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## $3^{\text {rd }}-18^{\text {th }}$ October 2008

AII six finalists in the three Youth Teams events are from Europe. China went very close against England in the U2I series, going into the final segment tied at 93-93. But England came through a tough match by 125-108 to face old rivals France in the final. The French led by 65 with a set to play. Bulgaria came back strongly in the last set but had left themselves too much to do and lost by 132-149.
The final of the U28s will feature Poland, who defeated France by 158-75, and Norway, who put out the host nation, China, by a score of 138 -9|.
In the U26 championship, Denmark won the Nordic battle with Norway by 140-69.7, having had a big first session and never allowing their opponents back in the match. Denmark will face Poland in the final. The Poles had a big first session against Chinese Taipei and, though Chinese Taipei pulled some points back in the second segment, Poland came through by 144-106.3.
Meanwhile, the first stage of the Pairs is complete and today the semi-finals begin, including those pairs dropping in after being knocked out of the team events. Good luck to all.

## Today's Schedule

10.00 U-28 Teams, Final I / Playoff I
10.00 U-26 Teams, Final I / Playoff I
10.00 U-2I Teams, Final I / Playoff I
10.30 Pairs, Semi Final I (Groups A-B)
13.00 U-28 Teams, Final $2 /$ Playoff 2
13.00 U-26 Teams, Final 2 / Playoff 2
13.00 U-21 Teams, Final 2 / Playoff 2
15.30 Pairs, Semi Final 2 (Groups A-B)
15.50 U-28 Teams, Final 3 / Playoff 3
15.50 U-26 Teams, Final 3 / Playoff 3
15.50 U-21 Teams, Final 3 / Playoff 3
18.40 U-28 Teams, Final 4 / Playoff 4
18.40 U-26 Teams, Final 4 / Playoff 4
18.40 U-2I Teams, Final 4 / Playoff 4

| RESULTS U-28 TEAMS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SEMI-FINAL |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ist | 2nd | 3rd | C.O | Total |  |  |
| 2 | FRANCE - POLAND | $36-40$ | $20-44$ | $19-62$ | $0-12$ |  |
|  | NORWAY - CHINA | $55-23$ | $52-26$ | $31-35$ | $0-7$ |  |

## RESULTS U-26 TEAMS

| SEMI-FINAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Ist | 2nd | 3rd | C. 0 | Total |
| 1 | NORWAY - DENMARK | 8-63 | 20-46 | 37-31 | 4.7-0 | 69.7-140 |
| 2 | CHINESE TAIPEI - POLAND | 32-74 | 48-32 | 26-38 | 0.3-0 | 106.3-144 |

## RESULTS U-21 TEAMS

| SEMI-FINAL |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Ist | 2nd | 3rd | C.O | Total |
| I FRANCE - BULGARIA | 60-49 | 60-18 | 17-65 | 12-0 | 149-132 |
| 2 CHINA - ENGLAND | 55-58 | 38-23 | 15-32 | 0-12 | 108-125 |

TODAY'S PROGRAM U-28 TEAMS

|  | FINAL |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| POLAND | $0-4.7$ | NORWAY |


| PLAYOFF |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CHINA | $0-0$ | FRANCE |

TODAY'S PROGRAM U-26 TEAMS

| FINAL |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| POLAND | 12-0 | DENMARK |  |
| PLAYOFF |  |  |  |
| CHINESE TAIPEI | $0-12$ | NORWAY |  |

TODAY'S PROGRAM U-21 TEAMS

|  | FINAL |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| FRANCE | $5.7-0$ | ENGLAND |
|  | PLAYOFF |  |
| BULGARIA | $0-8$ | CHINA |


| VUGRAPH |  |
| ---: | ---: |
| MATCHES | 10.00 |
| Poland - Denmark (U-26/Final) | 13.00 |
| To be decided | 15.50 |
| To be decided | 18.40 |
| To be decided |  |

## Pairs Semi-final

Please note that the Pairs Semi-final starts at 10.30 am today and tomorrow.

## Correction

In the article on the U29 RR match between England and France in Sunday morning's bulletin, the French East/West pair,Vinay and Seguineau, were given in the wrong seats. Vinay was actually East and Seguineau West.
Sometimes we mess up, this time we think it was BBO's fault, often, the players sit in the opposite seats to what it says on the line-up sheet. We apologise for this error but, please remember, there is along tradition of bulletins placing players in the wrong seats, and we would hate to break such a long-standing tradition.


## Which is your favourite and most hated convention?

(This question created a lot of laughter from those who were picked to answer...)


## Emilio Balda, Ecuador

- We play a convention that our coach made up for us, I don't know the name but it's a lot of relays and
 Multi is the worst, it really doesn't bother anyone and it doesn't create any problems either.

Tnino Vilup, Estonia

- Hmm... (laughing) I like the one we use after Precision when $2 \triangleleft$ is asking for a 4-card major. The one I don't like to use is when two in a major shows a five-card suit with a minor. Ok if they don't double we don't have trouble...but.... (laughing even
 more).



## Jacob Dunn, Wales

- Oh dear...I have to think... hmm... I think I like the 5 -card Puppet Stayman best. Gerber for sure is one of the worst, maybe apart from the inverted minor raises (Kaplan).

Ramunas Grigoraitis, Lithuania - I haven't played so long, I guess I like all of them. I really do like Warzaw $\diamond$. Its when we bid $I \diamond$ after the artificial ls opening, it's either natural or 4-4 in the majors.
I don't really dislike any of them I think. I like to try new conventions.


## Eve Hatfield, Wales

-That's really an odd question, but I think I have to answer "Pin-point Asptro". Gerber is really a dirty convention. By the way, that one was easy to pick out!

## Grand Slam

by Brian Senior
Until close to the halfway point, the U2I semi-final match between Bulgaria and France was a very close affair. Then France put on over 40 IMPs in the last 12 deals of the second set and it was virtually all over. The French picked up a big swing on this deal when Alexandre Kilani and Pierre Franceschetti outbid their Bulgarian counterparts to reach the good grand slam while the Bulgarians stopped in six.

Board I3. Dealer North. All Vul.

- J 87

QJ 106
$\diamond$ Q 10982

- Q 3

| - A 2 | N | . KQ 10963 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ¢K852 |  | $\bigcirc$ A97 |
| $\diamond 3$ | W E | $\diamond$ AK 7 |
| 2 AKJ985 | S | - 7 |
|  | - 94 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ Q 43 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ J654 |  |
|  | -10642 |  |


| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Siderov | Cannone | Spasov | Lorenzini |
| - | Pass | 19 | Pass |
| 2\% | Pass | 24 | Pass |
| 34 | Pass | $4 \diamond$ | Pass |
| 49 | Pass | 4NT | Pass |
| 5 | Pass | 64 | All Pass |
| West | North | East | South |
| Kilani | Skorchev | Franceschetti | Syusyukin |
| - | Pass | 19 | Pass |
| 2\% | Pass | 34 | Pass |
| 4\% | Pass | $4 \diamond$ | Pass |
| 4NT | Pass | $5 \diamond$ | Pass |
| $5 \diamond$ | Pass | $6 \diamond$ | Pass |
| 79 | All Pass |  |  |

Dean Spasov could rebid 24, forcing, so did so. Zhivko Siderov raised to 34 to set trumps but was then unwilling to cuebid, perhaps concerned that he owed his partner a trump. Spasov went on anyway, asking for key cards, but facing a hand that had to sign off over $4 \diamond$, he settled for the small slam; +|460.
Franceschetti rebid 34, stressing the good suit and good hand and Kilani cuebid then, on hearing a return cuebid, asked for key cards. Franceschetti showed three so Kilani asked about the queen of trumps and heard that his partner held both that card and the king of diamonds. Seven Spades had to have play now so Kilani bid it; +22IO and 13 IMPs to France.

## Latvian Business doubles in Beijing

It looks as though one of the really strong junior pairs from Latvia, Jurijs Balasovs and Janis Bethers will be one of the strong gold candidates in the Junior Pairs. It more or less looks as though they have put themselves in a very solid position near the top of the rankings. Here come some deals from their first three qualifying sessions.

Session I. Board 7. Declarer South. All Vul.


Jurijs Balasovs - Latvia

| West | North <br> Balasovs | East | South <br> Bethers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | - | - | $2 \boxtimes$ |
| Pass | $3 \varnothing$ | Pass | Pass |
| $3 \Phi$ | Dble | All Pass |  |

$2 \checkmark$ showed a five-card heart suit plus a minor and less then opening values. 3 was pre-emptive.
Apparently West, who was in the balancing seat, decided and thought that East should have some nice cards to help him if he acted. Belasovs was happy to bring the axe down.
The three of hearts was led. Declarer won with the ace and played a second heart which ran to the queen. Declarer was quite sure now that Belasovs didn't have any singleton. So when he now switched to the ten of clubs declarer took the finesse without thinking for long. Bethers returned a club and Belasovs could ruff it. The Latvian pair managed to take the contract three down for 800 and a top score.

