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## UNDERWAY!



The ${ }^{\text {st }}$ Mindsports Games are underway after yesterday's first three rounds of the qualifying stage of each championship. In the Under 28 event, the powerful Polish team leads with 73 VPs out of a possible 75, 6 VPs clear of China, Egypt and Serbia. Poland is also tied for the lead in the Under 26 series, on 64 VPs with Italy, just ahead of Norway. Meanwhile, Bulgaria leads the way in the Under 21 s on 73 VPs, followed by France and Singapore on 69. Watch out for Singapore - their Under 26 team won the zone's first ever Youth medal two years ago in Bangkok and it looks as though they may have another strong group of players coming through.

## Today's Schedule

10.30 U-28 Teams, Swiss 4
10.30 U-26 Teams, Round 4 10.30 U-21 Teams, Round 4 14.20 U-28 Teams, Swiss 5 14.20 U-26 Teams, Round 5 14.20 U-2I Teams, Round 5 17.30 U-28 Teams, Swiss 6
17.30 U-26 Teams, Round 6

17.30 U-2I Teams, Round 6

sic

## U-26 TEAMS



| ROUND |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mat | tch | IMP's | VP's |
| 1 | POLAND | GERMANY | 69-12 | 25-3 |
| 2 | CHILE | USA | 48-44 | 16-14 |
| 3 | NETHERLANDS | S NORWAY | 11-37 | 9-21 |
| 4 | INDONESIA | CHINESE TAIPEI | 38-66 | 8-22 |
| 5 | CHINA | CANADA | 36-26 | 17-13 |
| 6 | ARGENTINA | NEW ZEALAND | 66-9 | 25-3 |
| 7 | EGYPT | ITALY | 34-42 | 13-17 |
| 8 | AUSTRALIA | INDIA | 54-21 | 23-7 |
| 9 | DENMARK | CHINA HONG KONG | 73-14 | 25-2 |


| ROUND 2 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Match |  | IMP's | VP's |
| 1 | POLAND | AUSTRALIA | 14-17 | 14-16 |
| 2 | GERMANY CHINA | NA HONG KONG | 45-57 | 12-18 |
| 3 | ITALY | ARGENTINA | 49-17 | 23-7 |
| 4 | NEW ZEALAND | CHINA | 29-40 | 14-19 |
| 5 | CANADA | INDONESIA | 21-51 | 8-22 |
| 6 | CHINESE TAIPEI N | NETHERLANDS | 21-86 | 1-25 |
| 7 | NORWAY | CHILE | 53-18 | 23-7 |
|  | USA | DENMARK | 16-24 | 13-17 |
|  | INDIA | EGYPT | 50-42 | $17-13$ |



| ROUND 4 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| I | AUSTRALIA | EGYPT |
| 2 | POLAND | ARGENTINA |
| 3 | INDIA | CHINA |
| 4 | GERMANY | USA |
| 5 | NEW ZEALAND | NETHERLANDS |
| 6 | CANADA | CHILE |
| 7 | CHINESE TAIPEI | DENMARK |
| 8 | NORWAY | CHINA HONG KONG |
| 9 | ITALY | INDONESIA |

## ROUND 5

I USA
2 CHINA HONG KONG
3 DENMARK
4 CHILE
5 EGYPT
6 INDONESIA
7 CHINA
8 ARGENTINA
9 NETHERLANDS

NORWAY
CHINESE TAIPEI
CANADA
NEW ZEALAND
GERMANY
INDIA
POLAND
AUSTRALIA
ITALY

ROUND 6

| I | EGYPT | ARGENTINA |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | AUSTRALIA | CHINA |
| 3 | POLAND | INDONESIA |
| 4 | INDIA | NETHERLANDS |
| 5 | ITALY | CHILE |
| 6 | GERMANY | NORWAY |
| 7 | CANADA | CHINA HONG KONG |
| 8 | CHINESE TAIPEI | USA |
| 9 | NEW ZEALAND | DENMARK |

## U-21 TEAMS



| ROUND |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Matc |  | IMP's | VP's |
| I URUGUAY | ECUADOR | 48-45 | 16-14 |
| 2 SINGAPORE | USA | 66-37 | 22-8 |
| 3 AUSTRALIA | BOTSWANA | 63-16 | 25-4 |
| 4 ARGENTINA | NORWAY | 55-36 | 19-11 |
| 5 POLAND | BULGARIA | 20-52 | 7-23 |
| 6 NETHERLANDS | PAKISTAN | 66-28 | 24-6 |
| 7 CANADA | INDONESIA | 56-34 | 20-10 |
| 8 CHINESE TAIPEI | CHINA | 22-45 | 10-20 |
| 9 FRANCE | ENGLAND | 59-42 | 19-11 |


| ROUND 2 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Match |  | IMP's | VP's |
| I URUGUAY | CHINESE TAIPEI | 2-II4-0.5-24.5 |  |
| 2 ECUADOR | ENGLAND | 11-50 | 4-24 |
| 3 INDONESIA | NETHERLANDS | 33-61 | 8-22 |
| 4 PAKISTAN | POLAND | 17-72 | 3-25 |
| 5 BULGARIA | ARGENTINA | 72-11 | 25-2 |
| 6 NORWAY | AUSTRALIA | 41-46 | 14-16 |
| 7 BOTSWANA | SINGAPORE | 17-82 | 1-25 |
| 8 USA | FRANCE | 13-72 | 2-25 |
| 9 CHINA | CANADA | 70-31 | 24-6 |


