diamond. East won the king and returned a club. Declarer won in hand and ran all his diamonds, reaching this position before the last diamond was played:


On the last diamond East could not afford to discard anything. If he throws a spade, declarer plays a spade from dummy which will simply establish the ninth trick, and if he discards a club, declarer will cash the king of clubs and endplay East, who sooner or later has to lead from A-J in spades up towards dummy's Q-9. In practice East pitched the king of hearts, but now Bertheau played a heart and claimed eleven tricks, when after winning the heart ace East switched to a club.
As they say, Three No Trumps will always turn out all right. Sweden won the match, 33-13 IMPs, I9-II VPs.


Peter Bertheau, Sweden

## Operation successful, patient died (part 2) <br> by Jan van Cleeff

As both countries were doing well in the Open series, expectations were high when England met Germany in Round 4. Board 9 offered a huge opportunity for England. Let's have a closer look at the hand of Justin Hackett, sitting North. Green against red, in first position he held:

K 2
Q QJ9865
$\diamond 976$
-64

| West <br> Dr. Elinescu | North Justin H. | East <br> Dr. Wladow | South Jason H. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3 | Dble | 4 ${ }^{*}$ |
| $4{ }^{1}$ | 5 | 58 | Pass |
| 6\% | Pass | 6 | Pass |
| 68 | Pass | 7. | All Pass |

*fit-bid, looking for a cheap save
Justin had to find a lead. Mainly due to the Four Diamond bid Justin decided to kick off with a diamond. Not such a good idea; minus 17 IMPs, the whole hand being:


Don't mess with the doctors when they are at work. Another perfect example of a succesful operation, this time with a dying English patient. In spite of the huge gain for Germany on the board - in the open room England duly made Four Spades with two overtricks - the hand certainly will be nominated for the IBPA Romex Worst-Bid-Hand of the Zillennium. However, it is not clear yet if North-South or East-West will be the candidate. Probably both.
Talk about great bids. Hila Levy and Adi Asulin, representing Israel in the womens series, have reached the ripe old age of fifteen. I don't recall any other pair, with a combined age of only thirty years, playing at championship level in the history of bridge in a non-junior event. The two curly-haired youngsters are a typical example of the group of young and gifted players their country seems to produce year after year.
Enough chit-chat, girl power at work. In the match Israel v. Ireland Hila Levy as South held this hand:

AJ 104
AJ 1064
754

- 3

Dealer North. N/S Vul.

| West <br> Joyce | North <br> Asulin | East <br> Kenny | South <br> Levy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{1} \diamond$ | 2 ® $^{*}$ | $?$ |

What would you bid? Double to show spades or pass and wait? Hila opted for the latter. The bidding continued:

| West <br> Joyce | North <br> Asulin | East <br> Kenny | South <br> Levy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{1} \diamond$ | $2 \AA^{*}$ | Pass |
| Pass | $3 \diamond$ | Pass | ? |

And what to bid now? An ultra conservative pass, a cuebid of Three Hearts or Four or Five Diamonds? However, Hila bid something completely different:Three Spades! The bidding continued:

| West <br> Joyce | North <br> Asulin | East <br> Kenny | South <br> Levy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $1 \diamond$ | 2 ® $^{*}$ | Pass |
| Pass | $3 \diamond$ | Pass | $3 \diamond$ |
| Pass | $4 \diamond$ | Pass | $5 \diamond$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

Hila corrected Four Spades to Five Diamonds, since with a fourcard spade suit, North was likely to have re-opened the bidding with a double. The final contract was made of course:

Board 5. Dealer North. N/S Vul.

- Q 53
$\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\wedge}$ Q 19862
- AJ9

```
& K97
& K2
\diamond
&KQ87652
```



```
- 862
- Q 98753
\(\diamond\) K 10
\& 104
-AJ 104
©AJ 1064
754
3
```

Note what a clever bid Three Spades was. After Three Diamonds Hila definitely wanted to be in game. But which game? This way she showed her spade stopper and of course good hearts as well. Unsure about the clubs however, she gave the final word to her partner.

## OPEN TEAMS

## Round 6

## Israel v Italy

## Norway v England

by Jos Jacobs

Tuesday morning brought an interesting match on Vugraph: England v. Norway. England would have to register a good result to stay in the hunt, whereas Norway needed all the V.P.'s they could get in their bid for the title. Speaking of the title: though Italy have not been doing too well so far, it's far too early to write them off as possible candidates for a medal, as they proved right from the start of their match.

After three boards, the Norway-England scoreboard was still blank but in the Israel-Italy match, it read 22-10 to Italy. What had happened???

Board: I. Dealer North/None

- AKQ 987
© J
A 86
Q 42

- 3
© AK 9
$\diamond$ J 1052
- AJ 875

| West <br> Versace | North <br> Pachtman | East <br> Lauria | South <br> Ginossar |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2 \$$ | 19 | Pass | $2 \%$ |
| Pass | $3 \%$ | Pass | $3 N T$ |
| All Pass | $4 \%$ | Pass | $5 \%$ |

The Israeli N/S had arrived at a decent enough contract but Versace found a very good lead: the $\diamond 4$. Lauria won the queen and continued the suit,Versace allowing declarer's $\Delta I 0$ to win the trick, of course. Now the hand can be made by discarding the $\diamond A$ on a top heart and then play $\& A$ and a club, as dummy can ruff a diamond high and proceed to discard the other two red losers on the spades. When declarer crossed in spades and ran the 2 Q he quickly was one down when Lauria got his ruff. The contract even went down two, as Versace scored his 10 when declarer next tried to discard his other losers on the top spades. Italy +100 .

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bareket | Bocchi | Roll | Duboin |
|  | 19 | Pass | 2\% |
| $2 \bigcirc$ | 34 | Pass | 49 |

[^0]- J 10642

ค 532
$\diamond$ Q 9
963

Despite the foul trump break, this contract was an easy make on a heart lead, as East had to follow to three rounds of clubs. Italy +420 and II IMPs.

On the next board. Israel struck back when the Italians missed a vulnerable game:

## Board: 2. Dealer East/NS


$\checkmark$ Q 9
$\diamond A$ Q 10974
\& AK 6

$$
A J 4
$$

$\diamond$ J 43
$\diamond K 52$
$\&$ Q J 95

\& 875
-K 8752
$\diamond$ J 6
843
\& K 10962
ค A 106
$\triangleleft 83$
2 1072
Open Room

| West <br> Versace | North <br> Pachtman | East <br> Lauria | South <br> Ginossar |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Pass | Pass |
| 18 | INT | Pass | $2 \Omega$ |
| Pass | $2 \boldsymbol{2}$ | Pass | 2NT |
| Pass | 3NT | All Pass |  |



Ron Pachtman, Israel

In a way, the North hand with its nice diamonds seems too strong for a INT overcall but it worked out well for Israel. Eleven easy tricks on a heart lead, Israel +660.

| Closed Room |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West | North East | South |  |
| Bareket | Bocchi | Roll | Duboin |
|  |  | Pass | Pass |
| 18 | $1 \diamond$ | 18 | 19 |
| Dble | Redble | 2 | Pass |
| Pass | Dble | Pass | 24 |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

I $\vee$ showed at least four, double was support and redouble showed a strong hand. It is not easy to see what other bid Duboin could have made...Italy +200 and IO IMPs back to Israel.