Session I. Board IO. Declarer East. All Vul.
© 10874
$\stackrel{\mathrm{K} J}{ } 9$
$\diamond 105$
\& 7653
¢A932
$\bigcirc 87$
$\diamond 43$
\& AKJ 98

| N | , Q 65 |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | $\checkmark$ AQ 5432 |
| W E | $\diamond 8$ |
| S | \& Q 104 |
| ¢ K J |  |
| $\bigcirc 106$ |  |
| $\diamond$ AKQ J | 2 |
| \% 2 |  |


| West | North <br> Balasovs | East | South <br> Bethers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | - | $2 \Downarrow^{*}$ | Pass |
| $\mathbf{2} \nabla^{*}$ | Pass | Pass | $3 \square^{*}$ |
| Pass | $3 N T$ | Pass | Pass |
| Dble | All Pass |  |  |

West could probably not believe for a second that they were going to make this contract and therefore doubled for business. Bethers asked if the double had any special meaning but found out that it didn't have any lead directing meaning. East led a heart and dummy's ten won the trick. Belasovs quickly took his eight diamond winners to claim the contract. Another great score, especially since their opponents always made game if they bid it; 750 to Latvia.

Session I. Board 16. Declarer West. E/W Vul.

|  | ¢ K 73 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc$ Q J 92 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ K IO 863 |  |
|  | \% A |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 4 \text { A Q } 1042 \\ & \diamond 1083 \end{aligned}$ | N | ¢ - |
|  |  | $\bigcirc$ A 76 |
| $\diamond \mathrm{J} 2$ |  | $\diamond$ AQ 9754 |
| 2 K 104 | S | \% Q J 87 |
|  | ¢ J 9865 |  |
|  | ¢ K 54 |  |
|  | $\checkmark-$ |  |
|  | 996532 |  |


| West | North <br> Balasovs | East | South <br> Bethers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pass | I $\diamond$ | Pass | 19! |
| Pass | 2 | Pass | Pass |
| 24 | Pass | 3\% | Dble |
| All Pass |  |  |  |

Another balancing act, Bethers didn't want to play One Diamond and tried to look for a better suit. Two Diamonds promised extra values and he wisely decided that they already were high enough. West competed with 24 and East, who now faced Bethers initial problem, decided to try to find a better contract. Bethers decided he had seen enough of this and put an end to the bidding by doubling - there were no more suits to bid.
South led a low heart, all playing low. Declarer won the second round of hearts and tried a club to the king and ace.
Balasovs cashed his remaining heart trick before declarer could throw a heart on the ace of spades.
Declarer lost three more tricks when trumps misbehaved badly and ended two down; 500 to the Latvian pair.

Session I. Board I9. Declarer South. E/W Vul.


| West | North <br> Balasovs | East | South <br> Bethers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | - | - | Pass |
| 14 | $2 \boldsymbol{2}$ | $3 \%$ | Pass |
| 4 | Dble | All Pass |  |

Some more Latvian action. Balasovs finished off with an optional double and South had an easy pass.
The play went quickly: ace of clubs, club ruff, diamond to the ace, club ruff and the king of diamonds. That was another 500 for the Latvian pair, in a freely bid game this time.

Session 2. Board 3. Declarer South. E/W Vul.


Janis Bethers - Latvia

| West | North <br> Balasovs | East | South <br> Bethers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | - | - | Pass <br> $1 \mathbf{2}$ |
| $5 \triangleleft$ | Pass | $4 N T$ | $5 \diamond!$ |
| Dble | All Pass | $6 \uparrow$ | $7 \diamond$ |

More wild bidding after Bethers decided to pass with his 6-5 in the red suits. When East asked for aces, he thought he had to show partner what suit to lead and therefore bid $5 \diamond$. Five Hearts showed two aces and when Belasovs could sacrifice in $6 \diamond$ he chose to do the same against 64.
Bethers played well by not trying to pull trumps - instead he decided to set up his hearts before touching them. The contract went five down for IIOO, but that was nothing since their opponents not only made six, they had a grand slam to bid in either black suit.

Session 3. Board I. Declarer North. None Vul.

|  | ¢ K 6 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | จKJ10653 |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark 10832$ |  |  |
|  | 9 5 |  |  |
| ¢ AJ943 | $N \quad$ Q 5 |  |  |
| $\bigcirc 987$ |  | E | $\bigcirc$ A Q 42 |
| $\diamond \mathrm{J} 4$ |  |  | $\diamond$ A Q 95 |
| \& K 76 | S |  | \& A98 |
|  | - 10872 |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ - |  |  |
|  | $\diamond$ K 76 |  |  |
|  | \& Q J 10432 |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
|  | Balasovs | Bethers |  |
| - | - | - | Pass |
| Pass | $2 \diamond$ | 2NT | Pass |
| 38 | Dble | 30 | Pass |
| 3NT | All Pass |  |  |

In the third qualifying session, Balasovs showed proof of some very nice defensive skills. The queen of clubs was led, ducked by all. Bethers continued with the ten of clubs and declarer won in his hand. East, who was in a nice contract, continued with the queen of spades. Belasov now made an evil duck! Declarer tried a spade to the jack and was highly surprised when that lost to Balasovs king. He now shifted to the ten of hearts and declarer finessed. East now did the right thing by leading a low diamond towards the jack to at least make the contract. However nine tricks wasn't especially good when there were always II available.

Session 3. Board 20. Declarer West. All Vul.

|  | ¢ 1064 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc$ Q 4 |  |  |
|  | $\diamond$ K Q 10743 |  |  |
|  | \& $A$ Q |  |  |
| ¢ A 8532 | N | ¢ K Q |  |
| $\bigcirc$ K 83 |  | $\bigcirc$ J 1092 |  |
| $\diamond$ J 85 |  | $\diamond 62$ |  |
| \% 84 | S | \% KJ1096 |  |
|  | ¢ 197 |  |  |
|  | -A 765 |  |  |
|  | $\diamond$ A 9 |  |  |
|  | \& 7532 |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
|  | Balasovs |  | Bethers |
| - | $1 \diamond$ | Pass | 18 |
| Pass | $2 \diamond$ | Pass | $3 \diamond$ |
| Pass | Pass | Pass |  |

Let's end this Latvian show with a nice squeeze from Balasovs. He got a very friendly low club lead and won the trick with the queen. Balasovs counted his tricks to see that he already had the nine tricks he needed in his bag. Of course, that was assuming that diamonds behaved.
He decided to go for a Chinese finesse in hearts, running the queen, which lost to the king. West now returned a low spade so East got in with the queen. He continued with the king of spades and exited with a club (a heart would have been better) - this was the tempo declarer needed. Belasov then ran all his winners, squeezing West in hearts and spades and East in hearts and clubs. That was 10 tricks and another board that created a lot of matchpoints for the Latvian pair.
Balasovs-Bethers dropped a little in the fourth session but will most probably be out hunting more tops in the semi-finals. Beware, competitors!


## U-28 TEAMS

 Semi-Final 1
## V

## FRANCE

by Brian Senior

Two very experienced teams faced off in the first of the three 20 -board segments of the U28 semi-final between Poland and France. My personal fancy was that the eventual winners of the gold medal would come from this match - which, given my usual skill at prophecy, would be a great comfort to Norway and China, who met in the other semifinal.
Poland began the match with a 12 IMP carry-over and a quiet start saw France chip away at the deficit, closing to 7 - 12 after six boards. Then came the first Polish swing of the set.


Many players like the two-suited weak openings. Jerome Grenthe's $2 \checkmark$ opening only promised four cards in the second suit so Olivier Bessis was unwilling to go a level higher to play in what might be only a four-two fit, while there was no way to play in $3 \diamond$. Better, he thought, to pass $2 \checkmark$ and hope that his opponents might save him. They did not, and $2 \checkmark$ was passed out.
Jacek Kalita cashed the ace of clubs then switched to the 4 Q . Grenthe won the ace and led a diamond to the ace then the $\diamond \mathbf{Q}$, covered by the king as Grenthe discarded his
remaining spade. Krzysztof Kotorowicz cashed the queen of clubs then played the $\diamond 7$, which Grenthe ruffed with the nine. He came to six tricks; down two for -200 .
Konrad Araskiewicz opened $I \vee$ and his $2 \Leftrightarrow$ rebid made it comfortable for Krzysztof Buras to introduce his long diamonds. Two Diamonds ended the auction. Frederic Volcker led a low heart, on which Buras played dummy's ten. He had nine tricks now for +110 and 7 IMPs to Poland, levelling the set at 7-7.

