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## HAVE FUN!



There's no better way to relax than over a friendly game of cards.

Here's hoping everybody has fun and enjoys their day off today.Then tomorrow is a big day for all those teams still scrapping for one of the last qualifying places, so try not to party too hard.
Poland still leads the U28 Championship with 267 VPs, ahead of Israel 260, England 255, Belgium 250 and China 248. However, the Swiss format means that at least another 20 teams still have chances of qualification if they can put together a big day on Friday.
Poland lost 8-22 to Canada in Round 14 of the U26 series, but still has a significant lead. Poland has 28 I VPs, followed by Netherlands 258, Australia 240, Canada and Denmark 234.With eight teams to qualify, maybe everyone down to 13th placed Italy still has some chance of making the cut.
France continues to lead the U2I event with 294 VPs , followed by England 280, Bulgaria 268, Chinese Taipei 255.5 and China 249. Short of a truly dramatic last day, probably I Ith placed Norway is the lowest team to have realistic chances of qualification.

## Friday's Schedule

10.30 U-28 Teams, Swiss 15
10.30 U-26 Teams, Round 15
10.30 U-2I Teams, Round 15
14.20 U-28 Teams, Swiss 16
14.20 U-26 Teams, Round 16
14.20 U-2I Teams, Round 16
17.30 U-28 Teams, Swiss 17
17.30 U-26 Teams, Round 17
17.30 U-2I Teams, Round 17


| ROUND 13 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Match | IMP's | VP's |
| I | NEW ZEALAND | ITALY | 15-25 | 13-17 |
| 2 | CANADA | INDIA | 32-21 | 17-13 |
| 3 | CHINESE TAIPEI | POLAND | 41-40 | 15-15 |
| 4 | NETHERLANDS | GERMANY | 22-41 | 11-19 |
| 5 | USA | EGYPT | 60-17 | 25-5 |
| 6 | CHINA HONG KONG | NG ARGENTINA | 49-38 | 17-13 |
| 7 | DENMARK | CHINA | 37-13 | 21-9 |
| 8 | CHILE | INDONESIA | 42-49 | 14-16 |
| 9 | NORWAY | AUSTRALIA | 36-19 | 19-11 |
| ROUND 14 |  |  |  |  |
| Match |  |  | IMP's | VP's |
| 1 | NETHERLANDS | CHILE | 42-26 | 19-11 |
| 2 | INDONESIA | DENMARK | 16-29 | 12-18 |
| 3 | CHINA CH | CHINA HONG KONG | 50-47 | 16-14 |
| 4 | ARGENTINA | USA | 17-51 | 7-23 |
| 5 | GERMANY | ITALY | 61-12 | 25-4 |
| 6 | AUSTRALIA | CHINESE TAIPEI | 43-31 | 18-12 |
| 7 | POLAND | CANADA | 26-57 | 8-22 |
| 8 | INDIA | NEW ZEALAND | 26-44 | 11-19 |
| 9 | EGYPT | NORWAY | 36-26 | $17-13$ |



RESULTS U-21 TEAMS

| ROUND 13 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Match |  |  | IMP's | VP's |
| I | PAKISTAN | INDONESIA | 64-9 | 25-3 |
| 2 | BULGARIA | CHINA | 37-53 | 11-19 |
| 3 | NORWAY | URUGUAY | 72-8 | 25-2 |
| 4 | AUSTRALIA | ECUADOR | 50-18 | 23-7 |
| 5 | USA | CANADA | 40-43 | 14-16 |
| 6 | ENGLAND | NETHERLANDS | 56-20 | 23-7 |
| 7 | FRANCE | POLAND | 62-34 | 22-8 |
| 8 | SINGAPORE | ARGENTINA | 51-13 | 24-6 |
| 9 | BOTSWANA | CHINESE TAIPEI | 20-88 | 1-25 |
| ROUND 14 |  |  |  |  |
| Match |  |  | IMP's | VP's |
| 1 | AUSTRALIA | SINGAPORE | 29-42 | 12-18 |
| 2 | ARGENTINA | FRANCE | 24-46 | 10-20 |
| 3 | POLAND | ENGLAND | 28-22 | 16-14 |
| 4 | NETHERLANDS | USA | 28-21 | 16-14 |
| 5 | ECUADOR | INDONESIA | 23-37 | 12-18 |
| 6 | CHINESE TAIPEI | NORWAY | 12-28 | 11-19 |
| 7 | URUGUAY | BULGARIA | 14-69 | 3-25 |
| 8 | CHINA | PAKISTAN | 54-19 | 23-7 |
| 9 | CANADA | BOTSWANA | 74-7 | 25-1 |

