# 1st World Mind Sports Games Youth Team Championships 

Beijing-China<br>Chief Editor: Brian SENIOR<br>Editors: Micke MELANDER - Jan van CLEEFF<br>Layout Editor: Panagiotis PAPADOPOULOS Photographer: Wattanai CHANAKOT

$3^{\text {rd }}-18^{\text {th }}$ October 2008

# MOVING ON UP 



Sport for all in a society with no boundaries

After two days the round robin rankings are beginning to take shape with most of the usual suspects moving on up into the qualifying places. Poland increased its lead in the Under 28 series and has $130 \mathrm{VPs}, 9 \mathrm{VPs}$ ahead of our hosts, China.Then come England, Belgium and India.

PDoland also leads the Under 26 event with 121 VPs. They are followed by Netherlands II7, Australia II5 and Norway I14.The Poles have to settle for fourth place in the Under 21 Championship. That field is led by France, on 130 VPs. Second comes Chinese Taipei II5.5, followed by England II3 and Poland II2.

Europe dominates the Youth events at this early stage, with nine of the 12 top four places. Surprisingly, none of these places is taken by a team from North America.

## Today's Schedule

### 10.30 U-28 Teams, Swiss 7

10.30 U-26 Teams, Round 7
10.30 U-2I Teams, Round 7

I4.20 U-28 Teams, Swiss 8
I4.20 U-26 Teams, Round 8
I4.20 U-2I Teams, Round 8
I7.30 U-28 Teams, Swiss 9
I7.30 U-26 Teams, Round 9


I7.30 U-2I Teams, Round 9 :... $\qquad$
$\qquad$



IMSA

## U-26 TEAMS



| ROUND 4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Match |  |  |  | IMP's | VP's |
|  | AUSTRALIA |  | EGYPT | 20-6 | 18-12 |
| 2 | POLAND |  | ARGENTINA | 33-27 | 16-14 |
| 3 | INDIA |  | CHINA | 25-28 | 14-16 |
| 4 | GERMANY |  | USA | 7-66 | 2-25 |
| 5 | NEW ZEAL | ND | NETHERLANDS | 6-37 | 8-22 |
| 6 | CANADA |  | CHILE | 58-14 | 25-5 |
| 7 | CHINESE TA | IPEI | DENMARK | 42-35 | 16-14 |
| 8 | NORWAY |  | NA HONG KONG | 36-28 | 17-13 |
|  | ITALY |  | INDONESIA | 47-28 | 19-11 |


| ROUND 5 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Matc |  | IMP's | VP's |
|  | USA | NORWAY | 24-40 | 11-19 |
| 2 | CHINA HONG KONG | CHINESE TAIPEI | 28-60 | 7-23 |
| 3 | DENMARK | CANADA | 58-18 | 24-6 |
| 4 | CHILE N | NEW ZEALAND | 55-27 | 22-8 |
| 5 | EGYPT | GERMANY | 5-68 | 2-25 |
| 6 | INDONESIA | INDIA | 63-38 | 21-9 |
| 7 | CHINA | POLAND | 29-77 | 4-25 |
|  | ARGENTINA | AUSTRALIA | 44-88 | 5-25 |
| 9 | NETHERLANDS | ITALY | 53-34 | 19-11 |



| ROUND 7 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| I | NORWAY | CHINESE TAIPEI |
| 2 | USA | CANADA |
| 3 | CHINA HONG KONG | NEW ZEALAND |
| 4 | DENMARK | ITALY |
| 5 | CHILE | INDIA |
| 6 | NETHERLANDS | POLAND |
| 7 | ARGENTINA | GERMANY |
| 8 | CHINA | EGYPT |
| 9 | INDONESIA | AUSTRALIA |


| ROUND 8 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| I | INDIA | POLAND |
| 2 | ITALY | AUSTRALIA |
| 3 | NEW ZEALAND | EGYPT |
| 4 | CANADA | ARGENTINA |
| 5 | CHINESE TAIPEI | CHINA |
| 6 | NORWAY | INDONESIA |
| 7 | USA | NETHERLANDS |
| 8 | DENMARK | GERMANY |
| 9 | CHINA HONG KONG | CHILE |

## ROUND 6

| Match |  |  |  | IMP's | VP's |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | EGYPT |  | ARGENTINA | 75-27 | 25-4 |
| 2 | AUSTRALIA |  | CHINA | 57-45 | 18-12 |
| 3 | POLAND |  | INDONESIA | 51-33 | 19-11 |
| 4 | INDIA |  | NETHERLANDS | 14-109 | 0-25 |
| 5 | ITALY |  | CHILE | 40-66 | 9-21 |
| 6 | GERMANY |  | NORWAY | 26-29 | 14-16 |
| 7 | CANADA | CHIN | NA HONG KONG | 18-34 | 11-19 |
| 8 | CHINESE TA |  | USA | 44-21 | 20-10 |
|  | NEW ZEALA | ND | DENMARK | 36-37 | 15-15 |