Board 9. Dealer North. E/W Vul.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Q Q J } 1082 \\
& \& \text { QJ } 105 \\
& \diamond 10 \\
& \& \text { K } 76
\end{aligned}
$$

| - A | N | 4. 693 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc \mathrm{K} 72$ |  | $\bigcirc 64$ |
| $\checkmark$ AKQJ9632 | W E | $\checkmark 87$ |
| - 5 | S | * A Q 932 |
|  | - 7654 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ A 983 |  |
|  | $\checkmark 54$ |  |
|  | - 1084 |  |


| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kalita | Bessis | K. Kotorowicz | Grenthe |
| - | Pass | 2\% | Pass |
| $2 \diamond$ | Dble | 3\% | Pass |
| $3 \diamond$ | Pass | 3NT | Pass |
| $4 \diamond$ | Pass | $5 \diamond$ | Pass |
| $6 \diamond$ | All Pass |  |  |
| West | North | East | South |
| De Tessieres | Buras | Volcker | Araskiewicz |
| - | Pass | Pass | Pass |
| $2 \diamond$ | Pass | $2 \bigcirc$ | Pass |
| $3 \checkmark$ | Pass | 34 | Pass |
| 3NT | Pass | 4NT | Pass |
| $5 \diamond$ | All Pass |  |  |

Volcker did not open the East hand. Godefroy De Tessieres opened with a game-forcing artificial $2 \triangleleft$, showed his suit over the $2 \checkmark$ relay, then suggested 3NT. Volcker invited slam but, facing a passed hand, De Tessieres settled for game and scored a safe +620 .
Kotorowicz opened with a Precision-type 2\% and Kalita enquired with $2 \diamond$, doubled by Bessis. Most players would
treat the double of an artificial bid as showing the suit but, presumably, the French pretend $2 \diamond$ is artificial and use the double to show the other two suits. That double had a big part to play in the final outcome as Kalita eventually raised himself to the diamond slam and Bessis led the queen of spades.
Kalita won the spade and cashed a top diamond, noting the fall of the ten. Now he had a number of options - play for the $\vee A$ to be onside, take the two-way club finesse, or try the combination play of ace and ruff a club to try to drop the king-doubleton, then fall back on the heart play if necessary.
As we can see, there is only one losing option, the ruffing club finesse. Kalita decided that Bessis would have a lot of cards in the majors and that he rated to hold the $\vee \mathbf{A}$. In that case, the ruffing club finesse was the best chance, and that is what he played for, crossing to the ace of clubs and pitching a heart on the $\varphi K$ before running the 0 Q and going on d own for - 100 and 12 IMPs to France instead of 13 the other way.

Board IO. Dealer East. All Vul.

|  | ¢ A 74 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc$ QJ 108 |  |
|  | $\diamond$ K 984 |  |
|  | 2 105 |  |
| + 2 | N | ¢KQ 986 |
| $\bigcirc \mathrm{K} 72$ |  | $\bigcirc$ A 943 |
| $\diamond$ AJ 76 |  | $\checkmark 1053$ |
| \& Q 9862 | S | * 3 |
|  | ¢ J 1053 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 65$ |  |
|  | $\diamond$ Q 2 |  |
|  | 2 AKJ 74 |  |


| West | North | East <br> Kalita | Bessis |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | K. Kotorowicz | South |
| :---: |
| Grenthe |

Sometimes, it is the small contracts that are the most interesting as there are more options to consider.
Bessis did not double INT and led the queen of hearts. Kalita won the king and returned the $\vee 7$ to the eight and nine. A good start, but now he continued with the ten of diamonds to the queen and ducked it. Grenthe tried a low club switch but declarer put up the queen and led his spade to dummy's king. Next he tried a diamond to the jack, losing to the king. Bessis returned the $\vee \mathrm{J}$ to dummy's ace and

Kalita tried to split the diamonds then, when there was no good news there, exited with a club. Grenthe overtook his partner's ten to cash two more club winners and Bessis had the rest for down one; -100 .
In the other room the same contract was doubled by Buras, who also led the queen of hearts. De Tessieres won the $\vee \mathrm{K}$ and returned the seven but Buras split, playing the jack. De Tessieres ducked in dummy and Buras played another heart to take the entry off the dummy. Declarer took two heart tricks then led a club up, Araskiewicz rising with the ace and switching to the jack of spades, ducked to the king. Now declarer got the diamonds right by leading low to the jack and king, only to fall from grace at the next trick when Buras returned the $\diamond 9$, covering with dummy's ten and winning the queen with his ace. He continued with the $\diamond 7$ to North's eight but Buras cashed the ace of spades then put him in with the $\diamond 4$ to the six to force him to lead away from the 9 at trick 12; down one for -200 and 3 IMPs to Poland.

Board I2. Dealer West. N/S Vul.
¢K 753
$\bigcirc 3$
$\diamond 76$
\& K 109853


| West <br> Kalita | North Bessis | East | South <br> Grenthe |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19 | Pass | 19 | Pass |
| $2 \diamond$ | Pass | 2NT | Pass |
| 3NT | Pass | 49 | All Pass |
| West | North | East | South |
| De Tessieres | Buras | Volcker | Araskiewicz |
| $1 \diamond$ | Pass | 2 | Pass |
| 49 | All Pass |  |  |

Identical contracts were reached but from different seats and the results were very different.
Kalita opened a Polish then made an artificial game force and his sequence eventually showed a balanced hand with three-card spade support, enabling Kotorowicz to correct to the five-three spade fit. It might have been better had he not done so. Grenthe led his singleton club and Kotorowicz finessed, losing to the king. Bessis returned a suit preference $\mathcal{F} 10$ for Grenthe to ruff and he in turn was given his heart ruff for one down; -50.
Volcker could show a weakish hand with four hearts and
five spades and that gave De Tessieres the opportunity to become declarer in the spade game - a very good idea on this layout. Buras led the ten of clubs round to declarer's jack. De Tessieres tried the jack of spades and, when it didn't get covered, rose with dummy's ace, dropping the bare queen. He continued spades and Buras won the third round then switched to his heart singleton. Araskiewicz won but switched to the queen of diamonds; II tricks for +450 and II IMPs to France.

Board 13. Dealer North. All Vul.

- K Q J 62
-K983
$\diamond$ A 8
\& 16



| West <br> Kalita | North <br> Bessis | East <br> K. Kotorowicz | South <br> Grenthe |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | - | 18 | Pass |
| $3 \boldsymbol{s}$ | Pass | $4 \Omega$ | All Pass |
| West | North | East | South |
| De Tessieres | Buras | Volcker | Araskiewicz |
| - | - | 18 | Pass |
| $2 \boxtimes$ | All Pass |  |  |

De Tessieres made a simple limit raise to $2 \oslash$, which is what the hand is worth, of course, andVolcker was content to play there. Araskiewicz led a club and declarer won and played two rounds of trumps. The defence duly took its diamond tricks and that was +140 .
Kalita made a Bergen Raise, showing 6-9 with four hearts. Kotorowicz jumped to $4 \rrbracket$, which looks a bit aggressive and it got him to a dreadful contract. However, dreadful contracts have been made before and will no doubt make in the future. Grenthe too led a club. Kotorowicz won the ace and led a low diamond towards dummy's queen. Grenthe went in with the king and had the problem that perhaps declarer had the $\diamond \mathbf{A}$, when a spade might disappear from dummy. He cashed the ace of spades and, though Bessis played the five and declarer the three, had a fixed idea in his head so ignored his partner's discouraging signal and played a second spade. Kotorowicz won the $\mathbf{Q} \mathrm{Q}$, led to the

| West | North | East | South <br> De Tessieres |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Buras | Volcker | Araskiewicz |  |
| - | IS | All Pass |  |

Both Norths opened 14. Buras was left to play there. After a club lead, he came to four trump tricks plus the ace of diamonds; down two for -200 .
Kalita balanced with INT in the other room then accepted the invitation to game. Even a low spade lead does not defeat 3NT - declarer can squeeze/endplay North to give three heart tricks and nine in all. Bessis actually led the $\mathbf{\$ K}$ and now there was no need for any fancy play technique. Kalita made 10 tricks for +630 and 10 IMPs to Poland.

Board I4. Dealer East. None Vul.
© 9875
$\triangleright$ K 8
$\diamond$ A 642
2 1073

| - Q 2 | N | ¢ KJ6 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc 10653$ |  | $\checkmark$ A 9742 |
| $\diamond$ Q 7 | W E | $\diamond$ J 105 |
| \% KJ964 | S | 9 A |
|  | ¢ A 104 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ Q J |  |
|  | $\diamond$ K 983 |  |
|  | \& Q 852 |  |

Godefroy de Tessieres - France
$\checkmark A$ and pitched dummy's remaining diamond on the $\Phi K$. When he next played a second heart and the suit divided evenly, he had ten tricks for +420 and 7 IMPs to Poland.

Board I8. Dealer East. N/S Vul.

$$
\text { - A J } 1087
$$

A 7
$\diamond$ K 63

- AJ7

| - Q 9 | N | - K 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -KJ1093 |  | -Q 862 |
| $\checkmark$ Q9752 |  | $\checkmark$ A 4 |
| $\bigcirc 9$ | S | \& K Q 543 |
|  | - 6542 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 54$ |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ J 108 |  |
|  | -10862 |  |


| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | K. Kotorowicz |  |
| - | - | 29 | Pass |
| 2 | 24 | $4 \bigcirc$ | Pass |
| Pass | Dble | Pass | 49 |
| Pass | Pass | Dble | All Pass |
| West | North | East | South |
| De Tessieres | Buras | Volcker | Araskiewicz |
| - | - | INT | Pass |
| $2 \diamond$ | 24 | 38 | Pass |
| $4 \bigcirc$ | All Pass |  |  |

Volcker decided that his hand was worth a $15-17$ no trump and then, with both four-card heart support and outside distribution, felt obliged to follow through and compete with $3 \Omega$ over the 2 intervention. Naturally enough, De Tessieres went on to game. Araskiewicz led a spade to the nine, ten and king.Volcker led a trump and Buras won the ace, cashed his two black aces then exited safely with a trump. There was no way for declarer to avoid a diamond loser; down one for -50 .
Kotorowicz opened 2\&, Precision-style, and raised the non-forcing $2 \checkmark$ response to game over North's 2 overcall. Grenthe was unwilling to be pressured into bidding $4 \checkmark$ with no real playing strength but, when Bessis now showed a good hand by doubling, admitted to his spade support, 4 being doubled by Kotorowicz on the way out.
Kotorowicz led a low heart to the king and ace. Bessis played ace then jack of spades to the king and Kotorowicz cashed the $\ulcorner Q$ then switched to the king of clubs. Bessis could establish a club for a diamond discard from the dummy, after which a successful diamond play meant just one loser there; down one for -200 and 6 IMPs to Poland.
Poland won the set by 40-35 and led by 52-35 overall.