FRIDAY'S PROGRAM U-26 TEAMS

| ROUND I5 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |
| 1 | ITALY | INDIA |
| 2 | NEW ZEALAND | POLAND |
| 3 | CANADA | AUSTRALIA |
| 4 | CHINESE TAIPEI | EGYPT |
| 5 | NORWAY | ARGENTINA |
| 6 | CHILE | GERMANY |
| 7 | CHINA HONG KONG | INDONESIA |
| 8 | DENMARK | NETHERLANDS |
| 9 | USA | CHINA |


| ROUND 16 |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 1 | CHILE | DENMARK |  |
| 2 | NETHERLANDS | CHINA HONG KONG |  |
| 3 | INDONESIA | USA |  |
| 4 | CHINA | NORWAY |  |
| 5 | ARGENTINA | CHINESE TAIPEI |  |
| 6 | EGYPT | CANADA |  |
| 7 | GERMANY | INDIA |  |
| 8 | POLAND | ITALY |  |
| 9 | AUSTRALIA | NEW ZEALAND |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| 1 | ARGENTINA |  |  |
| 2 | EGYYT | CHINA |  |
| 3 | AUSTRALIA | INDONESIA |  |
| 4 | POLAND | NETHERLANDS |  |
| 5 | INDIA | CHILE |  |
| 6 | ITALY | DENMARK |  |
| 7 | NEW ZEALAND | CHINA HONG KONG |  |
| 8 | GERMANY | USA |  |
| 9 | CANADA | CHINESE TAIPEI |  |

FRIDAY'S PROGRAM U-21 TEAMS

|  |  | ROUND 15 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | INDONESIA |  |
| 2 | PAKISTAN | CHINA |
| 3 | BULGARIA | URUGUAY |
| 4 | NORWAY | CHINESETAIPEI |
| 5 | BOTSWANA | CANADA |
| 6 | SINGAPORE | NETHERLANDS |
| 7 | ENGLAND | ECUADOR |
| 8 | FRANCE | ARGENTINA |
| 9 | USA | AUSTRALIA |


| ROUND 16 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | SINGAPORE | FRANCE |
| 2 | AUSTRALIA | ENGLAND |
| 3 | ARGENTINA | USA |
| 4 | POLAND | BOTSWANA |
| 5 | NETHERLANDS | NORWAY |
| 6 | CANADA | BULGARIA |
| 7 | ECUADOR | CHINA |
| 8 | URUGUAY | INDONESIA |
| 9 | CHINESE TAIPEI | PAKISTAN |
| ROUND 17 |  |  |
| 1 | CHINA | URUGUAY |
| 2 | INDONESIA | CHINESE TAIPEI |
| 3 | PAKISTAN | CANADA |
| 4 | BULGARIA | NETHERLANDS |
| 5 | NORWAY | POLAND |
| 6 | BOTSWANA | ARGENTINA |
| 7 | USA | AUSTRALIA |
| 8 | FRANCE | ECUADOR |
| 9 | ENGLAND | SINGAPORE |