| ROUND |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Match |  | IMP's | VP's |
| I ENGLAND | USA | 23-36 | 12-18 |
| 2 FRANCE | BOTSWANA | \|II-II | 25-0 |
| 3 CHINESE TAIPEI | ECUADOR | 69-13 | 25-3 |
| 4 AUSTRALIA | BULGARIA | 21-73 | 4-25 |
| 5 ARGENTINA | PAKISTAN | 36-47 | 13-17 |
| 6 POLAND | INDONESIA | 54-24 | 22-8 |
| 7 NETHERLANDS | CHINA | 35-53 | 11-19 |
| 8 CANADA | URUGUAY | $77-11$ | 25-1 |
| 9 SINGAPORE | NORWAY | 38-8 | 22-8 |



| ROUND 4 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| I | CHINESE TAIPEI | CANADA |
| 2 | URUGUAY | NETHERLANDS |
| 3 | CHINA | POLAND |
| 4 | ECUADOR | USA |
| 5 | PAKISTAN | AUSTRALIA |
| 6 | BULGARIA | SINGAPORE |
| 7 | NORWAY | FRANCE |
| 8 | BOTSWANA | ENGLAND |
| 9 | INDONESIA | ARGENTINA |


| ROUND 5 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| I | USA | BOTSWANA |
| 2 | ENGLAND | NORWAY |
| 3 | FRANCE | BULGARIA |
| 4 | SINGAPORE | PAKISTAN |
| 5 | CANADA | ECUADOR |
| 6 | ARGENTINA | CHINA |
| 7 | POLAND | URUGUAY |
| 8 | NETHERLANDS | CHINESE TAIPEI |
| 9 | AUSTRALIA | INDONESIA |


| ROUND 6 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| I | CANADA | NETHERLANDS |
| 2 | CHINESE TAIPEI | POLAND |
| 3 | URUGUAY | ARGENTINA |
| 4 | CHINA | AUSTRALIA |
| 5 | INDONESIA | SINGAPORE |
| 6 | ECUADOR | BOTSWANA |
| 7 | BULGARIA | ENGLAND |
| 8 | NORWAY | USA |
| 9 | PAKISTAN | FRANCE |

## U-26 TEAMS

## RANKING AFTER ROUND 3

| 1 ITALY | 64 |
| :--- | ---: |
| POLAND | 64 |
| 3 NORWAY | 62 |
| 4 AUSTRALIA | 54 |
| DENMARK | 54 |
| 6 NETHERLANDS | 51 |
| 7 ARGENTINA | 49 |
| 8 INDONESIA | 46 |
| 9 USA | 45 |
| 10 CHINA | 42 |
| 11 CHINESE TAIPEI | 40 |
| 12 INDIA | 37 |
| 13 CHILE | 34 |
| 14 CANADA | 32 |
| 15 CHINA HONG KONG | 31 |
| 16 EGYPT | 31 |
| NEW ZEALAND | 30 |
| 18 GERMANY |  |



## U-21 TEAMS

## RANKING AFTER ROUND 3

| 1 BULGARIA | 73 |
| :--- | ---: |
| 2 FRANCE | 69 |
| SINGAPORE | 69 |
| 4 CHINA | 63 |
| 5 CHINESE TAIPEI | 59.5 |
| 6 NETHERLANDS | 57 |
| 7 POLAND | 54 |
| 8 CANADA | 51 |
| 9 ENGLAND | 47 |
| 10 AUSTRALIA | 45 |
| 11 ARGENTINA | 34 |
| 12 NORWAY | 33 |
| 13 USA | 28 |
| 14 INDONESIA | 26 |
| 17 PAKISTAN | 26 |
| 16 ECUADOR | 21 |
| 17 URUGUAY | 16.5 |

## Junior Teams Only



All players must have their passports checked to confirm their eligibility to play in these championships. NPCs should deliver all their team's passports to the bridge hospitality outside the Open playing room, not later than the end of play on Sunday,