## Squeeze Time

## by Brian Senior

The Romanian U28 team finished in a respectable sixteenth place in the Swiss and are looking to achieve greater things in the Pairs. This deal from the first qualifying session of the Pairs shows that play technique should not let them down in that ambition.

Board I4. Dealer East. None Vul.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { - } \\
& \diamond J 865 \\
& \diamond K Q J 104 \\
& \text { K } 10986
\end{aligned}
$$

| ( A Q 98 | N | - KJ 432 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ¢Q972 |  | $\bigcirc$ AK 43 |
| $\diamond 82$ | W E | $\checkmark$ - |
| 9K 54 | S | ¢ A 732 |
|  | - 10765 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 10$ |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ A 97653 |  |
|  | Q Q J |  |


| West <br> Vulcan | North | East <br> Nistor | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | - | $1 \$$ | Pass |
| $2 N T$ | Pass | $3 \searrow$ | Pass |
| $4 \Phi$ | Pass | $6 \boldsymbol{L}$ | All Pass |

Radu Nistor opened Is and Bogdan Vulcan's 2NT response was at least invitational with spades. Three Diamonds said nothing about diamonds, merely that Nistor was not minimum. Vulcan jumped to game, confirming that he had only an invitational hand, and Nistor completed an unrevealing auction with a jump to slam.
South tried the ace of diamonds, which Nistor ruffed. He led a low spade to the queen, spotting the bad break, and immediately ducked a club to South's queen to either split the suit three-three or set up a likely squeeze. South returned a diamond. Taking no chances, Nistor ruffed with the jack, cashed the king of spades then finessed the 0 . When he next cashed the ace of spades, North was squeezed in clubs and hearts and that was twelve tricks. With most people stopping in game, bidding and making slam was worth a lot of matchpoints to the Romanian pair.


## BUTTLER RANKING U-28 ROUND ROBIN



## BUTTLER RANKING U-26 ROUND ROBIN

|  | Name |  | Butl | Brd Country |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | NOWOSADZKI Michal | WIANKOWSKI Piotr | 1,23 | 208 | POL |
| 2 | SCHALTZ Martin | HOUMOLLER Jonas | 15 | 40 | DEN |
| 3 | KROCHMALIK Daniel | WARE Griffith | 0,92 | 76 | US |
| 4 | PAGTER Vincent de | HOP Jacco | 0,87 | 176 | NED |
| 5 | SIKORA Jan | NAWROCKI Piotr | 0,74 | 176 | POL |
| 6 | MONTANARI Matteo | FRANCHI Arrigo | 0,73 | 208 | TA |
| 7 | LAVEE Daniel | NYSTROM Samantha | 0,67 | 224 | CAN |
| 8 | LEE Roger | FAY Kevin | 0,63 | 160 | USA |
| 9 | WANG Chang | ZHANG Zhizheng | 0,60 | 240 | CHN |
| 10 | DRIJVER Bob | GROENENBOOM M. | 0,40 | 192 | NED |
| 11 | BERG Ivar | REISTAD Tor Ove | 0,33 | 144 | NOR |
| 12 | GEAN Chia-Yu | YEH Chung-Cheng | 0,31 | 96 | TPE |
| 13 | MONTES DE OCA F. | SCANAVINO F. | 0,24 | 160 | ARG |
| 14 | PIOTROWSKI P. | WASIAK Artur Lucasz | 0,23 | 160 | POL |
| 15 | WUYen-Hsuan | TSAI Kun-Hung | 0,22 | 192 | TPE |
| 16 | EDGTTON Nabil | HUNG Andy Pei-en | 0,21 | 176 | AUS |
| 17 | GOSNEY John Paul | GRIFFITHS Aneurin | 0,20 | 192 | AUS |
| 18 | LINDQVIST Espen | BERG Erik | 0,18 | 192 | NOR |
| 19 | EIDE Petter | LIVGARD Allan | 0,17 | 208 | NOR |
| 20 | NIELSEN Lars K. | HOULBERG A. | 0,17 | 144 | DEN |
| 21 | MAYEFSKY Eric | CHUBUKOV Victor | 0,16 | 176 | USA |
| 22 | WORTEL Meike | MICHIELSEN Marion | 0,11 | 176 | NED |
| 23 | FOURNIER Jeremy | BLANCHARD Shane | 0,10 | 208 | USA |
| 24 | HUTAHAEAN David | FACHREZA - | 0,10 | 240 | NA |
| 25 | LIN Chih-Hung | WANG Po-Han | 0,08 | 256 | TPE |
| 26 | MADALA Adolfo A. | SMITH Jack | 0,04 | 272 | ARG |
| 27 | HALASI Charles | BLAGOV Anton | 0,04 | 192 | CAN |
| 28 | GIAMPIETRO Cristin | WUERMSEER Maria | 0,00 | 128 | GER |
| 29 | KATERBAU Janko | REHDER Martin | -0,02 | 224 | GER |
| 30 | COUTTS James | BAILEY Nicholas | -0,03 | 176 | NZL |
| 31 | KOO Tsang Tat Ricky | POON Ching | -0,03 | 160 | HKG |
| 32 | ROBLES Benjamin | PACAREU Joaquin | -0,07 | 272 | CHI |
| 33 | WHIBLEY Michael | MILNE Liam | -0,10 | 224 | NZL |
| 34 | GOTARD Thomas | ZIMMERMANN Felix | -0,15 | 192 | GER |
| 35 | ANDHANI Rury | ARIYANI Fransisca | -0,18 | 80 | INA |
| 36 | SANGIORGIO Alberto | BARONI Irene | -0,20 | 176 | TA |
| 37 | MAMBU Mario | MARAMIS Arie | -0,21 | 224 | INA |
| 38 | LI Ka Him | LAM Ka Ning Charles | -0,23 | 192 | HKG |
| 39 | LAU Chun Ting Arthur | FUNG Lik Hang Nick | -0,26 | 192 | HKG |
| 40 | MOHARAM Hisham | SAWIRES Mina | -0,33 | 160 | EGY |
| 41 | BILDE Dennis | JEPSEN Emil | -0,38 | 160 | DEN |
| 42 | ALUJAS Gabino | DEBARNOT G. | -0,45 | 256 | ARG |
| 43 | DULMAGE James | ROSOM Scott | -0,67 | 128 | CAN |
| 44 | GUYi | LI Zhuo | -0,70 | 128 | CHN |
| 45 | SRIRAM Prashanth | GUTHI Raja Sekhar | -0,73 | 192 | IND |
| 46 | BOLDRINI Andrea | MANNO Andrea | -0,76 | 160 | ITA |
| 47 | ZAYTOUN Mahmoud | SHEDID Moustafa | -0,83 | 160 | EGY |
| 48 | ELSHAZLY Moustafa | ABDELFATTAH A. | -0,83 | 192 | EGY |
| 49 | FERRO Felipe Jose | ALONSO Fernando | -0,84 | 128 | ARG |
| 50 | HAZRA Arijit | DAS Soumya | -0,94 | 176 | IND |
| 51 | QIN Feng | LIANG Shuang | -1,07 | 176 | CHN |
| 52 | SHARMA Karan | RAGHAVAN Vinoth | -1,10 | 176 | IND |
| 53 | JACOB Nick | HUMPHRIES Susan | -1,55 | 144 | NZL |