Another big swing on the next board:

Board: 3. Dealer South/EW

|  | \& AJI0 9842 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc$ Q J |  |
|  | $\diamond 94$ |  |
|  | \% 75 |  |
| ¢ 7 | N | ¢ 53 |
| $\bigcirc$ A 2 |  | $\bigcirc 8753$ |
| $\diamond$ A Q J 1085 |  | $\diamond 7632$ |
| \% A 1086 | S | 2 Q 93 |
|  | ¢ K Q 6 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc \mathrm{K} 10964$ |  |
|  | $\diamond \mathrm{K}$ |  |
|  | \% KJ 42 |  |

Open Room

| West <br> Versace | North <br> Pachtman | East <br> Lauria | South <br> Ginossar |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | I |
| $2 \triangleleft$ | $3 \Phi$ | Pass | 49 |
| Dble | Pass | $5 \triangleleft$ | Pass |
| Pass | $5 \uparrow$ | Pass | Pass |
| Dble | All Pass |  |  |

Instead of taking a 200 penalty, the Israelis pressed on and thus conceded -I00 when Versace had an obvious double...

| Closed Room <br> West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bareket | Bocchi | Roll | Duboin |
|  |  |  | I 8 |
| $2 \diamond$ | 39 | Pass | $3 \mathbf{3}$ |
| Pass | 49 | All Pass |  |

[^1]ond time, Roll had no reason to go on so Italy were left to score another +420 and II IMPs in peace.

On board 5, the German doctors produced another fine diagnosis:

Board: 5. Dealer North/NS
4 86543
$\checkmark 5$
$\triangleleft$ Q 106
2 A 1043

| ¢ J 2 | N | - AQ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ¢KJ873 |  | $\bigcirc$ A 1094 |
| $\checkmark$ A 832 |  | $\diamond \mathrm{J} 4$ |
| \& 82 | S | \& KQJ65 |
|  | - K 1097 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ Q 62 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ K 975 |  |
|  | \& 97 |  |

## Closed Room

| West <br> Elinescu | North <br> Cruzeiro | East <br> Wladow | South <br> Santos |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pass | INT | Pass |
| $2 \triangleleft$ | Pass | $3 \triangleleft$ | Pass |
| $4 \checkmark$ | All Pass |  |  |

What's the problem? Played by East, the contract is unbeatable. If North can lead a spade, however, declarer will probably misguess trumps and go down...This is what happened at most tables.

So 10 IMPs for Germany in their match against Portugal and a shock 10 IMPs to Norway as well when Jason Hackett, defending for England, led a diamond rather than a spade...

Another two boards later, England equalized:

Board: 7. Dealer South/All
\& AK 32
คA86
$\diamond A 2$
\& K J 65

| N | ¢ 75 |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc \mathrm{K} 109$ |
| W E | $\diamond 8765$ |
| S | -9872 |
| ¢ 1064 |  |
| QQJ 752 |  |
| $\checkmark 93$ |  |
| 2 Q 104 |  |

Closed Room

| West <br> Lund | North <br> Hackett Ja | East <br> Helgemo | South <br> Hackett Ju |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Pass |
| $1 \diamond$ | Dble | $2 \diamond$ | $2 \oslash$ |
| $3 \diamond$ | Dble | Pass | $3 \vee$ |
| Pass | $4 \triangleright$ | All Pass |  |

Once Justin could freely introduce his hearts, reaching game was automatic. England +620 as one black loser goes on the clubs.

| Open Room <br> West <br> Holland | North <br> Lindqvist | East <br> Armstrong | South <br> Brogeland |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Pass |
| $1 \diamond$ | Dble | $2 N T$ | Pass |
| $3 \diamond$ | Dble | Pass | 38 |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

When even Brogeland could not act over 2NT, the preemptive jump had done its job. (Though South might have bid $4 \bigcirc$ at his second turn).
Norway +l70 but 10 IMPs to England.
The next board was really interesting as it offered a choice of (end)plays. It also mattered if E/W had bid or not.

Board: 8. Dealer West/None
\& A 986
$\checkmark$ AJ 6
$\diamond$ A 84
\& A 95

- 14
-Q98732
$\diamond$ K 9
21084

- 107532
$\bigcirc 5$
$\diamond$ QJIO 63
* K 6
- K Q
$\bigcirc$ K 104
$\diamond 752$
\& Q J 732
Open Room

| West <br> Versace | North <br> Pachtman | East <br> Lauria | South <br> Ginossar |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pass | INT | Pass | 39 |
| Pass | $3 \triangleleft$ | Pass | 3NT |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

Against silent opposition, Pachtman had to cope with the lead of the $\diamond \mathbf{Q}$ from East.West overtakes with the king and continues the suit. Time to plan the play.

It looks reasonable now to duck once more, putting your money on the s K with West later. As you can see, this line
will fail.As Pachtman had cashed his spade winners before leading and another, he thus went three down, Italy +150 .

Bocchi had other ideas, helped by the adverse bidding:
Closed Room

| West <br> Bareket | North <br> Bocchi | East <br> Roll | South <br> Duboin |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2 \triangleleft$ | $2 N T$ | Pass | 3NT |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

At this table too, West overtook the $\diamond \mathbf{Q}$ and continued the suit. Bocchi, however, took the second round and proceeded to read the hand very well. He cashed the $\$ K Q$, noting the fall of the jack, and then took his three heart tricks, finessing West for the queen after his multi.
With six tricks in the bag already, he had a choice of endplays but he went for safety by cashing the $\mathbf{~ A}$ and exiting with a spade to East (the 5th spade had been discarded by East on the hearts already). The lead away from the eK gave Bocchi his 9th trick for a score of +400 and II IMPs to Italy. The score in that match now stood at 34-10 to Italy.

In the Norway v. England match, we saw a similar swing:

## Open Room

| West <br> Holland | North <br> Lindqvist | East <br> Armstrong | South <br> Brogeland |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2 』$ | 2 NT | Pass | $3 N T$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

Lindqvist played along the same line as Bocchi but when


Espen Lindqvist, Norway - John Armstrong, England

East discarded a diamond on the hearts, he could afford to exit in diamonds before cashing his \$. Not that it made much difference: Norway +400 .