## U-28 TEAMS



## U-28 TEAMS



|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mat |  | IMP's | VP's |
| 1 JAPAN | POLAND | 8-42 | 7-23 |
| 2 PORTUGAL | EGYPT | 38-52 | 12-18 |
| 3 SERBIA | USA | 35-22 | 18-12 |
| 4 BELARUS | ICELAND | 31-52 | 10-20 |
| 5 NORWAY | LATVIA | 31-37 | 14-16 |
| 6 CHINA | SINGAPORE | 68-9 | 25-2 |
| 7 INDIA | CROATIA | 43-19 | 21-9 |
| 8 CZECH REPUBLIC | FINLAND | 32-46 | 12-18 |
| 9 ISRAEL | LITHUANIA | 63-47 | 19-11 |
| 10 ENGLAND | ESTONIA | 67-31 | 23-7 |
| II DENMARK | BRAZIL | 25-30 | 14-16 |
| 12 AUSTRIA | RUSSIA | 52-39 | 18-12 |
| 13 BELGIUM | BOTSWANA | 58-22 | 23-7 |
| 14 TURKEY | FRANCE | 38-37 | 15-15 |
| 15 SPAIN | PAKISTAN | 28-29 | $15-15$ |
| 16 THAILAND | NETHERLANDS | 25-35 | 13-17 |
| 17 HUNGARY | UKRAINE | 72-51 | 20-10 |
| 18 ECUADOR | SCOTLAND | 30-60 | 8-22 |
| 19 KOREA CHIN | NA HONG KONG | 24-57 | 7-23 |
| 20 JORDAN | SLOVENIA | 53-27 | 21-9 |
| 21 AUSTRALIA | SWITZERLAND | 40-38 | 15-15 |
| 22 URUGUAY | ITALY | 35-79 | 4.5-24.5 |
| 23 LEBANON | COSTA RICA | 75-6 | 25-1 |
| 24 INDONESIA | ROMANIA | 40-42 | 15-15 |
| 25 ARUBA | KENYA | 77-27 | 25-4 |
| 26 PHILIPPINES | CHINESE TAIPEI | 45-42 | 16-14 |
| 27 WALES | PERU | 63-20 | 25-5 |
| 28 SOUTH AFRICA | GREECE | 36-46 | 13-17 |
| 29 SWEDEN | VENEZUELA | 103-1 | 25-0 |
| 30 IRELAND | CANADA | 7-61 | 3-25 |
| 31 SLOVAKIA | TUNISIA | 80-7 | 25-0 |
| 32 GERMANY | ARGENTINA | 24-30 | 14-16 |
| 33 NEW ZEALAND | SRI LANKA | 65-6 | 25-2 |
| 34 BANGLADESH | ZIMBABWE | 93-14 | 24.5-0.5 |
| 35 CAC | JAMAICA | 46-11 | 23-7 |
| 36 ALBANIA | MALAYSIA | 37-28 | 17-13 |
| 37 COLOMBIA | MONGOLIA | 68-20 | 25-4 |

## TD Corner

Line-Ups
Captains are reminded of the regulation regarding the time of line-ups. Each line-up is recorded automatically by the system and penalties for late line-ups are mandatory.

Line-ups during the Round Robin/Swiss stage must for both teams be submitted no later than I5 minutes after the end of the previous round.

By special dispensation, teams in the Under 28 event only, may put in their line-up for the first match in the morning either the previous evening or between 9-30 and 10-00 in the morning.

Penalties for failure to submit line-ups in the time limit are:

| Ist offence - | Warning |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2nd offence - | US\$ 80-00 |
| 3rd offence - | US\$ 100-00 |
| 4th+ offence- | US\$ 150-00 |

## See Supplemental Conditions of Contest.



New Zealand - Netherlands (U-26/Round 4)
10.30

The two teams at the top table (U-28/Round 5)
14.10

No Vugraph match
17.30

## U-28 TEAMS

## RANKING AFTER ROUND 3

| 1 POLAND | 73 |  | SINGAPORE | 44 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 CHINA | 67 |  | THAILAND | 44 |
| EGYPT | 67 |  | SLOVAKIA | 43 |
| SERBIA | 67 |  | AUSTRALIA | 42 |
| 5 ICELAND | 65 |  | ESTONIA | 42 |
| 6 INDIA | 63 |  | SWITZERLAND | 41.5 |
| 7 PORTUGAL | 61.5 |  | BOTSWANA | 41 |
| 8 ENGLAND | 60 |  | NEW ZEALAND | 41 |
| LATVIA | 60 |  | PHILIPPINES | 41 |
| 10 USA | 59 |  | INDONESIA | 40 |
| II BELGIUM | 58 |  | INDONESIA | 40 |
| NORWAY | 58 |  | ROMANIA | 40 |
| 13 ISRAEL | 57 |  | CHINESE TAIPEI | 39 |
| JAPAN | 57 |  | UKRAINE | 39 |
| 15 BELARUS | 56 |  | BANGLADESH | 38.5 |
| FINLAND | 56 |  | GREECE | 38 |
| 17 CZECH REPUBLIC | 52 |  | ECUADOR | 36.5 |
| 18 AUSTRIA | 51 |  | SLOVENIA | 36 |
| CHINA HONG KONG | 51 |  | KOREA | 35 |
| LEBANON | 51 |  | SOUTH AFRICA | 35 |
| 21 ITALY | 50.5 |  | GUADELOUPE-G |  |
| 22 ARUBA | 50 |  | ARGENTINA | 33 |
| BRAZIL | 50 |  | GERMANY | 31 |
| CROATIA | 50 |  | URUGUAY | 30.5 |
| SCOTLAND | 50 |  | COLOMBIA | 29 |
| 26 DENMARK | 49 |  | KENYA | 29 |
| HUNGARY | 49 |  | 64 PERU | 28 |
| LITHUANIA | 49 |  | COSTA RICA | 27 |
| WALES | 49 |  | 6 ALBANIA | 25 |
| 30 JORDAN | 48.5 |  | 6 ALBANIA | 25 |
| 31 FRANCE | 48 |  | IRELAND | 22 |
| TURKEY | 48 |  | MALAYSIA | 20 |
| 33 SPAIN | 47 |  | VENEZUELA | 20 |
| 34 NETHERLANDS | 46 |  | TUNISIA | 18 |
| PAKISTAN | 46 |  | SRI LANKA | 17 |
| RUSSIA | 46 |  | JAMAICA | 16 |
| 37 SWEDEN | 45 |  | ZIMBABWE | 11.5 |
| 38 CANADA | 44 |  | MONGOLIA | 4 |

## A Couple of Rules <br> by Jan van Cleeff

The Netherlands versus Norway in the first round of the U-26 teams looked promising. The first team is the reigning European Junior Teams Champion and in the same championships the latter team finished 4th twice in a row. Moreover, the Norwegians fielded a big star: Espen Lindqvist, gold medallist of the EC Open in Pau.