## BUTTLER RANKING U-2I ROUND ROBIN

| Name |  |  | Butl | Brd Country |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | LORENZINI Cedric | CANONNE Marion | 1,56 | 176 | FRA |
| 2 | SKORCHEV Stefan | SYUSYUKIN Ivan | 1,43 | 160 | BUL |
| 3 | HICKMAN Adam | MCINTOSH Daniel | 1,37 | 128 | ENG |
| 4 | LHUISSIER Nicolas | LEBATTEUX Aymeric | 1,31 | 192 | FRA |
| 5 | JONES Edward | PASKE Thomas | 1,25 | 256 | ENG |
| 6 | PASKE Benjamin | MYERS Robert | 1,11 | 160 | ENG |
| 7 | DONG Chunhui | SONG Qi | 1,04 | 96 | CHN |
| 8 | HU Junjie | CHEN Yichao | 1,01 | 224 | CHN |
| 9 | SHIH Jie-Ren | KUO Pin-Tsen | 0,90 | 272 | TPE |
| 10 | GRUDE Tor Eivind | HEGGE Kristoffer | 0,89 | 160 | NOR |
| 11 | JASSEM Pawel | ZATORSKI Piotr | 0,82 | 176 | POL |
| 12 | CORY Matthew | COLLURA Angela | 0,68 | 96 | USA |
| 13 | KATZ Sam | DWYER Kevin | 0,66 | 256 | USA |
| 14 | CHENG Yu-Shiang | HUNG Ying-Cheng | 0,63 | 272 | TPE |
| 15 | JIANG Yujie | DONG Chunhui | 0,61 | 80 | CHN |
| 16 | FRANCESCHETTI P. | KILANI Alexandre | 0,61 | 176 | FRA |
| 17 | YOU Ying Dan | TAN Wei Seng | 0,56 | 80 | SIN |
| 18 | NAB Vincent | NAB Judith | 0,55 | 176 | D |
| 19 | HOLLANDS Peter | HOWARD Justin | 0,45 | 176 | US |
| 20 | CHEN Zhang Fei Perry | YEO Yong Hui | 0,38 | 240 | SIN |
| 21 | BOGEN Haakon | JOHANSEN Lars A. | 0,35 | 176 | NOR |
| 22 | SPANGENBERG J. | SPANGENBERG Sigrid | 0,31 | 160 | NED |
| 23 | SKORCHEV Stefan | VASILEV Nikolai | 0,29 | 96 | L |
| 24 | IGLA Bartlomiej | MACHNO Artur | 0,26 | 160 | POL |
| 25 | HELMICH Aarnout | HOP Gerbrand | 0,16 | 208 | NED |
| 26 | SIDEROV Zhivko | SPASOV Dean | 0,15 | 192 | BUL |
| 27 | KRAWCZYK Joanna | TUCZYNSKI Piotr | 0,10 | 208 | POL |
| 28 | LIEN Owen | BRESCOLL Zachary | 0,08 | 192 | USA |
| 29 | DE CASO Luis Maria | ETCHEPAREBORDA J. | 0,07 | 144 | ARG |
| 30 | HOWARD Justin | HOWARD Nathan | 0,03 | 80 | AUS |
| 31 | BIGLIERI Franco Javier | CRUSIZIO Maximo | 0,01 | 224 | ARG |
| 32 | BASHIR Oosman | KHAN Zain M. | -0,01 | 256 | PAK |
| 33 | THAMY. | OH Feng Gui D. | -0,12 | 224 | SIN |
| 34 | KANDIJO Renal | MOGOT Daan Elia | -0,14 | 272 | N |
| 35 | MALIK Haider Ali | HUSSAIN Mirza | -0,14 | 272 | PAK |
| 36 | CHEN Simin | JIANG Yujie | -0,17 | 128 | CHN |
| 37 | HOLLANDS Peter | MUNRO Angus | -0,21 | 80 | AUS |
| 38 | EIDE Harald | SKJETNE Erlend | -0,29 | 160 | NOR |
| 39 | BALDA Emilio | BALDA Javier Andres | -0,45 | 224 | ECU |
| 40 | ZIND Gordon | IABONI Aled | -0,46 | 272 | CAN |
| 41 | HOWARD Nathan | COOPER Rhys | -0,51 | 112 | AUS |
| 42 | MACAULAY Ethan | MAGUIRE John | -0,63 | 96 | CAN |
| 43 | McCOLL Malcolm | WOOD Tristan | -0,66 | 176 | CAN |
| 44 | VINUEZA Juan F. | GRIJALVA Rolando | -0,79 | 192 | ECU |
| 45 | MANURUNG Usber | YARHAM Suhaili | -1,11 | 272 | INA |
| 46 | BULSON Diana | GARCIA DA ROSA D. | $-1,47$ | 112 | URU |
| 47 | GARCIA DA ROSA R. | OCHOA Sebastian | -1,86 | 240 | URU |
| 48 | BULSON Diana | MINUTI Guillermo | -2,09 | 80 | URU |
| 49 | GARCIA DA ROSA D. | MINUTI Guillermo | -2,13 | 80 | URU |
| 50 | KOORENG Tebogo | SEKGOMA Kabelo | -2,49 | 208 | BOT |
| 51 | KGOSIETSILE K. | KATSHENE Oratile | -3,00 | 176 | BOT |

saums spors pulli pldo /h 7sT

|  | FOL | DEAN | 呺5 | NED | US | NOR | TPE | 0 Oil | GER | ¢ ${ }_{\text {\% }}$ | [ni | HKG | CHL | chil | M $\mathrm{H}_{6}$ | NINL | EGY | [av | Fein | Te:m | Tatul | Renlt |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F ${ }^{\text {cha }}$ |  | 22 | 1.4 | 25 | 23 | 25 | 15 | $\pi$ | 25 | 14 | 19 | 19 | 21 | 25 | 15 | 22 | 25 | 20 |  | POLAND | 3 Sc | 1 |
|  | 8 |  | 17 | 25 | 17 | 12 | 14 | 24 | 8 | 21 | 18 | 25 | 19 | 21 | 9 | 15 | 25 | 25 |  | CENMARK | 303 | 2 |
|  | 1s | 13 |  | 13 | 9 | 11 | 10 | 22 | 15 | 1s | 23 | 25 | 10 | 15 | 25 | 25 | 1 n | 23 |  | ALEGTRALA | 300 | 3 |
| \|WETHERLH|SS | 3 | 5 | 17 |  | 22 | 9 | 25 | 17 | 11 | 19 | 19 | 13 | 19 | 25 | 21 | 22 | 21 | 25 |  | NETHERLA NITS | 293 | 4 |
|  | 7 | 13 | 21 | $\pi$ |  | 11 | 10 | 22 | 25 | 17 | 21 | 18 | 14 | 18 | 23 | 15 | 25 | 15 |  | LEGA | 294 | 5 |
| \|WCRTH | 5 | 18 | 15 | 21 | 19 |  | 21 | 25 | 1s | 17 | 15 | 17 | 23 | 10 | 15 | 10 | 13 | 10 |  | WORTHAY | 2.22 | 5 |
| CHITMESETMTPE | 15 | 1s | 12 | 1 | 20 | 9 |  | 5 | 25 | 14 | 22 | 23 | 17 | 17 | 7 | 10 | 20 | 23 |  | CHINESE TAIPE | 2 G | 7 |
| CHMAL | 22 | 5 | $\square$ | 13 | \# | 3 | 25 |  | 11 | 25 | ${ }_{7}$ | 11 | 25 | 13 | 25 | 25 | 17 | 17 |  | CANADA | 252 | $\pi$ |
|  | 3 | 22 | 15 | 19 | 2 | 1.4 | 3 | 19 |  | 25 | 15 | 12 | 21 | 18 | 13 | $\pi$ | 25 | 18 |  | GER MANY | 253 | 9 |
| ITMLI' | 1s | $\bigcirc$ | 14 | 11 | 13 | 13 | 15 | 5 | 4 |  | 19 | 15 | 9 | 24 | 23 | 17 | 17 | 23 |  | TTALY | 252 | 10 |
| IIWCOIUESIA | 11 | 12 | 7 | 11 | 9 | 15 | 0 | 22 | 14 | 11 |  | 15 | 13 | 13 | 21 | 15 | 15 | 21 |  | INDONESLA | 240 | 11 |
| CHINA HOIMG KOUG | 11 | 2 | 5 | 17 | 12 | 13 | 7 | 19 | 15 | 11 | 15 |  | 15 | 14 | 17 | 13 | 24 | 25 |  | CHINA HONG KONG | 249 | 12 |
| CHILE | $\bigcirc$ | 11 | 20 | 11 | 15 | 7 | 13 | 5 | 9 | 21 | 14 | 14 |  | 15 | 5 | 22 | 17 | 25 |  | CHILE | 230 | 13 |
| CHIW | 4 | 9 | 12 | 5 | 12 | 20 | 13 | 17 | 12 | 5 | 14 | 15 | 11 |  | 25 | 19 | 15 | 1s |  | CHINA | 227 | 14 |
| Mricuptum | 14 | 21 | 5 | 9 | 7 | 15 | 23 | 2 | 17 | 7 | 9 | 13 | 25 | 4 |  | 25 | 4 | 17 |  | ARGENTINA | 217 | 15 |
| \|WCW ZEMLM | 0 | 15 | 5 | 0 | 14 | 12 | 12 | 4 | 22 | 13 | 14 | 17 | 8 | 14 | 3 |  | 18 | 15 |  | NEW 2EALANT | 206 | 15 |
| EGIT | 5 | 3 | 12 | 9 | 5 | 17 | 10 | 13 | 2 | 13 | 15 | 5 | 13 | 1.4 | 25 | 12 |  | 13 |  | EGYPT | 1.19 | 17 |
| IWIA. | 10 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 15 | 20 | 7 | 13 | 12 | 7 | 9 | 5 | 0 | 14 | 13 | 11 | 17 |  |  | INDIAA | 150 | 18 |