In the Closed Room, Jason Hackett only had West's double to guide him:

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lund | Hackett Ja | Helgemo | Hackett Ju |
| Pass | 19 | I $\diamond$ | 2 |
| Dble | 3NT | All Pass |  |

No surprise that he played West for the K K, which led to down three as well since Jason, too, had cashed his top spades and hearts first. Norway another +I50 and II IMPs to them to lead $2 \mathrm{I}-10$ now.

On the next board, Italy again scored heavily:
Board: 9. Dealer North/EW

- AK 65

ค 82
$\diamond 75$
\& K Q 965
$\perp 10$
คKJ9763
$\diamond$ Q 6
\& A 1087


Q Q 98743
$\checkmark$ A 105
$\diamond 10842$
\&-
$\bigcirc$ Q 4
$\checkmark$ AKJ 93
\& 1432


Yossi Roll, Israel

| Open Room |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West | North | East | South |
| Versace | Pachtman | Lauria | Ginossar |
|  | 19 | 19 | $2 \triangleleft$ |
| 2 | Pass | 38 | 4\% |
| Pass | 5\% | Pass | Pass |
| Dble | All Pass |  |  |

As dummy did not have enough entries to both establish spades and enjoy them, the heart game was not on. Maybe that's why the Italians did not bother to bid it...

Down three, Italy +500 .

## Closed Room

| West <br> Bareket | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $1 \%$ | Roll | Duboin |
| $2 \boxtimes$ | Pass | $3 \oslash$ | $2 \%$ |
| $4 \varnothing$ | All Pass |  | Pass |

2\% was either a negative double or GF with diamonds.

On a club lead, declarer could indeed not cope with the problem described above and thus had to go one down, Italy another +100 and 12 more IMPs.

One board later, Norway went eight down, vulnerable, at no-trumps:


On a club lead by East, this was a hopeless affair as South had no entries to both establish and enjoy the diamonds. Down five, England+500.

Closed Room

| West <br> Lund | North <br> Hackett Ja | East <br> Helgemo | South <br> Hackett Ju |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $1 \mathbf{2}$ | $1 \diamond$ |
| IS | Pass | INT | All Pass |

As South was on lead, he had all the time in the world to both establish his diamonds and enjoy them too. Down three, England +300 and a juicy 13 IMPs to lead 26-21 now.

On the slam hand below, quite a number of pairs went down in $6 \checkmark$ on a spade ruff:

Board: 13. Dealer North/All

- A 87

Q972
$\diamond$ Q 2
\& 10976

| Q QJ 10652 | N | - K 94 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| คA83 |  | ¢KQ1054 |
| $\checkmark$ A 104 | W E | $\checkmark$ K 87 |
| -2 | S | - AK |
|  | - 3 |  |
|  | 816 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ J9653 |  |
|  | - Q 8543 |  |

## Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Versace | Pachtman | Lauria | Ginossar |
|  | Pass | 18 | Pass |
| 14 | Pass | 2\% | Pass |
| 3 | Pass | 4\% | Pass |
| $4 \diamond$ | Pass | 49 | Pass |
| $5{ }^{2}$ | Pass | 5 | Pass |
| 5 | Pass | 68 | All Pass |

Even Lauria-Versace did not reach the best contract...Israel +100 .

## Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bareket | Bocchi | Roll | Duboin |
|  | Pass | $1 / 8$ | Pass |
| 14 | Pass | 2NT | Pass |
| 3\% | Pass | $3{ }^{1}$ | Pass |
| 4NT | Pass | 5 | Pass |
| 64 | All Pass |  |  |

This was a much better contract, so Israel added another +1430 and got back 17 IMPs.

The top spot is of course 6NT,duly reached by the Nor-
wegians for no swing, 6 being made at the other table in that match:

## Closed Room

| West Lund | North Hackett Ja | East <br> Helgemo | South Hackett Ju |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pass | 18 | Pass |
| 14 | Pass | 2NT | Pass |
| 4* | Pass | 4 | Pass |
| 4NT | Pass | $5 \bigcirc$ | Pass |
| $6{ }^{1}$ | Pass | 6NT | All Pass |

Near the end of the set, big swings in either match were responsible for the final results:

Board: I8. Dealer East/NS
4. AK 109
$\bigcirc 64$
$\diamond 9843$

- A 42
$\$ 1864$
$\vee$ AK 10
$\diamond$ K 52
$\& 985$


Open Room

| West <br> Holland | North <br> Lindqvist | East <br> Armstrong | South <br> Brogeland |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pass | 14 | Pass | $1 \diamond$ |
| 3\& | Pass |  |  |
| Pass | 3NT | All Pass |  |

East led a top club, West playing the five, and shifted to a heart. West took the ace and returned a club, won by declarer (!?).When the diamond finesse lost, West produced a third club, so the contract was down five for +500 to England.

Not many declarers will ever have gone down five in 3NT, vulnerable, twice in one set of 20 boards...

| Closed Room |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West | North | East | South |
| Lund | Hackett Ja | Helgemo | Hackett Ju |
|  |  | Pass | $2 \checkmark$ |
| Pass | 3NT | 4* | $4 \bigcirc$ |
| Pass | 5 | All Pass |  |

This was a much more sensible contract but it failed when the trump finesse did not come off. Norway +100
but 9 IMPs to England who thus won the match 36-26 or 17-13 in V.P. and as a consequence of this win, dropped from 6th to 8th spot!

The Israel-Italy match was decided on the next board:

Board: 19. Dealer South/EW

|  | ¢ 6542 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | QJ 65 |  |  |
|  | $\diamond$ A 10985 |  |  |
|  | 9 7 |  |  |
| $\stackrel{A}{4}$ | W | + 10987 |  |
| $\bigcirc$ AK 1043 |  |  | $\bigcirc 7$ |
| $\diamond$ J 32 |  |  | $\diamond$ K 76 |
| ¢ K Q 106 | S |  | \& AJ 432 |
|  | ¢ K Q J 3 |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ Q 982 |  |  |
|  | $\diamond$ Q 4 |  |  |
|  | ¢985 |  |  |
| Open Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Versace | Pachtman | Lauria | Ginossar |
|  |  |  | Pass |
| 18 | Pass | 14 | Pass |
| 2\% | Pass | $2 \checkmark$ | Pass |
| 2NT | Pass | 3\% | Pass |
| 3NT | Pass | 4\% | Pass |
| $4 \bigcirc$ | Pass | 5\% | All Pass |

When North led the $\diamond A$, the hand was quickly over when the $\diamond Q$ made her appearance in the next round of that suit. Italy +620 as even an overtrick was easy now. Rumours are that some Dutchman here in Pau even made his club slam on this lead...