## U-26 TEAMS

## RANKING AFTER ROUND I4

| 1 POLAND | 281 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2 NETHERLANDS | 258 |
| 3 AUSTRALIA | 240 |
| 4 CANADA | 234 |
| DENMARK | 234 |
| 6 NORWAY | 232 |
| 7 USA | 229 |
| 8 CHINESE TAIPEI | 212 |
| 9 GERMANY | 211 |
| 10 CHILE | 209 |
| 11 INDONESIA | 201 |
| 12 CHINA HONG KONG | 196 |
| 13 ITALY | 194 |
| 14 NEW ZEALAND | 179 |
| 15 ARGENTINA | 175 |
| 16 CHINA | 170 |
| 17 EGYPT | 149 |
| 18 INDIA | 141 |



| $\begin{aligned} & \text { VUGRAPH } \\ & \text { MATCHES } \end{aligned}$ | 1 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Israel - China (U-28/Round I5) | 10.30 |
| To be decided | 14.10 |
| To be decided | 17.30 |

## U-21 TEAMS

## RANKING AFTER ROUND I4

| 1 FRANCE | 294 |
| :--- | ---: |
| 2 ENGLAND | 280 |
| 3 BULGARIA | 268 |
| 4 CHINESE TAIPEI | 255.5 |
| 5 CHINA | 249 |
| 6 SINGAPORE | 240 |
| 7 POLAND | 230.5 |
| 8 NETHERLANDS | 226 |
| 9 AUSTRALIA | 225 |
| 10 USA | 214 |
| 11 NORWAY | 213 |
| 12 ARGENTINA | 201 |
| 13 PAKISTAN | 177 |
| 14 CANADA | 166.5 |
| 15 ECUADOR | 151 |
| 16 INDONESIA | 134 |
| 17 URUGUAY | 96.5 |
| 18 BOTSWANA | 43 |

## World Championship Book 2008

The official book of these championships will be available around the end of March. On publication the official retail price will be $£ 24$ Sterling plus postage. You can pre-order a copy at the championships at the reduced price of US\$35 ( 240 Rmb ) including surface mail, by seeing Brian Senior in the Bulletin Room at the BICC (through the back of the Open playing room).
The 2008 book will consist of 380 large pages, 44 more than normal, and this explains the price increase on previous years, which is also partly to offset the higher postal costs. The increased size is to cater to the fact that we have all the Youth events that have not previously been a factor in the World Championship book. By adding extra pages, we don't need to reduce the coverage of the Open etc.
There will be substantial coverage of all events, including every board of the final and semi-finals of the Open and Women's Teams, with full results listings, Butler rankings, photographs etc. Principle analysts will be Brian Senior, Barry Rigal and John Carruthers. This year's guest writers are Mark Horton, Geo Tislevoll and Marc Smith.
If you have a possible hand for inclusion, do feel free to send it to me at bsenior@hotmail.com.