|  | 奖 | $\rightarrow$ | r．d | $\cdots$ | $\pm$ | $\checkmark$ | 0 | F－ |  | 0 | 9 | $\overrightarrow{7}$ | $\stackrel{+}{-1}$ | $\cdots$ | $\pm$ | $\xrightarrow{\square}$ | $\square$ | $\stackrel{\square}{-}$ | $\stackrel{1}{*}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }_{8}^{8}$ | 豆 | $\stackrel{7}{7}$ | 品 |  | 品 | 管 | 窟 | \| 吕 | 宮 |  | 帯 | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{1}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{l\|l\|} \hline \text { 兴 } \end{array}\right.$ | \||鬲 | $\stackrel{\square}{7}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 吡 } \\ & \text { 嘔 } \end{aligned}$ | 罟 | 装 | 号 |
| 를 믈 | E |  | 点 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 点 } \\ & \stackrel{y}{6} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 畄 } \\ & \text { 筫 } \\ & \hline \overrightarrow{\mathrm{B}} \end{aligned}$ | 恖 |  | 害 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 容 } \\ & \text { 号 } \\ & \text { 豆 } \\ & \text { 岡 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 要 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 둘 } \\ & \text { 4 } \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 咢 |
|  | 产 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 魚 | ${ }_{5}^{4}$ | ${ }_{\text {n }}$ | 4 | $\stackrel{4}{4}$ | $\stackrel{H}{4}$ | 枵 | 哯 | $\stackrel{4}{4}$ | 号 | m | 4 | 近 | $\stackrel{5}{1}$ | 点 | 号 | $\pm$ | $\cdots$ |  |
|  | 豆 | $\stackrel{\text { Lr }}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{4}{4}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 9 \\ \vec{j} \end{array}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\square}$ | 号 | $\stackrel{4}{4}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{7}$ | $\stackrel{4}{4}$ | $\vec{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{+}{\square}$ | － | $\stackrel{\text { ras }}{ }$ | $\stackrel{n}{4}$ |  | $\stackrel{4}{4}$ | $\stackrel{7}{7}$ |  | 9 |
|  | 灵 | 寺 | H | $\stackrel{n}{n}$ | 成 | 志 | F－ | ${ }_{\square}$ | ${ }^{\text {m }}$ | m | 늑 | m | $\stackrel{\text { F－}}{ }$ | 1－ | 5 | $a$ |  | $\stackrel{3}{\square}$ | $\ddagger$ |
|  | 5 | $\stackrel{5}{4}$ | r－d | n | 寺 | ¢ | $\ddagger$ | $\stackrel{4}{4}$ | m | － | $\stackrel{5}{5}$ | m | $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ | H | ㄷ |  | 寺 | $\rightarrow$ | $\rightarrow$ |
|  | 곤 | ${ }_{\square}^{4}$ | 寺 | $\stackrel{4}{\square}$ | $\vec{\square}$ | m | $\square$ | FJ | ${ }^{5}$ | F－J | $\checkmark$ | $\stackrel{4}{4}$ | 枵 | ${ }^{\text {ra }}$ |  | S | $\stackrel{\mathrm{ra}}{\sim}$ | ＋－ | $\cdots$ |
|  | 学 | 昌 | F | $\vec{\sim}$ | rid | 出 | F－ | 寺 | $\stackrel{\mathrm{ra}}{\sim}$ | 近 | $\stackrel{\square}{7}$ | Fd | 产 |  | $\cdots$ | 4 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\square$ |
|  | 寝 | $\stackrel{\square}{\text { a }}$ | B | $\stackrel{+}{+}$ | $\stackrel{+}{-}$ | ${ }_{\sim}^{4}$ | $\vec{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ | $\cdots$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{-1}$ | 寺 | $\cdots$ |  | $\stackrel{\mathrm{F}}{-}$ | $\pm$ | 0 | $\stackrel{m}{\square}$ | $\Leftrightarrow$ | $\square$ |
|  | 旨 | $\stackrel{4}{4}$ | \％ | 寺 | $\stackrel{\text { J }}{ }$ | $\stackrel{\square}{\sim}$ | J | m | 录 | $\stackrel{4}{\square}$ | $\stackrel{5}{5}$ |  | 9 | $\Leftrightarrow$ | r．s | ＋ | ＋－ | S | $\checkmark$ |
|  | 근 | $\stackrel{4}{4}$ | $\stackrel{-}{-}$ | $\stackrel{\text { rad }}{ }$ | $\stackrel{-}{-}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\square}$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\stackrel{\square}{-}$ | $\stackrel{ }{*}$ | －． |  | $\stackrel{r}{\square}$ | $\cdots$ | $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ | $\stackrel{\text { rid }}{ }$ | $\stackrel{r}{-1}$ | 回 | $\stackrel{-}{-}$ | $\cdots$ |
|  | 豆 | $\pm$ | Fs． | ¢ | $\cdots$ | m | 岗 | $\stackrel{\mathrm{r}}{-1}$ | 9 |  | ${ }_{5}^{4}$ | 4 | $\stackrel{\square}{-}$ | m | $\bullet$ | $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ | ＋－ | 0 | $\square$ |
|  | $\stackrel{\text { cres }}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ | $\stackrel{3}{3}$ | $\overrightarrow{7}$ | $\stackrel{\square}{\text { rid }}$ | 昌 | $\pm$ | $\cdots$ |  | － | $\stackrel{\text { ras }}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ | $\stackrel{\square}{7}$ | $\stackrel{+}{*}$ | $\square$ | r－ | $\cdots$ | ${ }^{\text {ra }}$ | $\square$ |
|  |  | m | 9 | $\vec{\sim}$ | G | ＋． | 声 |  | J | $\stackrel{3}{9}$ | 寝 | $\stackrel{+}{-}$ | $\checkmark$ | $\square$ | $\Leftrightarrow$ | $\stackrel{7}{\square}$ | $\checkmark$ | $\stackrel{4}{7}$ | $\rightarrow$ |
|  | 品 | $\stackrel{\mathrm{ra}}{-1}$ | $\mathrm{n}^{\mathrm{a}}$ | G | ra | $\vec{~}$ |  | 9 | $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ | $\sim$ | 国 | $\cdots$ | 0 | m | ＋ | 9 | m | $\square$ | $\square$ |
|  | 含 | 9 | $\cdots$ | $\stackrel{\square}{-}$ | 9 |  | 过 | m | 5 | ＋－ | － | $\checkmark$ | r． | $\sim$ | $\stackrel{+}{-}$ | 9 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\square$ |
|  | 존 | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{n}}$ | m | 브 |  | $\ni$ | $\cdots$ | $\overrightarrow{7}$ | ＋－ | 5 | $\stackrel{\text {－r }}{-1}$ | $\stackrel{3}{3}$ | 娅 | r－ | 9 | 0 | r－ | $\square$ | $\square$ |
|  | $\stackrel{\text { 山 }}{\text {＋}}$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\stackrel{\mathrm{ra}}{-1}$ |  | 9 | $\stackrel{r}{-4}$ | $\cdots$ | 9 | 9 | 9 | $\Leftrightarrow$ | 0 | $\stackrel{r}{-1}$ | 5 | $\xrightarrow{4}$ | $\sim$ | $\cdots$ | $$ | $\rightarrow$ |
|  | 壳 | $\cdots$ |  | $\stackrel{\square}{-}$ |  | 0 | r． | $\ddagger$ | $\stackrel{-1}{-1}$ | $\theta$ | $\cdots$ | $\stackrel{ }{-}$ | 5 | $\stackrel{\square}{0}$ | $\because$ | $\because$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | $=$ |
|  | $\frac{\text { 号 }}{4}$ |  | 9 | 家 | $\sim$ | $\stackrel{7}{+}$ | 5 | F－ | ＊ | 沓 | $\rightarrow$ | $\cdots$ | $\Rightarrow$ | 닥 | $\pm$ | $\stackrel{\mathrm{r}}{-1}$ | $\checkmark$ | $\square$ | 5 |
|  | 爰 |  |  |  | 䓂 |  | 总 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 号 } \\ & \text { 管 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 灵 } \\ & \text { 豆 } \\ & \text { 急 } \end{aligned}$ |  | 害 | $\sqrt{\text { 票 }}$ |  | 空 |  | 录 |