## ROUND 9

| I | CHINESE TAIPEI | CANADA |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | NORWAY | NEW ZEALAND |
| 3 | USA | ITALY |
| 4 | CHINA HONG KONG INDIA |  |
| 5 | DENMARK | POLAND |
| 6 | CHILE | AUSTRALIA |
| 7 | NETHERLANDS | EGYPT |
| 8 | INDONESIA | ARGENTINA |
| 9 | CHINA | GERMANY |

## U-21 TEAMS



| Match |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| I CHINESE TAIPEI |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | CANADA | $58-16$ | $25-5$ |  |
| 2 | URUGUAY | NETHERLANDS | $38-37$ | $15-15$ |
| 3 | CHINA | POLAND | $26-36$ | $13-17$ |
| 4 | ECUADOR | USA | $37-46$ | $13-17$ |
| 5 | PAKISTAN | AUSTRALIA | $18-48$ | $8-22$ |
| 6 | BULGARIA | SINGAPORE | $21-20$ | $15-15$ |
| 7 | NORWAY | FRANCE | $36-48$ | $12-18$ |
| 8 | BOTSWANA | ENGLAND | $6-106$ | $0-25$ |
| 9 | INDONESIA | ARGENTINA | $27-74$ | $4-25$ |


| ROUND 5 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | IMP's | VP's |
| I USA | BOTSWANA | 99-25 | 25-0 |
| 2 ENGLAND | NORWAY | 66-19 | 25-4 |
| 3 FRANCE | BULGARIA | 65-41 | 21-9 |
| 4 SINGAPORE | PAKISTAN | 33-31 | 15-15 |
| 5 CANADA | ECUADOR | 36-73 | 6-24 |
| 6 ARGENTINA | CHINA | 43-54 | 13-17 |
| 7 POLAND | URUGUAY | 72-46 | 21-9 |
| 8 NETHERLANDS | CHINESE TAIPEI | 36-62 | 9-21 |
| 9 AUSTRALIA | INDONESIA | 77-13 | 25-2 |


| ROUND 6 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Match |  |  | IMP's | VP's |
|  | CANADA N | NETHERLANDS | 42-46 | 14-16 |
| 2 | CHINESE TAIPEI | P POLAND | 20-42 | 10-20 |
| 3 | URUGUAY | ARGENTINA | 35-64 | 8-22 |
| 4 | CHINA | AUSTRALIA | 35-42 | 14-16 |
| 5 | INDONESIA | SINGAPORE | 91-46 | 25-5 |
| 6 | ECUADOR | BOTSWANA | 52-45 | 16-14 |
| 7 | BULGARIA | ENGLAND | 33-37 | 14-16 |
| 8 | NORWAY | USA | 57-53 | 16-14 |
| 9 | PAKISTAN | FRANCE | 24-53 | 8-22 |



| ROUND 7 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| I | BOTSWANA | NORWAY |
| 2 | USA | BULGARIA |
| 3 | ENGLAND | PAKISTAN |
| 4 | FRANCE | INDONESIA |
| 5 | SINGAPORE | CHINA |
| 6 | AUSTRALIA | URUGUAY |
| 7 | NETHERLANDS | ECUADOR |
| 8 | POLAND | CANADA |
| 9 | ARGENTINA | CHINESE TAIPEI |

## ROUND 8

| I | NETHERLANDS | POLAND |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | CANADA | ARGENTINA |
| 3 | CHINESE TAIPEI | AUSTRALIA |
| 4 | URUGUAY | SINGAPORE |
| 5 | CHINA | FRANCE |
| 6 | INDONESIA | ENGLAND |
| 7 | PAKISTAN | USA |
| 8 | ECUADOR | NORWAY |
| 9 | BULGARIA | BOTSWANA |

## ROUND 9

| I | NORWAY | BULGARIA |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | BOTSWANA | PAKISTAN |
| 3 | USA | INDONESIA |
| 4 | ENGLAND | CHINA |
| 5 | FRANCE | URUGUAY |
| 6 | SINGAPORE | CHINESE TAIPEI |
| 7 | AUSTRALIA | CANADA |
| 8 | ARGENTINA | NETHERLANDS |
| 9 | POLAND | ECUADOR |

## U-26 TEAMS

## U-21 TEAMS

## RANKING AFTER ROUND 6

| 1 POLAND | 124 |
| :--- | ---: |
| 2 NETHERLANDS | 117 |
| 3 AUSTRALIA | 115 |
| 4 NORWAY | 114 |
| 5 DENMARK | 107 |
| 6 ITALY | 103 |
| 7 CHINESE TAIPEI | 99 |
| 8 USA | 91 |
| 9 INDONESIA | 89 |
| 10 CHILE | 84 |
| 11 CANADA | 76 |
| 12 CHINA | 74 |
| 13 ARGENTINA | 72 |
| 14 CHINA HONG KONG | 71 |
| GERMANY | 71 |
| 16 EGYPT | 70 |
| 17 NEW ZEALAND | 62 |
| 18 INDIA | 60 |