## U-28 TEAMS



## U-28 TEAMS

## RANKING AFTER ROUND /4

| 1 POLAND | 267 | 39 ROMANIA | 208 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 ISRAEL | 260 | 40 BELARUS | 207 |
| 3 ENGLAND | 255 | SINGAPORE | 207 |
| 4 BELGIUM | 250 | 42 IRELAND | 204 |
| 5 CHINA | 248 | NETHERLANDS | 204 |
| 6 CHINESE TAIPEI | 244 | 44 LITHUANIA | 202 |
| 7 FRANCE | 239 | 45 GUADELOUPE-GUYANE-MARTINIQUE201 |  |
| 8 HUNGARY | 237 | GERMANY | 201 |
| INDIA | 237 | 47 UKRAINE | 200 |
| 10 ICELAND | 233 |  | 198 |
| II CZECH REPUBLIC | 232 | 48 SCOTLAND |  |
| 12 AUSTRALIA | 231 | 49 LEBANON | 196 |
| NORWAY | 231 | PAKISTAN | 196 |
| 14 CROATIA | 230 | 51 WALES | 194 |
| USA | 230 | 52 ARGENTINA | 193 |
| 16 GREECE | 229 | NEW ZEALAND | 193 |
| 17 DENMARK | 226 | 54 KOREA | 191 |
| EGYPT | 226 | 55 BANGLADESH | 190.5 |
| FINLAND | 226 | 56 BOTSWANA | 189 |
| INDONESIA | 226 | SLOVAKIA | 189 |
| 21 AUSTRIA | 225 | 58 SOUTH AFRICA | 185 |
| CANADA | 225 | 59 PHILIPPINES | 184 |
| 23 JAPAN | 223 | SLOVENIA | 184 |
| 24 SWITZERLAND | 221.5 | VENEZUELA | 184 |
| 25 ITALY | 219.5 | 62 ARUBA | 183 |
| PORTUGAL | 219.5 | COLOMBIA | 183 |
| 27 SERBIA | 218 | 64 URUGUAY | 179.5 |
| TURKEY | 218 | 65 JORDAN | 176.8 |
| 29 LATVIA | 217 | 66 ZIMBABWE |  |
| 30 THAILAND | 216 |  | 176.5 |
| 31 SWEDEN | 215 | 67 COSTA RICA | 175 |
| 32 SPAIN | 214 | 68 PERU | 159 |
| TUNISIA | 214 | 69 SRI LANKA | 148 |
| 34 BRAZIL | 213 | 70 KENYA | 142 |
| CHINA HONG KONG | 213 | 71 ALBANIA | 139 |
| ESTONIA | 213 | 72 JAMAICA | 133 |
| 37 ECUADOR | 210.5 | 73 MALAYSIA | 113 |
| 38 RUSSIA | 208.3 | MONGOLIA | 113 |

## Five Voices

What are you planning to do on your day off?


## Tomislav Gracin, Croatia U28

- We are planning going to the Great Wall. Hopefully we go together with Serbia. Then it might be some other sightseeing.

Nicolle Serra, Chile U26

- We are going to see the Great Wall, and also try to get some shopping done in any of the markets. I think we will go together with our Open team.



## Hisham Moharam, Egypt U26

- I have to go shopping, flash memories are cheap here. A laptop is also on the list together with some cloths. Then I would like to see the bird nest and the Great Wall.

Sapan Desai, India U28

- Very nice here, we have been to the great wall it was amazingly beautiful. Some language problems though. The bridge is going up and down.


Jovana Marinkovic, SerbiaU28

- We are going to the Great Wall. We also might go to the "Forbidden City" and I want to see the "Temple of Heaven". Some shopping would be nice as well.


## Final Series of the U21, U26 and U28 Teams

The top eight teams qualify in each category, ties being broken as in the Conditions of Contest.

There is a Captains' Meeting at 8.00 pm on Friday October 10th in the vugraph theatre.

Carry-overs in all series are as in 7.8 of the Supplemental Conditions of Contest.

Recorders are required for every match. It is the responsibility of every team to provide a recorder for the table at which they play North/South.

## Draw: All Series

Ist chooses from 5th to 8th
2nd chooses from the three remaining teams 3 rd chooses from the two remaining teams
4th plays the remaining team
In addition, the Ist placed team may select the other quarter-final match to be in their bracket, such that the two winners of these matches will meet in the semi-final.

## Seating Rights For The Quarter-finals

The teams may, in turn, by draw, select a session and decide they want to line-up before or after their opponents. There shall be a coin toss to decide which team has first choice to select a session, and the winner also has right of choice in the remaining session after each team has chosen once.

Session Times for Saturday IIth October ( $3 \times 16$-Board Sessions)
II. 00 - 13.20
$14.20-16.40$
$17.10-19.30$

## 66 Didn't Believe You"' <br> by Michael Byrne

During Round I3 of the U2Is, England met the Netherlands with England in a comfortable second place and Netherlands in a less comfortable seventh. The two teams know each other well, having been competing for years in the annual Channel Trophy between England, Netherlands, France and Belgium, and the two countries dominate the event, with their head to head match frequently deciding the outcome - as it did this year when England won.
This deal saw declarer conned by a cunning switch.