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Bocchi | Roll | Duboin |
|  |  |  | Pass |
| 18 | Pass | 14 | Pass |
| 2\% | Pass | 3\% | Pass |
| 3 - | Pass | 5\% | All Pass |

On a spade lead, this contract was much more difficult to handle. Declarer won, cashed the $\checkmark$ AK throwing a diamond and led a diamond, the king winning in dummy.A spade was ruffed in hand and the $\diamond$ J now went to the ace, South contributing the queen. As this happened to be a true card, South could later overruff a diamond when dummy ruffed low and return his last trump to set the contract by one trick. Italy +100 and 12 more IMPs to make the final score $68-27$ or $23-7$ V.P. to them. They were right back in the hunt.

## VICTORY BANQUET



The Victory Banquet will take place on Saturday, June 28 at 19.00 hrs. in the Jaï Alaï, about 12 kms away from the Palais Beaumont.

Invitation cards can be picked up at the Hospitality Desk. Team Captains are requested to pick up the invitations for all their players as well. Staff members should obtain their invitations through their respective department chiefs.

All invitations should be picked up not later than Friday, June 27 at noon.

Other guests who want to attend the banquet can buy their invitation cards at the Hospitality Desk at a cost of 25 euros.

When picking up invitation card(s), you are requested to register all persons involved for the transfer to the banquet venue. Buses will leave at 18.00 hrs . and at 18.40 hrs from the Palais Beaumont. Please note that you cannot change your bus transfer time once you registered.

IMPORTANT NOTE: you are requested to present your invitation card to get access to the bus and also to get access to the Jaï Alaï.

## TRANSPORT TO PAU AIRPORT ON SUNDAY, JUNE 29

There will be bus transfers to the airport on Sunday, June 29, only.

Team Captains are kindly requested to register at the Hospitality Desk, mentioning the number of persons, the hotel from which each person is leaving and the departure time of each person's flight.

Staff members may also register individually.
Registration will be closed on Thursday, June 26, at the end of the day's play.

Departure times of the buses will be published on Friday afternoon, June 27.


Tournament Program

Mixed Pairs
T.B. Pairs

National Simultaneous
IMP Pairs
Open Pairs
Teams
Special Tournament Tourist Packages
Participants From All Over The World
Including European and World Champions.
Social Events every day.
Entrance Fee
Each session: Eurol2
Total prize money in excess of Euro 18,000


For Further Information And Registration: The Organizing Committee: David Birman 50 Pinkas St. Tel Aviv, Israel, Tel. 972-3-6058355, Fax: 972-3-5465582, E-mail: birmand@inter.net.il

## OPEN TEAMS

## Round 5

On Monday evening's menu, the big clash took place between the reigning World Champions and the reigning European Champions Norway v. Italy. Thousands of kibitzers from all over the world were watching; they were treated to a great start by Norway as these were the first two boards:

Board: I. Dealer North/None vul.


Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brogeland | Bocchi | Lindquist | Duboin |
|  | Pass | 19 | Pass |
| 2 | Pass | 3 | Pass |
| 3 | Pass | 31 | Pass |
| 4. | All Pass |  |  |



Alfredo Versace, Italy

## Norway v Italy

by Jos Jacobs
South led the $\vee$ A so declarer's problems were over very quickly. As North never gets in and South has no safe exits once his minor suit cards are gone, the contract would always have been made. Norway +420 .
$\left.\begin{array}{cccc}\begin{array}{c}\text { Closed Room } \\ \text { West } \\ \text { Versace }\end{array} & \text { North } & \text { East } & \begin{array}{c}\text { South } \\ \text { Lauria }\end{array} \\ \hline & \text { Pass } & 1 \mathbf{1} \\ \text { Molberg }\end{array}\right]$

It is difficult to see how EW can ever reach $6 \diamond$ played by West. The notrump slam is a reasonable proposition as it needs the spades to come in for five tricks, just over a $50 \%$ chance. On the actual layout, declarer had no chance. Norway +100 and II IMPs straight away.

Board: 2. Dealer East/NS vul.

- 53
©AJ962
$\diamond 8$
Q Q 976

| ( AK842 | N | Q QJ 1076 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc 3$ |  | QQ104 |
| $\checkmark$ A 1065 | W E | $\diamond$ KJ973 |
| - 532 | S | 9 - |
|  | - 9 |  |
|  | -K875 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ Q 42 |  |
|  | - AK 1084 |  |

Open Room

| West <br> Brogeland | North <br> Bocchi | East <br> Lindquist | South <br> Duboin |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Pass | 1e |
| 1s | $3 \mathbf{2}$ | 4 | $5 \boldsymbol{e}$ |
| All Pass | Pass | Pass | Dble |

As NS did not locate their double fit, the double of the final contract becomes understandable. When Bocchi led his singleton diamond, Brogeland made all 13 tricks for a score of +850 to Norway.

| Closed Room |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West | North | East | South |
| Versace | Aa | Lauria | Molberg |
|  |  | Pass | 19 |
| 14 | 29 | 4* | $4 \bigcirc$ |
| 4. | 5\% | 54. | All Pass |

Once Lauria showed his club void, nobody bothered to double the final contact.Versace also made all the tricks but Italy scored only +510 for a further 8 -IMP loss. After two boards, the scoreboard read 19-0 to Norway.

From then on, very little happened until we reached board 12 with the score at $25-4$ to Norway:

## Board: 12. Dealer West/NS vul.

|  | ¢K 1052 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc$ Q 183 |  |  |
|  | $\diamond$ Q 5 |  |  |
|  | \% K 85 |  |  |
| ( Q 64 |  | $\pm$ | 873 |
| - A 542 | W E |  |  |
| $\checkmark$ K 643 |  |  |  |
| \% Q 6 | S |  |  |
|  | ¢ J |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ K 97 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ A 72 |  |  |
|  | \& A 109732 |  |  |
| Open Room |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Brogeland | Bocchi | Lindquist | Duboin |
| Pass | Pass | 2 | 3\% |
| Pass | 3NT | All Pass |  |

Bocchi's little gamble paid off when the clubs broke and the $\forall J$ was led rather than a spade. Italy +600.


Norberto Bocchi, Italy


Lauria's very light opening bid and Versace's Drury effectively kept NS out of the bidding, even more so when South did not bother to overcall.

The contract went three off at 50 per trick, so scoring -I50 netted Italy 10 IMPs.