## RANKING AFTER ROUND 6

| 1 FRANCE | 130 |
| :--- | ---: |
| 2 CHINESE TAIPEI | 115.5 |
| 3 ENGLAND | 113 |
| 4 POLAND | 112 |
| 5 BULGARIA | 111 |
| 6 AUSTRALIA | 108 |
| 7 CHINA | 107 |
| 8 SINGAPORE | 104 |
| 9 NETHERLANDS | 97 |
| 10 ARGENTINA | 94 |
| 11 USA | 84 |
| 12 CANADA | 76 |
| 13 ECUADOR | 74 |
| 14 NORWAY | 65 |
| 15 INDONESIA | 57 |
| PAKISTAN | 57 |
| 17 URUGUAY | 48.5 |
| 18 BOTSWANA | 19 |

## New Services available

ff you have your laptop with you, equipped with wireless network capabilities, you can connect to our wireless network called 'Lineup' which transmits out of the 2 nd floor.

To do so, just switch your computer on, search for available networks and connect on the network " 'Lineup’. No password is necessary.

## - Lineup

Once connected, start Internet Explorer, and type the address:
123.124.180.20/lineup.htm

This gives captains the possibility to lineup from their own computer.

## - Results

All people have the possibility to visit the WBF Bei-
jing website where results in various forms and bulletins are available. To access this website locally, start Internet Explorer and type the address:

### 123.124.180.20

## - Vugraph

You are aware that the Vugraph auditorium is not available to us continuously, as it is also used for Prize Giving ceremonies. Normally, we will have matches shown on Vugraph daily during the first and second rounds only, but there will be a Vugraph show on the third round on some days.
The Vugraph match is always broadcast on Internet, whether the auditorium is available or not. To watch it, please go to the WBF website for Beijing and type the address:
http://www.worldbridge.org/tourn/vgdata/minirama.html

## U-28 TEAMS



## U-28 TEAMS




## Five Voices.

How have your first days been here in Beijing?


## Justin Lall, USA U28

- It's great here, I just love the city with all the friendly people here. The bridge, however, is going a little so so...

Sigrid Spangenberg, Netherlands U2I

- It's been great, nice apartments also. We have been to the Forbidden City and are going to the Wall later on. Yesterday we played well, but today it doesn't seem so promising so far...



## Soumya Das, India U26

- OK, everything is just going OK. The bridge is going well and we are enjoying ourselves.


## Miriam Kull, Switzerland U28

-Very nice here, we have been to the Great Wall; it was amazingly beautiful. Some language problems though. The bridge is going up and down...


## Alfredo Grijalva, Ecuador U2I

- First I was very nervous. It's difficult to be here, the first days I had trouble with the time change. When it was day in Ecuador it was night here and so on. At the moment we win a game, the first so far, so now everything is good!


## U-28 TEAMS

## RANKING AFTER ROUND 6

| 1 POLAND | 130 | 39 URUGUAY | 88.5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 CHINA | 121 | 40 CANADA | 88 |
| 3 ENGLAND | 118 | 41 JORDAN | 87.5 |
| 4 BELGIUM | 117 | 42 PHILIPPINES | 87 |
| 5 INDIA | 116 | 43 THAILAND | 86 |
| SERBIA | 116 | 44 WALES | 85 |
| 7 EGYPT | 114 | 45 DENMARK | 83 |
| 8 NORWAY | 112 | 46 GERMANY | 81 |
| 9 LATVIA | 108 | IRELAND | 81 |
| 10 INDONESIA | 107 | 48 SWITZERLAND | 80.5 |
| 11 NEW ZEALAND | 106 | 48 SWITZERLAND |  |
| 12 PORTUGAL | 105.5 | 49 BELARUS | 80 |
| 13 CROATIA | 105 | LEBANON | 80 |
| 14 HUNGARY | 103 | 51 ESTONIA | 78 |
| 15 FRANCE | 101 | KOREA | 78 |
| NETHERLANDS | 101 | 53 BANGLADESH | 77.5 |
| SPAIN | 101 | 54 ARGENTINA | 76 |
| 18 CZECH REPUBLIC | 100 | AUSTRIA | 76 |
| USA | 100 | SLOVENIA | 76 |
| 20 CHINA HONG KONG | 99 | 57 SCOTLAND | 74 |
| GREECE | 99 | 58 ARUBA | 73 |
| ISRAEL | 99 | SINGAPORE | 73 |
| TURKEY | 99 | 60 SLOVAKIA | 71 |
| 24 BRAZIL | 98 | VENEZUELA | 71 |
| RUSSIA | 98 | 62 TUNISIA | 70 |
| 26 JAPAN | 97 | 63 COLOMBIA | 69 |
| 27 CHINESE TAIPEI | 96 | 64 COSTA RICA | 66 |
| ICELAND | 96 | 65 CAC | 63 |
| 29 FINLAND | 95 | 66 ZIMBABWE | 60.5 |
| SWEDEN | 95 | 66 ZIMBABWE | 60.5 |
| 31 PAKISTAN | 94 | 67 PERU | 59 |
| 32 UKRAINE | 93 | 68 ALBANIA | 58 |
| 33 ITALY | 92.5 | KENYA | 58 |
| 34 ROMANIA | 92 | 70 SRI LANKA | 55 |
| 35 AUSTRALIA | 90 | 71 BOTSWANA | 53 |
| SOUTH AFRICA | 90 | 72 JAMAICA | 46 |
| 37 ECUADOR | 89.5 | 73 MALAYSIA | 43 |
| 38 LITHUANIA | 89 | 74 MONGOLIA | 25 |