Board 9. Dealer North. E/WVul.

|  | ¢ A |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc$ A Q J |  |  |
|  | $\diamond$ J 8762 |  |  |
|  | \& K 1098 |  |  |
| ¢ 19543 | N |  | 62 |
| $\bigcirc 98543$ |  |  |  |
| $\diamond 10$ |  | E |  |
| \% Q 2 | S |  | \& AJ7653 |
|  | ¢ K 108 |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ K 10762 |  |  |
|  | $\diamond$ A 943 |  |  |
|  | ¢ 4 |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| T. Paske | Helmich | Jones | Hop |
| - | I $\diamond$ | 2\% | $2 \bigcirc$ |
| Pass | $4 \checkmark$ | All Pass |  |

Tom Paske led the queen of clubs to the king and ace and Ed Jones found the dastardly switch to the five of diamonds!
Declarer, fearing a singleton on his right, rose with the ace of diamonds and went one off. At the end of the hand his comment was," I didn't believe you...." But perhaps when two old friends meet it is a case of who is bluffing who?


Ed Jones - England

## HELP!

by Brian Senior
One SOS redouble in an auction is common, two is rare, three is exceptional. Take a look at this deal from the U28 Round I3 clash of Balkan rivals Croatia and Serbia.

Board 2. Dealer East. N/S Vul.

- 198
-1098632
$\diamond 5$
- J 107


| West <br> Brguljan | North <br> Maksimovic | East <br> Ruso | South <br> Jurisic |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | - | $2 \boxtimes$ | $3 \triangleleft$ |
| Dble | Pass | Pass | Rdbl |
| Pass | $3 \boxtimes$ | Pass | Pass |
| Dble | Pass | Pass | Rdbl |
| Pass | 39 | Pass | Pass |
| Dble | Pass | Pass | Rdbl |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

Lara Ruso's $2 \triangleleft$ opening showed five hearts plus a fourcard or longer minor, weak. When Marko Jurisic overcalled $3 \triangleleft$ he no doubt thought that he had a pretty decent hand, but Karlo Brguljan doubled with a confident air and when the bidding came back to Jurisic he decided to try to find a better spot. The auction developed a curious symmetry from here, with Jurisic alternating between pass and redouble, Brguljan between pass and double. By the time that the third SOS redouble came through the screen, Nikola Maksimovic had had enough and passed.
You might not think that a weakish three-three fit redoubled at the three level, vulnerable, would be a great success, but look at the spade position - East cannot over-ruff in diamonds! A trump lead would have left declarer a trick short (and perhaps is indicated on this auction?) but, after the actual lead of the queen of hearts, there were three top tricks in the minors and six trump tricks on a grand crossruff. Maksimovic wrapped up nine tricks for a wonderful +960 - a nice result against anyone, but all the sweeter against their local rivals.

## U-26 TEAMS

Poland has looked to be the powerhouse team in the Under 26 Championship so far and they did nothing to dispel that view in their Round 12 match-up with another traditionally strong country, Norway.
It seemed that everything that could go wrong for the Norwegians did in this match.

Board 19. Dealer South. E/WVul.

- 52
$\bigcirc 75$
$\diamond$ K Q 93
* 18652
- AKQJ9

คJ96542
$\diamond 6$
4 Q

- 643
© AK 8
$\diamond J 4$
AKK 1063
. 1087
$\bigcirc$ Q 10
$\diamond$ A 108752
- 97


Piotr Wiankowski - Poland

| West <br> Eide | North <br> Nawrocki | East Livgard | South <br> Sikora |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | - | - | 3 |
| $4 \diamond$ | $5 \diamond$ | 68 | All Pass |
| West | North | East | South |
| Wiankowski | Lindqvist | Nowosadzki | Berg |
| - | - | - | Pass |
| 19 | Pass | 2\% | Pass |
| $2 \checkmark$ | Pass | 24 | Pass |
| $3 \bigcirc$ | Pass | $4 \bigcirc$ | All Pass |