## PAIRS QUALIFICATION GROUP A

|  | Name | $3{ }^{\text {rd }}$ | $4^{\text {th }}$ | Total | 60 | LAU - FUNG (HKG) | 54,24 | 53,67 | 198,93 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | GRAINGER - SABOURIN (CAN) | 64,37 | 58,49 | 241,54 | 61 | SORENSEN - MORTENSEN (DEN) | 54,45 | 35,98 | 198,54 |
| 2 | BELGU - YILMAZBAYHAN (TUR) | 67,13 | 63,06 | 238,39 | 62 | CHABCHOUB - MEDDEB (TUN) | 33,41 | 57,19 | 198,31 |
| 3 | FRANCHI - MONTANARI (ITA) | 56,18 | 59,56 | 237,96 | 63 | SORENSEN - PEDERSEN (DEN) | 48,17 | 50,71 | 198,29 |
| 4 | JOHANSSON - MALMSTROM (SWE) | 56,03 | 63,91 | 232,58 | 64 | HOLLANDS - MUNRO (AUS) | 42,49 | 46,24 | 197,69 |
| 5 | KARHULAHTI - SAUVOLA (FIN) | 60,27 | 61,72 | 230,33 | 65 | GONCALVES - SILVA (POR) | 48,02 | 52,26 | 197,05 |
| 6 | BALASOVS - BETHERS (LAT) | 56,72 | 41,77 | 226,91 | 66 | OH - THAM (SIN) | 51,01 | 48,84 | 196,76 |
| 7 | VROUSTIS - KARAMANLIS (GRE) | 62,90 | 49,73 | 226,28 | 67 | GISLASON - SIGURDARSON (ISL) | 50,29 | 47,29 | 196,15 |
| 8 | SUMARAUW - TANGKUMAN (INA) | 59,27 | 63,08 | 225,40 | 68 | FAGERLUND - FAGERLUND (FIN) | 56,39 | 46,37 | 195,36 |
| 9 | BARBOSA - BRUM (BRA) | 54,96 | 54,97 | 224,17 | 69 | GODED M. - TKATCHV. (ESP) | 45,40 | 44,38 | 195,32 |
| 10 | LIANG - QIN (CHN) | 59,16 | 56,32 | 223,54 | 70 | GU - LI (CHN) | 54,49 | 41,91 | 194,58 |
| 11 | GOTARD - ZIMMERMANN (GER) | 54,27 | 50,46 | 222,96 | 71 | LA ROVERE - CAMARGO (BRA) | 46,12 | 50,17 | 193,82 |
| 12 | BARONI - SANGIORGIO (ITA) | 58,80 | 45,25 | 222,31 | 72 | GIAMPIETRO - WUERMSEER (GER) | 53,23 | 57,33 | 193,54 |
| 13 | BETHERS - LORENCS (LAT) | 49,86 | 56,62 | 222,00 | 73 | FELLUS - FELLUS (ITA) | 54,99 | 54,60 | 193,29 |
| 14 | NISTOR -VULCAN (ROM) | 56,25 | 56,58 | 221,60 | 74 | LAI - YEO (SIN) | 51,98 | 43,53 | 192,84 |
| 15 | MADALA - SMITH (CHI) | 53,81 | 57,22 | 221,10 | 75 | CHEN - YEO (SIN) | 44,86 | 50,24 | 192,36 |
| 16 | SOLOVYEV -VESELOV (RUS) | 57,08 | 43,77 | 219,34 | 76 | SAFTA - STAFIE (ROM) | 47,92 | 52,51 | 191,90 |
| 17 | STUURMAN -VISSER (NED) | 59,20 | 59,81 | 218,85 | 77 | SIKIOTI - DARKADAKIS (GRE) | 47,92 | 47,12 | 191,83 |
| 18 | KOPECKY - MACURA (CZE) | 57,87 | 53,60 | 218,11 | 78 | ZHANG - SHI (SIN) | 52,12 | 46,08 | 191,68 |
| 19 | DOXIADIS - KONTOMITROS (GRE) | 59,99 | 51,12 | 218,06 | 79 | EGE - ROHRBERG (DEN) | 34,95 | 57,83 | 191,57 |
| 20 | FERRARI - SBARIGIA (ITA) | 56,25 | 61,14 | 217,83 | 80 | VOLOZHENIN - NEKRASOVA (RUS) | 56,14 | 35,2I | 191,45 |
| 21 | MURNIATI - SOEBROTO (INA) | 45,15 | 60,53 | 217,24 | 81 | HOPFLER - KAUTNY (AUT) | 47,27 | 46,51 | 190,67 |
| 22 | GRUE - LALL (USA) | 54,71 | 56,14 54,77 | 216,72 | 82 | TEICHMANN - ZYLKA (CZE) | 51,22 | 48,03 | 190,34 |
| 23 | SANGHVI - DATTA (IND) | 54,74 60,27 | 54,77 | 216,52 216,49 | 83 | COOPER - HOWARD (AUS) | 46,08 | 52,73 | 190,31 |
| 24 25 | IGLA - MACHNO (POL) | 60,27 60,13 | 47,21 | 216,49 | 84 | ELLERBECK - GRUENKE (GER) | 43,18 | 45,39 | 189,85 |
| 26 | ANTER - KIZILOK (TUR) | 49,28 | 50,39 | 215,11 | 85 | SAKIRLER - SEN (TUR) | 49,17 | 49,12 | 188,86 |
| 27 | JONES - DUNN (WAL) | 52,30 | 54,55 | 215,00 | 86 | BJORNSDOTTIR - KRISTINSSON (ISL) | 47,74 | 46,88 | 188,49 |
| 28 | JASSEM - ZATORSKI (POL) | 59,41 | 57,19 | 214,98 | 87 | VEKSA - BRIKMANE (LAT) | 47,05 | 50,43 | 188,32 |
| 29 | BARENDREGT - WORTEL (NED) | 58,12 | 55,36 | 214,79 | 88 | SKIPPER - SKIPPER (NZL) | 46,59 | 43,85 | 188,16 |
| 30 | BRAGA - BRAGA (POR) | 64,01 | 46,62 | 214,07 | 89 | CEPONKUS - GRIGORAITIS (LTU) | 46,80 | 39,40 | 188,10 |
| 31 | MOLENAAR -VERBEEK (NED) | 58,26 | 44,80 | 212,90 | 90 | EGGELING - MATTHIAS (GER) | 44,61 | 50,39 | 187,91 |
| 32 | BIGLIERI - CRUSIZIO (ARG) | 51,40 | 49,47 | 212,16 | 91 | BOYD - DAVIS (IRL) | 46,44 | 54,89 | 187,45 |
| 33 | BARONAITE - BIELSKIS (LTU) | 42,85 | 61,49 | 212,04 | 92 | MARTIN - SYNNOTT (IRL) | 51,58 | 43,08 | 186,59 |
| 34 | PLATONENKO - PAVLUSHKO (UKR) | 60,99 | 48,85 | 211,84 | 93 | JUS - JUS (SLO) | 39,87 | 43,24 | 186,43 |
| 35 | GOGOMAN - ISLAM (AUT) | 54,56 | 51,11 | 211,65 | 94 | MANSOOR - TEBHA (PAK) | 50,97 | 51,00 | 186,25 |
| 36 | KRAWCZYK - TUCZYNSKI (POL) | 47,41 | 57,90 | 211,18 | 95 | HEIM - ROWOLD (GER) | 39,51 | 42,82 | 185,96 |
| 37 | DELLE CAVE - DI BELLO (ITA) | 43,64 | 49,28 | 211,13 | 96 | KHARANFIL - KOLENCHENKO (UKR) | 49,10 | 47,62 | 185,47 |
| 38 | KATERBAU - REHDER (GER) | 51,58 | 54,56 | 210,18 | 97 | SMYSHLIAIEV - TRUBA (UKR) | 51,83 | 43,46 | 185,31 |
| 39 | NG - ZHANG (SIN) | 50,25 | 52,77 | 210,07 | 98 | KUNKONGMEE - BOONMARK (THA) | 47,74 | 40,55 | 183,54 |
| 40 | BINA - SCHULZ (AUT) | 57,76 | 58,59 | 209,47 | 99 | LO - YEUNG (HKG) | 35,06 | 49,39 | 183,15 |
| 41 | DOECKE - O'SHEA (AUS) | 52,66 | 56,17 | 208,89 | 100 | RAMPEN - KOROMPIS (INA) | 33,15 | 51,72 | 183,09 |
| 42 | HORNIBROOK - WU (AUS) | 56,50 | 48,88 | 208,77 | 101 | CHEDIAK - GARCIA LUECHES (URU) | 47,52 | 45,37 | 182,21 |
| 43 | GRANSTROM - PATANA (FIN) | 46,37 | 51,21 | 208,38 | 102 | SINLOYMA - PANTHALOET (THA) | 47,63 | 48,34 | 181,51 |
| 44 | MANNO - BOLDRINI (ITA) | 51,65 | 50,80 | 207,52 | 103 | EVANS - HATFIELD (WAL) | 43,39 | 52,81 | 180,04 |
| 45 | ANDHANI - ARIYANI (INA) | 56,00 | 52,81 | 207,42 | 104 | WHYTE - REW (NZL) | 37,75 | 41,57 | 179,24 |
| 46 | DE GUZMAN - ENCONTRO (PHI) | 46,44 | 59,76 | 206,92 | 105 | KULL - EWALD (SUI) | 42,28 | 53,03 | 178,67 |
| 47 | HODEROVA - JANKOVA (CZE) | 44,32 | 57,94 | 206,63 | 106 | BLAZEVICIUS - KORKEVICIUS (LTU) | 43,86 | 44,16 | 178,37 |
| 48 | DORAS - MINDRUTA (ROM) | 58,76 | 45,12 | 206,54 | 107 | KOO - POON (HKG) | 47,59 | 40,04 | 176,84 |
| 49 | WANG - ZHANG (CHN) | 48,56 | 46,09 | 206,05 | 108 | SHARKEY - FEEHAN (IRL) | 44,22 | 39,65 | 175,19 |
| 50 | FRYKLUND - THALEN (SWE) | 55,96 | 53,91 | 205,97 | 109 | AKSUEYEK - WUITSCHIK (SUI) | 44,18 | 43,72 | 174,63 |
| 51 | GREENBERG - FELDMAN (USA) | 50,93 | 48,78 | 204,57 | 110 | RAHIM - PURI (PAK) | 41,16 | 46,06 | 174,58 |
| 52 | SALOMONSSON - SIVELIND (SWE) | 46,84 | 58,13 | 203,91 | 111 | PRIUTOUS - SUNOL TORRES (ESP) | 34,59 | 54,79 | 173,74 |
| 53 | DOBRIN - OSIPOV (RUS) | 51,44 | 43,13 | 203,65 | 112 | KCHAOU - MESTIRI (TUN) | 35,67 | 47,15 | 172,30 |
| 54 | FACHREZA - HUTAHAEAN (INA) | 51,44 | 46,99 | 202,46 | 113 | MARGOT - AMIGUET (SUI) | 41,59 | 48,59 | 170,91 |
| 55 | GONCALVES C. - GOMEZ H. (ESP) | 43,64 | 56,36 | 201,58 | 114 | MAMBU - MARAMIS (INA) | 34,91 | 45,76 | 165,31 |
| 56 | MO-IP (HKG) | 44,83 | 52,55 | 201,56 | 115 | MAKNI - BELLAZREG (TUN) | 48,99 | 34,17 | 161,05 |
| 57 | YOU - TAN (SIN) | 57,61 | 38,41 | 201,24 | 116 | BENSON - MOLLOY (NZL) | 39,08 | 34,28 | 159,94 |
| 58 | DESAI - KASHELKAR (IND) | 56,79 | 51,50 | 200,79 | 117 | CELLIER - TAFFIN (GLP) | 34,16 | 40,24 | 157,83 |
| 59 | MOHOTA - DAS (IND) | 52,59 | 47,46 | 199,43 | 118 | KUHAR - RUS (SLO) | 37,32 | 29,52 | 150,00 |