Talking about big stars, the Dutchies of course have their Marion Michielsen. Last month in Louisville, Kentucky, she was a member of the successful European team which beat America in the Warren Buffett Bridge Cup.
Board 4 put Bob Drijver in the spotlights:
Board 4. Dealer West, All Vul.

- J 97643
©J3
勺J9862
- 

| - K 5 | N | - Q 1082 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ¢K975 |  | PA 86 |
| $\checkmark$ A 10 | W E | $\diamond 543$ |
| \&KQ 743 | S | 2AJ8 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A } \\ & \odot \text { Q } 1042 \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ KQ 7 |  |
|  | -109652 |  |



Petter Eide - Norway

Open Room

| West <br> Eide | North | East | Drijver |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | Vivgard | South |
| :---: |
| Groenenboom |

Standard rules apply here:
Rule I: lead your longest and strongest.
Rule II: never lead a minor suit against a non-Stayman auction.

So did Drijver lead a spade? The answer is no, since more rules exist:

Rule III: when you don't bid them, don't lead them (Benito Garozzo's rule). By the way, 2 is likely to go one down only.
Rule IV: lead the shorter from two 5+ cards (Bob Drijver's rule).

Declarer immediately won the diamond lead, played a heart to the ace and tried for a steal in spades. End of story. In the Closed Room the diamond lead was found as well, but after a Stayman auction.

Board. 6, Dealer East, E/W Vul.
¢ K 84
$\checkmark 2$
$\diamond$ J 74
\& AKJ 1073


Open Room

| West <br> Eide | North <br> Drijver | East <br> Vivgard | South <br> Groenenboom |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pass | $3 \mathbf{3}$ | Pass | 2 All Pass |

Over Merijn Groenenboom's Multi, West figured his hand just not good enough for a vulnerable overcall on the two level and therefore passed. However, Bob Drijver, who anticipated a weak two in hearts, judged his hand fit for a constructive and natural $3 \%$. In spite of his max and his promising 2 , South did not accept the invitation and passed. Had he bid 3s instead North surely would have reached game, duly bid in the other
room.
Board. 9, Dealer North, E/WVul.

$$
\Phi 7
$$

$\bigcirc$ AJ 4
$\diamond$ Q 102
\& A Q 10985


In the Closed Room Michielsen-Wortel scored 650 in $4 \Omega$. More action in the Open Room.

| West <br> Eide | North <br> Drijver | East <br> Vivgard | South <br> Groenenboom |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $1 \$$ | $\mathbf{I} \diamond$ | $3 \boldsymbol{\$}$ |
| Pass | Pass | $?$ |  |

It all depended on East. Would he pass and lose points or ... Allan Vivgard bravely re-opened the bidding with a double, passed all round. The defense was merciless: three rounds of diamonds, declarer pitching a heart. Hereafter declarer still lost four trump tricks and the e2K.

The Norwegians had the better of the game and booked a clear victory: 21 to 9.


Bob Drijver - Netherlands

## Ariba Aruba <br> by Jan van Cleeff

This hand proved to be decisive when Aruba met Peru in Round 2 of the U-28.

Board 25. Dealer North. E/WVul.
\& K 74
$\bigcirc 8$
$\diamond A K 9764$
$\% A Q 2$


Closed Room the Peruvian North,South pair stopped in a diamond partscore making ten tricks. A pity for them, since the obvious 3NT is an easy 600 or 630 . Obvious? Let's have a look in the Open Room:


| North <br> Van der Horst | South <br> Ponson |
| :---: | :---: |
| $1 \diamond$ | $1 \curvearrowright$ |
| $3 \diamond$ | $4 \diamond$ |
| $4 \diamond$ | $5 \diamond$ |

Over the forcing $4 \diamond$ (the Arubians play Caribbean Acol), North did not dare to make a cuebid in his partner's suit. South, under the impression that a heart control was lacking, signed off in the diamond game. Right he was, but for the wrong reason: a spade lead would kill $6 \diamond$. But even $5 \diamond$ needed some handling,.

East led a spade, won in hand by declarer, who advanced his stiff heart, West took the jack and continued in spades for dummy's ace. Next came \&A, club ruff, heart ruff and another club ruff. At this point Van der Horst cashed the trump queen and noticed the $4-0$ split. He ruffed another heart in hand and was home, since he made six trumps in his hand, two in dummy and three black quick tricks. Careful play by Johannes van der Horst on a hand which contributed substantially to the 16-14 victory of his country.

## U-21 TEAMS

There has always been a fierce rivalry between France and England, both in bridge and in general life, so where better to begin our coverage of these championships than with the first round Under 21s match between those two countries?
I could not honestly say that this was the best bridge I have ever seen, but it had its moments and there was certainly plenty of action.

Board I. Dealer North. None Vul.