## Attack Against Slams

by Brian senior

The accepted wisdom is that one should make attacking leads against suit contracts at the six level. That proved to be sound advice on this deal from the third round of the Under 28 teams.

Board 2. Dealer East. N/S Vul.
\& K 73
-K 8753
$\diamond$ Q 84
$\% 72$

- AJ 9
$\checkmark$ AJ 10
$\diamond A 3$
\& A Q 865


เ Q 6542
$\bigcirc 964$
$\diamond$ K 7
-KJ3
© 108
$\checkmark$ Q 2
$\diamond$ JIO 9652
-1094

| West Kuldkepp | North Green | East <br> Loper | South <br> Happer |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | - | Pass | Pass |
| 120 | Pass | INT | Pass |
| 24 | Pass | $3{ }^{3}$ | Pass |
| 3 | Pass | 3 | Pass |
| 34 | Pass | $4 \diamond$ | Pass |
| 64 | All Pass |  |  |

For Estonia, Meelis Kuldkepp opened a strong l\& and Oliver Loper showed a positive response with five or more spades. Kulkepp enquired and discovered that his partner held five spades to one top honour, 0-2 controls, and second round club control. At this point he decided that he knew enough and jumped to the spade slam.
There are 12 tricks in a spade contract so the defence has

to establish its second winner immediately. England's Ben Green unerringly selected a low heart lead and wasted no time in cashing the $\triangle \mathrm{K}$ when in with the king of spades; one down and II IMPs to England instead of II to Estonia as the contract in the other room was 49.
Green also succeeded in bringing home 49 on the deal featuring Steve De Donder in yesterday's bulletin.

Board 5. Dealer North. N/S Vul.

|  | - AKQ 3 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc$ AKQ 9 |  |
|  | $\diamond 73$ |  |
|  | \& K 54 |  |
| ¢ 19876 | N | 9- |
| $\bigcirc 42$ |  | $\bigcirc 1875$ |
| $\diamond$ K 96 |  | $\diamond$ A 854 |
| \% 1098 | S | \% Q J 762 |
|  | -10542 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 1063$ |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ QJ 102 |  |
|  | \& A 3 |  |



Three Clubs asked about majors and $3 \triangleleft$ said no five-carder but at least one four-carder. Three Hearts showed four spades and 3s a non-minimum with four-card support.

East led a low heart to declarer's nine. Green cashed the ace of spades, knowing that he wa scold on any three-two or four-one break - only to find that the suit was actually splitting five-zero. He led a low diamond now and East hopped up with the ace and returned the suit. West won the $\diamond K$ and returned a heart but Green was in control and could follow the same line as we have already seen to endplay West and escape with only one trump loser.

The point at both tables where we have seen the contract succeed is that the defence took its diamond tricks at the wrong moment. Against De Donder, they led diamonds at the start and declarer was then in control. Against Green, the contract would have been defeated had East not risen with the ace on the first round of the suit. Had he played low, West would have won the $\diamond K$ and returned a heart. Now, if declarer knocks out the ace of diamonds, East gives his partner a heart ruff and West has a safe exit card so there is no endplay. And, of course, declarer cannot succeed without setting up the diamonds.

## U-21 TEAMS

## v SINGAPORE

## by Brian Senior

At the end of the first day's play Bulgaria topped the Under 2ls rankings while Singapore was joint second, an obvious choice to watch. However, the match proved to be a very quiet affair, resulting in a 21-20 IMP win for Bulgaria, or $15-15 \mathrm{VPs}$.

Board 17. Dealer North. None Vul.
A AK 1063
PJ965
$\diamond$ A 43
\& 9

- J85 2
$\bigcirc$ Q
$\diamond$ K Q J 8762
\& 7

| West <br> Oh | North <br> Skorchev | East <br> Tham | South <br> Vasilev |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | $1 Q$ | $2 \&$ | Pass |
| $2 N T$ | Pass | $3 \triangleleft$ | All Pass |

For Bulgaria, Stefan Skorchev opened IS and Singapore's Yuan Xing Tham overcalled $2 \boldsymbol{2}$. Feng Gui Oh advanced with 2NT, which was a transfer to $3 \diamond$, and Tham did as request-


Feng Gui Desmond Oh - Singapore
ed, ending the auction. Nikolai Vasilev led a top heart then switched to a spade to his partner's king. Skorchev continued with two more rounds of spades and Tham ruffed with the ten. He must have fancied his chances now as he played two top clubs to pitch the from dummy, but the five-one club split meant that Skorchev could ruff and the ace of trumps was the setting trick; down one for -50 . Skorchev could have achieved the same result by cashing the ace of diamonds before playing the third spade, as South would then have got his spade ruff.