And the next board:
Board: 13. Dealer North/All vul.
© AK 83
ค J IO 974
$\diamond 73$
\& 75

| +62 | N | ¢ 」 1095 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc$ AKQ 2 |  | $\bigcirc 8653$ |
| $\diamond$ AK 852 | W E | $\diamond 96$ |
| ¢ K 3 | S | \& 464 |
|  | ¢ Q 74 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ - |  |
|  | $\diamond$ Q J 104 |  |
|  | \% Q J 10982 |  |


| Open Room |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| West | North | East | South |
| Brogeland | Bocchi | Lindqvist | Duboin |
|  | Pass | Pass | Pass |
| $1 \diamond$ | Dble | Pass | 3\% |
| Dble | Pass | 4\% | Pass |
| $4 \bigcirc$ | All Pass |  |  |

This was the normal contract but, of course, it did not survive the filthy trump break. One down, Italy +100 .

In the other room, a few things went wrong...

## Closed Room

| West <br> Versace | North Aa | East <br> Lauria | South Molberg |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pass | Pass | Pass |
| $1 \diamond$ | 18 | Dble | Pass |
| 2 | Pass | 24 | Pass |
| 2NT | Pass | 3NT | All Pass |

In a sense, the Norwegians were unlucky. Not only did North's overcall block the Italians' road to $4 \triangleleft$ but their auction, the 4-4 fit having been missed, also induced North to lead the $\vee 4$ rather than the jack.Versace put up dummy's five which won a surprise trick, and next ran the $\diamond 9$ suc-
cessfully...
It won't come as a shock to you any more that he ended up with an overtrick. Italy +630 and another big gain: 12 IMPs to grab the lead: 26-25.

Their lead had gone up to $36-25$ when board 19 arrived:
Board: 19. Dealer South/EW vul.


It is not that easy to bid game against silent opponents...Italy +150 .

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Versace | $A a$ | Lauria | Molberg |

Even against talkative opponents, bidding game is difficult, as the Closed Room auction clearly proved. It took Molberg a long time to produce his 3NT bid. Whether this inspired Lauria to make a double, we will never know but Molberg did not fancy his chances too much, so he ran. He was right, as 3NT would have gone at least one off with East on lead (a heart lead/switch even beats it by two tricks).

North, however, had the last word in this auction when he raised $4 \%$ to five. Versace made a logical enough double, only to find out that EW could not beat this contract...
Norway thus scored +550 for a gain of 9 IMPs, so the score now stood at 36-34 to Italy, a winning draw, and there it rested on the last board.

## Exit Available <br> by Patrick Jourdain (Wales)

The good play on this deal by Lindqvist against England in round 6 of the Open is reported elsewhere (see page II).There was a variation in the Senior (round 9) match between Ireland and Wales:

Board 8. Dealer West. None Vul.
-A 986
คAJ6
$\checkmark$ A 84

- A95

| - J 4 | N | -107532 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ¢Q98732 | W E | $\bigcirc 5$ |
| $\checkmark$ K 9 |  | $\diamond$ QJ 1063 |
| \& 1084 | S | ¢ K 6 |
|  | - K Q |  |
|  | $\bigcirc \mathrm{K} 104$ |  |
|  | $\checkmark 752$ |  |
|  | \& QJ732 |  |

Open Room

| West <br> Dowling | North <br> Gagné | East <br> Godden | South <br> Sheridan |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2 \diamond^{*}$ | Dbl | Pass | $3 \mathbf{N}^{2}$ |
| Pass | 3NT | All Pass |  |

Closed Room

| West <br> J. Hand | North <br> Comyn | East <br> P. Hand | South <br> Moran |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2 \diamond^{*}$ | $2 N T$ | Pass | 3NT |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

The atmosphere between the Celtic Seniors is always friendly so I cannot resist mentioning that during the auction there was a delay on one side of the screen. Waiting for the tray to return one player whispered to his screen-mate "Perhaps they are discussing their former wives." The reply came at once "then we may have a long wait."
As usual North was in Three Notrumps after a Multi (or Two Heart) opening from West and a diamond lead from East. Both declarers won the third diamond, losing the exit card with which Lindqvist had later endplayed East.The Irish declarer picked up the hearts but relied on West for the club king to go one off. 50 to Wales.
Gagné for Wales showed that winning the third diamond does not matter provided, as one might expect, East also held long spades. After winning the third diamond, declarer unblocked the spades and picked up the hearts with a finesse against West. The third heart squeezed East in three suits. East actually unguarded spades. 400 to Wales and a 10 IMP swing which contributed to the Welsh win 43-26 or I9-II in VPs.

## OPEN TEAMS

## Round 6

Sweden and Denmark were lying third and fifth respectively in the Open Series after five rounds, so when the two Scandinavian medal aspirants clashed in Round 6 we were expecting a tough Nordic battle.

Denmark kicked-off faster, thanks to the optimism of Upmark/Cullin.

Board I. Dealer North. None Vul.


After a strong club opening Two Hearts was forcing with at least five clubs. South might have settled for game, but he can hardly be blamed for taking a shot at the small slam. East led the queen of diamonds. With the trump king offside the slam had no play, except on a spade lead. Declarer took the losing trump finesse, so West could win the king, cash the king of diamonds and give partner a diamond ruff. That was two off, N/S -100.

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wrang | Askgaard | Nilsson | Bjarnarson |
|  | 19 | Pass | $2 \bigcirc$ |
| Pass | 24 | Pass | $3 \diamond$ |
| Pass | 3 | Pass | 3NT |
| Pass | 49 | All Pass |  |

East was not tempted to copy the light overcall from the Open Room, which led to the spade game for North/South, a contract that can be made despite the bad trump break. On a diamond lead, declarer will set up the

## Denmark v Sweden

by Peter Ventura
diamonds for a club discard in hand and with any other lead the clubs can be established. In practice East led a heart; N/S +420. That was II IMPs to Denmark and a flying start for them.

Board 7. Dealer South. All Vul.

- AK 32

คA86
$\diamond A 2$

* KJ 65

| - QJ 98 | N | - 75 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc 43$ |  | $\bigcirc \mathrm{K} 109$ |
| $\diamond$ K Q J 104 |  | $\checkmark 8765$ |
| - ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | S | \& 9872 |
|  | -1064 |  |
|  | QQJ 752 |  |
|  | $\checkmark 93$ |  |
|  | - Q 104 |  |

Open Room

| West <br> Hansen | North <br> Upmark | East <br> Bilde | South <br> Cullin |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Pass |
| $1 \diamond$ | Dble | $2 \diamond$ | $2 \searrow$ |
| $3 \diamond$ | Dble* | Pass | $3 \searrow$ |
| Pass | $4 \nabla$ | All Pass |  |

The second double showed extras. East/West's diamond bids kept the Swedes away from the bad no-trump game and it directed them to Four Hearts, which is of course a


Johan Upmark, Sweden
far better contract. Declarer won the diamond lead and could eventually discard the losing spade in dummy. Sweden +620 .

| Closed Room <br> West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wrang | Askgaard | Nilsson | Bjarnarson |
|  |  |  | Pass |
| I $\diamond$ | Dble | Pass | I $\vee$ |
| Pass | INT | Pass | 2NT |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

North needed either to bid Three Hearts over Two No Trumps, which would have given the Danes slightly more hope of reaching the heart game, or to try for the notrump game.
Declarer won the spade lead, played a spade and received a diamond shift, thus one down,-100, and that was 12 IMPs to Sweden.