For Norway, Erik Berg passed the South hand and heard his opponents have a two-over-one auction to $4 \triangleright$. I thought that Michal Nowosadzki, who was looking at two ace-kings, was slightly cautious with the East cards - surely, having not repeated the clubs earlier, 4\% would have been a clear slam try for one of partner's suits, but he was no doubt put off by the weak spades.
Slam is neither here nor there, basically needing hearts two-two or the bare queen, so just with the odds but not by a lot. Here, Piotr Wiankowski received a diamond lead but soon claimed 12 tricks for +480 .
In the other room, Jan Sikora opened the South hand $3 \diamond$ - not automatic even at favourable vulnerability, but very effective on this occasion. Petter Eide showed his two-suiter and, when Piotr Nawrocki raised the level of the pre-empt, Allan Livgard closed proceedings with a jump to $6 \bigcirc$.
That meant II IMPs to Norway, right? Wrong! After ace of diamonds and a spade switch, Livgard won, played a heart to the ace and, when the ten appeared, crossed to the queen of clubs to run the jack of hearts; down one for -50 and II IMPs to Poland. Of course, declarer had heard the opening pre-empt and expected South to be short in another suit.

Board 23. Dealer South. All Vul.

- A 10

จJ 95
$\diamond$ KJ 975
-8 82

| - K 94 | N | - 18532 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Q Q 72 |  | ¢K 1064 |
| $\diamond$ Q 843 | W E | $\checkmark$ A |
| - A 64 | S | - K Q 10 |
|  | - Q 76 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ A 83 |  |
|  | $\checkmark 1062$ |  |
|  | -1973 |  |


| West Eide | North Nawrocki | East Livgard | South <br> Sikora |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | - | - | Pass |
| Pass | Pass | 14 | Pass |
| 20 | Pass | 2 | Pass |
| 49 | All Pass |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Wiankowski | Lindqvist | Nowosadzki | Berg |
| - | - | - | Pass |
| Pass | $1 \diamond$ | 14 | INT |
| Dble | All Pass |  |  |

We have all opened light in third seat at times and, even vulnerable, that North hand doesn't look to be such a terrible hand for such an opening, including as it does a decent five-card suit which you would certainly like to see partner lead. But the price was a high one as Berg bid INT over Nowosadzki's spade overcall and got doubled.
Wiankowski led the $\Phi 9$ against INT doubled and that went to the ten, jack and queen. Berg ran the ten of diamonds to the bare ace and back came a spade to dummy's ace. He crossed to the ace of hearts and repeated the diamond finesse but, when the suit proved to be four-one, could only cash the $\triangleleft K$ for down two; -500 .
In the other room, Nawrocki did not open the North hand so his opponents had a free run and reached spade game. With too many holes in the major suits, there is a lot of work to do to make this contract but both majors are very well placed so declarer had chances.
Sikora led the $\diamond 6$ to the jack and ace. Now declarer's first


Allan Livgard - Norway
chance was to lead to the king of spades. Though that loses, the ten appears on the next round to hold his losers in the suit to two. But Livgard led low to the $\$ 9$, losing to the ten. He won the club switch in hand and led the 8 . Chance number two was to run this, but Livgard judged to put up the king and now had three trump losers. He won the club return in dummy and led a heart to the ten, but was one down for - 100 and 12 IMPs to Poland instead of 3 IMPs to Norway.
On the next board the hand records assure us that Lindqvist opened 2NT with a balanced 14-count and Berg drove to seven, the final contract being 7NT doubled down three for -500 . Three No Trump was bid and made at the other table to give Poland I4 IMPs. If this result is correct, I would like to think that Lindqvist pulled the wrong bidding card and actually intended to open INT. If so, that is very unfortunate. If he did intend to open 2 NT , the Norwegian team psychiatrist has a tough job on his hands.