In the other room the same contract was played the other way up on a club lead and again went down one for a flat board.

On Board 2, Skorchev heard his opponents bid: $18-18$ $-2 N T-3 \diamond-3 \diamond-4 \checkmark$. He held:
$\begin{array}{ll}\curvearrowright & J 875 \\ \diamond & 852 \\ \diamond & K 9 \\ \& & Q 864\end{array}$
and led the king of diamonds, finding his partner with ace to three so that he duly received his ruff. Alas, that was all for the defence so the aggressive lead merely earned an overtrick IMP for Bulgaria when it perhaps deserved more.

Board 20. Dealer West. All Vul.

- KJ 9752
© A Q 102
$\diamond 73$
\& 10
- 4 A AQ10863
© 193
$\diamond$ J 65
\& AK 9543


ค 8754
$\diamond$ K 10

- 7
-     - 
- K 6
$\diamond$ A Q 9842
\& QJ 862

| West <br> Oh | North <br> Skorchev | East <br> Tham | South <br> Vasilev |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pass | $1 乌$ | Pass | $2 \triangleleft$ |
| Pass | $2 \boxtimes$ | Pass | $3 \diamond$ |

All Pass
Vasilev treated the awkward South hand as a single-suited
invitational hand with diamonds and Skorchev, looking at a minimum, passed. Oh cashed the ace of clubs then switched to his spade, for the nine and ten. Vasilev ruffed and advanced the 2 Q , pitching a spade when Oh followed low. But Tham ruffed with the $\diamond I O$ and returned a low spade. Vasilev discarded so Oh ruffed and gave Tham a second club ruff.Another spade through promoted the jack of diamonds as declarer ruffed high and played on hearts. Oh could ruff the third round and cash the eK for down one; -100 .

Declarer had his chances to succeed at various points in the play but the result from the other room meant that it was of largely academic interest. There, Zhang Fei Chen and Yong Hui Yeo bid to 3NT and made ten tricks after a low club lead for +630 and 12 IMPs to Singapore.

Board 23. Dealer South. All Vul.


Nikolai Vasilev - Bulgaria

| West <br> Oh | North <br> Skorchev | East <br> Tham | South <br> Vasilev |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | - | - | I $\diamond$ |
| Pass | $1 \vee$ | Dble | 2NT |
| Pass | $3 \diamond$ | All Pass |  |

One Diamond was Precision, if that is an appropriate name for a bid that promises at least zero cards in the suit opened, and the 2 NT rebid showed $14-16$ with six good diamonds but fewer than three hearts. Oh led a low spade and the defence played three rounds, Vasilev euffing. He led a heart to the king then a club to the king and a second club. Oh won the 2 Q and gave Tham a heart ruff, but Vasilev could ruff the club return and claim the rest for +110 .

The Singapore North/South were again in game, this time with less success, $4 \checkmark$ going down two for -200 and 7 IMPs to Bulgaria.

Board 30. Dealer East. None Vul.

|  | - A Q J 86 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc 875$ |  |
|  | $\diamond$ A 105 |  |
|  | \% J 2 |  |
| - 4 | N | ¢ K 107 |
| $\checkmark$ AK 1093 | W E | $\bigcirc$ Q J 2 |
| $\checkmark 742$ |  | $\checkmark$ K 93 |
| 2 AK 105 | S | \& Q 987 |
|  | $\pm 9532$ |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 64$ |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ Q J 86 |  |
|  | \& 643 |  |


| West <br> Oh | North Skorchev | East <br> Tham | South Vasilev |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | - | Pass | Pass |
| 18 | 19 | $2 \diamond$ | 39 |
| $4 \bigcirc$ | 49 | Dble | All Pass |

Skorchev got lucky on this deal when he made an illdisciplined bid of $4 \wedge$ over $4 \checkmark$.Tham's $2 \diamond$ showed a sound heart raise and 3s was pre-emptive. Now Skorchev had no extra playing strength to justify bidding again, just a little extra defensive potential.

As the cards lay, 44 doubled had to go three down for -500 , which was no big deal against $4 \checkmark+1$ for +450 at the other table; 2 IMPs to Singapore. But on a different layout $4 \checkmark$ could easily have been going down while 4\$ was still costing -300 , and that would have been much more serious.


In Round 5 of the Under 28 Swiss, Poland and Egypt met at the top table. On this deal Egypt picked up a slam swing after both North/South pairs had over-reached to a poor 6NT contract.

Board I2. Dealer West. N/S Vul.


At both tables, East made the obvious lead of the jack of clubs. The Polish declarer won and led a low spade to the queen and king. With both defenders guarding the spades, even the even heart break was not enough to recover from here and the contract was one down for -100 .