On Board 8 Johan Upmark found the nice endplay, which is described in another place in today's bulletin. Well done! In the Closed Room Nilsson saw the endplay coming, so he discarded a club early. However, declarer worked out the position and painlessly dropped the bare club king, which gave him two overtricks and 2 IMPs to Denmark, trailing by 12-25 now.

Board II. Dealer South. None Vul.
© 15
$\bigcirc$ KJ 105
$\diamond$ A 942
2 1093

- A 1074
$\bigcirc 62$
$\checkmark 83$
\& J 8764

© K 2
$\checkmark 987$
$\diamond$ Q 65
\& AKQ 52
\& Q 9863
$\checkmark$ A Q 43
$\diamond$ K J 107
4-
Open Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hansen | Upmark | Bilde | Cullin |
|  |  |  | 19 |
| Pass | INT | 2\% | Dble |
| 4\% | $4 \bigcirc$ | All Pass |  |

East tried to cash the ace of clubs on opening lead, but declarer ruffed, ran the nine of spades to East's king and ruffed the club switch. A spade was won by West's ace and the defence played a third club, ruffed in dummy. At this point declarer was void in spades and clubs, so he easily could draw trumps and even though he lost a trick to the diamond queen he still had ten tricks; N/S +420.

| Closed Room <br> West | North | East | South <br> Wrang |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Askgaard | Nilsson | Bjarnarson |  |
| Pass | Pass | $2 \%$ | Dble |
| 24 | $3 \%$ | $3 N T$ | Pass |
| Pass | Dble | $4 \%$ | All Pass |

Nilsson gave the Danes a second chance to reach game, when he reopened with Two Clubs. However, as the bidding continued, particularly when Nilsson bid game, it was too difficult for the Danes to reach their optimal spot.
In Four Clubs the defence had their four obvious tricks, thus Denmark +50 , although 9 IMPs to Sweden, who at this point were leading by 32-25 IMPs.

The last large swing in the match went to Sweden.
Board 19. Dealer South. E/WVul.

- 6542

จ」 65
$\diamond$ A 10985
\& 7
© A
$\checkmark$ AK 1043
$\diamond J 32$
\& K Q 106

. 10987
$\bigcirc 7$
$\diamond$ K 76
\& AJ432
\& K Q J 3

- Q 982
$\diamond$ Q 4
\& 985


Gregers Bjarnarson, Denmark

Open Room

| West <br> Hansen | North <br> Upmark | East <br> Bilde | South <br> Cullin |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18 |  |  | Pass |
| $2 \boldsymbol{2 \&}$ | Pass | $1 \mathbf{2}$ | Pass |
| $3 \diamond *$ | Pass | $3 \boldsymbol{2}$ | Pass |
|  | Pass | $3 N T$ | All Pass |

This might be the auction many of us would have produced. With the diamond ace onside, the game was just made; Denmark +600 .

## Closed Room

| West <br> Wrang | North <br> Askgaard | East <br> Nilsson | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Pass |
| 18** | $2 \triangleleft$ | Dble | Pass |
| 2 | Pass | 3\% | Pass |
| 4\% | Pass | 4 ${ }^{*}$ | Pass |
| 4NT* | Pass | 5 ${ }^{*}$ | Pass |
| 6\% | All Pass |  |  |

When Wrang found the key bid of Four Clubs he opened the way to the small slam, though East surely contributed to reaching a rather poor spot by cuebidding Four Diamonds.
North led a spade to the ace. Declarer cashed the heart ace and king, pitching a diamond in dummy, and then ruffed

## Sitout exercise 10

How many spades can East-West make?
Few contracts are so bad that they can't be made with a helpful enough defence. On this deal NorthSouth can make Six Spades (among other slams). But how many spades can East-West make if the defenders do their worst?

Let them lead the $\checkmark \mathrm{K}$. South unblocks the queen, and we go from there...

|  | - A 109 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc \mathrm{K}$ |  |
|  | $\diamond$ AKJ 85 |  |
|  | - KJIO9 |  |
| - Q | N | - J642 |
| $\bigcirc 106432$ |  | -A875 |
| $\checkmark 9632$ |  | $\checkmark$ Q 4 |
| + 432 | S | - 765 |
|  | - K 8753 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ QJ 9 |  |
|  | $\diamond 107$ |  |
|  | - A Q 8 |  |

a heart before drawing two rounds of trumps. The diamond ace had to be onside, and when that was the case declarer had twelve tricks. That was Sweden +I370 and I3 huge IMPs to them.
That last swing board helped the Swedes advance to 5736 IMPs, I9-II VPs. However, the final result was changed to I8-II due to slow play by the Swedes.


## No Guess

> by Rob Cliffe

Heather Dhondy of England neatly avoided a guess on this hand against France from Round II:

Board I4. Dealer East. None Vul.
ฯ 96542
$\bigcirc$ AJ 3
$\diamond$ KJIO 9
\& 10
$\pm 3$

| $\vee K 85$ |
| :--- |
| $\diamond$ Q 8642 |
| Q 632 |


© AK 108
1062
73
) J 854

Playing in Four Spades from South after a transfer auction, Heather got a club lead from Sylvie Willard to the jack and ace. Since it was hardly possible to avoid a heart loser if the king was wrong, she played a heart to the jack, then a spade. East, Benedicte Cronier, won with the ace and chose to exit with a club to the seven, queen and ruff. East won the next spade and exited with a club to the nine, dummy discarding a diamond.

Heather now cashed the jack of spades and then the king of clubs throwing a heart. When these were $4-4$ as seemed likely from the carding, she played a heart to the ace, diamond to the ace, heart ruff, king of diamonds. The diamond loser and East's trump fell at trick 13. Note that East was known to have no more than three hearts (West still holding the king) so was bound to follow to the second diamond. If East had been able to ruff the second or third heart, she would have been forced to lead a diamond, so Heather's line was 100\% safe.