- 6532

PJ 10
$\diamond$ AQJ 63

* KQ
- 1094
$\bigcirc 94$
$\diamond 1072$
\& AJ 1043

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T. Paske | Franceschetti | Jones | Kilani |
| - | $1 \diamond$ | Pass | 2\% |
| Pass | $2 \diamond$ | Pass | $3 \checkmark$ |
| Pass | 4\% | Pass | 4NT |
| Pass | $5 \diamond$ | All Pass |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Lhuissier | B. Paske | Lebatteaux | Myers |
| - | I $\downarrow$ | Pass | 18 |
| Pass | 14 | Pass | 2\% |
| Dble | Pass | Pass | Rdbl |
| Pass | 2NT | Pass | $3 \diamond$ |
| Pass | 3 | Pass | $4 \diamond$ |
| Pass | $5 \diamond$ | Pass | $6 \diamond$ |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

Not the easiest board to start the championships for the two North/South pairs.
Pierre Franceschetti opened $\mathbf{I} \diamond$ then rebid the suit over Alexandre Kilani's $2 \%$ response. The initial response was not game-forcing but the $3 \triangleleft$ raise was forcing and Franceschetti, with little major-suit strength, followed up by showing his partial club fit. That excited Kilani sufficiently for him to check on key cards but he then judged to play in game when Franceschetti could only show one. There was no problem in the play as the cards lay so that was a
safe +400 to France.
Rob Myers did not like to bid those weak clubs on such a good hand where slam might be in the picture so invented a heart suit. He followed up with game-forcing fourth suit and, when that got doubled, redoubled as a suggestion to play there. Had Ben Paske passed that, it would have offered a painless, if slightly unusual, path to the game bonus and a modest gain to England. But Paske didn't fancy playing in his doubleton, redoubled, and pulled to 2NT. He subsequently showed a heart feature and Myers eventually guessed to raise to the diamond slam, imagining, perhaps, a bare ace of clubs to explain the removal to $2 N T$. There was no hope for $6 \diamond$ as the cards lay and that meant -50 and 10 IMPs to France.

Board 2. Dealer East. N/S Vul.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { - } 18 \\
& \bigcirc 74 \\
& \diamond \text { Q } 765 \\
& \text { *KJ972 } \\
& \text { - Q } 654 \\
& \text { ©AKQJ632 } \\
& \diamond A \\
& \text { - A } 93 \\
& \text { ค } 85 \\
& \diamond \text { KJ } 102 \\
& \text { - } 8653
\end{aligned}
$$



| West <br> T. Paske | North Franceschetti | East <br> Jones | South <br> Kilani |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | - | 18 | Pass |
| 19 | Pass | 4\% | Pass |
| $4 \bigcirc$ | Pass | 4NT | Pass |
| 5 | Pass | 64 | All Pass |
| West | North | East | South |
| Lhuissier | B. Paske | Lebatteaux | Myers |
| - | - | 2\% | Pass |
| $2 \diamond$ | Pass | 2 | Pass |
| 24 | Pass | 3 | Pass |
| 4\% | Pass | $4 \bigcirc$ | All Pass |

France was off to a hot start when England bid a second poor slam, again going one down, though this one could have been made.
When Tom Paske could respond 14, Ed Jones splintered and, when Paske made an encouraging noise, went on with key-card. The lead to 64 was a diamond. Paske won the ace and led a club to his ace then a spade to the queen and ace.

Back came a heart, which he won in dummy to play a spade to the three, ten and jack; down one for -50 .
Of course, the obvious play in spades involves the finesse of the ten, but it is not quite as simple as that. There is restricted choice to consider. When declarer leads the second spade and the three appears, he can succeed if he guesses correctly - did North begin with a bare eight, when finessing the seven then crossing to dummy to finesse the ten will be the winner, nine-eight doubleton, when putting in the ten is correct, or jack-eight doubleton, when playing the king is the winner?
If one argues that North would be equally likely to play the nine or the eight from nine-eight doubleton, but had to play the eight from jack-eight doubleton, one might convince oneself that the ten is not the odds play after all.
The French East/West pair stopped in game after a semiforcing $2 \%$ opening, with West making two relays then cuebidding $4 \%$ but respecting East's sign-off. When the ace went in on a low spade towards the dummy, that was +480 and II IMPs to France.

Board 3. Dealer South. E/WVul.
\& K 94
○9652
$\diamond$ AK 3

* 862

| ¢ A 652 | N | ¢ Q 87 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc$ AJ 10874 |  | $\bigcirc \mathrm{K}$ |
| $\checkmark 102$ | W E | $\diamond 98764$ |
| \& 10 | S | \& AQ94 |
|  | - J 103 |  |


| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T. Paske | Franceschetti | Jones | Kilani |
| - | - | - | Pass |
| 18 | Pass | INT | Pass |
| 2 | Pass | 2NT | All Pass |

This deal was passed out at the other table. Here, Paske opened and Jones made a semi-forcing INT response then showed an invitational hand at his next turn. Perhaps, having opened on distribution, there was a case for Paske ploughing on with $3 \triangleleft$ to improve the partscore, but that did not have to be the winning action and he chose to pass. A low club lead saw dummy's ten score and Jones saw that he was unlikely to come to eight tricks without the heart suit. We can all see that the hearts are coming in by leading low to the king then crossing the the $\Phi A$ to cash the $\checkmark A$, but that requires the doubleton queen, Far more likely is a three-three split or doubleton nine, when crashing the king under the ace then playing the jack to force out the queen is successful. That is how Jones played and on the actual lie he could do no better than minus two after this
start; -200 and 26-0 to France after three boards.