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pass | 2\% | Pass | $2 \diamond(\mathrm{i})$ |
| Dble | 2 (ii) | Pass | 24(i) |
| Pass | 2NT | Pass | $3 \diamond$ (iii) |
| Pass | $3 \bigcirc$ | Pass | 3s |
| Pass | 3NT | Pass | $4 \checkmark$ |
| Pass | $4 \bigcirc$ (iv) | Pass | $6 \diamond(\mathrm{v})$ |
| Pass | 6NT | All Pass |  |

(i) Relay
(ii) Strong balanced or natural
(iii) Transfer
(iv) Cuebid
(v) Pick a slam

Egypt's Hussein Sherif and Ahmed Kamal also reached 6NT, via the auction above with Sherif at the helm. He too received the club lead but, rather than win and play for the spade to be onside, he chosen to duck the first trick, playing for a squeeze if clubs did not divide four-four.

Sherif threw a diamond from dummy at trick one and a second diamond at trick two. Winning the second club, he continued by cashing two more top clubs, throwing spades from dummy, then cashed the ace of spades, the Vienna Coup. Finally, he ran the five heart winners and West was squeezed between the king of spades and his diamonds; +140 and I7 IMPs to Egypt.

## Under 18

One of the youngest participants in the World Bridge Games is Vincent Nab of the Netherlands. Seventeen years old, he is true a Under I8-er. In the underlying deal as a true Houdini he escaped from disaster:

Board 23. Dealer South. All Vul.


Gordon Zind's eyes grew bigger and bigger as the bidding proceeded and ended with a sudden death. Vincent Nab, by the way, felt that the bidding was not over at all when he bid $3 \bigcirc$ only (always intending to play in spades in the end).
After two rounds of diamonds, $5 \$$ left the down zone and soon young Vincent could claim eleven tricks. Easy to notice that par is $4 \checkmark$ and $4 ¢$ in East/West for obvious reasons.


Vincent Nab - Netherlands

## Several Steps on Seven

Both Bulgaria and France were high on the leader board －first and second respectively－when they met in Round 5 in the U－2Is．On Board 7，West，Ivan Syusyukin from Bul－ garia，had a lot of decisions to make．I will show them step by step．This was Ivan＇s hand：

```
- J10
< K 1096
\diamond QJ975
< K6
```

| West <br> Syusyukin | North <br> Canonne | East <br> Skorchev | South <br> Lorenzini |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | - | - | $1 \mathbf{2 0}$ |

Step I：Would you overcall？
Answer：$I \triangleleft$ might be an option，but lvan，in line with the solid Bulgarian style，decided to pass．

| West <br> Syusyukin | North <br> Canonne | East <br> Skorchev | South <br> Lorenzini |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | - | - | 19 |
| Pass | Pass | Dble | $1 \mathbf{Q}$ |
| $?$ |  |  |  |



Cedric Lorenzini－France

Step 2：Dble，2『，3『，2»，3 ？
Answer：Ivan elected to bid $2 \Omega$ ．

| West <br> Syusyukin | North <br> Canonne | East <br> Skorchev | South <br> Lorenzini |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | - | - | 10 |
| Pass | Pass | Dble | $1 \mathbf{1 s}$ |
| $2 \rrbracket$ | 39 | 3 | 3NT |
| $?$ |  |  |  |

Step 3：Dble，Pass， 4 ？
Answer：Ivan doubled，showing extras．Especially ek looked like a valuable defensive asset．

| West <br> Syusyukin | North <br> Canonne | East <br> Skorchev | South <br> Lorenzini |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | - | - | 19 |
| Pass | Pass | Dble | 19 |
| $2 』$ | $3 \$$ | $3 \Omega$ | 3NT |
| Dble | All Pass |  |  |

Step 4：What to lead？
Answer：Ivan decided to lead the suit he and his partner bid．

Here is the answer to all questions：
Board 7．Dealer South．All Vul．
－ 852
$\bigcirc$ Q 8
$\diamond 8643$
－QJ 87
－J 10
© K 1096
$\diamond$ QJ975
－K 6

Though Ivan Syusyukin scored three out of four，his last step proved too big a hurdle：minus 750．A diamond lead would have done the work．Final words by Cedric Lorenzi－ ni：＂With four aces I always bid game．＂

## Dangerous Drury

by Jan van Cleeff

After two rounds of the U-28 championship, Japan had scored a brilliant 50 VPs. In the third round the Japanese team was to meet the strong team of Poland, one of the pre-tournament favourites. It was time for a little kibitzing.

Board 4. Dealer West. All Vul.


In the Closed Room the Japanese East/West pair, Naozumi Nakayama and Yasuaki II went three light in $3 \diamond$, undoubled. In the Open Room, Hiroki Yokoi and Hiroyuki Taguchi as North-South 'stole' the contract in $4 \triangle$ doubled. With the crucial suits 2-2 and 3-3 the heart game is actually cold.

But look what happened at the table. Jacek Kalita kicked off with two high spades and continued with a third round for the queen. For some unknown reason declarer continued with a low trump and when West came in he persisted in spades. That way East scored his trump queen for down one; II IMPs to Poland.