Board 27. Dealer West. None Vul.

|  | - A 732 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc$ AKQ982 |  |
|  | $\checkmark 5$ |  |
|  | - 72 |  |
| - 64 | N | - 109 |
| $\bigcirc 3$ |  | Q J 7 |
| $\checkmark$ AK 1084 |  | $\checkmark$ QJ 932 |
| 2 AK 1043 | S | * Q 985 |
|  | - KQJ 85 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 10654$ |  |
|  | $\diamond 76$ |  |
|  | * 16 |  |


| West <br> Eide | North <br> Nawrocki | East Livgard | South Sikora |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | - | - | Pass |
| $1 \diamond$ | 18 | 3 - | $3 \bigcirc$ |
| $5 \diamond$ | Dble | All Pass |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Wiankowski | Lindqvist | Nowosadzki | Berg |
| - | - | - | Pass |
| $1 \diamond$ | 18 | 3 | 38 |
| 5\% | Pass | $5 \checkmark$ | Pass |
| Pass | 58 | All Pass |  |

After identical starts to the two auctions, Eide simply competed with $5 \diamond$ over $4 \checkmark$ while Wiankowski chose to show the clubs. That had an effect on the respective Norths' decisions. Where Nawrocki doubled $5 \diamond$ because he expected to beat it but not to make $5 \geqslant$, Lindquist could pass 5\& then, when Berg did not double $5 \diamond$, bid $5 \diamond$ as a two-way shot, right if either contract was making. It seemed that Norway had got another board wrong but this was actually to be the one bright spot in a gloomy
match for them.
Against 5 §, Nowsadzki led the $\$ 5$ to the king and declarer's seven. Wiankowski cashed the ace of clubs before switching his attention to diamonds so that was one down for -50 .
Against $5 \diamond$ doubled, Nawrocki led the ace of hearts and Sikora's $\vee 5$ was not sufficiently clear - or perhaps, not knowing of declarer's second suit, Nawrocki simply chose to defend passively. When he continued with a second heart, Eide was home. He could ruff, draw trumps and take a spade pitch in dummy on the fifth club; +550 and 12 badly-needed IMPs to Norway.

| Board 28. Dealer West. N/S Vul. <br> - 108 <br> 7 A98652 Q 932 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \qquad A 63 \\ & \diamond K J 96 \\ & \diamond K J \end{aligned}$ | W E |  | $\begin{aligned} & 7542 \\ & 43 \end{aligned}$ |
| \% 8764 | $\wedge K J$ $\diamond A 10$ $\diamond 10$ $*$ AK | 2 2 |  |
| West <br> Eide | North Nawrocki | East Livgard | South Sikora |
| 19 | Pass | 28 | Pass |
| 38 | Pass | 49 | Dble |
| All Pass |  |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Wiankowski | Lindqvist | Nowosadzki | Berg |
| 1\% | Pass | I $\diamond$ | INT |
| Pass | Pass | 21 | Dble |
| Pass | 30 | Pass | 3NT |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

Livgard's $2 \boxtimes$ response showed spades, either weak or strong, and Eide decided to jump to 3s to show his support. Now Livgard went on to game, which suggests that the pair needs to discuss the situation as clearly someone should have bid differently. Sikora, who had been shut out of the auction to date, added a penalty double.
It could have been worse, I suppose. Nawrocki cashed the ace of diamonds before switching to his singleton heart and Sikora cashed the ace of clubs before giving him the heart ruff. There was still a trump to come for down two; -300, but had Sikora been willing to take the risk of the club disappearing, he could have given the heart ruff immediately and had an extra 200.
The Polish Club auction at the other table left room for Berg to make a natural INT overcall on the South cards. When Nowosadzki competed with 24, Berg doubled for take-out, perhaps a questionable decision with a near mini-
mum and poor spade holding. That got a cuebid from Lindqvist and Berg felt that he had to bid 3NT. Ace and another spade quickly put paid to that contract and the defence cashed out when they got in with the second diamond; two down for -200 and II IMPs to Poland.