## PAIRS QUALIFICATION GROUP B

|  | Name | $3^{\text {rd }}$ | $4^{\text {th }}$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | KANDIJO - MOGOT (INA) | 64,61 | 69,58 | 252,08 |
| 2 | ALBRYCHT - TOMCANI (SVK) | 60,10 | 74,61 | 249,35 |
| 3 | WHIBLEY - MILNE (NZL) | 48,61 | 59,37 | 245,81 |
| 4 | MOHARAM - SAWIRES (EGY) | 59,59 | 60,11 | 244,50 |
| 5 | CHAYEUSKI - MIKHAILAU (BLR) | 62,34 | 62,21 | 241,95 |
| 6 | SINCLAIR -WILKINSON (SCO) | 64,53 | 64,87 | 239,12 |
| 7 | BAILEY - COUTTS (NZL) | 64,08 | 62,56 | 238,50 |
| 8 | GUTHI - SRIRAM (IND) | 62,78 | 60,85 | 232,49 |
| 9 | CEREK - KAYA (TUR) | 56,01 | 56,31 | 230,77 |
| 10 | ALONSO - FERRO (ARG) | 68,10 | 47,16 | 229,48 |
| 11 | ABDELFATTAH - ELSHAZLY (EGY) | 56,12 | 59,42 | 229,48 |
| 12 | HAQUE - HOSSAIN (BAN) | 55,66 | 58,11 | 229,09 |
| 13 | RODWELL - HOWARD (AUS) | 56,39 | 62,64 | 227,34 |
| 14 | IABONI - ZIND (CAN) | 61,20 | 56,33 | 224,91 |
| 15 | CHOWDHURY - RAHMAN (BAN) | 61,43 | 52,17 | 223,59 |
| 16 | STEPHENS - NAGEL (RSA) | 57,18 | 56,22 | 223,39 |
| 17 | SHEDID - ZAYTOUN (EGY) | 55,63 | 59,34 | 220,18 |
| 18 | LUKOTKA - MIKLIK (SVK) | 52,93 | 62,32 | 219,95 |
| 19 | NAKAYAMA - II (JPN) | 58,54 | 58,58 | 218,25 |
| 20 | ELLISON - ELLISON (SCO) | 51,24 | 59,17 | 216,82 |
| 21 | KOPPLINGER - JEROLITCH (AUT) | 56,18 | 48,16 | 216,82 |
| 22 | HUMPHRIES - JACOB (NZL) | 45,50 | 61,75 | 216,62 |
| 23 | YOKOI - TAGUCHI (JPN) | 54,95 | 47,77 | 215,02 |
| 24 | RUMBEA - PINOARGOTE (ECU) | 55,43 | 48,96 | 214,63 |
| 25 | MONTES DE OCA - SCANAVINO (ARG) | 44,28 | 51,65 | 213,70 |
| 26 | SERRANO - SERRANO (ECU) | 58,63 | 51,71 | 213,55 |
| 27 | KUTSUK - KLEBANOVICH (BLR) | 51,49 | 57,00 | 213,41 |
| 28 | VINUEZA - CHACON (ECU) | 50,44 | 53,82 | 213,07 |
| 29 | LIIVAK - VIILUP (EST) | 53,66 | 49,97 | 212,09 |
| 30 | ALUJAS - DEBARNOT (ARG) | 56,68 | 52,07 | 211,64 |
| 31 | EWINGTON - OWEN (WAL) | 51,45 | 53,46 | 209,60 |
| 32 | MACAULAY - MAGUIRE (CAN) | 49,05 | 48,22 | 209,27 |
| 33 | SIAREBRANY - HAPONAVA (BLR) | 60,19 | 44,61 | 208,39 |
| 34 | RAGHAVAN - SHARMA (IND) | 49,87 | 59,10 | 208,34 |
| 35 | BALDA - BALDA (ECU) | 52,33 | 54,70 | 208,32 |
| 36 | KYRANIDES - TRIGEORGIS (CYP) | 50,44 | 49,97 | 206,40 |
| 37 | McCOLL - WOOD (CAN) | 52,66 | 53,51 | 206,02 |
| 38 | DAS - HAZRA (IND) | 49,34 | 58,50 | 205,65 |
| 39 | HYDER - SAEED (PAK) | 49,38 | 51,83 | 204,74 |
| 40 | PERSIVALE D. - WILLE VALLE (PER) | 60,23 | 43,66 | 204,63 |


| 41 | MOEDI - MAFA (BOT) | 54,81 | 42,04 | 204,12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 42 | ORTEGA - HANTOS (VEN) | 49,08 | 47,08 | 203,46 |
| 43 | MANURUNG - Yarham (INA) | 51,01 | 48,16 | 202,46 |
| 44 | GRIJALVA - GRIJALVA (ECU) | 46,99 | 46,34 | 201,94 |
| 45 | ALFARO - MONTALTO (CRC) | 61,44 | 45,58 | 198,93 |
| 46 | Ralivolonova - RAMASINDRAIBE (MAD) | 49,41 | 61,24 | 196,41 |
| 47 | BALER - SCANAVINO (ARG) | 55,88 | 39,27 | 195,43 |
| 48 | KEMENOVA - SIDOR (SVK) | 44,66 | 47,67 | 194,90 |
| 49 | CULVERWELL | 45,68 | 48,63 | 193,71 |
| 50 | BRUNET - LASSERRE (GLP) | 56,98 | 50,65 | 191,38 |
| 51 | SA | 45,31 | 48,37 | 191,16 |
| 52 | KIM - MOON (KOR) | 48,11 | 51,77 | 188,82 |
| 53 | A | 47,81 | 38,72 | 186,88 |
| 54 | VAN DER HORST - PONSON (ARU) | 43,89 | 40,87 | 186,32 |
| 55 | KROHN - SANDOVAL (ARG) | 41,71 | 45,97 | 183,21 |
| 56 | CUCHIAN - ERHARDT (ARG) | 56,08 | 29,77 | 182,77 |
| 57 | KIM - KO (KOR) | 41,02 | 39,06 | 182,30 |
| 58 | ABEYEWARDENE - WICKRAMASINGHE (SRI) | 46,96 | 44,03 | 181,80 |
| 59 | MARSHALL - ROBINSON (JAM) | 46,21 | 51,96 | 180,82 |
| 60 | TARR | 46,10 | 42,80 | 180 |
| 61 | RAMIREZ - SEGURA (CRC) | 52,91 | 41,18 | 178,2 |
| 62 | ENKHAMGALAN - ELBEG (MGL) | 40,77 | 46,64 | 176 |
| 63 | GARCIA DA ROSA - OCHOA (URU) | 37,20 | 44,95 | 175 |
| 64 | ILLANGASINGHE - FERNANDO (SRI) | 35,97 | 51,75 | 175,2 |
| 65 | KOORENG - SEKGOMA (BOT) | 38,79 | 36,25 | 175, |
| 66 | KGOSIDIALWA - RAMARIBANA (BOT) | 40,38 | 46,10 | 174,58 |
| 67 | BULLARD E. - ROSELL M. (PER) | 35,02 | 37,15 | 173,48 |
| 68 | XAVIER - KALIFA (TRI) | 42,81 | 58,26 | 173,40 |
| 69 | KATSHENE - KGOSIETSILE (BOT) | 41,86 | 48,45 | 170,19 |
| 70 | PAIRMAN - ROBINSON (JAM) | 36,43 | 39,45 | 169,50 |
| 71 | CHACON - GUERRERO (CRC) | 33,11 | 42,49 | 167, |
| 72 | KRAFT DEVLADAR - CUEVA C. (PER) | 37,27 | 49,48 | 167,0 |
| 73 | AKMEEMANA - KARUNARATHNE (SRI) | 39,77 | 41,04 | 165,86 |
| 74 | ROSEL - DARSIE (ARU) | 37,78 | 42,98 | 164,56 |
| 75 | KOH - SEAH (MAS) | 44,12 | 38,57 | 163,01 |
| 76 | CAMPBELL - BRYAN (JAM) | 47,86 | 42,14 | 161,3 |
| 77 | GARCIA DA ROSA - BULSON (URU) | 44,61 | 39,34 | 159,4 |
| 78 | ABDUL RAZAK - MATHAVAN (MAS) | 34,43 | 38,53 | 157,20 |
| 79 | DASHJAMTS - ICHINKHORLOO (MGL) | 33,69 | 39,35 | 151,77 |
| 80 | DAVAASUREN - SUKHBAATAR (MGL) | 36,8 | 23,5 |  |