England got back half the deficit when Ben Paske found a more effective opening lead than Fraceschetti on this deal. Both players decided that there was no future in spades. Paske led a diamond and found the perfect layout for down one, while Franceschetti tried the jack of hearts and Paske wrapped up II tricks for +660 and I3 IMPs to England.

Board 7. Dealer South. All Vul.

|  | ¢ Q 32 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc$ AK 3 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ K 963 |  |
|  | \& 642 |  |
| - AKJ 107 | N | ¢ 65 |
| $\bigcirc 8752$ |  | $\bigcirc$ Q 104 |
| $\checkmark$ A 10 |  | $\checkmark 852$ |
| \% K 9 | S | \& A Q J 108 |
|  | ¢ 984 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 196$ |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ Q J 74 |  |
|  | \& 753 |  |


| West <br> T. Paske | North Franceschetti | East <br> Jones | South <br> Kilani |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | - | - | Pass |
| 19 | Pass | INT | Pass |
| 2 | Pass | 24 | All Pass |
| West | North | East | South |
| Lhuissier | B. Paske | Lebatteaux | Myers |
| - | - | - | Pass |
| 19 | Pass | INT | Pass |
| $2 \bigcirc$ | Pass | 3\% | Pass |
| 3NT | All Pass |  |  |

Jones contented himself with simple preference at his second turn and Paske played 2 on a club lead. He won dummy's queen and led a spade to the jack and queen. Back came a diamond to the jack and ace. Paske drew trumps and cashed the clubs for +170 .
Aymeric Lebatteaux preferred to show his clubs and Nicolas Lhuissier bid the obvious 3NT. After a diamond lead declarer cashed the clubs but, with the spade offside, the best he could do was to get out for one down; - 100 and 7 IMPs to England.

Board 8. Dealer West. None Vul.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \& Q 2 \\
& \vee- \\
& \diamond 1086543 \\
& \& Q 10976
\end{aligned}
$$

```
4. A983
85443
\diamond J
& KJ5
```

$W^{N} E$
4
© AQJIO962
$\diamond A K$

- $A 82$

| West | North <br> T. Paske | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Franceschetti | Jones | Kilani |  |
| Pass | Pass | 14 | Dble |
| 34 | 4NT | Pass | $6 \&$ |



Benjamin Paske - England

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lhuissier | B. Paske | Lebatteaux | Myers |
| Pass | Pass | 2 - | Dble |
| 3 | Pass | $3{ }^{1}$ | $4{ }^{\circ}$ |
| 49 | Pass | Pass | Dble |

All Pass
Jones chose to open at the one level and Paske made a pre-emptive raise to 34 over the take-out double. Franceschetti jumped to 4NT to show the minors but found his partner with a powerful hand and Kilani guessed to try the club slam. After two rounds of spades this contract rather fell apart and was down three for -I50.
Lebatteaux opened $2 \diamond$, multi, and Myers doubled, I3-15 balanced or any strong hand. Three Hearts was pass or correct and Myers showed a big hand with hearts when he bid 4 § . Lhuissier judged to save in 49 and Myers doubled, ending the auction. After cashing the king of diamonds, Myers tried a low club and declarer's misguess left him down three, losing two tricks in each side-suit;-500 and 12 IMPs to England. The match was tied at 32-32 IMPs at the halfway point.

Board 9. Dealer North. E/WVul.


| West <br> T. Paske | North Franceschett | East <br> Jones | South <br> Kilani |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | 19 | I $\diamond$ | 29 |
| Dble | Pass | $4 \bigcirc$ | All Pass |
| West | North | East | South |
| Lhuissier | B. Paske | Lebatteaux | Myers |
| - | 19 | I $\downarrow$ | 18 |
| 14 | 2\% | 2 | 24 |
| $4 \bigcirc$ | Pass | Pass | $4{ }^{1}$ |

## Dble All Pass

Kilani could make a weak jump response of $2 \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ and left it at that. He led the queen of spades against 4 . Jones won and led a heart to his ten then back to the king and ace. With both red suits behaving nicely he had ten tricks for +620 .
Myers bid I 8 to show spades and Lhuissier's Is bid was take-out of spades. Paske showed his clubs (1\& could have been a doubleton) and Myers tried $2 \boldsymbol{1}$. When his opponents bid the heart game, Myers decided that his partner
should have a couple of spades as West had made a takeout bid and East had shown both red suits. He tried a fourth spade and Lhuissier was delighted to inform him that he had misjudged. The play was not a pleasure - unless you were French, of course. Myers emerged with five tricks for down five and -IIOO; 10 IMPs to France.

Board II. Dealer South. None Vul.

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \& K Q 2 \\ & \diamond K J 5 \\ & \diamond 10653 \\ & \& A 63 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ¢ A 753 | N | Q 16 |
| - A 10987 |  | 8 Q 62 |
| $\diamond$ Q | W E | $\checkmark$ AK 62 |
| \& K Q 8 | S | \& 10752 |
|  | - 10984 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 43$ |  |
|  | $\diamond$ J987 |  |
|  | * J 94 |  |

Both East/Wests bid quickly to $4 \bigcirc$. Lhuissier misguessed the trumps and ended up down one for -50 .