Board 8. Dealer West. None Vul.

|  | ¢ K 10632 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\diamond$ K 974 |  |
|  | \% K 84 |  |
| - 987 | N | $\pm$ Q J |
| $\bigcirc$ A Q 84 |  | $\bigcirc 7642$ |
| $\diamond 10$ |  | $\diamond 832$ |
| \% A 10632 | S | \& Q J 75 |
|  | ¢ A 54 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc \mathrm{KJJ} 103$ |  |
|  | $\diamond$ A Q J 65 |  |
|  | 49 |  |

In the Open Room, Yokoi as North played 4a after a 20 Precision opening by West. East led Q . West won the ace and shifted to his stiff diamond. Declarer won, cashed the trump ace, noticed the drop of $\uparrow \mathrm{Q}$ and played a trump to the ten. Down one when East provided his partner with a ruff and $\vee \mathrm{A}$ was cashed at the next trick.

Closed Room

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11 | J. Kotorowicz | Nakayama | Brede |
| Pass | Pass | Pass | I $\diamond$ |
| Dble | $1 \bigcirc(\mathrm{i})$ | Pass | 24(ii) |
| Pass | 49 | All Pass |  |

(i) Spades
(ii) Usually four spades, but South liked his hand

West led his singleton diamond. Declarer won in hand and played a club. West ducked and dummy's king won the trick. The heart nine went to the queen and West returned a spade (!?). Brede took the ace, tabled $\triangle \mathrm{K}$ and ruffed when West covered. A club was ruffed in hand and dummy's third club disappeared on a high heart. When Brede guessed the trump suit, he ended up with twelve tricks; again II IMPs to Poland.

Board I3. Dealer North. All Vul.

- 874
$\bigcirc 103$
KQ 1042
\& 965

| ¢ AJ 65 |  | ¢ K 109 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N |  |
| $\bigcirc$ AKQ 97 |  | $\bigcirc 652$ |
| $\diamond 3$ | W E | $\diamond$ Al 95 |
| \% 1043 | S | ¢ Q 87 |
|  | - Q 32 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc \mathrm{J} 84$ |  |
|  | $\diamond 876$ |  |
|  | \& AKJ2 |  |

Open Room

| West <br> Kalita | North <br> Yokoi | East <br> K. Kotorowicz | South <br> sTaguchi |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | Pass | Pass | 18 |
| I 8 | IQ(i) | $2 』$ | Pass |
| 24 | Pass | $4 \oslash$ | All Pass |
| (i) | $0-3$ | cards in spades, $8+\mathrm{HCP}$ |  |

North led a high diamond. Declarer took the ace and drew the trumps. Kalita had to find $\stackrel{\mathrm{Q}}{\mathrm{Q}}$ for his contract. He had some indications. South opened the bidding, in third hand though, but vulnerable. And North promised more or less 8-II HCP as explained at the table. Before touching the spades declarer first attacked the clubs, advancing the ten. South won the jack, cashed the two other high clubs and exited in diamonds, ruffed by declarer. Now declarer was at the crossroads since counting points didn't help him much. Who was lying? Did South open on nine points or did North have 5 HCP only?
Kalita gave it a thought and finally misguessed by playing a
spade to the ten; down one.

## Closed Room

| West <br> II | North <br> \| Kotorowicz | East <br> akayama | South Brede |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | Pass | Pass | Pass |
| 18 | Pass | 2\%(i) | Dble |
| $2 \diamond$ (ii) | Dble | Pass(iii) | Pass |
| $4 \bigcirc$ | All Pass |  |  |
| Drury |  |  |  |
| (ii) N | Non-minimum |  |  |
| (iii) E | Encouraging |  |  |

The defence took it's first three club tricks. This time it was South who was at the crossroads. With all cards open it is easy to see the contract is defeated by a trump promotion by playing the fourth club. But if North does not have the trump ten and declarer has a doubleton diamond the extra club would allow the contract the contract to be made.

Or, West might have a 4-5-I-3 without the 9 (and with trump ten). In that case he ruffs in hand with the ten, pitching a spade from dummy. After that, he might be able to ruff the third spade in dummy. With the suit 3-3 the contract would be home. However, North might also get rid of a spade. With the trump seven with North the contract is still doomed. Lukasz Brede gave it another deep look, took another breath and continued with the fourth club. Right he was.

In the aftermath and in view of his double of 2\%, Lukasz remarked:"Dangerous Drury." Right he was again. By the way, when the match was over the Poles prevailed by 34 IMPs (23-7) and turned out to be the new leaders after three rounds of the U-28 series.


Jacek Kalita - Poland (Phot. Marc van Beijstervelt)

## Peking Duck

 by Brian SeniorOne of our favourite dishes in a Chinese restaurant back home is the crispy Peking Duck with pancakes. Canada's Daniel Lavee found a delicious duck to leave his Dutch opponents flat as pancakes on this deal from Round 3 of the Under 26 championship.