## Open Series Round 4 <br> by Jos Jacobs

Here are two boards from Monday afternoon's round 4.
Board: 7. Dealer South/All vul.

|  | - AK 92 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc$ A 9 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ K |  |
|  | 2 A Q 7642 |  |
| - J106543 | N | , Q |
| $\bigcirc 17$ |  | $\bigcirc 108653$ |
| $\checkmark 74$ |  | $\checkmark 98532$ |
| \& K 108 | S | - 53 |
|  | - 87 |  |
|  | ¢ K Q 42 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ A QJ 106 |  |
|  | - J 9 |  |

The popular contract was 6NT which makes easily, as you only need two club tricks and thus can afford to play and another.
The interesting contract, of course, is 7NT. Not that it should be bid, but what is the best line? It mainly depends on where the spades are. If they are in West, as is actually the case, you should cash the \$AK as a double Vienna Coup. After that, a simple squeeze played as a double squeeze will develop automatically. If East guards the spades, cashing AK destroys the major suit squeeze on East but is a necessary play to execute a $8 / 2$-squeeze on either defender later. Of course, East cannot be squeezed in the blacks.


Josef Piekarek, Germany

The entry position is not so straightforward, however, as the diamonds are 5-2. You cannot overtake the $\triangleleft \mathrm{K}$, though you would have to do so if you wanted to squeeze East in the majors; two club tricks have to be cashed first in that case. So, even on a spade lead, the major suit squeeze on East will not work.
Needless to say that, since the has to be right anyway, there is no hurry to finesse in clubs.
On board 9 , the German doctors brought off a tremendous coup against the Hackett twins:

Board: 9. Dealer North/EW vul.

- K2

Q QJ9865
$\diamond 976$

- 64
- QJ 109
- K 43
$\checkmark 8$
- A Q 987

-A8754
$\bigcirc 10$
$\diamond \mathrm{A} Q \mathrm{~J}$
- K 532
- 63
© A 72
$\diamond$ K 105432
- J 10

Open Room

| West <br> Waterlow | North <br> Piekarek | East <br> P. Hackett | South <br> Smirnov |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $4 \Omega$ | $2 \diamond$ | $2 \boldsymbol{2}$ | $3 \triangleleft$ |

England +680 , a fair result, as slam is slightly odds-against.
Bizzare things were happening, however, in the other room:
Closed Room

| West Elinescu | North <br> Hackett | East <br> Wladow | South <br> ackett Ju |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3 | Dbl | $4 \diamond$ |
| 49 | $5 \checkmark$ | 5 | Pass |
| 6\% | Pass | $6 \diamond$ | Pass |
| 68 | Pass | 74 | All Pass |

After this sequence, both doctors having strongly suggested heart voids, can you really blame Jason for leading a diamond?
When the trump finesse also worked, the Germans had scored an incredible +2210 and 17 IMPs on their way to beating England 53-15 or 23-7 V.P.
As a BBO commentator said:" It's good to have two doctors present at this table, as the twins may well need some medical treatment now ..."

## FORZA

Est-ce parce que Wimbledon vient de débuter ? Toujours est-il que les protégées de Dano de Falco qui ont choisi de faire la course en tête ont réalisé, hier matin contre les Turques, un score très tennis, à savoir 40-40, autrement dit 40 A , ce qui, en points de victoire, se traduit d'ailleurs par 15 A .

## QUESTION D'ENTAME

Dans le même ordre d'idées, des experts attachent fréquemment la même importance au service en tennis et à l'entame au bridge; Mais quand donc aura t -on droit à deux entames? Je sais des joueurs que cela arrangerait.

## RARE REPARTITION

Rencontre d'hier matin en Open entre l'Allemagne et le Portugal. Un bug informatique fait que les 13 Piques sont en Sud, les 13 Coeurs en ouest et le reste à l'avenant. J. Dos Santos ouvre de 2 Trèfles la main de Sud et M.Elinescu ne se prive pas d'intervenir à 7 Cœurs sous les yeux médusés


Xavier et Nicole Schurer
du scoreur qui avait sous les yeux le diagramme réel, au demeurant assez banal .Les quatre joueurs réalisèrent rapidement la situation et c'est au milieu d'éclats de rire que M. Elinescu déclara: ««Je commençais à me demander où étaient les Piques».

## UN HOMME ORCHESTRE

C'est un orchestre de famille que Xavier Schurer pourrait diriger en ce Championnat. Responsable des retransmissions BBO et des scoreurs, il compte dans son équipe: sa maman, Nicole et plus accidentellement son épouse Angélique ou son père, Philippe, tandis que le petit frère Stéphane est également chauffeur et diffuseur du présent bulletin.
Morgane, sa fille de 9 ans, attendra un peu, même si elle excelle déjà à disposer sur les tables les feuilles de prévision à Deauville, Biarritz ou Lacanau.
Xavier a parfois dû, au prix d'un racolage éhonté (c'est lui qui le dit) faire appel à divers kibbitzs pour compléter son équipe jusqu'au chiffre de 35 scoreurs en simultané, parfois. Parmi eux, citons Patrick Bocken qui a défendu les couleurs belges la semaine dernière et qui admet avec humour que la deuxième semaine au cours de laquelle il voit les quatre jeux, est,à tout prendre, plus facile pour lui que la première.

## UN POINT COMMUN

II existe un point commun entre nos champions et les jeunes filles: ils ne commettent jamais deux fois la même erreur.

## L'INTERDIT

L'excellent François Stretz, membre de l'équipe de France Seniors, s'est interdit de casino en France. Nous l'avons vu, alors qu'il était au Touquet, pousser une pointe jusqu'à celui d'Ostende. Gageons que, concentré sur les résultats de l'équipe, François n'effectuera pas le voyage de Pau à San Sebastian, lieu de perdition le plus proche.

## UN PEU GONFLE

Entendu dans la bouche de l'un de nos tout bons joueurs, alors qu'il s'apprêtait à rencontrer une équipe supposée plus faible: «Je suis désolé de vous le dire, mais je souhaite que les meilleurs gagnent. »


## Jouez I'atout National/Citer

National/Citer, partenaire de la Fédération Française de Bridge, dispose en France d'une flotte de 15000 véhicules et vous propose une large gamme de véhicules de tourisme (voitures économiques, haut de gamme, monospaces, minibus...) ainsi qu'un parc de véhicules utilitaires, de la fourgonnette ( $3 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ ) aux grands volumes ( $20 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ ). National/Citer vous réserve un tarif privilégié adapté à tous vos besoins et toutes vos envies. Informations et réservations: Www.nationalciter.fr- Tél. :0825 0161212