In the Open Room, Tom Paske received the lead of the king of spades. He falsecarded with the five but Francescetti didn't fall for that and switched to a diamond. Paske won the $\diamond$ Q, played ace of spades and ruffed a spade, then led a club to the king and ace. He won the diamond return in dummy, throwing his last spade, then discarded the 8 on the other diamond winner, crossed to the queen of clubs, and led a low heart towards the queen. Francescetti went in with the king and returned a diamond but Paske could ruff, unblock the heart and ruff a club back to hand; ten tricks for +420 and 10 IMPs to England.

France led by 47-42 with two deals to go and the match was decided on Board 15 .

Board I5. Dealer South. N/S Vul.
$\pm 10$
ค J 987
$\diamond A 109862$
\& K 4


| West <br> T. Paske | North Franceschetti | East <br> Jones | South Kilani |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | - | - | 19 |
| 19 | Dble | Pass | INT |
| 24 | 3 3 | Pass | 3NT |
| Pass | Pass | Dble | All Pass |
| West | North | East | South |
| Lhuissier | B. Paske | Lebatteaux | Myers |
| - | - | - | 19 |
| 34 | Dble | Pass | 3NT |
| Pass Pass $4 \diamond$ | Pass <br> All Pass | Dble | Pass |

When Lhuissier pre-empted with 3s. Ben Paske made a negative double and Myers tried 3NT. With a minimum opening, and no guarantee of game values, it might have been wiser to settle for the penalty and defend 3s doubled, though this is only one down. When Lebatteaux doubled, Paske guessed to run to $4 \diamond$, which ended the auction. The lead was a spade to the ace. Paske crossed to hand with a diamond to his ace then led a low club and the queen won. He quickly grabbed the opportunity to discard his e K on dummy's top spade then played on hearts. By ruffing the third heart high and the fourth low, he restricted his red losers to three for a nicely played +130 .
The stakes were rather higher in the Open Room, where Franceschetti/Kilani stuck I tout in 3NT doubled. Deducing that his partner must have hearts, Tom Paske led ace and another. Jones won the heart queen and played king and another, establishing the fifth heart. Kilani held the fate of the match in his hands. He won the fourth heart and led to the king of diamonds then led the $\diamond 7$ and time stood still as he decided whether to run it or put up dummy's ace. Playing the ace and finding that the queen did not drop would mean down at most three, while a losing finesse would mean down a certain two. Did that affect his thinking, or did he work out that East's double and subsequent defence suggested that he had a sure entry, presumably the ace of clubs, which left West needing to hold the $\diamond Q$ to justify his bidding - without it he might have pre-empted rather than bid is then 24?
Whatever his reason, Kilani made himself the hero of the French team by running the diamond and chalking up nine tricks for +750 , giving France 12 IMPs and a 59-42 IMP victory, I9-I I VPs.
Had Kilani misguessed the diamonds and gone two down, it would have been 12 IMPs instead to England and they would have won the match by 7 IMPs.


## SWEDEN

Suddenly, the historic time everyone had been waiting for was here. Time had actually come for the 'real start', when our athletes were going to start playing in the Ist World Mind Sport Games. It was with the greatest expectation Board I was put on the table.
I took a seat to follow the actions between Thalén/Fryklund (N/S) vs Fattah/Nabil (E/W). Board I looked flat in my eyes, when the Swedish pair ended up in 3NT with more or less 10 top tricks. They even got eleven after escaping a club lead.
Board 2 looked like another push when Nabil misguessed how to solve the trump suit in 49 for the second extra trick.

Board 3. Declarer South. E/W Vul.

|  | - K 94 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 89652 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ AK 3 |  |
|  | -862 |  |
| A 4652 | N | - Q 87 |
| PAJ 10874 |  | $\bigcirc \mathrm{K}$ |
| $\checkmark 102$ |  | $\checkmark 98764$ |
| - 10 | S | - A Q 94 |
|  | - J 103 |  |
|  | QQ 3 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ QJ 5 |  |
|  | ¢KJ753 |  |

Finally on Board 3 it looked like some IMPs could be split. The main question was if someone actually would find an opening bid?
Well, without any hesitation Fryklund as a true youth player opened as South with a mini notrump 10-I2. Nabil now overcalled $2 \triangleleft$ multi showing a weak major, Ace fourth in spades as a side suit was no problem either. Fattah bid $2 \oslash$ on auto which ended the story.
Diamond queen, diamond to the king and heart switch were the first tricks. That was very friendly of the Swedish. Declarer ruffed a diamond to set up the suit and then pulled trumps. Club to the ace, two diamonds with spade discards allowed declarer toscore 10 tricks.

On Board 4 Thalén was set to lead after having listening to INT - choosing not to overcall - 3NT with:

```
& J97643
\veeJ3
\diamond J9862
%-
```


## EGYPT



He did as many other would and picked longest and strongest, a small spade. Unfortunately, a diamond lead would have defeated the contract. So this might be a swing.

It looked like Board 5 was another 'no swing' when the auction ended in $2 \triangleleft$, making three.