Board I3. Dealer North. All Vul.

- 874
$\triangleright 103$
$\diamond$ KQ 1042
2965


Lavee was declarer in $4 \checkmark$ from the West hand after an artificial auction in which he had shown a minimum opening with at least three clubs. North led the king of diamonds.

Given an even heart split, the contract appears to hinge on finding the queen of spades. The simple line is to win the diamond, draw trumps and play on spades. Lavee found an imaginative shot that greatly improved his chances - he ducked the opening lead. Lavee saw that the auction would make it difficult for North to find a club switch and, even if he did switch to clubs, there were club positions where that would help declarer anyway. If he switched to a spade that would solve the big problem on the hand, while as diamond continuation would give two diamond tricks for two club discards. If North switched to a trump, declarer would be no worse off than had he won the first trick.

In real life, North switched to a spade and Lavee had ten tricks and, as the Dutch East/West stopped in partscore in the replay, 10 IMPs for Canada. Very nicely done.


## Ask Before Bidding

## by Micke Melander

The Icelandic player Inda Hronn Bjornsdottir must have thought Santa Claus was arriving early this year on this deal from her team's match against Belarus in Round 3 of the Under 28 series.

Bjornsdottir was sitting North and managed to escape holding:

\[

\]

When the bidding went:

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pass | Pass | INT | Dble |

INT was weak showing II-I5. Double promised at least four spades and another suit. Inda wouldn't have been so happy if the next hand decided to pass. At the table West actually never bothered to ask what double meant and bid 2 before her.

Board I6. Declarer West. E/W Vul.

|  | - J 10 $\begin{aligned} & \diamond j 6542 \\ & \diamond \mathrm{j} 2 \end{aligned}$ 2976 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - K Q 643 | N | - 85 |
| $\bigcirc 7$ |  | $\bigcirc \mathrm{K}$ Q 98 |
| $\checkmark 1076$ |  | $\diamond$ A 854 |
| \&) 543 | S | \& K 108 |
|  | - A 972 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ A 103 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ KQ 93 |  |
|  | * AQ |  |

[^0]| West | North <br> Bjornsdottir | East | South <br> Kristinsson |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pass | Pass | INT | Dble |
| $\mathbf{2 \Delta}$ | Pass | Pass | Dble |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

The $\triangleleft K$ was led and the party was over for the Belarusian pair. Declarer managed to get five tricks, going three off. That was 800 nice Christmas gifts for Bjornsdottir who escaped all her problems when Santa Claus decided to pop in at Beijing.
Ask before bidding - if your opponents alert - it may save some IMPs for you!


Hronn Bjornsdottir - Iceland

## TD Corner

## Line-up Regulations

No changes of line-up will be permitted unless there are exceptional circumstances requiring a change (that is, sickness or other reason that would prevent a player from playing).
Captain's errors in placing players will not be considered as exceptional circumstances. Please, therefore, ensure that the names are seated correctly according to your wishes.

# Briliant Polish Play!!! 

## by Micke Melander

Board I3. Declarer North. All Vul.

- 17

Q Q 97
$\diamond$ QJIO 82 - J 108

| - 9843 | N | - AK6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc$ AK 3 |  | $\bigcirc 42$ |
| $\checkmark 974$ | W E | $\checkmark$ AK 3 |
| 2 K Q 3 | S | - A9652 |
|  | , Q 1052 |  |
|  | QJ10865 |  |
|  | $\checkmark 65$ |  |
|  | -74 |  |


| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | Pass | 19** | Pass |
| 19 | Pass | $2{ }^{\text {* }}$ | Pass |
| 24* | Pass | 320 | Pass |
| 49* | Pass | 4 ${ }^{*}$ | Pass |
| 4)* | Pass | 4** | Pass |
| 6\% | Pass | Pass | Pass |

Strong Polish bidding led to 6 on this deal from the first round of the Under 26 championship. Many pairs tried to play clubs as well as no trump contracts, but few managed to make slam when they ended up there since there only are 11 tricks available. That is, unless you are named Nowosadzki and have a little bit friendly opponents.

The 'friendly' German junior led $\diamond 6$, and Nowosadzki won the trick with the ace. He drew three rounds of trumps, tried the ace of spades to see if any honour dropped and, when it didn't, exited with a low spade to set up the right


## Table Notices

At the end of each match, if any player wishes to take the table notice with the flags and players' names on them they are most welcome to do so.
tempo. North got in, but it was already to late to do anything about it. Nowosadzki could simply win the return and run all his winners to squeeze South in the majors and North in the red suits.

At the other table the Germans tried to play the same contract. The 'not so friendly' Polish defender there led a heart. When they won the spade trick they simply exited with another heart to break the squeeze communication for one off.

Very well played Nowosadzki!


Michal Nowosadzki - Poland



[^0]:    | Poland - England (U-28/Round 7) | 10.30 |
    | :--- | :--- |
    | Chinese Taipei - Australia (U-2 I/Round 8) | 14.10 |
    | No Vugraph match |  |