## WOMEN BUTLER - after 16 Rounds

| DRAPER Catherine | ROSEN Anne | 0.85 | 199 | England | TADEU Ana | LIMA Paula | 0.00 | 240 | Portugal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AUKEN Sabine | ARNIM Daniela von | 0.76 | 280 | Germany | FARHOLT Stense | RAHELT Maria Marit | -0.05 | 200 | Denmark |
| GROMOVA Victoria | PONOMAREVAT. | 0.69 | 220 | Russia | McGOWAN Elizabeth | McQUAKER Fiona | -0.06 | 240 | Scotland |
| MEZEI Katalin | CSIPKA Szilvia | 0.63 | 200 | Hungary | DHONDY Heather | SENIOR Nevena | -0.06 | 219 | England |
| ARRIGONI Gianna | OLIVIERI Gabriella | 0.60 | 199 | Italy | KHONICHEVA Elena | NIKITINA Alexandra | -0.08 | 180 | Russia |
| ALBERTI Anja | SCHRAVERUS-MEUER | 0.57 | 140 | Germany | SIGURJONSDOTTIR | NIELSEN Ragnheidur | -0.08 | 220 | Icelan |
| HODEROVA Pavla | JANKOVA Jana | 0.56 | 200 | Czech Rep. | CHUBAROVA S. | VOROBEICHIKOVA O. | -0.12 | 200 | Russi |
| ALMIRALL Nuria | ALMIRALL Marta | 0.52 | 240 | Spain | CLENCH Gilly | WOODRUFF Laura | -0.12 | 240 | Wales |
| PASMAN Jet | SIMONS Anneke | 0.50 | 238 | Netherlands | LAMBRINOU Sophie | TSIRIKOU Eleni | -0.13 | 240 | Greec |
| NEHMERT Pony Beate | GROMANN Ingrid | 0.46 | 180 | Germany | FERREIRA Isabel | ROSADO Alexandra | -0.13 | 199 | Portugal |
| THORESEN Siv | VIST Gunn Tove | 0.45 | 260 | Norway | MARTIN Anne | ADAMSON Sheila | -0.15 | 180 | Scotland |
| LEVIT-PORAT Ruth | PORAT Liri | 0.44 | 220 | Israel | TESHOME Sarah | JAGGER Catherine | -0.15 | 220 | England |
| PAOLUZI Simonetta | SACCAVINI Ilaria | 0.42 | 220 | Italy | LEVY Hila | ASULIN Adi | -0.16 | 197 | srae |
| HARDING Marianne | FUGLESTAD Ann Karin | 0.40 | 200 | Norway | ADUT Vera | GUMRUKCUOGLU L. | -0.18 | 220 | Turkey |
| IVARSDOTTIR Anna | OSKARSDOTTIR G. | 0.38 | 240 | Iceland | THOMASBERGER H. | STIGLEITNER Helga | -0.24 | 220 | Austria |
| PILIPOVIC Marina | SVER Nikica | 0.35 | 280 | Croatia | NURMI Pia | AHONEN Hulda | -0.25 | 320 | Finland |
| SJOBERG Emma | RIMSTEDT Sandra | 0.34 | 180 | Sweden | HAMORI Zsuzsa | ZALAI Agnes | -0.30 | 220 | Hungary |
| ANDERSSON Pia | RIMSTEDT Cecilia | 0.32 | 240 | Sweden | VELAITOU Polina | MITSI Georgia | -0.31 | 240 | Greece |
| BACKSTROM Sue | TUOMI Raija | 0.31 | 320 | Finland | BABOT Mari Carmen | PANADERO Maria | -0.31 | 180 | Spain |
| KLEMMENSEN C. | KIRSTAN Marlene | 0.31 | 180 | Denmark | KATER Ewa | KOZYRA Ewa | -0.31 | 160 | Poland |
| D'OVIDIO Catherine | ALLOUCHE - GAVIARD | 0.28 | 220 | France | OLIVEIRA Anabella | KAY Teresa | -0.36 | 200 | Portugal |
| BORDALLO CORTIN | AMATUT M. | 0.27 | 180 | Spain | BROGELAND T. | SVENDSEN Tone | -0.39 | 140 | Norway |
| PASTERNAK M. | HARASIMOWICZ Ewa | 0.27 | 180 | Poland | MULLER Renata | PETROVIC Izvorka | -0.41 | 140 | Croatia |
| CRONIER Benedicte | WILLARD Sylvie | 0.27 | 200 | France | LINDENLAUF Diana | MULIAR Andrea | -0.43 | 220 | Austria |
| COMMINS Elizabeth | SHEA Sheila Ann | 0.27 | 200 | Wales | JAKOBSDOTTIR E. | KRISTJONSDOTTIR V. | -0.44 | 140 | celan |
| VRIEND Bep | ARNOLDS Carla | 0.26 | 180 | Netherlands | MIDSKOG Catharina | BERTHEAU Kathrine | -0.44 | 180 | Sweden |
| JOYCE Emer | KENNY Joan | 0.25 | 200 | Ireland | CARROLL Helen | KULCHYCKY Jill | -0.45 | 199 | Ireland |
| NEVE Joanna | BESSIS Veronique | 0.21 | 180 | France | ALEXANDER Michele | BENSON Joyce | -0.49 | 180 | Scotland |
| BRKLJACIC Tihana | MARTINOVIC Slavica | 0.21 | 180 | Croatia | LANCOVA Milena | MEDLINOVA Blanka | -0.53 | 200 | Czech Rep. |
| WORTEL Meike | MICHIELSEN Marion | 0.19 | 219 | Netherlands | RAGI Daad | KOTEIT Eva | -0.55 | 100 | Lebanon |
| ATALAY Belis | ZAIM Mey | 0.17 | 200 | Turkey | TOKCAN Merih | MERZE Sukriye | -0.58 | 180 | Turkey |
| BEKKOUCHE Nadia | BINDERKRANTZ Trine | 0.15 | 220 | Denmark | WUFKA Michaela | SCHOBER Maria | -0.76 | 199 | Austria |
| SARNIAK Anna | BREWIAK Grazyna | 0.15 | 260 | Poland | ABOUSLEIMAN Leila | CHAMMAA Iman | -0.77 | 160 | Lebanon |
| MANARA Gabriella | FERLAZZO Caterina | 0.12 | 220 | Italy | VENETAKI Athina | GEORGIOU Anna | -0.93 | 160 | Greec |
| NYARADI Gabriella | NYARADI Ibolya | 0.09 | 220 | Hungary | NAMOUR Marella | RAMADAN Youmna | -0.96 | 216 | eban |
| LAZAR Naomi | ARAMI Ruth | 0.05 | 180 | Israel | CLEARY Ena | FITZGERALD Jeannie | -1.03 | 200 | Ireland |
| ERDEOVA Jana | TOMCIKOVA Zdena | 0.04 | 200 | Czech Rep. | PATRICK Daphne | GARDNER Betty | -1. 69 | 160 | Wale |


[^0]:    All Pass

[^1]:    3\% showed $5+$ spades. When Bareket did not speak a sec-