Then Board 6 arrived. Thalén again got the problems. He held the following:

- K 84
$\checkmark 2$
$\diamond$ J 7
\& K J 1073

What to bid when partner opens in first seat with 2 showing 3-9 MCP.s and normally 6 spades that rarely may be on five cards? I'm sure they had methods to find out about both length and strength, however, Thalén choose the rather strange 3 4 ! Which was alerted and explained as pre-emptive. That bid ended the auction and the contract made 10 tricks when partner had ace-queen six times in spades, doubleton diamond and the club queen. For sure


Bjorn Thallen - Sweden
that should be some Egyptian IMPs.
On Board 7 Nabil hesitated a little bit before choosing not to invitate to game after the auction went $14-I N T-2 \vee-24$ all according to $2 / I$. That surely can't be so bad for the Swedes since the opponents where cold for 10 tricks even though the queen of trumps was offside.

Board 8 looked to be a good Egyptian board. They found the sacrifice against $4 \checkmark$ and where doubled in 4s for -300 . That should be a push, but in bridge you never know...

So at half-time, it looked like we had no winner, and if someone had the lead it couldn't be by much.

Board 9 started with Thalén opening with a precision 2\%. Fattah overcalled $2 \triangleleft$, and when partner asked for a club stopper he happily bid 3NT with king-second in clubs. The contract was cold for nine tricks but they managed to get even 10. The auction kept the Egyptians away from $4 \nabla$ which also always was made when they both had a fourcard suit there. But it couldn't be more then an IMPs or two.

Board I0 was interesting in several ways.

Board IO. Declarer East. All Vul.

$$
\text { ه } 75
$$

$\bigcirc$ A Q 5
$\diamond$ Q 32
\& K 1082
. 64
-K 108732
〉J 1098

- 4


『J 96
V 64
-9653

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Pass | Pass |
| INT* | Pass | $2 \boldsymbol{s}$ | Pass |
| Pass | Pass |  |  |

Fryklund had to lead from South and chose the $\diamond 4$. That cost the contract when he helped declarer to get rid of a diamond loser. He simply had no entries to dummy other wise. But shouldn't you double or overcall and try to fight for the contract with the North hand? Now declarer could enter dummy with a diamond and lead a club to the queen for eight tricks. Some Egyptian IMPs most probably.

The next board also provided some action at the table, Nabil was to be the hero after making a tough 4$\rangle$ contract.

Board II. Declarer South. None Vul.

| $\begin{aligned} & \wedge A 753 \\ & \vee A 10987 \\ & \diamond \text { Q } \\ & \& K Q 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \otimes K Q 2 \\ & \otimes K J 5 \\ & \diamond 10653 \\ & \& A 63 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \otimes J 6 \\ & \diamond Q 62 \\ & \diamond A K 42 \\ & \otimes 10752 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  | S |  |
|  | -10984 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 43$ |  |
|  | $\diamond$ J 987 |  |
|  | *) 94 |  |

For some unknown reason Thalén this time chose to double the $I \checkmark$ opening bid For sure it told declarer where the high cards were located. The K was led and held the trick when South followed with the 10 . Thalén continued with the queen which ran to declares ace, who now played the queen of clubs, Thalén was in again and now switched to a passive diamond, the two, jack and queen followed. Our Egyptian hero Nabil fell in a trance and produced the heart ten after a while which solved all his problems.


Karim Nabil - Egypt

On the next board Nabil/Fattah stopped in INT just made, a cold contact from the start but later was misplayed. However, the defense was kind and let it make in the end by discarding the wrong cards.

Board 13 gave E/W the possibilities to play in game, either in clubs or NT. Nabil/Fattah bid 3NT and made 12 tricks after a pseudo squeeze on the defense. Looked like another flat one...

The Swedes got a little high on Board 14 and went one down in 4 diamonds after Thalén investigated if they could play 3NT, finding out that it wasn't such a good idea after having nothing in spades.

Board 15 was another potentially good one for Nabil when he managed to make 3s which should have been defended.

The Egyptians finished as they started, in style, scoring 660 in 3NT on a board that should been flat.

My first thought now was that this should be a close game, I9-II at the utmost. Many of the boards could go either way. I went to catch the hand records before speaking to some of the players. However, when I managed to find the hand records there where no players. Though I met the Swedish coach in the escalators and asked him if they had compared. ' Yes he said but didnt look to happy.' 'So what was the result, I asked. ' "Two", was the reply." Two what?, I tried. 'We got two victory points'. he continued even more angry and obviously thought that was what I should have though when watching that 16 boards...
Leaving him, a smashing hit from the middle of the eighties came to my mind "Walk like an Egyptian". I think it should be "Play like an Egyptian"!


Erik Fryklund - Sweden

## De Donder on Dummy Play

## by Jan van Cleeff

Board 5. Dealer North. N/S Vul.

- 19876
$\checkmark 42$
$\diamond$ K 96
\& 1098


As North, Belgium's Steven de Donder had to deal with the $5-0$ trump break in 44. East kicked off with the $\diamond A$ and continued the suit for his partner's king. West shifted to a heart. Declarer won and cashed a high spade. At this point, De Donder read the position perfectly. He continued $9 K$, cashed king and ace of clubs and advanced the $\diamond \mathrm{Q}$ (heart pitch from hand). Next came the $\diamond$ J, ruffed by West and over-ruffed by North. Declarer ruffed the third club, leaving this position:


Declarer played a heart and West was forced to ruff but had to lead away from his $\$$ to reduce declarer's trump losers to just one; contract made.