Board 30. Dealer East. None Vul.

|  | ¢ A |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc 10$ | 32 |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ A | 98754 |  |
|  | 9 7 |  |  |
| ¢ K 8742 | N |  | 06 |
| $\bigcirc$ AJ |  | E $\quad \bigcirc \mathrm{K}$ | Q 974 |
| $\diamond 10$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| 2 Q 10985 | S |  |  |
|  | - J 9 |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 85$ |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ K J |  |  |
|  | \& 64 |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Eide | Nawrocki | Livgard | Sikora |
| - | - | I® | Pass |
| 14 | $2 \diamond$ | Dble | $4 \diamond$ |
| 49 | 5 | 5 | Pass |
| 59 | All Pass |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| Wiankowski | Lindqvist | Nowosadzki | Berg |
| - | - | I® | Pass |
| 14 | $3 \diamond$ | Dble | Pass |
| 4\% | Pass | 5\% | All Pass |

Nawrocki made a simple overcall and Livgard showed three spades with his double, which was enough for Eide to bid 49 over Sikora's pre-emptive diamond raise. But now Livgard had undisclosed extra values and felt that he should go on to the five level over 5s and the Norwegians were in trouble.
Nawrocki cashed the ace of diamonds then switched to a heart. Eide won in hand and led low towards the queen-ten and, when the ace appeared, just had to lose one more trump for one down; -50.
Lindqvist went for a jump overcall so here the double was a more general take-out, but Berg did not raise the level of the pre-empt. That left room for Wiankiwski to show his second suit at the four level and, it seems, Nowosadzki did very well to go on to game. Five Clubs can be defeated, but it requires North to cash the ace of spades then underlead in diamonds - difficult at the best of time but impossible when partner has not supported diamonds. In practice, Lindqvist cashed the $\diamond \mathrm{A}$ then the A A before leading a heart, and Wiankowski had no problem in coming to II tricks for +400 and 10 IMPs to Poland.
The Poles won the match by 64-I9 IMPs, 25-5 VPs, and continued their imperious march towards the knock-outs.

## Beer and Dollars



Your editor was called to serve as an Appeals Committee member on this board of Round II in the U26. This happened at the table:

Board 3. Dealer South. E/WVul.

(i) Constructive spade raise
(ii) Explanation by West to South: heart shortness; explanation by East to North: natural

North led a diamond for the queen, king and ace. Declarer ruffed a diamond in dummy and called for $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{j}}$. South erred by covering. West's king was won by North who switched to a spade. South took the ace and returned the queen. This was the position:

## Danish Business

Martin Schaltz/Jonas Houmoller, Denmark U-26, have on several occasions shown how to make some nice business out of doubling in Beijing.
It actually started already in the very first round against China Hong Kong.

Board I5. Declarer South. N/S Vul.


Martin Schaltz - Denmark

Both doubles were alerted and actually showed interest in the heart suit, Houmoller led the ace of hearts and continued with a small heart to the king, queen and a fourth heart to establish the last heart as a winner.
Declarer knew that West had started with 7-2 in the majors. To the next trick he played a diamond to the king. When he continued with another diamond towards dummy he had a restricted choice situation when East contributed the remaining low diamond. Did East have the J or QJ was the big question?
Declarer decided on the latter alternative and tried the ace, which was an expensive decision, since he now lost all connections to the dummy and had to win all the rest in his own hand.
At the end the contract went three down for -800 and 14 IMPs to Denmark after declarer also had to give up a trick to the jack of clubs.
Also Australia tried to deal with our Danish boys.

Board 32. Declarer West. E/W Vul.


The defence wasn't very kind. Houmoller led the nine of hearts which went to the jack. Ace and king of trumps followed, making sure that declarer would not have any cheap ruffs.
In fact the unhappy Australian declarer only scored two tricks, the ace of spades and a trump. That meant 1400 to Denmark and another 14 IMPs to the Danish team.
Don't make business with Danish boys, It will be expensive!

